## Binding site for IgE of the human lymphocyte low-affinity  $Fc_{\epsilon}$ receptor ( $Fc$  $RII/CD23$ ) is confined to the domain homologous with animal lectins

(IgE-binding factor/structure-function analysis/epitope mapping/mammalian expression vector)

BERNHARD BETTLER, RAINER MAIER, DORIS RÜEGG, AND HANS HOFSTETTER

Department of Biotechnology, Pharma Division, Ciba-Geigy Ltd., 4002 Basel, Switzerland

Communicated by Kimishige Ishizaka, June 16, 1989

ABSTRACT The lymphocyte low-afflnity receptor for IgE  $(Fc<sub>e</sub>RII)$  is involved in two seemingly unrelated processes: (i) promotion of general B-cell growth and  $(ii)$  isotype-specific IgE synthesis. To characterize domains of  $Fc_RRII$  important for effector function, we have expressed Fc.RII mutants in mammalian cells. The results show that the IgE-binding region of  $Fc<sub>e</sub>RII$  corresponds almost exactly to a domain of 123 amino acid residues homologous with the carbohydrate-binding domain of C-type animal lectins. With the recent demonstration that FcRII binds to IgE independently of any lectin-like activity [Vercelli, D., Helm, B., Marsh, P., Padlan, E., Geha, R. S. & Gould, H. (1989) Nature (London) 338, 649-651], it is now clear that, in this case, the lectin module has evolved to interact with a protein rather than a carbohydrate moiety. The epitopes of several independent monoclonal antibodies that inhibit the binding of IgE to  $Fc_RRII$  are clustered within the lectin-like domain. Some of these antibodies are also known to suppress, isotype-specifically, the interleukin 4-promoted IgE synthesis from peripheral blood mononuclear cells or the spontaneous synthesis of IgE by B cells isolated from atopic donors. The epitope of MHM6, an anti- $F_{\varepsilon}$ RII monoclonal antibody delivering an epitope-restricted growth-promoting effect on B cells, is also located within the lectin-like domain. Thus, the lectin module of  $Fc_RRII$  not only acts as a carbohydrate-independent, isotype-specifIc Fc receptor but may also participate in the general regulation of B-cell growth.

IgE is the class of immunoglobulin responsible for most allergic diseases (1) and is involved in immunity against parasites (2). The effector functions of IgE are brought about by binding to specific cell surface receptors. A high-affinity receptor ( $Fc_RRI$ ) and a low-affinity receptor ( $Fc_RRI$ ) for the Fc part of IgE have been described in the human system  $(3-6)$ . Fc<sub>e</sub>RI mediates effector release from mast cells and basophils in immediate-type hypersensitivity reactions.  $Fc_RIII$ , identical with the 45-kDa B-cell differentiation antigen CD23 (7, 8), is structurally distinct from the four-chain  $Fc_RRI$  molecule. Fc<sub> $\varepsilon$ </sub>RII is expressed on many leukocytes and plays a role in isotype-specific processes such as regulation of IgE synthesis (6, 9, 10) and IgE-dependent cytotoxicity against parasites  $(2)$ . Fc, RII is also important in more general B-cell growth-regulatory pathways (5, 11-13). MHM6, an anti- $Fc_{\varepsilon}RII$  monoclonal antibody (mAb), has been shown to trigger the progression of activated B cells through the  $G_1$ phase of the cell cycle (11, 12). Beyond its implication in a specific as well as in a more general B-cell regulation system, a role for  $Fc<sub>e</sub>RII$  in cell adhesion has also been proposed (14, 15).

The cDNA for the human Fc.RII has been cloned and functionally expressed in mammalian cells (16-18). It encodes a protein of 321 amino acid residues with an inverse membrane orientation; the N terminus is cytoplasmic and the C terminus is exposed at the cell exterior. The C-terminal half of the extracellular domain is shed from the membrane as a 25-kDa molecule as a result of proteolytic cleavage. This soluble fragment has been termed an IgE-binding factor (IgE-BF). It is found complexed with IgE in the serum of atopic patients (7) and its level is highly elevated in patients with B-cell-derived chronic lymphocytic leukemia (19). Furthermore, T cells of patients infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1 are induced to produce IgE-BF (20).

The N terminus of  $Fc<sub>e</sub>RII$ -derived IgE-BF starts at amino acids 148-150 of  $Fc<sub>e</sub>RII$  (16-18). The middle region of this IgE-BF shows a marked degree of homology with animal lectins (21, 22), nonenzymatic proteins that bind selectively to specific carbohydrate structures (23). Recently, it became evident that there exists in the hematopoietic system a family of adhesion receptors, including Mel-14, ELAM-1, and GMP-140, with homology to the lectin domain of  $Fc_RRII$  (24). These molecules control lymphocyte migration, probably through carbohydrate-dependent recognition events.

The present experiments were undertaken to delimit the IgE-binding domain of  $Fc<sub>e</sub>RII$ . Further, we have mapped the epitopes of several anti- $Fc<sub>e</sub>RII$  mAbs that have been reported to influence either the isotype-specific or the B-cell growthpromoting processes.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Assembly of Expression Plasmid  $pCAL5m\beta D$ hfr for Mammalian Cells. Plasmid pSVneo2911 (25) was used to prepare <sup>a</sup> Sal I-EcoRI DNA fragment containing the ampicillinresistance, tetracycline-resistance, and dihydrofolate reductase (Dhfr) genes. The murine cytomegalovirus (MCMV) immediate-early gene enhancer and promoter, used to drive FcRII cDNA expression, are contained in the 540-base-pair (bp) Acc I-HindIII fragment of the simian virus 40-MCMV recombinant virus P1 (26). The 1.26-kilobase (kb)  $Fc_{\epsilon}RII$ cDNA insert was prepared by digesting plasmid pSVd-ER (17) partially with HindIII and completely with BamHI. The  $3'$  half of the rabbit  $\beta$ -globin gene was derived from plasmid  $pU\beta$  (27). Its 1.2-kb *BamHI-Sal I fragment contains the large*  $\beta$ -globin intron and the polyadenylylation signal. All these cartridges were combined to construct the expression plasmid  $pCAL5m\beta Dhfr$  (Fig. 1). Incompatible restriction cleavage sites were filled in with Klenow enzyme and joined by blunt-end ligation.

Construction of  $Fc_{\varepsilon}RII$  Deletion Mutants. The Sal I-EcoRI DNA fragment from plasmid pSVd-ER (17) containing the

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Abbreviations:  $Fc_{\varepsilon}RII$ , lymphocyte low-affinity receptor for IgE; mAb, monoclonal antibody(ies); pAb, polyclonal antibodies; Dhfr, dihydrofolate reductase; IgE-BF, IgE-binding factor(s); MCMV, murine cytomegalovirus; BCGF, B-cell growth factor.



FIG. 1. Mammalian expression vector pCAL5m $\beta$ Dhfr. The region encoding various  $Fc<sub>e</sub>RII$  constructs is shown by a heavy black bar. cDNA expression is driven by an enhancer/promoter unit (MCMVE/MCMVP) of the MCMV (black box and arrow). The Dhfr coding sequence (stippled bar) is under control of the adenovirus major late promoter (AMLP; stippled arrow). The genes for ampicillin (Amp<sup>r</sup>) and tetracycline (Tet<sup>r</sup>) resistance are shown as open bars. Restriction sites indicated were used for construction of Fc<sub>e</sub>RII mutants (N, Nco I; E, Eag I; S, Sal I). In addition, the rabbit  $\beta$ -globin polyadenylylation (polyA) and splice sites as well as the bacterial pBR322 replication origin (pBRori) are shown.

entire coding region of  $Fc_RRII$  was inserted into the polylinker of Bluescript M13 phagemid SK- (Stratagene). After infection with helper phages, single-stranded phage DNA carrying the cDNA insert was purified and used as template for mutagenesis. Oligonucleotide-directed in vitro mutagenesis (Amersham kit) employed methods recommended by the manufacturer, except that the exonuclease III treatment to remove the nonmutated strand was shortened to 20 min. All oligonucleotides used in the mutagenesis reaction were purified by PAGE prior to use. C-terminal deletion mutants



were constructed by inserting the stop codon TGA into the reading frame. Internal deletions were generated by looping out the desired cDNA region in the mutagenesis reaction. To allow rapid screening, mutants were designed so as to introduce <sup>a</sup> novel restriction site into the cDNA without altering the amino acid sequence. Plasmids bearing each mutation were cleaved with Sal <sup>I</sup> and Eag I, which remove <sup>a</sup> cDNA fragment covering the base changes introduced. This fragment was ligated to two other DNA fragments (Sal I-Sal I, containing the ampicillin-resistance gene, and Sal I-Eag I, containing the Dhfr gene cassette) to restore the mammalian expression vector  $pCAL5m\beta Dhfr$  (Fig. 1). The double mutant  $\Delta$ 134-160/X288 (Fig. 2) was obtained by combining the two corresponding deletion mutants. Control plasmid  $\Delta1-298$ (Fig. 2) was constructed by removing the Nco I-Nco <sup>I</sup> cDNA fragment of pCAL5mBDhfr. Sequence analysis (Sequenase kit, United States Biochemical) of the mutations was performed on the double-stranded expression plasmids with the use of synthetic oligonucleotide primers.

Antibodies Specific for  $Fc<sub>e</sub>RII$ . We used a recombinant C-terminal  $Fc_RIII$  fragment (amino acids 119-321) produced in Escherichia coli to immunize rabbits. This protein elicited an immune response against native  $Fc<sub>ε</sub>RII$ . The polyclonal antibodies (pAb) were purified by standard methods. All the anti- $Fc_RRII$  mAb used have been described: mAb135, mAbl76, mAbl68, and mAb64 (28); mAb25 (8); MHM6 (24); mAb3-5 (30).

Stable Transfectants of  $Fc_RRII$  cDNA Mutations. Transfection of Dhfr- Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) DUKX-B1 cells (31) was performed by the calcium phosphate technique as described (32). After 14 days of Dhfr selection, at least 50 resistant colonies were pooled for analysis. Expression of mutant  $Fc_RHI$  on the cytoplasmic membrane was demonstrated by incubation of glutaraldehyde-fixed cells with the Fc<sub>e</sub>RII-specific pAb (1:2000 dilution) at 37°C for 1 hr and subsequent staining with a goat anti-rabbit antibody coupled to peroxidase (Bio-Rad). The same procedure was used for staining of cells with mAb (10  $\mu$ g/ml), except that a goat anti-mouse (Bio-Rad) second antibody was used.

> FIG. 2. C-terminal and internal deletions in the  $Fc<sub>e</sub>RII$  cDNA. A schematic figure of the entire coding region (wild type, WT) is given at the top. The black box shows the transmembrane (TM) region; the N terminus is exposed to the cytoplasm (CP) and the C terminus is extracellular (EC). The open box represents the cysteinerich domain homologous with animal lectins. The proteolytic cleavage site for the 25-kDa IgE-BF is indicated with an arrow. Cysteine residues (C) are numbered. The mutants are listed below. Black lines indicate those parts of the protein that are retained in a particular mutant. Deleted sequences are omitted. The name of each mutant is given at the left. Mutants were named as follows. Residues are numbered from the N terminus to the C terminus of the wild-type  $Fc_{\varepsilon}RII$ . Cterminal truncation mutants (X) contain residues extending from the N terminus through the given amino acid number. Internal deletion mutants  $(\Delta)$ lack the residues delineated by the numbers. Mutant A134-160/X288 encompasses exactly the region containing the <sup>8</sup> extracellular cysteines. A control expression vector,  $\Delta1-298$ , lacks nearly the entire cDNA insert.

Immunoblot Procedures. Stably transformed CHO cells were harvested and washed in Dulbecco's phosphatebuffered saline without calcium and magnesium. Cellular extracts were obtained after homogenizing the cells in lysis buffer [50 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.8/5 mM  $MgCl<sub>2</sub>/1$  mM  $CaCl<sub>2</sub>/$ 1% (vol/vol) Nonidet P-40/0.5% (wt/vol) phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride] and removing the nuclei by sedimentation at  $4000 \times g$ . Detergent extracts corresponding to 10<sup>6</sup> cells were subjected to reducing (5% 2-mercaptoethanol in sample buffer) or nonreducing  $NaDodSO<sub>4</sub>/12%$  PAGE (33). The proteins were electrophoretically transferred with a semidry blotter (Sartorius SM17556) to Immobilon membrane (Millipore). The membrane was blocked for 30 min with 50% goat serum in TBST (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0/150 mM NaCl/ 0.05% Tween-20/0.1% NaN3). After overnight incubation with pAb (1:5000) or mAb (mAb135, -176 or -168 at 10  $\mu$ g/ml; mAb64 at 2  $\mu$ g/ml; or MHM6 as a 1:500 dilution of ascites fluid) diluted in blocking buffer, the membrane was rinsed in TBST and incubated for 30 min with alkaline phosphataselabeled goat anti-rabbit or goat anti-mouse antibodies (Bio-Rad), respectively. The membrane was rinsed and developed with the substrates p-nitro blue tetrazolium chloride (330  $\mu$ g/ml) and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate toluidine  $(165 \ \mu g/ml)$  (Bio-Rad) in 100 mM Tris HCl, pH 9.5/100 mM  $NaCl<sub>2</sub>$  mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>.

Rosetting Assay. A 1:10 dilution of latex beads (Sigma LB-11, diameter 1.09  $\mu$ m) was incubated with BSA-NIP (4-hydroxy-3-iodo-5-nitrophenylacetic acid coupled to bovine serum albumin, 0.3 mg/ml; ref. 34) in <sup>15</sup> mM sodium acetate (pH 5.0) for <sup>1</sup> hr. After two washes in phosphatebuffered saline, the beads were incubated for <sup>1</sup> hr in RPMI 1640 medium (GIBCO) supplemented with 15% fetal bovine serum. The beads were washed twice and resuspended in RPMI 1640 with 15% fetal bovine serum and specific anti-NIP IgE (20  $\mu$ g/ml). After washing, the IgE-coated beads were sedimented onto stably transformed CHO cells (10<sup>4</sup> cells per well of a 96-well plate) by centrifugation at 200  $\times$  g. All these steps were done at room temperature. After 2 hr of incubation on ice, unbound beads were removed by gentle washing. The specificity of the rosetting was controlled by inhibition of the reaction with IgE  $(1 \text{ mg/ml})$  or Fc<sub>e</sub>RII-specific pAb  $(1:5000$ dilution).

## RESULTS

Design of  $Fc_RHI$  Deletion Mutants. A striking feature of FcRII is a region of 123 amino acid residues that shows a pronounced homology with the carbohydrate-binding domain of animal C-type lectins. This homology domain is completely contained within the 25-kDa IgE-BF released from the  $Fc_RII$  by proteolytic cleavage (16-18). The IgE-BF encompasses 8 cysteines, of which 6 are part of the homology domain and the remaining 2 flank it very closely (21, 22). To delimit functional domains, in particular the one for IgE binding, we constructed internal and C-terminal deletion mutants that lack the cysteines in a progressive order (Fig. 2).

Expression of Fc, RII Mutants in CHO Cells. Mutant and wild-type  $Fc<sub>g</sub>RII-cDNA$  expression was driven by the very strong MCMV enhancer/promoter system (Fig. 1). The expression plasmids were used to stably transform Dhfr-CHO cells by selection for the Dhfr<sup>+</sup> phenotype. All the mutated Fc.RII were transported to, and correctly inserted into, the cytoplasmic membrane. This was demonstrated by staining the cells with pAb raised against the C-terminal part of  $Fc_{\varepsilon}RII$  expressed in E. coli (data not shown). The pAb detected wild-type as well as mutant  $Fc_RRI$ I but did not stain control cells transformed with plasmid  $\Delta 1-298$ . Expression of mutant  $Fc_RRII$  at the cell surface was estimated by visually comparing the intensity of cell staining with anti- $Fc_{\varepsilon}RII$ antibodies. The intensity of cell surface staining correlates

with the expression of mutant  $Fc<sub>ε</sub>RII$  detected by immunoblotting (see below). Staining was generally weaker for C-terminal mutants than for internal deletion mutants. pAb do not provide a good means to estimate the amount of mutant  $Fc<sub>e</sub>RII$  produced by the cells, since removal of epitopes and weak expression cannot be distinguished. All internal deletion mutants were present on the cytoplasmic membrane at about the wild-type level, as estimated by



FIG. 3. IgE binding of  $Fc<sub>e</sub>RII$  mutants. CHO cells stably transformed with wild-type or mutant  $Fc<sub>ε</sub>RII-cDNAs$  were analyzed by IgE-rosette formation with IgE-coated latex beads. Only those mutants delimiting the breakpoints of IgE-binding activity are shown. (1) Wild type. (2) Control  $\Delta$ 1-298. (3) Mutant X287. (4) Mutant X281. (5) Mutant A134-163. (6) Mutant A134-160. (7) Double mutant A134-160/X288. (8) Double mutant A134-160/X288 preincubated with IgE (1 mg/ml).

staining of the cells with mAb3-5, which recognizes a Cterminal epitope (see below). Unfortunately, there is no mAb available that recognizes all mutant  $Fc_RRII$ . Therefore, we cannot exactly determine, in the case of the C-terminal mutants, the relative amount of mutant  $Fc_RHI$  expressed at the cell surface.

Mapping the Region of  $Fc_{\varepsilon}RII$  Essential for IgE Binding. Rosetting with immunoglobulin-coated erythrocytes (or beads) is a standard method to demonstrate expression of low-affinity Fc receptors. In our experiments, the specificity of IgE rosettes was checked by inhibition ofrosette formation with pAb (data not shown) and IgE (Fig. 3). The results of the rosetting experiments are shown in Fig. 3 and summarized at the top of Fig. 4. They indicate that the C-terminal 34 residues, including Cys-288, can be removed (mutant X287) without an effect on IgE-rosette formation. Further deletion of 6 amino acid residues, which includes removal of a second cysteine at position 282 (mutant X281), impaired IgE binding. Staining of cells with pAb is about equal for these two mutants (data not shown). We therefore conclude that lack of IgE-rosette formation in mutant X281 is not due to a significant reduction of mutant  $Fc<sub>e</sub>RII$  expression at the cell surface but represents a real functional inactivation. Internal deletion mutants lost IgE-binding activity when the deletion progressed through Cys-160 (mutant A134-163).

It could be argued that the alterations introduced within the IgE-BF region influence binding of IgE by perturbing the overall  $Fc<sub>ε</sub>RII$  structure. This is unlikely, since it is known that the soluble IgE-BF retains the site for binding of IgE (and also mAb, see below) (16, 18). Hence, our mutational analysis indicates that the  $Fc<sub>e</sub>RII$  region between residues 160 and 287 is sufficient for IgE binding.

Epitope Mapping of anti- $Fc_RRII$  mAb. Extracts prepared from cells expressing wild-type and mutant Fc<sub>e</sub>RII were analyzed on immunoblots with pAb and mAb (Fig. 4). All the mutant proteins were recognized by pAb. As expected, progressive deletion resulted in faster mobility of mutant proteins on NaDodSO4/PAGE under reducing conditions. However, under nonreducing conditions, mutants that had cysteine residues removed behaved anomalously. C-terminal deletion mutants (Fig. 4A) shifted to higher molecular weights when Cys-288 was removed (mutant X287). The same effect was seen with internal deletion mutants (Fig. 4B) when the first cysteine, Cys-160, was removed (mutant  $\Delta$ 134-163). This behavior on NaDodSO4/PAGE indicates that cysteines 160 and 288 are engaged in disulfide bridges and that their deletion unfolds Fc<sub>e</sub>RII.

Epitope mapping of the mAb was done under nonreducing conditions because reduced wild-type and mutant  $Fc_{\varepsilon}RII$ proteins are not recognized by the mAb tested. MHM6 is an agonistic mAb delivering to B cells a signal indistinguishable from the effects of low molecular weight B-cell growth factor (BCGF) (5, 11, 12). All other mAb investigated are known to inhibit IgE binding to  $Fc_RII$  (8, 28); mAb135, -176, -168, and -64 are known to belong to different epitope families (G. Delespesse, personal communication). Additionally,  $F(ab')_2$ fragments of mAbl35 (9) and mAb25 (10) suppress the IgE synthesis induced by interleukin 4, as well as the ongoing production of IgE by B cells isolated from atopic donors. As shown in Fig. 4, all mAb except mAb3-5 recognize the region delimited by cysteines 163 and 288. In contrast to IgE, the binding of these mAb depends on Cys-288 but not on Cys-160. mAb3-5 is an exception, since it binds the reduced  $Fc<sub>e</sub>RII$  and inhibits IgE binding only marginally (30). Its inability to bind mutant X301 indicates that mAb3-5 recognizes the C-terminal 20 amino acid residues of  $Fc<sub>e</sub>RII$ . Epitope mapping on immunoblots was confirmed by direct staining of cells expressing mutant  $Fc_{\varepsilon}RII$  (data not shown).

Expression of a Minidomain That Binds Both IgE and mAb. In summary, our results establish that the amino acid sequence between positions 160 and 288 is critical for binding both IgE and anti- $Fc_{\varepsilon}RII$  mAb (except mAb3-5). We there-



FIG. 4. Epitope mapping of anti- $Fc_{\varepsilon}RII$  mAb by immunoblot analysis. Solubilized cellular extracts were prepared from stably transformed CHO cells producing wild-type (WT) or mutant  $Fc_RII.$  Additionally,  $Fc_RII$  obtained from the B-lymphoblastoid cell line RPMI 8866 (8866) is shown. The top row of the figure indicates which of the  $\text{Fc}_{\epsilon}$ RII mutants do (+) or do not (-) form IgE rosettes as shown in Fig. 3. Immunoblot analysis is shown for pAb, mAbl35, mAbl76, mAbl68, mAb64, mAb25, MHM6, and mAb3-5. For immunoblots with pAb, proteins were separated by reducing  $(+ME)$  and nonreducing  $(-ME)$  NaDodSO<sub>4</sub>/12% PAGE. For immunoblots with mAb, electrophoresis was carried out under nonreducing conditions. (A) C-terminal deletion mutants.  $(B)$  Internal deletion mutants. Fig. 4. Epitope mapping of anti-Fc<sub>s</sub>RII mAb<br>
by immunoblot analysis. Solubilized cellular ex-<br>
tracts were repeared from stably transformed<br>
CHO cells producing wild-type (WT) or mutant<br>
Fc<sub>s</sub>RII. Additionally, Fc<sub>s</sub>RII FIG. 4. Epitope mapping of anti-Fc<sub>e</sub>RII mAb<br>by immunoblot analysis. Solubilized cellular ex-<br>tracts were prepared from stably transformed<br>CHO cells producing wild-type (WT) or mutant<br>Fc<sub>e</sub>RII. Additionally, Fc<sub>e</sub>RII obta B-lymphoblastoid cell line RPMI 8866 (8866) is<br>shown. The top row of the figure indicates which<br>of the  $Fc<sub>e</sub>RII$  mutants do  $(+)$  or do not  $(-)$  form<br>IgE rosettes as shown in Fig. 3. Immunoblot<br>analysis is shown for pAb, FIG. 4. Epitope mapping of anti-Fc<sub>e</sub>RII mAb<br>by immunoblot analysis. Solubilized cellular ex-<br>tracts were prepared from stably transformed<br>CHO cells producing wild-type (WT) or mutat<br>Fc<sub>e</sub>RII. Additionally, Fc<sub>e</sub>RII obtai FIG. 4. Epitope mapping of anti-Fc<sub>c</sub>RII mAb<br>by immunoblot analysis. Solubilized cellular ex-<br>tracts were prepared from stably transformed<br>CHO cells producing wild-type (WT) or mutant<br>Fc<sub>c</sub>RII. Additionally, Fc<sub>c</sub>RII obta tracts were prepared from stably transformed<br>CHO cells producing wild-type (WT) or mutant<br>Fc<sub>e</sub>RII. Additionally, Fc<sub>e</sub>RII obtained from the Fig. 4. Epitope mapping of anti-Fc<sub>c</sub>RII mAb<br>by immunoblot analysis. Solubilized cellular ex-<br>tracts were prepared from stably transformed<br>CHO cells producing wild-type (WT) or mutant<br>Fc<sub>c</sub>RII. Additionally, Fc<sub>c</sub>RII obtai FIG. 4. Epitope mapping of anti-Fc<sub>c</sub>RII mAb<br>by immunoblot analysis. Solubilized cellular ex-<br>tracts were prepared from stably transformed<br>CHO cells producing wild-type (WT) or mutant<br>Fc<sub>c</sub>RII. Additionally, Fc<sub>c</sub>RII obta of the FC<sub>e</sub>KH mutants do  $(+)$  or do not  $(-)$  form<br>IgE rosettes as shown in Fig. 3. Immunoblot<br>analysis is shown for pAb, mAb135, mAb1476,<br>mAb168, mAb64, mAb25, MHM6, and mAb3-5.<br>For immunoblots with pAb, proteins were se

fore constructed mutant  $\Delta$ 134-160/X288, which encompasses exactly this region. As expected, the domain expressed in this mutant  $Fc_RRII$  is sufficient both for IgE binding (Fig. 3) and for binding all strongly inhibitory mAb and the agonistic mAb MHM6 (Fig. 4A).

## DISCUSSION

The Fc<sub>e</sub>RII, in contrast to other Fc receptors, has not evolved as a member of the immunoglobulin gene superfamily but shows substantial homology with several animal lectins (21, 22). The functional analysis of deletion mutants presented here delimits the IgE-binding domain of  $Fc_RRII$  to a region of 128 amino acids (residues 160-287). Strikingly, the sequence homology between Fc.RII (residues 163–282) and the carbohydrate-binding domain of lectins described previously is confined to the IgE-binding domain experimentally defined in this study. The core region of homology, which includes 4 perfectly conserved cysteines, is distributed over a region of 92 amino acids comprising residues  $191-282$  of Fc<sub>e</sub>RII (21, 22). This region of homology has all the features characteristic of C-type lectins, which are known to bind carbohydrates in a  $Ca^{2+}$ -dependent manner and to have conserved intramolecular disulfide bridges (35). In several lectins, such as the asialoglycoprotein receptors, the homology extends 30 residues further toward the N terminus and includes <sup>2</sup> additional conserved cysteines (21, 22). The conservation of the cysteines suggests that  $Fc_RRII$  and lectins have a similar folding of their homology domain. Our results indicate that the correct folding of the  $Fc_RRII$  homology domain is critical for function, since deletion of the cysteines has a deleterious effect on IgE binding.

Recently, Vercelli et al. (36) mapped the  $Fc<sub>e</sub>RII$  binding site on human IgE to a motif in the  $C_{63}$  constant-region domain that is formed on dimerization of one or both of the flanking  $C_{\epsilon 2}$  and  $C_{\epsilon 4}$  domains. Intriguingly, their results with enzymatically deglycosylated IgE indicated that carbohydrates are not necessary for recognition of IgE by Fc. RII. It is evident that although IgE is a heavily glycosylated immunoglobulin and the binding domain of  $Fc<sub>ε</sub>RII$  is the lectin module, a non-lectin-type interaction takes place. Thus, the ancestral lectin module, conceived as a stable structural domain held together by disulfide bridges between conserved cysteine residues, has evolved in  $Fc<sub>ε</sub>RII$  to recognize the protein moiety of IgE. This unexpected finding is of interest in the light of the recent discovery in the hematopoietic system of a family of adhesion receptors containing a lectinlike domain (24). It is conceivable that lymphocyte migration is not exclusively controlled through carbohydratedependent recognition processes as has been proposed, but that the lectin-like domain of these adhesion receptors also displays a non-lectin activity. Conversely, it is also possible that the role of  $Fc_RII$  in cell adhesion could be the result of a lectin function. It has already been proposed that  $Fc_RRII$ has two binding sites, one for IgE and one for carbohydrates (37). Our result would then indicate that both ligands bind within the same structural domain, raising the possibility of regulation by competitive or allosteric mechanisms.

It has been suggested that  $Fc_RRII$  is the receptor for low molecular weight BCGF (5, 11-13). MHM6, an anti-Fc $_{\varepsilon}$ RII mAb, interferes with BCGF uptake onto cells. This antibody also triggers an effect similar to BCGF, possibly by enhancing the processing of  $Fc_RRII$  into growth-promoting cleavage products (5). Further, it has been demonstrated that the growth-promoting effect of MHM6 is epitope-restricted and that Fab fragments of this mAb are also agonists of BCGF activity. This, together with our present finding that the MHM6 epitope maps to the lectin domain, suggests that this domain is the target for triggering B-cell growth. Therefore, the lectin-like domain may represent a focus for interaction between general B-cell growth and isotype-specific processes, linking together these two so far seemingly unrelated B-cell regulatory pathways and providing a means for regulation at both levels.

We are grateful to H. Rink for providing synthetic oligonucleotides; to Dr. A. Schmitz for expression of IgE-BF in E. coli; to Dr. E. Kilchherr for purification of recombinant IgE-BF; to Drs. J. Banchereau, G. Delespesse, J. Gordon, and T. Kishimoto for gifts of mAb; and to Drs. N. Hardman, G. Bilbe, and U. Suter for critically reading the manuscript.

- 1. Ishizaka, K. & Ishizaka, T. (1967) J. Immunol. 99, 1187-1198.<br>2. Capron. A., Dessaint, J. P., Capron. M., Quma, J. H. & Butt
- 2. Capron, A., Dessaint, J. P., Capron, M., Ouma, J. H. & Butterworth, A. E. (1987) Science 238, 1065-1072.
- 3. Metzger, H. & Kinet, J.-P. (1988) FASEB J. 2, 3-11.<br>4. Ishizaka, K. (1988) Annu, Rev. Immunol, 6, 513-534.
- 
- 4. Ishizaka, K. (1988) Annu. Rev. Immunol. 6, 513-534. 5. Gordon, J., Flores-Remo, L., Cairns, J. A., Millsum, M. J., Lane, P. J., Johnson, G. D. & MacLennan, I. C. M. (1989) Immunol. Today 10, 153-157.
- 6. Delespesse, G., Sarfati, H. & Hofstetter, H. (1989) Immunol. Today 10, 159-164.
- 7. Yukawa, K., Kikutani, H., Owaki, H., Yamasaki, K., Yokota, A., Nakamura, H., Barsumian, E. L., Hardy, R. R., Suemura, M. & Kish-imoto, T. (1987) J. Immunol. 8, 2576-2580.
- 8. Bonnefoy, J.-Y., Aubry, J.-P., Perronne, C., Wijdenes, J. & Banchereau, J. (1987) J. Immunol. 138, 2970-2978.
- 9. Sarfati, M. & Delespesse, G. (1988) J. Immunol. 141, 2195-2199.<br>10. Pène. J. Rousset, F., Brière, F., Chrétien, I., Bonnefov. J.-Y., Spit
- Pène, J., Rousset, F., Brière, F., Chrétien, I., Bonnefoy, J.-Y., Spits, H., Yokota, T., Arai, N., Arai, K.-I., Banchereau, J. & de Vries, J. E. (1988) Proc. Nat!. Acad. Sci. USA 85, 6880-6884.
- 11. Gordon, J., Rowe, M., Walker, L. & Guy, G. R. (1986) Eur. J. Immunol. 16, 1627-1630.
- 12. Gordon, J., Webb, A. J., Guy, G. R. & Walker, L. (1987) Immunology 60, 517-521.
- 13. Guy, G. R. & Gordon, J. (1987) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85, 6107-6111.
- 14. Wang, F., Gregory, C. D., Rowe, M., Rickinson, A. B., Wang, D., Birkenbach, M., Kikutani, H., Kishimoto, T. & Kieff, E. (1987) Proc. Nat!. Acad. Sci. USA 84, 3452-3456.
- 15. Yokota, A., Kikutani, H., Tanaka, T., Sato, R., Barsumian, E. L., Suemura, M. & Kishimoto, T. (1988) Cell 55, 611-618.
- 16. Kikutani, H., Inui, S., Sato, R., Barsumian, E. L., Owaki, H., Yamasaki, K., Kaisho, T., Uchibayashi, N., Hardy, R. R., Hirano, T., Tsunasawa, S., Sakiyama, F., Suemura, M. & Kishimoto, T. (1986) Cell 47, 657-665.
- 17. Ludin, C., Hofstetter, H., Sarfati, M., Levy, C. A., Suter, U., Alaimo, D., Kilchherr, E., Frost, H. & Delespesse, G. (1987) EMBO J. 6, 109-114.
- 18. Ikuta, K., Takami, M., Kim, C. W., Honjo, T., Miyoshi, T., Tagaya, Y., Kawabe, T. & Yodoi, J. (1987) Proc. Nat!. Acad. Sci. USA 84, 819-823.
- 19. Sarfati, M., Bron, D., Fonteyn, C., Frost, H. & Delespesse, G. (1988) Blood 71, 94-98.
- 20. Carini, C., Margolick, J., Yodoi, J. & Ishizaka, K. (1988) Proc. Nat!. Acad. Sci. USA 85, 9214-9218.
- 21. Suter, U., Bastos, R. & Hofstetter, H. (1987) Nucleic Acids Res. 15, 7295-7308.
- 22. Patthy, L. (1988) J. Mol. Biol. 202, 689-696.<br>23. Sharon, N. & Lis. H. (1972) Science 177, 94
- 23. Sharon, N. & Lis, H. (1972) Science 177, 949-959.<br>24. Stoolman, L. M. (1989) Cell 56, 907-910.
- 24. Stoolman, L. M. (1989) Cell 56, 907-910.<br>25. Asselbergs, F. A. M., Will, H., Wingfiel
- 25. Asselbergs, F. A. M., Will, H., Wingfield, P. & Hirschi, M. (1986) J. Mol. Biol. 189, 401-411.
- 26. Dorsch-Häsler, K., Keil, G. M., Weber, F., Jasin, M., Schaffner, W. & Koszinowski, U. H. (1985) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82, 8325-8329.
- 
- 27. Weber, F. & Schaffner, W. (1985) Nature (London) 315, 75-77. 28. Rector, E., Nakajima, T., Rocha, C., Duncan, D., Lestourgeon, D., Mitchell, R. S., Fischer, J., Sehon, A. H. & Delespesse, G. (1985) Immunology 55, 481-488.
- 29. Rowe, M., Hildreth, J. E. K., Rickinson, A. B. & Epstein, M. A. (1982) Int. J. Cancer 29, 373-381.
- 30. Suemura, M., Kikutani, H., Barsumian, E. L., Hattori, Y., Kishimoto, S., Sato, S., Maeda, A., Nakamura, H., Owaki, H., Hardy, R. R. & Kishimoto, T. (1986) J. Immunol. 137, 1214-1220.
- 31. Urlaub, G. & Chasin, L. A. (1980) Proc. Nat!. Acad. Sci. USA 77, 4216-4220.
- 32. Haynes, J. & Weissmann, C. (1983) Nucleic Acids Res. 11, 687-706.<br>33. Laemmli, U. K. (1970) Nature (London) 227. 680–685.
- 33. Laemmli, U. K. (1970) Nature (London) 227, 680-685.<br>34. Brownstone, A., Mitchison, N. A. & Pitt-Rivers, R. (19
- Brownstone, A., Mitchison, N. A. & Pitt-Rivers, R. (1966) Immunology 10, 465-479.
- 35. Drickamer, K. (1988) J. Biol. Chem. 263, 9557-9560.<br>36. Vercelli, D., Helm, B., Marsh, P., Padlan, E., Geha,
- 36. Vercelli, D., Helm, B., Marsh, P., Padlan, E., Geha, R. S. & Gould, H. (1989) Nature (London) 338, 649-651.
- 37. Hogg, N. (1988) Immunol. Today 9, 135-187.