

## Supplementary Material

**Table S1.** Spearman's rank correlation coefficient between the *rhTPH2* genotype/haplotype and phenotypic data

Haplotype or Locus	Cortisol		ACTH		CORTRES		PERDEX		Self-biting rate	
	AM	PM	AM	PM	15 min	30 min	Night	Day	1999	2000
M2	<b>-0.3901<sup>#</sup></b>	0.1672	-0.1124	0.1573	-0.0741	0.0519	<b>0.5043<sup>*</sup></b>	0.3729	0.0119	0.2234
M3	-0.1308	-0.0077	-0.0667	-0.0501	<b>0.5564<sup>**</sup></b>	0.2120	0.0935	0.1870	0.0000	0.0133
M4	0.1994	0.1246	-0.1072	-0.1072	-0.0910	-0.0820	<b>-0.5296<sup>*</sup></b>	-0.3841	-0.2921	-0.2104
M5	0.3309	0.0432	-0.0630	-0.1732	-0.0423	0.0181	<b>-0.5705<sup>*</sup></b>	-0.3483	-0.0388	-0.0243
M5'	-0.2415	-0.4087	0.1602	0.1602	-0.0637	-0.2641	0.3027	0.2596	0.0000	-0.1098
M9	0.3539	<b>0.4665<sup>*</sup></b>	-0.0696	-0.0870	-0.2851	-0.1322	0.3116	0.0104	0.1041	0.0699
-1605T>C	-0.3539	<b>-0.4665<sup>*</sup></b>	0.0696	0.0870	0.2851	0.1322	-0.3116	-0.0104	-0.1041	-0.0699
-1491Tn	-0.3539	<b>-0.4665<sup>*</sup></b>	0.0696	0.0870	0.2502	-0.0036	-0.3116	-0.0104	-0.1041	-0.1624
-1485(AT)n	-0.0062	-0.3009	0.0015	0.0573	0.2489	-0.0663	<b>-0.6047<sup>**</sup></b>	-0.2039	-0.3287	-0.1491
(AT)6	-0.0219	<b>0.5319<sup>*</sup></b>	-0.0972	-0.0486	-0.2357	-0.0302	<b>0.5608<sup>*</sup></b>	0.1645	0.1842	<b>0.3764<sup>#</sup></b>
(AT)7	-0.1983	-0.2192	0.0225	0.0450	<b>0.5007<sup>*</sup></b>	0.0911	<b>-0.4105<sup>#</sup></b>	-0.1457	-0.2612	-0.1372
(AT)8	0.1489	-0.2830	0.0667	-0.0501	-0.0855	-0.1588	<b>-0.4400<sup>#</sup></b>	-0.2348	-0.0401	-0.0982
-1454A>G	0.2415	<b>0.4087<sup>#</sup></b>	-0.1602	-0.1602	0.0637	0.2641	-0.3027	-0.2596	0.0000	0.1098
-1325In>Del	-0.1014	0.2946	-0.0972	-0.0134	0.1616	0.1951	<b>0.5548<sup>*</sup></b>	0.3364	0.0683	0.1321
-363T>G	-0.0562	0.1366	-0.0904	0.0000	0.2887	0.2037	-0.0948	-0.2933	0.1244	0.2425
<b>2051A&gt;C</b>	<b>0.6538<sup>**</sup></b>	0.0385	-0.1501	-0.3503	<b>0.3824<sup>#</sup></b>	<b>0.4729<sup>*</sup></b>	-0.0877	-0.3839	<b>-0.4068<sup>#</sup></b>	<b>-0.3842<sup>#</sup></b>
2128S>L	-0.2727	-0.2258	0.0797	0.2347	-0.1068	<b>-0.4552<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>-0.5012<sup>*</sup></b>	-0.3009	0.2339	0.1877

\* $p < 0.05$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ , # $0.05 \leq p < 0.10$ ; CORTRES: cortisol response to ACH challenge; PERDEX: DEX suppression of cortisol excretion (%). For each haplotype or allele, the carrier and non-carrier were designated as "1" and "2", respectively, while for each locus the genotype was designated as "1", "2" and "3" for the wild-type homozygote, wild-mutant heterozygote and mutant-mutant homozygote, respectively. The 2051A>C genotypes were designated as "1" for AA&AC and "2" for CC.

## Supplementary Material

**Table S2.** Distribution of the rhTPH2 5'-FR and 3'-UTR haplotypes in the cohort of 32 monkeys

Group	n	5'-FR haplotype											3'-UTR haplotype		
		M2	M3	M4	M4'	M5	M5'	M7	M9	N1	N2	N3	ACS	AAS	GAL
Overall	64	6 0.09	20 0.31	4 0.06	2 0.03	14 0.22	6 0.09	1 0.02	8 0.13	1 0.02	1 0.02	1 0.02	30 0.47	18 0.28	16 0.25
SW (1)	40	1	10	3	2	10	5	1	6	1	1	-	18	12	10
HFB (1a)	20	1	8	2	-	4	2	-	2	1	-	-	9	5	6
LFB (1b)	10	-	1	1	1	4	1	-	2	-	-	-	5	4	1
NW (2)	22	<b>5*</b>	10	-	-	3	1	-	2	-	-	1	11	5	6
HFB (2a)	4	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	3
LFB (2b)	14	3	7	-	-	2	-	-	1	-	-	1	<b>9<sup>#</sup></b>	3	2
HFB (a)	24	2	9	2	-	5	2	-	3	1	-	-	9	6	<b>9<sup>#</sup></b>
LFB (b)	24	3	8	1	1	6	1	-	3	-	-	1	14	7	3
<i>Chi</i> -Square test (R×C)		<b>5'</b> : 1, 2: $p=0.0326$ ; a, b: $p=1.0000$ ; 1a, 1b: $p=0.1804$ ; 2a, 2b: $p=0.7397$ ; 1a, 2a: $p=0.8749$ ; <b>1b, 2b: <math>p=0.0375</math></b> <b>3'</b> : 1, 2: $p=0.6248$ ; a, b: $p=0.1658$ ; 1a, 1b: $p=0.2218$ ; 2a, 2b: $p=0.1647$ ; 1a, 2a: $p=0.3029$ ; 1b, 2b: $p=0.3862$													

SW: self-wounder; NW: non-wounder; HFB: high-frequency biter; LFB: low-frequency biter. \* $p<0.05$  for SW vs NW, <sup>#</sup> $p<0.05$  for HFB vs LFB assessed for the individual haplotype (2×2 table). The incidence of each haplotype was given for the overall group. *P* values were given for the comparisons of haplotype distribution between SIB groups (R×C table). The Fisher's exact test and Mantel-Haenszel *Chi*-Square test were used for 2×2 and R×C tables, respectively.

**Table S3.** The effect of the rhTPH2 5' (-1485(AT)<sub>n</sub>) and 3' (2015A>C) genotype and their interaction on the physiology and self-biting behavior in mother- and peer-reared monkeys

Variable	Genotype	Mother-Reared				Peer-Reared			
		Mean1 <sub>(n)</sub>	Mean2 <sub>(n)</sub>	<i>F</i>	<i>p</i>	Mean1 <sub>(n)</sub>	Mean2 <sub>(n)</sub>	<i>F</i>	<i>p</i>
HIAA	5'	<b>33.7</b> <sub>(5)</sub>	<b>49.5</b> <sub>(5)</sub>	<b>4.82</b>	<b>0.070</b>	43.4 <sub>(2)</sub>	45.6 <sub>(6)</sub>	0.03	0.867
	3'	<b>49.9</b> <sub>(3)</sub>	<b>33.3</b> <sub>(7)</sub>	<b>5.31</b>	<b>0.061</b>	46.0 <sub>(4)</sub>	42.4 <sub>(4)</sub>	0.11	0.755
	5'x3'	-	-	<b>4.25</b>	<b>0.085</b>	-	-	<b>5.35</b>	<b>0.082</b>
CORT-AM	5'	29.8 <sub>(6)</sub>	24.1 <sub>(5)</sub>	0.19	0.677	32.4 <sub>(2)</sub>	33.3 <sub>(6)</sub>	0.01	0.918
	3'	<b>32.7</b> <sub>(3)</sub>	<b>21.2</b> <sub>(8)</sub>	<b>4.61</b>	<b>0.069</b>	<b>42.7</b> <sub>(4)</sub>	<b>23.4</b> <sub>(4)</sub>	<b>4.67</b>	<b>0.097</b>
	5'x3'	-	-	0.94	0.364	-	-	0.02	0.895
CORT-PM	5'	<b>12.7</b> <sub>(6)</sub>	<b>21.3</b> <sub>(5)</sub>	<b>7.79</b>	<b>0.027</b>	14.2 <sub>(2)</sub>	18.5 <sub>(6)</sub>	0.89	0.400
	3'	20.2 <sub>(3)</sub>	13.7 <sub>(8)</sub>	2.86	0.134	14.6 <sub>(4)</sub>	18.0 <sub>(4)</sub>	0.54	0.502
	5'x3'	-	-	2.10	0.191	-	-	1.28	0.321
ACTH-AM	5'	71.2 <sub>(5)</sub>	66.7 <sub>(5)</sub>	0.07	0.805	68.8 <sub>(2)</sub>	60.6 <sub>(6)</sub>	0.38	0.571
	3'	69.6 <sub>(3)</sub>	68.3 <sub>(7)</sub>	0.0	0.948	55.6 <sub>(4)</sub>	73.9 <sub>(4)</sub>	1.88	0.243
	5'x3'	-	-	2.01	0.206	-	-	0.40	0.563
ACTH-PM	5'	65.9 <sub>(5)</sub>	63.8 <sub>(5)</sub>	0.15	0.711	64.2 <sub>(2)</sub>	49.6 <sub>(6)</sub>	1.40	0.303
	3'	63.8 <sub>(3)</sub>	65.9 <sub>(7)</sub>	0.04	0.842	<b>43.6</b> <sub>(4)</sub>	<b>70.2</b> <sub>(4)</sub>	<b>4.64</b>	<b>0.098</b>
	5'x3'	-	-	<b>3.74</b>	<b>0.101</b>	-	-	0.37	0.578
CORTRES15	5'	17.4 <sub>(7)</sub>	17.9 <sub>(6)</sub>	0	0.964	17.0 <sub>(3)</sub>	14.0 <sub>(5)</sub>	1.67	0.265
	3'	<b>20.3</b> <sub>(3)</sub>	<b>15.3</b> <sub>(10)</sub>	<b>3.32</b>	<b>0.102</b>	<b>17.9</b> <sub>(3)</sub>	<b>13.2</b> <sub>(5)</sub>	<b>4.06</b>	<b>0.114</b>
	5'x3'	-	-	0.28	0.607	-	-	1.66	0.268
CORTRES30	5'	14.1 <sub>(7)</sub>	12.5 <sub>(6)</sub>	0.32	0.583	12.4 <sub>(3)</sub>	11.9 <sub>(5)</sub>	0.04	0.852
	3'	15.3 <sub>(3)</sub>	11.3 <sub>(10)</sub>	2.24	0.169	14.2 <sub>(3)</sub>	10.2 <sub>(5)</sub>	2.16	0.215
	5'x3'	-	-	0.31	0.593	-	-	0.52	0.510
DEX-N	5'	<b>56.4</b> <sub>(7)</sub>	<b>93.8</b> <sub>(4)</sub>	<b>25.2</b>	<b>0.001</b>	30.0 <sub>(2)</sub>	64.5 <sub>(4)</sub>	1.60	0.333
	3'	<b>46.0</b> <sub>(2)</sub>	<b>75.3</b> <sub>(9)</sub>	<b>3.14</b>	<b>0.114</b>	46.8 <sub>(3)</sub>	47.8 <sub>(3)</sub>	0	0.974
	5'x3'	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.71	0.241
DEX-D	5'	62.9 <sub>(7)</sub>	80.3 <sub>(4)</sub>	0.16	0.703	24.0 <sub>(2)</sub>	41.0 <sub>(4)</sub>	0.91	0.442
	3'	<b>35.2</b> <sub>(2)</sub>	<b>76.8</b> <sub>(9)</sub>	<b>3.92</b>	<b>0.083</b>	23.5 <sub>(3)</sub>	41.5 <sub>(3)</sub>	1.02	0.420
	5'x3'	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.81	0.311
SB-1999	5'	0.050 <sub>(6)</sub>	0.094 <sub>(5)</sub>	0.22	0.652	0.060 <sub>(2)</sub>	0.088 <sub>(4)</sub>	0.22	0.683
	3'	0.020 <sub>(2)</sub>	0.081 <sub>(9)</sub>	0.72	0.422	0.023 <sub>(3)</sub>	0.125 <sub>(3)</sub>	3.10	0.221
	5'x3'	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.09	0.792
SB-2000	5'	0.068 <sub>(7)</sub>	0.105 <sub>(6)</sub>	0.11	0.746	0.018 <sub>(3)</sub>	0.125 <sub>(5)</sub>	1.53	0.284
	3'	0.025 <sub>(3)</sub>	0.148 <sub>(10)</sub>	1.23	0.296	0.030 <sub>(3)</sub>	0.113 <sub>(5)</sub>	0.89	0.398
	5'x3'	-	-	0.06	0.813	-	-	0.29	0.618

\*Statistics were performed by ANOVA2. Mean1 represents the Tukey-Kramer least-squares mean for -1845(AT)<sub>6</sub> carrier (5') or 2051CC (3') genotype, while Mean2 represents the Tukey-Kramer least-squares mean for -1845(AT)<sub>6</sub> non-carrier (5') or 2051AA&AC (3'). The sample size (n) for each group was shown in parenthesis.