Supporting Information Heck et al. 10.1073/pnas.0910591107

SI Text

Strains and Plasmids. Yeast strains were cultured, as described elsewhere (1), in minimal media with 2% (vol/vol) dextrose and appropriate amino acid supplements at 30°C unless otherwise indicated. The majority of strains used were in the BY4741 background (MATa ura3Δ0 leu2Δ0 his3Δ1 met15Δ0), with the exception of ubc4Δubc5^Δ and WT, MHY508 (ubc4Δ::HIS3 ubc5Δ::LEU2), MHY501 (MATa ura3Δ0 leu2Δ0 his3Δ1 met15Δ0) with the exception of: ubc4Δubc5^Δ and WT, MHY508 (ubc4Δ::HIS3 ubc5Δ::LEU2), and MHY501 ($MAT\alpha$ his 3- Δ 200 leu2-3,112 ura 3-52 lys 2-801 trp1-1). SSA1 (JN516; MATα ura3-52 leu2-3 his3-11, 15 trp1-Δ1 lys2 SSA1 $ssa2::LEU2$ ssa3::TRP1 ssa4::LYS2) and ssa1-45 (JB67; MAT α ura3-52 leu2-3 his3–11, trp1-Δ1 lys2 ssa1::ssa1–45 ssa2::LEU2 ssa3::TRP1 ssa4::LYS2). Null alleles with coding regions replaced were constructed in the BY4741 background by transforming yeast using the Lithium Acetate method with a PCR product encoding the indicated selection marker and 50-bp flanks homologous to the gene to be disrupted (2) or using knockout cassettes in the laboratory collection. Oligo sequences are available on request.

The UBR1 (pRH2444), UBR1MR1 (pRH2445), and PADH-UBR1 (pRH2471) plasmids were a gift from A. Varshavsky (California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA). The original CPY^{\ddag} -GFP expression plasmid was provided by D. Wolf (University of Stuttgart, Stuttgart, Germany). The SAN1 (pRH2475), SAN1-NLS (pRH2439), san1Δ::NatMX (pRH2376), PGAL- $\overline{CPY^*}$ -GFP (pRH2533), and PGALCPY^{*}- GFP-NES (pRH2534) plasmids were a gift from R. Gardner (University of Washington, Seattle, WA).

Degradation Assays. Cycloheximide chase degradation assays were performed as previously described (1). Briefly, yeast cells were grown to log phase (\sim OD₆₀₀ < 0.5), and cycloheximide was added to a final concentration of 50 μg/mL. At the indicated time points, cells were collected by centrifugation and lysed with 0.1 mL of SUME [1% SDS, 8 M urea, 10 mM Mops (pH 6.8), 10 mM EDTA] with protease inhibitors (260 μ M ABESF, 142 μ M TPCK, 100 μ M leupeptin, 76 μM pepstatin) and 0.5-mm glass beads, followed by vortexing for 2 min and addition of 100 μL of 2× USB [75 mM Mops (pH 6.8), 4% (vol/vol) SDS, 200 mM DTT, 0.2 mg/mL bromophenol blue, 8 M urea]. The bead slurry was heated to 80°C for 3 min and then clarified by centrifugation before separation by SDS/PAGE and subsequent immunoblotting with appropriate antibodies.

Flow Cytometry Analysis. Flow cytometry for GFP-tagged substrates was performed as described elsewhere (3). Cell cultures were grown in minimal medium to low log phase $(OD_{600} = 0.1)$ before addition of 50 μg/mL cycloheximide for the indicated times. Samples were measured for fluorescence with a BD Biosciences FACScalibur instrument, and statistical analysis was conducted with CellQuest flow cytometry software. Histograms represent 10,000 individual cells.

Cytoplasm Preparation. Cytoplasm for in vitro assays was prepared from the respective genetic backgrounds using an approach modified from our in vitro ERAD assay (4). Cells were grown in yeast peptone dextrose (YPD) to an OD_{600} of 0.8–1.0, and 100 ODs of cells were pelleted. The pellet was washed twice with H2O and once with cold B88 buffer [20 mM Hepes-KOH (pH 7.4), 150 mM KOAc, 250 mM sorbitol, 5 mM Mg(OAc)2] with protease inhibitors (260 μM ABESF, 142 μM TPCK, 100 μM leupeptin, 76 μM pepstatin) and DTT, and it was resuspended in

Heck et al. <www.pnas.org/cgi/content/short/0910591107> 1 of 5

100 μL of B88 buffer with protease inhibitors and DTT for lysis by grinding in a mortar and pestle. The mortar and pestle were precooled with liquid nitrogen before addition of the cells. The cells were added to the mortar with 5 mL of liquid nitrogen. The frozen cells were ground by hand with the pestle. The cells were kept frozen during the process by addition of liquid nitrogen as needed. The ground cells were then placed in a 2-mL tube on ice and allowed to thaw back to liquid. The resulting cytoplasm was clarified by centrifugation at $5,000 \times g$ at 4°C for 5 min. The supernatant was then transferred to a different tube and centrifuged again at 20,000 \times g at 4°C for 15 min. A final ultracentrifugation was carried out at $100,000 \times g$ at 4°C for 60 min. Protein concentration of individual cytoplasms was determined using Bradford Reagent (Sigma-Aldrich). Cytoplasms were kept on ice until use.

In Vitro Ubiquitination Assay. Bead-bound immunoprecipitated substrate was mixed with the isolated cytoplasm from the indicated genetic background in the following way: All cytoplasmic reactions took place in a final volume of 30 μL and were prepared on ice. One hundred fifty milligrams of total protein from the respective cytoplasmic preparation was mixed with 15 mM ATP and 10 μL of FLAG beads bound to pre-IP substrate. The reactions were incubated in a 30°C water bath for 1 h with periodic agitation. The reaction was terminated by adding 800 μL of IP buffer (15 mM sodium phosphate, 150 mM NaCL, 10 mM EDTA, 2% (vol/vol) Triton X-100, 0.1% (vol/vol) SDS, 0.5% (vol/vol) deoxycholate) with protease inhibitors and 5 mM Nethylmaleimide. The FLAG beads were washed three times with 1 mL of IP wash buffer (50 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris, pH 7.5), aspirated to dryness, and heated in the presence of sample buffer to 100°C for 3 min before SDS/PAGE and immunoblotting. IP of substrate before in vitro ubiquitination experiments was conducted in the following manner. Strains lacking San1 and Ubr1 and containing FLAG-tGND1-GFP were grown as described for the previous cytoplasmic preparation. Ten microliters of anti-FLAG M2 beads (Sigma Aldrich) was added per 150 mg of cytosol and allowed to nutate overnight at 4°C. The beads were then pelleted in an Eppendorf 5415c microfuge at 1,000 rpm for 1 min. Three washes with IP buffer were conducted before final resuspension in B88 reaction buffer.

In Vivo Ubiquitination Assay. Cells were grown and lysed as outlined above. To assess in vivo ubiquitination, 1 mL of IP buffer with protease inhibitors and N-ethylmaleimide was added after vortexing in the presence of beads and SUME. The lysate was clarified by centrifugation in an Eppendorf 5415c microfuge at 14,000 rpm for 5 min. The supernatant was transferred to a different tube, and either polyclonal anti-GFP, anti-HA (Covance), or monoclonal anti-FLAG M2 beads (Sigma-Aldrich) were added depending on the substrate. The lysates were nutated overnight at 4°C. In the case of anti-GFP and anti-HA pulldown, 100 μL of protein A Sepharose beads was then added and allowed to nutate for an additional 2 h at 4°C. The beads were then spun down in an Eppendorf 5415c at 1,000 rpm, washed three times with IP wash buffer (50 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris, pH 7.5), and aspirated to dryness before addition of electrophoretic sample buffer.

Phenotyping. To evaluate cell growth, plate dilution assays were carried out by growing all strains in supplemented minimal medium overnight. A total of 0.35 OD units was centrifuged and resuspended in1mLof sterilewater.Five-or10-folddilutionswere thenperformed

in a 96-well plate and spotted onto on the indicated media. Studies of ethanol sensitivity were conducted using YPD plates with the appropriate ethanol concentration. EtOH plates as well as the YPD control plates were then wrapped in parafilm to prevent ethanol evaporation and grown for 3–7 days at various temperatures.

Confocal Microscopy. All images were taken with a Leica DMI6000 inverted microscope outfitted with a Yokogawa Nipkon spinning

Plan Apochromat 40×1.25 n.a. and 63×1.4 n.a. objective, and an argon/krypton 100-mW air-cooled laser for 488/568/647-nm excitations. All images were acquired in the dynamic range of 8 bits. Images were analyzed with ImageJ (US National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD).

- 1. Gardner R, et al. (1998) Sequence determinants for regulated degradation of yeast 3 hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase, an integral endoplasmic reticulum membrane protein. Mol Biol Cell 9:2611–2626, andcorrection (1999) 10:precedi.
- 2. Baudin A, Ozier-Kalogeropoulos O, Denouel A, Lacroute F, Cullin C (1993) A simple and efficient method for direct gene deletion in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nucleic Acids Res 21:3329–3330.

3. Cronin S, Hampton RY (1999) Measuring protein degradation with green fluorescent protein. Methods Enzymol 302:58–73.

disk confocal head,anOrcaendoplasmic reticulum high-resolution black and white cooled CCD camera $(6.45 \,\mu\text{m/pixel}$ at $1\times)$, a Leica

4. Garza RM, Sato BK, Hampton RY (2009) In vitro analysis of Hrd1p-mediated retrotranslocation of its multispanning membrane substrate 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl (HMG)-CoA reductase. J Biol Chem 284:14710–14722.

Fig. S1. Characterization of P_{TDH3}-CPY[‡]-GFP degradation. (A) Graphic representation of CPY* and CPY[‡]-GFP (Δss-CPY*-GFP), in which ss denotes the endoplasmic reticulum localization signal sequence and cycloheximide chase of CPY[‡]-GFP expressed in WT cells. Anti-GFP antibodies were used to detect CPY[‡]-GFP. (B) Effect of proteasome inhibitor (MG132, 1 h) on in vivo CPY⁺-GFP ubiquitination, assayed by anti-GFP IP, followed by antiubiquitin (Ub) or anti-GFP immunoblotting. (C) Effect of MG132 on CPY⁺-GFP steady-state levels when expressed from native promoter (Upper) or strong TDH3 promoter (Lower), as measured by flow cytometry for GFP fluorescence. UT, untreated. (D) Mean fluorescence for the histograms in C is plotted for each strain, using arbitrary fluorescence units. Magnitudes are written above each bar. (E) Overexpression of Ubr1 results in increased degradation rate of CPY[‡]-GFP. WT cells with empty vector plasmid (EV) or highly expressing ADH promoter-driven UBR1 plasmid.

A

B

Fig. S2. Chaperone-dependent degradation of QC substrates. (A) CPY[‡]-GFP degradation in ssa2Δssa3Δssa4Δ nulls with either WT SSA1 or temperaturesensitive ssa1-45 present, evaluated by cycloheximide chase at 30°C or 37°C, followed by anti-GFP immunoblotting. (B) Flow cytometry analysis of cycloheximide chase of CPY[‡]-GFP in chaperone nulls in WT, sti1Δ, sse1Δ, ydj1Δ, and hsp104Δ. Mean fluorescence of CPY[‡]-GFP at each time point was normalized to the steady state at time 0 and graphed as percentage remaining. (C) In vivo ubiquitination of CPY[‡]-GFP expressed in chaperone nulls used in B, IP with anti-GFP, and immunoblot with antiubiquitin (Ub) or anti-GFP. (D) Ubiquitination of CPY⁺-GFP in WT or ssa1-45 strains used in A, at 30°C or 37°C. Cells were preincubated at the indicated temperature for 1 h before lysis and IP. Western blots were probed with anti-Ub or anti-GFP. (E) Cycloheximide chase of stGnd1 in WT and sse1∆ null.

Fig. S3. In vitro ubiquitination assay characterization. (A) In vitro ubiquitination of FLAG-tGnd1-GFP. Anti-FLAG agarose beads with bound FLAG-tGnd1-GFP (Substrate-Beads) or untreated anti-FLAG agarose beads (Beads) were incubated with WT cytosol (+) or buffer (−) for 1 h at 30°C. The beads were then washed and resuspended in sample buffer before SDS/PAGE and immunoblotting for ubiquitin (Ub) or GFP. (B) ATP-dependent in vitro ubiquitination. Indicated ATP concentrations were added to 150 mg of total cytosol protein and 10 μL of bead-bound tGnd1-GFP, incubated at 30°C for 1 h, and immunoblotted for Ub or GFP.

Fig. S4. No effect of ate1∆ on CPY[‡]-GFP or tGnd1-GFP degradation. (A) Flow cytometry analysis of CPY[‡]-GFP degradation in WT, ate1∆, san1∆, and ate1∆san1∆ nulls. Mean fluorescence of CPY[‡]-GFP at each time point was normalized to the steady state at time 0 and graphed as percentage remaining. (B) Flow cytometry analysis of tGnd1-GFP degradation in strains used in A.

Fig. S5. Sse1-mediated nuclear localization of CPY[‡]-GFP. (A) Representative images of fluorescence microscopy carried out on san1∆ and sse1∆san1∆ cells expressing CPY[‡]-GFP as well as san1∆ cells expressing CPY[‡]-GFP+NES. GFP and DAPI staining was captured to demonstrate the change in localization of CPY[‡]-GFP within the cell in the absence of sse1Δ. CPY⁺-GFP accumulates in the nucleus of san1Δ cells but is restricted to the cytoplasm in the sse1Δsan1Δ cells. (B) Quantitation of the mean nuclear signal intensity of GFP fluorescence in the nucleus in san1Δ and sse1Δsan1Δ using ImageJ software, computed as the ratio of DAPI colocalized GFP signal divided by the total GFP signal in the cell. CPY[‡]-GFP+NES localization in san1Δ cells was used to gauge the lower limits of detection. Error bars are SEM ($n = 25$ in each condition).

*When requesting, please refer to the strain or plasmid number.

PNAS PNAS