INVENTORY OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Structural Rules and Complex Regulatory Circuitry Constrain Expression of a Notch- and EGFR-Regulated Eye Enhancer

Christina I. Swanson, Nicole C. Evans, and Scott Barolo

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

- **Table S1** provides GFP expression data from all transgenic lines examined.These data, requested by reviewers, are relevant to Figures 2 through 6.
- **Table S2** presents EMSA competition data discussed in the text, relevant to the conclusions drawn from Figure 2 in that they support the notion that the newly mapped regulatory sequences do not merely augment binding of the known regulators to their binding sites.
- Figure S1 presents expression data discussed in the text, relevant to the proposal, related to Figure 5, that the Su(H)+Ets+Lz sites in *spa* are "poised" for activation in multiple cell types in the eye.
- **Table S3** summarizes evolutionary conservation analyses of *spa* and six other developmental enhancers, related to Figure 6 and discussed in the text. These data show that, although overall sequence conservation of *spa* is not unusual, this enhancer has relatively few blocks of contiguous conserved sequence. This is an interesting observation, given that we have shown that *spa* is very intolerant of experimental sequence alterations and rearrangements.

SUPPLEMENTAL EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

SUPPLEMENTAL REFERENCES

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Structural Rules and Complex Regulatory Circuitry Constrain Expression of a Notch- and EGFR-Regulated Eye Enhancer

Christina I. Swanson, Nicole C. Evans, and Scott Barolo

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

Figure S1 Table S1 Table S2 Table S3 SUPPLEMENTAL EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES SUPPLEMENTAL REFERENCES

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

Figure S1, A Synthetic Version of *spa*, Containing Only Su(H)+Lz+Ets Binding Sites, Is Poised for Activation in Multiple Cell Types of the Developing Eye (related to Figure 5)

spa(synth^{NS}), a synthetic enhancer containing the Su(H)+Lz+Ets sites from *spa* in their native arrangement, placed at -846 bp upstream of a promoter, is inactive in all cell types of the eye, in all 8 lines examined (A). When this construct was placed closer to the promoter (-121 bp), no expression was observed in 5 of 7 independent transgenic lines (B). However, 2 of 7 lines show insertion site-dependent activity in the eye: one line is active in cone cells, as shown by co-expression with Cut (C), while another line is active in multiple photoreceptors, as shown by co-expression with Elav (D).



Table S1, Summary of Transgenic Reporter Expression Data (related to Figures 2-5)

Data are presented in a separate Excel file.

All constructs are placed at -846 bp from promoter, except those labeled "-121," which are placed at -121 bp. CC, cone cell expression; PR, photoreceptor 1+6 expression. 3 to 14 independently derived transgenic lines were examined for each construct. See Figure 2 for scoring key. Asterisks on lines of *spa*(synth^{CS}) and 2*xspa*(synth^{CS}) denote lines with weak and incomplete R1+R6 expression, plus weak-to-moderate expression at the posterior margin of the eye disc, which, based on z-position and nuclear size, is likely to include peripodial cells.

Table S2, Novel Regulatory Regions of *spa* Do Not Significantly Contribute to In Vitro Binding of the Known Regulatory TFs (related to Figure 2)

Experimental details and probe sequences are described in Supplemental Experimental Procedures. Probes include novel regulatory regions 1, 4, 5, or 6a, plus any immediately flanking Lz, Su(H), or Ets binding sites (see Figure 6A for annotated sequence). Left, Lz binding to sites flanking regions 1, 5, and 6a; Right, Su(H) binding to sites flanking regions 4 and 6a. Pnt binding was not examined, because the predicted Ets sites flanking these enhancer regions do not bind Pnt in vitro (Flores et al., 2000).

In all cases, mutations to novel regulatory regions of *spa* (all of which reduce enhancer activity in vivo) do not significantly affect binding of Lz or Su(H) to nearby sites. By contrast, binding is reduced in all cases by mutating the Lz or Su(H) sites themselves (red figures).

Note that mutating Su(H) sites has a milder effect on binding than mutating Lz sites, and that a greater excess of competitor is required for Su(H) than for Lz. This apparent difference in relative binding strength is consistent with the fact that the Lz sites perfectly match the optimal binding consensus, while the Su(H) sites deviate from the optimal consensus and are predicted to be lower-affinity sites (Flores et al., 2000; Nellesen et al., 1999).

Lz binding in vitro (given as % competition)			Su(H) binding in vitro (given as % competition)		
cold competitor			cold competitor		
fold excess:	10x	100x	 fold excess:	100x	1000x
Pegion 1:			Pegion 1:		
	00.0	74.0	 <u>Region 4.</u>	00.0	00.0
1 Wt	32.8	74.2	4 Wt	33.8	69.8
1 mBS	1.1	1.2	4 mBS	21.0	63.0
m1a	36.8	72.7	m4a	32.3	76.5
m1b	34.2	74.6	m4b	25.3	73.7
m1c	39.6	71.2	m4c	51.1	84.3
Region 5:			Region 6a:		
5 wt	37.7	79.4	6a wt	10.3	52.1
5 mBS	5.8	8.9	6a mBS	8.8	36.0
m5a	39.5	85.4	m6a	15.7	55.4
m5b	44.7	81.1			
m5c	39.7	78.4			
Region 6a:					
6a wt	35.1	75.1			
6a mBS	5.6	11.5			
m6a	44.4	72.6			

Table S3, D. melanogaster - D. pseudoobscura sequence identity and blocks ofconservation within spa and six other developmental enhancers (related to Figure6)

Enhancer	Total bp	Conserved bp (%) ^a	10/10 conserved blocks (%) ^b	20/20 conserved blocks (%) ^b
E(spl)m4 ^c	279	87.8	74.6	58.1
Su(H)ASE ^d	372	82.8	63.2	40.3
dppVM ^e	419	70.9	51.3	21.7
e <i>v</i> eMHE ^f	311	74.3	45.7	29.3
evest.2 ^g	483	73.1	37.7	19.9
<i>dpp</i> D ^h	372	69.6	36.5	26.1
mean ±SEM (excluding <i>spa</i>)		76.4 ±3.0	51.5 ±6.1	32.6 ±5.9
spa ⁱ	362	64.6	3.9	0
mean ±SEM (including <i>spa</i>)		74.7 ±3.0	44.7 ±8.5	27.9 ±6.8

^aDerived from BLASTZ alignments of orthologous enhancer sequences. ^bDefined as the total length of contiguous conserved sequences of \geq 10 bp or \geq 20 bp (counting gaps as mismatched bases) in a BLASTZ alignment, as a percentage of total enhancer length.

bases) in a BLASTZ alignment, as a percentage of total enhancer length. ^cNellesen et al. (1999). ^dBarolo et al. (2000). ^eSun et al. (1995); Yang et al. (2000); Zaffran et al. (2001); Stultz et al. (2006). ^fHalfon et al. (2000). ^gSmall et al. (1992); Arnosti et al. (1996); Andrioli et al. (2002). ^hMüller and Basler (2000). ^fFlores et al. (2000).

SUPPLEMENTAL EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Protein Expression and Purification

Lozenge protein was expressed using the TNT T7 Coupled Reticulocyte Lysate System (Promega) from pET3c-Lz (kindly provided by Richard Mann, Columbia University). His-tagged Su(H) was expressed from pRSET-6XHis-Su(H) (kindly provided by Jim Posakony, University of California, San Diego). RosettaBlue (DE3) Competent Cells (Novagen) transformed with pRSET-6XHis-Su(H) were grown overnight in 250 ml of LB plus 30 µg/ml carbenicillin in a 37°C shaking incubator. The next morning, cells were spun down for 10 min at 6000 rpm, and the pellet was resuspended in 10 ml LB. Two 500 ml LB+carb cultures were each inoculated with 2.5 ml of resuspended cells and grown in a 30°C shaking incubator until they reached an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6-0.7. Each 500 ml culture was then induced with 500 µl 1M IPTG and grown at 30°C for an additional 2 hours. Cultures were spun down at 4°C for 10 min at 6000 rpm. Each pellet was resuspended on ice in 10 ml of lysis buffer (0.1 M NaCl, 0.1% Tween-20, 10 mM Tris-Cl (pH 8.0), 5 mM Imidazole, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, 1 tablet Complete Mini EDTA-free (Roche)). Cells were lysed at 4°C by sonication (60% power, 5 X 30s, at 30s intervals), then centrifuged for 20 min at 10,000 rpm at 4°C. All following purification steps took place in a 4°C cold room. Two 0.8 X 4 cm Poly-Prep Chromatography Columns (Bio-Rad) were packed with 0.15 ml of Ni-NTA agarose (Qiagen) and cleared with 10 ml Wash Buffer 1 (0.1 M NaCl, 0.1% Tween-20, 10 mM Tris-Cl (pH 8.0), 5 mM Imidazole). Ten ml of supernatant was then applied to each column. Each column was washed with 1 ml Wash Buffer 1, followed by 1 ml Wash Buffer 2 (0.1 M NaCl, 10 mM Tris-Cl (pH 8.0), 15 mM Imidazole). Protein was eluted with 6 X 100 µl elution buffer (0.1 M NaCl, 10 mM Tris-Cl (pH 8.0), 200 mM Imidazole, pH adjusted to 8.0). Elutions containing protein were pooled and glycerol was added to 10% final concentration before being aliquoted, flash frozen with dry ice and ethanol, and stored at -80°C.

In Vitro Binding Assays

Protein-DNA binding was quantitated in vitro with electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs); relative affinities were determined by competing unlabeled ("cold") DNA probes against radiolabeled ("hot") probes for protein binding. DNA probes were made using custom oligos from either Invitrogen or IDT. Gel shift probe labeling reactions contained 37 µl dH₂O, 5 µl 10X PNK Buffer, 1 µl top strand oligo (2 µM), 1 µl bottom strand oligo (2 µM), 5 µl γ^{32} P, and 1 µl T4 PNK (NEB). Reactions were incubated at 37°C for one hour, boiled at 80°C for 5 min, then allowed to cool slowly to room temperature. Labeled probes were purified twice using Illustra ProbeQuant G-50 Micro Columns (GE). The Lz labeled probe contained the first Lz site from *spa* along with flanking sequence. The Su(H) labeled probe contained the third Su(H) site from *spa* along with flanking sequence. The sequences of labeled probes are below, with Lz sites in blue, Su(H) sites in red, and binding site mutations in bold and underlined:

Lz:	aaaatttactatGACCGCAaagctgtttcc
MutLz:	aaaatttactatGA AA GCAaagctgtttcc
Su(H):	tcaagatctta <mark>TTCACATT</mark> gaaattgaagc
MutSu(H):	tcaagatcttaTT <u>GGG</u> ATTgaaattgaagc

Cold competitors were assembled as follows: $10X - 25 \ \mu l \ dH_2O$, $5 \ \mu l \ 10X \ PNK \ Buffer$, $10 \ \mu l \ top \ strand \ oligo (2 \ \mu M)$, $10 \ \mu l \ bottom \ strand \ oligo (2 \ \mu M)$; $100X - 43 \ \mu l \ dH_2O$, $5 \ \mu l \ 10X \ PNK \ Buffer$, $1 \ \mu l \ top \ strand \ oligo (200 \ \mu M)$, $1 \ \mu l \ bottom \ strand \ oligo (200 \ \mu M)$; $1000X - 25 \ \mu l \ dH_2O$, $5 \ \mu l \ 10X \ PNK \ Buffer$, $10 \ \mu l \ top \ strand \ oligo (200 \ \mu M)$, $10 \ \mu l \ bottom \ strand \ stran$

<u>1 wt</u> : <u>m1a:</u> <u>m1b:</u> <u>m1c:</u> <u>1 mBS</u> :	gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactatGACCGCAaagctgtttc gGaGcCaTtCactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactatGACCGCAaagctgtttc gtatcaagtaacGgTgGgAcGaattgaaaaaatttactatGACCGCAaagctgtttc gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaCtGgCaCaCatttactatGACCGCAaagctgtttc gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactatGA
<u>4 wt</u> :	caagatctta TTCACATT gaaattgaagcactattggtgtacgattacaacgctcac- attatcaGGATataaaaaaaa
<u>m4abc</u> :	caagatctta <mark>TTCACATT</mark> gaaaGtTaCgAaAtCtGgTtTtCcTaGtCcCaAgAtAaA- aGtCtcaGGATataaaaaaaa
<u>m4a</u> :	caagatettaTTCACATTgaaCtGgCaTeCeGaGtggtgtacgattacaacgeteac-
<u>m4b</u> :	caagatcttaTTCACATTgaaattgaagcactattTgGgGaAgCtGaAaacgctcac- attatcaGGATataaaaaaa
<u>m4c</u> :	caagatcttaTTCACATTgaaattgaagcactattggtgtacgattacCaAgAtAaA- aGtCtcaGGATataaaaaaa
<u>4 mBS</u> :	caagatetta TT<u>G</u>ACATT gaaattgaageaetattggtgtaegattaeaaegeteae- attatea TT ATataaaaaaa
<u>5 wt</u> :	ttatcaGGATataaaaaaaggtgatagtaattcagcacgactttgtAACCACAaatata
<u>m5abc</u> :	ttatcaGGATataaCaCaCaTgGgCtCgGaCtGcCgAaAgCcAttgtAACCACAaatata
<u>m5a</u> :	ttatcaGGATataCaCaCaCgTtgatagtaattcagcacgactttgtAACCACAaatata
<u>m5b</u> :	ttatcaGGATataaaaaaaaggtTaGaTtCaGtAagcacgactttgtAACCACAaatata
<u>m5c</u> :	ttatcaGGATataaaaaaaaggtgatagtaattcaTcCcTaAtGtgtAACCACAaatata
<u>5mBS</u> :	ttatca TT ATataaaaaaaggtgatagtaattcagcacgactttgtAA AA ACAaatata
<u>6a wt</u> :	cagattactcCGTGAGTAcaacgtaagtcgggtgaagccagaAACCACAaatcaagttg
<u>m6a</u> :	cagattactc <mark>CGTGAGTA</mark> caacTtCaTtAgTgGgCaTcAagaAACCACAaatcaagttg
<u>6a mBS</u> :	cagattactcCGTGACTAcaacgtaagtcgggtgaagccagaAAAAAACAaatcaagttg

Gel shift reactions were assembled on ice and contained 1 µl 10X Gel Shift Buffer (0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 0.5 M NaCl, 10 mM DTT, 10 mM EDTA, 275 µg/ml salmon sperm DNA), 1 µl poly d(I-C) (1 mg/ml), 1 µl DTT (100 µM), 1 µl labeled probe, 1 µl competitor (if included), and protein and dH₂O to a final volume of 10 µl. Lozenge gel shift reactions contained 3 µl of TNT reaction, while Su(H) gel shift reactions contained 5 µl of purified 6XHis-Su(H). Gel shift reactions were incubated on ice for 15 min before being loaded into 5% or 6% acrylamide gels that had been pre-run for 30 min; gels were then run at 120-140V in 0.5X TBE. Gels were dried for one hour at 80°C, exposed overnight to a storage phosphor screen (GE), and scanned using a Typhoon 9400 Variable Mode Imager. Quantification was performed using ImageJ. Calculations of competition were based on measurements of mean signal from which background had been subtracted.

Complete Sequences of Enhancer Constructs

Blue indicates Lz binding sites; red, Su(H) binding sites; and green, Ets (Pnt/Yan) binding sites, as determined by Flores et al. (2000). Wild-type *sparkling* sequences, outside of Lz, Su(H), and Ets binding sites, are in lower case. Where lower case alternates with capitalized sequence, mutated bases (in caps) are subjected to non-complementary transversions.

From Figure 1:

<u>spa(wt)</u>

 $gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactat \texttt{GACCGCA} aagctgt\texttt{TTCC} tgactatgacatagtttttt ttgctttggt\texttt{TGTGGGA} tgaaatggtcattggaactggacgctgtccctgtc\texttt{TTCTCACT} aagttaatgatcgtaca acctcaagatctta\texttt{TTCACA} tgaaattgaagcactattggtgtacgattacaacgctcacattatcaGGA} taaaaa aaaaggtgatagtaattcagcacgactttgt\texttt{AACCA} CACAaata\texttt{TATGGGA} cacagattactc\texttt{CGTGA} GTAcaacgtaa gtcgggtgaagccaga\texttt{AACCA} caagttgtt\texttt{TTCC} ggtagcttagg$

spa(synth^{CS})

tGACCGCAaCgAtTtTTCCtTaAtCtGtTgtTGTGGGATgGaCaGgGcCttggaacGgATCcAtTtcTTCTCACTaCg TtCaGcGtaTTCACATTgCaCtGtGaGcaGGATaGaCaGAAttCtAACCACAaCtaTATGGGAAcCcCgCtGaAcCGT GAGTAcCaAgGgAcCgaAACCACAaCtAaCgGtTttTTCCg

spa(synth^{NS})

gGaGcCaTtCaAtTgTtTcAtCaGtTaCaCaCtGtCctatGACCGCAaagAtgtTTCCtgacGaGgCcCtCgGtGtGtGtGtCtCGGtGggtTGTGGGATgtaaCtTgGcattggaactggCcTcGgGcAcGgtcTTCTCACTaagtGaCtTaGcTtCcCaAcGcCaTaGcttaTTCACATTgaaaGtTaCgAaAtCtGgTtTtCcTaGtCcCaAgAtAaAaGtCtcaGGATataaCaCaCaTgGgCtCgGaCtGcCgAaAgCcAttgtAACCACAaataTATGGGAAcacaTaGtCctcCGTGAGTAcaacTtCaTtAgTgGgCaTcAagaAACCACAaatcCaTtGgttTTCCggtaTcGtCgT

From Figure 2:

<u>spa(∆1)</u>

 $\label{eq:tgaccatag} to the term of term of the term of term$

<u>spa(∆2)</u>

 $gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactat \\ GACCGCAaCgAtTtTTCCtTaGAattCTgt \\ TGTGGGATgGaCaGgGcCttggaactggacgctgtccctgtc \\ TTCTCACTaagttaatgatcgtacaacctcaagatctta \\ TTgaaattgaagcactattggtgtacgattacaacgctcacattatcaGGATataaaaaaaaggtgatagtaattcagcacgactttgtAACCACAaataTATGGGAAcacagattactcCGTGAGTAcaacgtaagtcgggtgaagccagaAACcACAaatcaagttgttTTCCggtagcttagg \\ \label{eq:stargest}$

<u>spa(∆3)</u>

gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactat GACCGCA aagctgtTTCC tgactatgacatagtttttt ttgctttggtTGTG GGATgtaaatggtcattggaacGTcTCcAtTtcTTCTCACT aCggAaTtcGtaTTCACATT gaa attgaagcactattggtgtacgattacaacgctcacattatcaGGATataaaaaaaaggtgatagtaattcagcacga ctttgtAACCACA aataTATGGGAA cacagattactcCGTGAGTA caacgtaagtcgggtgaagccagaAACCACA aa tcaagttgttTTCC ggtagcttagg

<u>spa(∆4)</u>

gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactat GACCGCAaagctgtTTCCtgactatgacatagttttttttgctttggtTGTGGGATgtaaatggtcattggaactggacgctgtccctgtcTTCTCACTaagttaatgatcgtacaactcaagatcttaTTCACATTgCaGAAttCGcaGGATataaaaaaaaggtgatagtaattcagcacgactttgtAACCACAaataTATGGGAAcacagattactcCGTGAGTAcaacgtaagtcgggtgaagccagaAACCACAaatcaagttgttTTCCggtagcttagg

<u>spa(∆5)</u>

gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactat GACCGCAaagctgtTTCCtgactatgacatagttttttttgctttggtTGTGGGATgtaaatggtcattggaactggacgctgtccctgtcTTCTCACTaagttaatgatcgtacaactcaagatcttaTTCACATTgaaattgaagcactattggtgtacgattacaacgctcacattatcaGGATaGaCaGAAttCtAACCACAaCtaTATGGGAAcCcCgCtGaAtcCGTGAGTAcaacgtaagtcgggtgaagccagaAACCACAaatcaagttgttTTCCggtagcttagg

<u>spa(∆6)</u>

 $gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactat \texttt{GACCGCA} aagctgt\texttt{TTCC} tgactatgacatagtttttttttgctttggt\texttt{TGTGGGA} tgaaatggtcattggaactggacgctgtccctgtc\texttt{TTCTCACT} aagttaatgatcgtacaactcaagatctta\texttt{TTCACA} tgaaattgaagcactattggtgtacgattacaacgctcacattatcaGGA} tataaaaaaaggtgatagtaattcagcacgactttgt\texttt{AACCA} caagattactc\texttt{CGTGA} tatacaacgctcacagattaccaAgGgA cCga\\ \texttt{AACCA} cAactAaCgGt\texttt{Ttt}\texttt{TTCC} taga$

spa(m2^{NS})

gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactatGACCGCAaagAtgtTTCCtgacGaGgCcCtCgGtGtGtGtGtCtCgGtGtGggtTGTGGGATgtaaCtTgGcattggaactggacgctgtccctgtcTTCTCACT...

spa(m4^{NS})

 $gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactat {\tt GACCGCA} aagctgt {\tt TTCCt}gactatgacatagtttttttttgctttggt {\tt TGTG} {\tt GGA} {\tt TGTG} {\tt GGA} {\tt Tgt} aaatggtcattggaactggacgctgtccctgtc {\tt TTCTCACT} aagttaatgatcgtacaactgaactcaagatctta {\tt TTCACATT} {\tt gaaaGtTaCGA} {\tt AAtCtG} {\tt TTCCCT} {\tt GTG} {\tt GAA} {\tt AAA} {\tt AAGtCtcaGGAT} ...$

<u>spa(m5^{NS})</u>

 $gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactat {\tt GACCGCA} aagctgt {\tt TTCCt}gactatgacatagtttttttttgctttggt {\tt TGTG} {\tt GGA} {\tt TGTG} {\tt GGA} {\tt Tgta} aatggtcattggaactggacgctgtccctgtc {\tt TTCTCACT} aagttaatgatcgtaca acctcaagatctta {\tt TTCACATT} {\tt gaaattgaag} {\tt Gacat} {\tt ggg} {\tt Cacat} {\tt ggg} {\tt cact} {\tt ggg} {\tt cacat} {\tt ggg} {\tt cact} {\tt ggg} {\tt gacat} {\tt gacat}$

<u>spa(m6a^{NS})</u>

 $gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactat \texttt{GACCGCA} aagctgt\texttt{TTCC} tgactatgacatagtttttt ttgctttgg\texttt{tTGTGGGA} tgaaatggtcattggaactggacgctgtccctgtc\texttt{TTCTCACT} aagttaatgatcgtaca acctcaagatctta\texttt{TTCACATT} gaaattgaagcactattggtgtacgattacaacgctcacattatcaGGA} tataaaa aaaaggtgatagtaattcagcacgactttgt\texttt{AACCACA} aata\texttt{TATGGGA} cacagattactc\texttt{CGTGAGT} caacTtCa TtAgTgGgCaTcAagaAACCACA aatcaagttgtt\texttt{TTCC} ggtagcttagg$

From Figure 3:

<u>spa(m1a^{NS})</u>

gGaGcCaTtCactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactatGACCGCA...

spa(m1b^{NS}

gtatcaagtaacGgTgGgAcGaattgaaaaaatttactatGACCGCA...

<u>spa(m1c^{NS})</u>

gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaCtGgCaCaCatttactatGACCGCA...

<u>spa(m4a^{NS})</u>

gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactat GACCGCAaagctgtTTCCtgactatgacatagttttttttgctttggtTGTGGGATgtaaatggtcattggaactggacgctgtccctgtcTTCTCACTaagttaatgatcgtacaacctcaagatcttaTTCACATTgaaCtGgCaTcCcGaGtggtgtacgattacaacgctcacattatcaGGAT...

<u>spa(m4b^{NS})</u>

 $gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactat {\tt GACCGCA} aagctgt {\tt TTCC} tgactatgacatagtttttttttgctttggt {\tt TGTGGGA} Tgtaaatggtcattggaactggacgctgtccctgtc {\tt TTCTCACT} aagttaatgatcgtacaactgaagtctta {\tt TTCACATT} gaaattgaagcactatt {\tt TgGgGa} {\tt GgA} {\tt GGA}$

spa(m4c^{NS})

gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactat GACCGCAaagctgtTTCCtgactatgacatagttttttttgctttggtTGTGGGATgtaaatggtcattggaactggacgctgtccctgtcTTCTCACTaagttaatgatcgtacaactcaagatcttaTTCACATTgaaattgaagcactattggtgtacgattacCaAgAtAaAaGtCtcaGGAT...

spa(m5a^{NS})

 $gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactat {\tt GACCGCA} aagctgt {\tt TTCC} tgactatgacatagtttttttttgctttggt {\tt TGTGGGA} Tgtaaatggtcattggaactggacgctgtccctgtc {\tt TTCTCACT} aagttaatgatcgtacaactcaagatctta {\tt TTCACATT} gaaattgaagcactattggtgtacgattacaacgctcacattatcaGGA {\tt TataCaC} aCaCg {\tt TtgatagtaattcagcacgactttgtAACCACA...}$

<u>spa(m5b^{NS})</u>

gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactat GACCGCAaagctgtTTCCtgactatgacatagttttttttgctttggtTGTGGGATgtaaatggtcattggaactggacgctgtccctgtcTTCTCACTaagttaatgatcgtacaactcaagatcttaTTCACATTgaaattgaagcactattggtgtacgattacaacgctcacattatcaGGATataaaaaaaggtTaGaTtCaGtAagcacgactttgtAACCACA...

spa(m5c^{NS})

gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactatGACCGCAaagctgtTTCCtgactatgacatagtttttt ttgctttggtTGTGGGATgtaaatggtcattggaactggacgctgtccctgtcTTCTCACTaagttaatgatcgtaca acctcaagatcttaTTCACATTgaaattgaagcactattggtgtacgattacaacgctcacattatcaGGATataaaa aaaaggtgatagtaattcaTcCcTaAtGtgtAACCACA...

<u>spa(m5d^{NS})</u>

gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactat GACCGCA aagctgtTTCC tgactatgacatagtttttt ttgctttggtTGTG GGATgtaaatggtcattggaactggacgctgtccctgtcTTCTCACT aagttaatgatcgtaca acctcaagatcttaTTCACATT gaaattgaagcactattggtgtacgattacaacgctcacattatcaGGATataaaa aaaaggtgatagtaattcagcacgactttgtAACCACA aataTATGGGAA cacCgCtGactcCGTGAGTA...

spa(m6b^{NS})

 $gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactat \texttt{GACCGCA} aagctgt\texttt{TTCC} tgactatgacatagtttttt ttgctttggt\texttt{TGTGGGA} tgaaatggtcattggaactggacgctgtccctgtc\texttt{TTCTCACT} aagttaatgatcgtaca acctcaagatctta\texttt{TTCACA} tgaaattgaagcactattggtgtacgattacaacgctcacattatcaGGA} taaaaa aaaggtgatagtaattcagcacgactttgt\texttt{AACCA} CACAaata\texttt{TATGGGA} cacagattactc\texttt{CGTGA} GTAcaacgtaa gtcgggtgaagccaga\texttt{AACCA} cattGgtt\texttt{TTCC} cggta\texttt{TcG} tagg$

From Figure 5:

Mutated bases are bold and underlined.

<u>spa(KO)</u>

 $gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactatGA \underline{AA}GCAaagctgtTT\underline{AA}tgactatgacatagtttttt ttgctttggtTGTGG\underline{C}ATgtaaatggtcatt\underline{TT}aactggacgctgtccctgtcTT\underline{G}TCACTaagttaatgatcgtaca acctcaagatcttaTT\underline{G}ACATTgaaattgaagcactattggtgtacgattacaacgctcacattatca\underline{TT}ATataaaa aaaaggtgatagtaattcagcacgactttgtAA\underline{AA}ACAaataTATGG\underline{C}AAcacagattactcCGTGA\underline{C}TAcaacgtaa gtcgggtgaagccagaAA\underline{AA}ACAaatcaagttg\underline{TT}Taaggtagcttagg$

spa(KO+synth^{CS})

gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactatGA<u>AA</u>GCAaagctgtTT<u>AA</u>tgactatgacatagtttttt ttgctttggtTGTGG<u>C</u>ATgtaaatggtcatt<u>TT</u>aactggacgctgtccctgtcTT<u>G</u>TCACTaagttaatgatcgtaca acctcaagatcttaTT<u>G</u>ACATTgaaattgaagcactattggtgtacgattacaacgctcacattatca<u>TT</u>ATataaaa aaaaggtgatagtaattcagcacgactttgtAA<u>AA</u>ACAaataTATGG<u>C</u>AAcacagattactcCGTGA<u>C</u>TAcaacgtaa gtcgggtgaagccagaAA<u>AA</u>ACAaatcaagttg<u>TT</u>TTaaggtagcttaggCTCGAGtGACCGCAaCgAtTtTTCCtTa AtCtGtTgtTGTGGGATgGaCaGgGcCttggaacGgATCcAtTtcTTCTCACTaCgTtCaGcGtaTTCACATTgCaCt GtGaGcaGGATaGaCaGAAttCtAACCACAaCtaTATGGGAAcCcCgCtGaAcCGTGAGTAcCaAgGgAcCgaAACCA CAaCtAaCgGtTttTTCCg

<u>spa(KO+synth^{NS})</u>

 $gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactatGA \underline{AA}GCA aagctgtTT \underline{AA}tgactatgacatagtttttt ttgctttggtTGTGG \underline{C}ATgtaaatggtcatt \underline{TT}aactggacgctgtccctgtcTT \underline{G}TCACTaagttaatgatcgtaca acctcaagatcttaTT \underline{G}ACATTgaaattgaagcactattggtgtacgattacaacgctcacattatca \underline{TT}ATataaaa aaaaggtgatagtaattcagcacgactttgtAA \underline{AA}ACAaataTATGG \underline{C}AAcacagattactcCGTGA \underline{C}TAcaacgtaa gtcgggtgaagccagaAA \underline{AA}ACAaatcaagttg \underline{TT}TaaggtagcttaggCTCGAGgGaGcCaTtCaAtTgTtTcAtC$

spa(1+4+6a+synth^{CS})

gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactatCCTAGGAGATCTgaaattgaagcactattggtgtacgattacaacgctcacattatcaGCCGGCGGTACCcaacgtaagtcgggtgaagccagaAAaaActcgagtGACCGCAaCgAtTtTTCCtTaAtCtGtTgtTGTGGGATgGaCaGgGCCttggaacGgATCcAtTtcTTCTCACTaCgTtCaGcGtaTTCACATTgCaCtGtGaGcaGGATaGaCaGAAttCtAACCACAaCtaTATGGGAAcCcCgCtGaAcCGTGAGTAcCaAgGgACCgaAACCACAaCtAACGGtTttTTCCg

<u>spa(1+4+6a+synth^{CS}, ΔLz)</u>

gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactatCCTAGGAGATCTgaaattgaagcactattggtgtacga ttacaacgctcacattatcaGCCGGCGGTACCcaacgtaagtcgggtgaagccagaAAaaActcgagtGA<u>AA</u>GCAaCg AtTtTTCCtTaAtCtGtTgtTGTGGGATgGaCaGgGcCttggaacGgATCcAtTtcTTCTCACTaCgTtCaGcGtaTT CACATTgCaCtGtGaGcaGGATaGaCaGAAttCtAA<u>AA</u>ACAaCtaTATGGGAAcCcCgCtGaAcCGTGAGTAcCaAgG gAcCgaAA<u>AA</u>ACAaCtAACgGtTttTTCCg

spa(1+4+6a+synth^{CS}, ∆Ets)

gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactatCCTAGGAGATCTgaaattgaagcactattggtgtacga ttacaacgctcacattatcaGCCGGCGGTACCcaacgtaagtcgggtgaagccagaAAaaActcgagtGACCGCAaCg AtTtTT<u>AA</u>tTaAtCtGtTgtTGTGGGATgGaCaGgGcCtt<u>TT</u>aacGgATCcAtTtcTTCTCACTaCgTtCaGcGtaTT CACATTgCaCtGtGaGca<u>TT</u>ATaGaCaGAAttCtAACCACAaCtaTATGGGAAcCcCgCtGaAcCGTGAGTAcCaAgG gAcCgaAACCACAaCtAaCgGtTttTT<u>AA</u>g

<u>spa[1+4+6a+synth^{CS}, Δ Su(H)]</u>

gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactatCCTAGGAGATCTgaaattgaagcactattggtgtacga ttacaacgctcacattatcaGCCGGCGGTACCcaacgtaagtcgggtgaagccagaAAaaActcgagtGACCGCAaCg AtTtTTCCtTaAtCtGtTgtTGTGGCATgGaCaGgGcCttggaacGgATCcAtTtcTTGTCACTaCgTtCaGcGtaTT GACATTgCaCtGtGaGcaGGATaGaCaGAAttCtAACCACAaCtaTATGGCAAcCcCgCtGaAcCGTGACTAcCaAgG gAcCgaAACCACAaCtAaCgGtTttTTCCg

spa(1+6a+synth^{CS})

gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactatCCTAGGGGTACCcaacgtaagtcgggtgaagccagaAAaaACctcgagtGACCGCAaCgAtTtTTCCtTaAtCtGtTgtTGTGGGATgGaCaGgGcCttggaacGgATCcAtTtcTTCCACTaCgTtCaGcGtaTTCACATTgCaCtGtGaGcaGGATaGaCaGAAttCtAACCACAaCtaTATGGGAAcCcCgCtGaAcCGTGAGTAcCaAgGgAcCgaAACCACAaCtAaCgGtTttTTCCg

spa(2Xsynth^{CS})

tGACCGCAaCgAtTtTTCCtTaAtCtGtTgtTGTGGGATgGaCaGgGcCttggaacGgATCcAtTtcTTCTCACTaCg TtCaGcGtaTTCACATTgCaCtGtGaGcaGGATaGaCaGAAttCtAACCACAaCtaTATGGGAAcCcCgCtGaAcCGT GAGTAcCaAgGgAcCgaAACCACAaCtAaCgGtTttTTCCgctcgagtGACCGCAaCgAtTtTTCCtTaAtCtGtTgt TGTGGGATgGaCaGgGcCttGGAAcGgATCcAtTtcTTCTCACTaCgTtCaGcGtaTTCACATTgCaCtGtGaGcaGG ATaGaCaGAAttCtAACCACAaCtaTATGGGAAcCcCgCtGaAcCGTGAGTAcCaAgGgAcCgaAACCACAaCtAaCg GtTttTTCCg

spa(2Xsynth^{NS})

gGaGcCaTtCaAtTgTtTcAtCaGtTaCaCaCtGtCctatGACCGCAaagAtgtTTCCtgacGaGgCcCtCgGtGtGtGtGtTcGtGggtTGTGGGATgtaaCtTgGcattggaactggCcTcGgGcAcGgtcTTCTCACTaagtGaCtTaGcTtCcCaAcGcCaTaGcttaTTCACATTgaaaGtTaCgAaAtCtGgTtTtCcTaGtCcCaAgAtAaAaGtCtcaGGATataaCaCaCaTgGgCtCgGaCtGcCgAaAgCcAttgtAACCACAaataTATGGGAAcacaTaGtCctcCGTGAGTAcaacTtCaTtAgTgGgCaTcAagaAACCACAaatcCaTtGgttTTCCtgacGaGgCcCtCgGtGtGtGtCtCdGggtTGTGGGATgtaaCtTgGcattGGAActggCcTcGgGcAcGgtcTTCTCACTaagtGaCtTaGcTtCcCaAcGcCaTaGcttaTTCACATTgaaaGtTaCgAAAtCtGgTtTtCcTaGtCcCaAgAtAaAaGtCtcaGGATgtaaCtTgGcattGGAActggCcTcGgGcAcGgtcTTCTCACTaagtGaCtTaGcTtCcCaAcGcCaTaGcttaTTCACATTgaaaGtTaCgAaAtCtGgTtTtCcTaGtCcCaAgAtAaAaGtCtcaGGATataaCaCaCaTgGgCtCgGaCtGcCgAaAgCcAttgtAACAAcacaTaGtCctcCGTGAGTAcaacTtCaTtAgTgGgCaTcAagaAACCACAaatcCaTtGgttTTCCggtaTcGtCgT

<u>spa(∆1+1)</u>

Repositioned sequence (region 1) is bold and underlined.

 $\label{eq:tgactatgacatagttttttttgctttggtTGTGGGATgtaaatggtcattggaactgg acgctgtccctgtcTTCTCACTaagttaatgatcgtacaacctcaagatcttaTTCACATTgaaattgaagcactatt ggtgtacgattacaacgctcacattatcaGGATataaaaaaaaggtgatagtaattcagcacgactttgtAACCACAa ataTATGGGAAcacagattactcCGTGAGTAcaacgtaagtcgggtgaagccagaAACCACAaatcaagttgttTTCC ggtagcttagg$ **gtatcaagtagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactat**

spa(TF scrambled)

Repositioned sequences are bold and underlined.

 $gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttact \underline{taTATGGGAAca} gc \underline{tatGACCGCAaa} actatgacatagtt ttttttgctttg\underline{gaAACCACAaa} aaatggtca \underline{caGGATat} ggacgctgtccctg\underline{gtTTCCtg} gttaatgatcgtacaa cctcaagatct \underline{ttGGAAct} aattgaagcactattggtgtacgattacaacgctcacattat \underline{taTTCACATTgaa} aaaa gaaggtgatagtaattcagcacgacttt \underline{tcCGTGAGTAcagtTGTGGGATgt} cagattac \underline{ttTTCCgg} acgtaagtcg ggtgaagcca\underline{gtAACCACAaa} tcaagttg \underline{tcTTCTCACTaa} tagcttagg$

<u>spa(m2,3,6b^{NS})</u>

 $gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactat \\ GACCGCAaagAtgtTTCCtgacGaGgCcCtCgGtGtGtGtGtCTcGtGggtTGTGGGATgtaaCtTgGcattggaactggCcTcGgGcAcGgtcTTCTCACTaagtGaCtTaGcTtCCCaAcGcCaTaGcttaTTCACATTgaaattgaagcactattggtgtacgattacaacgctcacattatcaGGATataaaaaaaggtgatagtaattcagcacgactttgtAACCACAaataTATGGGAAcacagattactcCGTGAGTAcaacgtaagtcgggtgaagccagaAACCACAaatcCaTtGgttTTCCCggtaTcGtCgT$

spa(m2,3,5,6b^{NS})

 $gtatcaagtaactgggtgcctaattgaaaaaatttactat \\ GACCGCAaagAtgtTTCCtgacGaGgCcCtCgGtGtGtGtGtCTcGtGggtTGTGGGATgtaaCtTgGcattggaactggCcTcGgGcAcGgtcTTCTCACTaagtGaCtTaGcTtCCCaAcGcCaTaGcttaTTCACATTgaaattgaagcactattggtgtacgattacaacgctcacattatcaGGATataaCaCaCaTgGgCtCgGaCtGcCgAaAgCcGttgtAACCACAaataTATGGGAAcacaTaGtCctcCGTGAGTAcaacgtaagtcgggtgaagccagaAACCACAaatcCaTtGgttTTCCggtaTcGtCgT$

From Figure 6:

D. pseudoobscura spa

Predicted Lz/Ets/Su(H) binding sites are underlined and in caps.

SUPPLEMENTAL REFERENCES

- Andrioli, L.P., Vasisht, V., Theodosopoulou, E., Oberstein, A., and Small, S. (2002). Anterior repression of a *Drosophila* stripe enhancer requires three position-specific mechanisms. Development *129*, 4931-4940.
- Arnosti, D.N., Barolo, S., Levine, M., and Small, S. (1996). The *eve* stripe 2 enhancer employs multiple modes of transcriptional synergy. Development *122*, 205-214.
- Halfon, M.S., Carmena, A., Gisselbrecht, S., Sackerson, C.M., Jiménez, F., Baylies, M.K., and Michelson, A.M. (2000). Ras pathway specificity is determined by the integration of multiple signal-activated and tissue-restricted transcription factors. Cell *103*, 63-74.
- Kuhn, R.M., Karolchik, D., Zweig, A.S., Wang, T., Smith, K.E., Rosenbloom, K.R., Rhead, B., Raney, B.J., Pohl, A., Pheasant, M., Meyer, L., Hsu, F., Hinrichs, A.S., Harte, R.A., Giardine, B., Fujita, P., Diekhans, M., Dreszer, T., Clawson, H., Barber, G.P., Haussler, D., and Kent, W.J. (2009). The UCSC Genome Browser Database: update 2009. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37, D755-761.
- Müller, B., and Basler, K. (2000). The repressor and activator forms of Cubitus interruptus control Hedgehog target genes through common generic Gli-binding sites. Development *127*, 2999-3007.
- Nellesen, D.T., Lai, E.C., and Posakony. J.W. (1999). Discrete enhancer elements mediate selective responsiveness of *Enhancer of split* complex genes to common transcriptional activators. Dev. Biol. *213*, 33-53.
- Small, S., Blair, A., and Levine, M. (1992). Regulation of *even-skipped* stripe 2 in the *Drosophila* embryo. EMBO J. *11*, 4047-4057.
- Stultz, B.G., Jackson, D.G., Mortin, M.A., Yang, X., Beachy, P.A., and Hursh, D.A. (2006). Transcriptional activation by Extradenticle in the *Drosophila* visceral mesoderm. Dev. Biol. *290*, 482-494.
- Sun, B., Hursh, D.A., Jackson, D., and Beachy, P.A. (1995). Ultrabithorax protein is necessary but not sufficient for full activation of *decapentaplegic* expression in the visceral mesoderm. EMBO J. 14, 520-535.
- Yang, X., van Beest, M., Clevers, H., Jones, T., Hursh, D.A., and Mortin, M.A. (2000). *decapentaplegic* is a direct target of dTcf repression in the *Drosophila* visceral mesoderm. Development *127*, 3695-3702.
- Zaffran, S., Küchler, A., Lee, H.H., and Frasch, M. (2001). *biniou* (*FoxF*), a central component in a regulatory network controlling visceral mesoderm development and midgut morphogenesis in *Drosophila*. Genes Dev. *15*, 2900-2915.