## Figure S1







Figure S4



## Table S1

Plasmid Vectors	FLuc Activity (% pCMV-fluc)	RLuc Activity (% pCMV-hrluc)
pNull-fluc	0.2 ± 0.0	$0.0 \pm 0.0$
pCMV-fluc	$100.0 \pm 6.4$	$0.0 \pm 0.0$
pNull-hrluc	$0.0 \pm 0.0$	1.2 ± 0.1
pCMV-hrluc	$0.0 \pm 0.0$	100.0 ± 5.3
pMLC2v-fluc	$0.2 \pm 0.0$	$0.0 \pm 0.0$
pαMHC-fluc	4.6 ± 0.1	$0.0 \pm 0.0$
pMLC2v-hrluc	$0.0 \pm 0.0$	$0.3 \pm 0.0$
paMHC-hrluc	$0.0 \pm 0.0$	3.6 ± 0.2
pCMVenh-MLC2v-fluc	28.4 ± 1.0	$0.0 \pm 0.0$
pCMVenh-αMHC-fluc	48.3 ± 1.1	$0.0 \pm 0.0$
pMLC2v-TSTA-fluc	14.8 ± 1.6*	$0.0 \pm 0.0$
$p\alpha MHC$ -TSTA-fluc	125.4 ± 15.6*	$0.0 \pm 0.0$
pMLC2v-Bid-TSTA	3.1 ± 0.3^	1.1 ± 0.1
$p\alpha MHC$ -Bid-TSTA	32.3 ± 0.5^	44.3 ± 1.0

Plasmid Vectors	Cardiac Specificity Index
	31+03
pCMV-fluc	1.0 ± 0.2
pMLC2v-fluc	$1.9 \pm 0.4$
pαMHC-fluc	7.2 ± 0.8
pCMVenh-MLC2v-fluc	$0.6 \pm 0.0$
pCMVenh- $\alpha$ MHC-fluc	1.9 ± 0.2*
pMLC2v-TSTA-fluc	1.4 ± 0.3
$p\alpha MHC-TSTA-fluc$	4.1 ± 0.8* ^
pMLC2v-Bid-TSTA	0.4 ± 0.1* †
$p\alpha MHC$ -Bid-TSTA	1.6 ± 0.2* †

## **Titles and Legends to Supplementary Figure and Tables**

Figure S1 Schematics of experimental vectors containing either MLC2v or  $\alpha$ MHC. Abbreviations: MLC2v, rat ventricular myosin light chain 2 promoter; fluc, firefly luciferase gene; PA, SV40 poly(A) tail; hrluc, synthetic Renilla luciferase gene;  $\alpha$ MHC, rat alpha-myosin heavy chain promoter; CMVenh, cytomegalovirus enhancer; TSTA, two-step transcriptional amplification; Gal4-VP2, fusion gene combining yeast Gal4 and two tandem repeats of herpes simplex virus VP16; 8xGal4bs, 8 repeats of Gal4 binding sites; E4, adenovirus E4 minimal promoter; CMV, cytomegalovirus promoter.

Figure S2 *In vivo* bioluminescence assessment of pcTnT-TSTA-fluc following intramyocardial or hydrodynamic tail vein injection. Serial BLI was performed on mice that had undergone either intramyocardial co-injections of pcTnT-TSTA-fluc and pCMV- $\beta$ -gal or mice that had received the same treatments except via HTV administration. (a) The average heart signal (dark gray circle, dashed line) following intramyocardial vector administration was normalized by transfection efficiency ( $\beta$ -gal expression), plotted for the post-operative days shown, and superimposed on Figure 3b for comparison with other animal groups receiving different plasmids. (b) The average normalized hepatic signal (dark gray circle, dashed line) following HTV vector injection is plotted in the same fashion and superimposed on Figure 4b for comparison with other animal groups. The error bars represent SEM for 5 animals. ^P<0.0001 between pCMV-fluc and pcTnT-TSTA-fluc (or any other group) between days 16 and 28.

Figure S3 Acute effects of hydrodynamic tail vein injection on hepatic gene expression. Mice received hydrodynamic tail vein co-injections of 1) p $\alpha$ MHC-eBid-TSTA + pCMV- $\beta$ -gal, 2) pMLC2veBid-TSTA + pCMV- $\beta$ -gal, or injection of PBS alone. BLI was used to assess longitudinal hepatic fluc expression in the first 2 mouse groups, whereas qRT-PCR was used in the last group to assess for hepatic cTnT mRNA and  $\beta$ -actin mRNA 48 hr after injection of PBS. (a) The average hepatic signal normalized by transfection efficiency ( $\beta$ -gal expression) is specifically plotted for mouse groups corresponding to pαMHC-eBid-TSTA (light gray square) and pMLC2v-eBid-TSTA (empty diamond). The plots are superimposed on selected plots from **Figure 4b** for easier comparison. The error bars represent SEM for 5 animals.  $\dagger P$ <0.0001 between pCMV-fluc and pαMHC-eBid-TSTA (or pMLC2v-eBid-TSTA) from days 20 to 28. (b) The average real-time fluorescence intensity for the cycle numbers indicated is plotted for both the cTnT mRNA (circle) and the β-actin mRNA (triangle) from either livers exposed to PBS (black) or livers from intact control mice (gray). The error bars represent SEM for 7 liver samples. \**P*<0.05 between "Cntrl - β-actin" and "Exp HTV - β-actin" for PCR cycles 26-33. ^*P*<0.05 between "Cntrl – cTnT" and "Exp HTV – cTnT" for PCR cycles 44-60.

**Figure S4** *Ex vivo* **correlation between FLuc and RLuc/M185V enzyme activities.** Mice intramyocardially injected with pcTnT-eBid-TSTA were euthanized at various time points, with their heart homogenates assayed for FLuc and RLuc/M185V enzyme activities, which were normalized to total protein and plotted against each other.

Table S1 *In vitro* fluc and hrluc expression of MLC2v- or  $\alpha$ MHC-containing vectors. HL-1 cells were separately co-transfected with each of the vectors listed and pCMV- $\beta$ -gal, and assayed for FLuc, RLuc, and  $\beta$ -GAL activities 24 hr later. The FLuc and RLuc activities were normalized to total protein, corrected for transfection efficiency ( $\beta$ -GAL activity), and expressed as a percentage of pCMV-fluc and pCMV-hrluc, respectively. Data are presented as mean±SEM % for triplicate determinations. \**P*<0.02 compared to CMVenh-based vector of the same promoter; ^*P*<0.03 compared to unidirectional TSTA vector of the same promoter.

Table S2 Cardiac specificity index of experimental vectors containing either MLC2v or  $\alpha$ MHC. Cardiac (HL-1) and non-cardiac cells (C2C12, Hepa1-6 and NIH3T3) were co-transfected with each of the vectors listed and pCMV- $\beta$ -gal, and assayed 24 hr later for FLuc, RLuc, and  $\beta$ -GAL activities. The FLuc activity was normalized to total protein, corrected for transfection efficiency ( $\beta$ -GAL activity), and used to calculate a cardiac-specificity index (CSI) for each vector. The CSI measures the cardiac specificity of a given vector relative to pCMV-fluc. Data are expressed as mean±SEM for triplicate determinations. \**P*<0.05 compared to one-step vector of the same promoter; ^*P*<0.05 compared to CMVenh-based vector of the same promoter; †*P*<0.04 compared to unidirectional TSTA vector of the same promoter.