SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

This material is an online-only supplement to the following article: Shetty V, Mooney LJ, Zigler CM, Belin TR, Murphy D, Rawson R. The relationship between methamphetamine use and increased dental disease. JADA 2010;141(3):307-318. Copyright © 2010 American Dental Association. All rights reserved.

Table 6

Factors significantly relating to presence of dental conditions as determined by logistic regressions controlling for background char confidence intervals.

DENTAL CONDITION MAIN EFFECTS Female* Route of Administration[†] Lifetime Years Days of Use in Age Female × L Intranasal of Use§ Past 30 Days Intravenous Men As Reported by Participant 1.54 (0.9, 2.62) 1.04 (0.99, 1.08) 1.08 (0.56,2.1) 0.59 (0.29,1.21) 0.95 (0.74,1.21) Toothache (n = 212) 1.43 (0.99, 2.07) ** 0.96 (0.57, 1.6) 1.02 (0.98,1.07) 1.49 (0.8,2.76) 1.35 (0.67,2.7) 1.15 (0.92,1.43) 0.94 (0.7, 1.27) Swollen, inflamed and/or bleeding gums (n = 102) 1.02 (0.51,2) 0.88 (0.7, 1.09) 1.26 (0.94, 1.69) 0.74 (0.45,1.21) 1.6 (0.87, 2.94) Broken or loose teeth (n = 1.05 (1, 1.09) ** 159) 1.42 (0.8, 2.5) 1.03 (0.98, 1.08) 1.66 (0.86, 3.21) 1.04 (0.48, 2.23) 1.02 (0.99, 1.05) 0.86 Problems with cap, (0.61, 1.22)restoration, or other prosthesis (n = 81) 1.2 (0.72, 2.0) 0.99(0.95, 1.04)1.01 (0.98, 1.04) 1.88 (1.02, 3.47) ** Cosmetic problems (n = 115) 2.44 (1.06, 5.62) ** 1 (0.95, 1.06) 0.97 (0.4, 2.37) 1.29 (0.5, 3.34) 1.06 (0.78,1.44) 1.15 (0.77, 1.71) Temporomandibular joint 3.43 (1.44, 8.2) ** disorders (n = 39) 1.43 (0.84, 2.45) 0.98 (0.94, 1.03) 1.49 (0.79,2.79) 1.36 (0.66,2.79) 1.13 (0.84, 1.52) Tooth grinding/enamel 1.23 (0.97, 1.56) † † erosion (n = 92) Any dental condition (n = 1.02 (0.47,2.22) 1.02 (0.95, 1.08) 1.28 (0.48, 3.41) 1.21 (0.38, 3.82) 0.99(0.7, 1.4)1.27 (0.75, 2.17) 268) As Assessed by Physician 1.08 (0.98, 1.2) 0.83 (0.16,4.31) 2.07 (0.56, 7.63) 0.88(0.54,1.42)0.98 (0.52, 1.87) Abnormal mouth condition 0.27 (0.08, 0.95) ** (n = 13)Abnormal dental condition 1.72 (0.9,3.31) 1.03 (0.49, 2.14) 0.94 (0.75, 1.19) 1.2 (0.89, 1.62) 0.45 (0.26,0.77) ** 1.07 (1.02, 1.12) ** (n = 93) 0.79 (0.31,2.01) 1.48 (0.6, 3.63) 0.82 (0.52,1.28) Dentures (n = 40)2.22 (1.01, 4.88) ** 1.06 (0.99, 1.12) ** 1.28 (0.96, 1.72) ** 1.03 (0.81, 1.3) 1.31 (0.63,2.73) 1.28 (0.76, 2.18) Missing teeth (n = 180)2.47 (1.26, 4.83) ## 1.42 (1.02,1.99) ‡‡ 1.08 (1.04, 1.13) **

* In the model with the Female × Lifetime Use interaction, this odds ratio is reported for the average value of lifetime methamphetamine use (16.2 years).

† Compared with smoking.

‡ In the model with the Age × Route interaction, these odds ratios are reported for the average value of age (36.5 years).

§ Odds ratios are for an additional five years of use.

¶ Odds ratios are for an additional 10 days of use.

These odds ratios are for an additional five years of use, given separately for men and women.

** These odds ratios are for an additional year of age, given separately for intravenous, intranasal and smoking routes of administration.

†† Boldface type indicates statistical significance ($\alpha = .10$).

‡‡ Boldface type indicates statistical significance ($\alpha = .05$).

###

racteristics, in odds ratios with 95 percent				
Lifetime Use#		Age × Route**		
	Women	Intravenous	Intranasal	Smoking
	1.36 (0.8, 2.2)	1.06 (0.99, 1.14)††	0.91 (0.8, 1.04)	1.04 (0.99, 1.08)