Fairhurst et al Supplementary Table 1.

Summary of splenic leukocytes. Flow Cytometry Analysis of Splenic leukocyte lineage and activation in 9 month old male mice. 1-way ANOVA was used to analyse data as described in Experimental Procedures. Significant differences between B6.*Sle1Yaa* and B6.*Sle1YaaTLR7* are shown; *p=0.5, **p=0.01., ***p=0.001. Bold indicates percentage of the total. Normal font is a subgroup of the bolded font.

	B6	B6.Sle1	B6.Sle1Yaa	B6.Sle1YaaTLR7-
	(n=5)	(n=5)	(n=5)	(n=5)
	$Mean \pm SE$	Mean ± SE	Mean ± SE	Mean ± SE
Total Cell count (x10 ⁷)	11.4 ± 1.4	13.3 ± 0.9	50.4 ± 13.1	129 ± 0.7
CD19+	58.4 ± 2.7	53.2 ± 2.5	27.9 ± 4.7	$51.5 \pm 1.7 ***$
% CD69+	8.8 ± 1.6	9.9 ± 1.7	19.5 ± 1.8	$10.1 \pm 1.0^{*}$
CD86 MFI	39.1 ± 8.4	64.3 ± 5.9	90.1 ± 5.0	68.6 ± 7.3
Follicular B	39.7 ± 1.2	36.9 ± 1.5	17.6 ± 3.0	$36.3 \pm 1.0^{***}$
$(CD23^+CD21^+IgM^{lo})$				
CD86 MFI	45.5 ± 6.9	70.2 ± 6.5	62.1 ± 4.4	73.2 ± 5.8
T1 (CD23 ⁻ CD21 ⁻ IgM ⁺)	3.2 ± 0.3	2.0 ± 0.1	1.5 ± 0.3	2.1 ± 0.2
$T2 (CD23^{+}CD21^{hi}IgM^{hi})$	1.9 ± 0.3	1.9 ± 0.3	0.9 ± 0.3	1.6 ± 0.2
MZ (CD23 ⁺ CD21 ⁻ IgM ⁺)	2.0 ± 0.1	2.2 ± 0.1	1.0 ± 0.2	1.5 ± 0.2
B1a (CD5 ⁺ CD23 ⁻ B220 ⁺)	1.1 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.1	1.6 ± 0.3	1.0 ± 0.1
B1b (CD5 ⁻ CD23 ⁻ B220+)	8.4 ± 0.6	7.7 ± 0.4	6.1 ± 0.6	7.1 ± 0.2
B2 (CD5 ⁻ CD23 ⁺ B220 ⁺)	43.9 ± 1.6	39.9 ± 1.8	17.8 ± 3.4	$39.7 \pm 1.4^{***}$
Plasmablast	2.0 ± 0.4	2.0 ± 0.3	1.9 ± 0.7	1.9 ± 0.5
(B220 ⁺ CD138 ⁺)				
Plasma (B220 ⁻ CD138 ⁺)	1.4 ± 0.2	1.6 ± 0.2	4.0 ± 0.4	2.4 ± 0.2
CD4+	20.9 ± 0.7	21.9 ± 1.7	17.1 ± 1.6	$21.1 \pm 1.7*$
%CD69+	15.8 ± 1.5	21.5 ± 2.3	39.3 ± 2.4	$24.8 \pm 3.1 **$
CD62L ⁺ CD44 ^{lo}	55.7 ± 0.8	42.1 ± 6.3	17.5 ± 3.7	$41.3 \pm 6.5*$
CD62L ⁻ CD44 ^h	38.9 ± 0.7	51.8 ± 6.3	77.0 ± 3.5	$51.3 \pm 5.5 **$
CD25 ⁺ CD127 ⁻	10.4 ± 0.8	11.9 ± 0.6	14.2 ± 0.5	12.3 ± 1.0
CD25 ⁺ CD127 ⁺	1.4 ± 0.2	1.6 ± 0.3	3.7 ± 0.3	$1.7 \pm 0.3^{***}$
ICOS MFI	419 ± 14	603 ± 60	1482 ± 19	719 ± 114
PD-1 MFI	115 ± 7	188 ± 30	429 ±65	188 ± 41
CXCR5 MFI	22 ± 4.2	$65\ \pm 19.7$	$117\ \pm 7.9$	68 ± 20.9
CD8+	12.4 ± 0.5	12.7 ± 0.7	4.9 ± 1.4	$12.0 \pm 1.5 **$
%CD69+	11.8 ± 1.0	14.2 ± 2.7	27.1 ± 1.8	14.9 ±1.6
CD62L ⁺ CD44 ^{lo}	87.1 ± 1.5	76.4 ± 9.3	50.4 ± 7.9	86.5 ± 2.4
CD62L ⁻ CD44 ^h	8.3 ± 0.8	13.7 ± 4.2	38.4 ± 6.2	7.7 ± 1.3*
PD-1 MFI	210.6 ± 19.2	293.2 ± 57.1	430.9 ± 29.1	$258.5 \pm 31.0^*$

Total Myeloid	3.0 ± 0.3	5.0 ± 0.3	29.1 ± 5.3	$5.4 \pm 0.5^{***}$
(CD11b+)				
%CD69+	10.0 ± 3.0	7.7 ± 1.0	20.1 ± 2.5	$10.8 \pm 1.1*$
CD11b MFI	1006 ± 21.9	1236 ± 44.1	2292 ± 214.7	1301 ±64.0***
MHC II MFI	4786 ± 735	1557 ± 288	429 ± 145	$1789 \pm 440 ***$
CD62L MFI	3428 ± 226	2074 ± 396	737 ± 116	2376 ± 155
CXCR4 MFI	97 ± 15	174 ± 27	642 ± 58	$217 \pm 17^{***}$
CD86 MFI	798 ± 44	599 ± 46	331 ± 95	$675 \pm 97*$
PMNs	1.4 ± 0.9	2.2 ± 0.8	8.0 ± 2.0	$1.9 \pm 0.6^{***}$
CD11b MFI	3351 ± 188	2386 ± 120	3987 ± 704	4458 ± 334
MHC II MFI	442 ± 30	267 ± 35	127 ± 21	201 ± 30
CD62L MFI	3834 ± 178	2479 ± 588	888 ± 244	2321 ± 148
CXCR4 MFI	303 ± 26	247 ± 42	471 ± 65	$220 \pm 9^{**}$
CD86 MFI	315 ± 16	140 ± 20	86 ± 31	113 ± 23
Gr1+monocytes	1.0 ± 0.2	1.9 ± 0.2	8.9 ± 1.6	$1.6 \pm 0.2^{***}$
CD11b MFI	1873 ± 36	2026 ± 107	2717 ± 228	2327 ± 91
MHC II MFI	882 ± 78	587 ± 97	183 ± 28	418 ± 69
CD62L MFI	2749 ± 188	1903 ± 338	927 ± 93	1776 ±62
CXCR4 MFI	210 ± 41	156 ± 23	440 ± 65	197 ± 8**
CD86 MFI	592 ± 42	425 ± 40	173 ± 59	361 ± 30**
Gr1lo/-monocytes	1.7 ± 0.1	2.8 ± 0.1	12.9 ± 3.6	$2.7 \pm 0.2 **$
CD11b MFI	1526 ± 22	1596 ± 37	2086 ± 72	1624 ±17**
MHC II MFI	9689 ± 548	5917 ± 498	1959 ± 830	7438 ±1038**
CD62L MFI	2634 ± 482	1139 ± 128	328 ± 71	150 ±195*
CXCR4 MFI	66 ± 10	189 ± 30	671 ± 76	178 ± 20
CD86 MFI	1142 ± 89	977 ± 36	732 ± 120	1102 ± 143

Fairhurst et al: Supplementary Table 2.

Summary of splenic leukocytes in the kidney. Flow Cytometry Analysis of leukocyte lineage and activation in 9 month old male mice. 1-way ANOVA was used to analyse data as described in Experimental Procedures. Significant differences between B6.*Sle1Yaa* and B6.*Sle1YaaTLR7* are shown; *p=0.5, **p=0.01, ***p=0.001

	B6	B6.Sle1	B6.Sle1Yaa	B6.Sle1YaaTLR7-
	(n=5)	(n=5)	(n=5)	(n=5)
	Mean ± SE	Mean ± SE	Mean ± SE	Mean ± SE
Total Cell count (x10 ⁷)	16.7 ± 1.7	14.0 ± 0.9	22.6 ± 4.7	16.0 ± 1.9
CD19+	9.0 ± 1.2	8.6 ± 2.0	$2.9\ \pm 0.6$	7.3 ± 0.8
% CD69+	18.0 ± 1.0	22.9 ± 4.9	48.5 ± 7.9	$17.8 \pm 2.2^{**}$
CXCR4 MFI	201 ± 49	227 ± 84	670 ± 162	$172 \pm 33^{**}$
CD4+	12.3 ± 1.4	12.4 ± 1.3	22.1 ±2.5	$12.6 \pm 1.3 **$
%CD69+	34.0 ± 1.4	33.1 ± 2.2	35.5 ± 2.7	38.5 ± 2.6
CD62L ⁺ CD44 ^{lo}	15.7 ± 3.4	17.0 ± 3.5	12.5 ± 5.7	8.8 ± 1.3
CD62L ⁻ CD44 ^h	77.2 ± 4.7	74.7 ± 5.0	61.1 ± 6.9	86.0 ±1.8
ICOS MFI	610 ± 60	592 ± 25	1253 ± 123	$635 \pm 38^{***}$
PD-1 MFI	280 ± 55	453 ± 121	626 ± 162	259 ± 44
		1	1	
CD8+	13.7 ± 1.1	11.0 ± 1.8	8.1 ± 1.2	6.6 ± 0.8
%CD69+	50.0 ± 1.3	44.4 ± 2.3	56.8 ± 7.4	47.8 ± 0.5
CD62L ⁺ CD44 ^{lo}	20.7 ± 1.9	29.4 ± 8.7	16.7 ± 2.6	19.3 ± 3.2
CD62L ⁻ CD44 ⁿ	50.8 ± 10.8	33.2 ± 13.6	65.0 ± 5.5	$71.4 \pm 2.9*$
Total Myeloid	56.7 ± 1.3	61.1 ± 2.3	70.3 ± 2.7	67.4 ± 1.3
(CD11b+)				
CD11b MFI	$\frac{1818 \pm 58}{1818 \pm 58}$	2068 ± 150	3773 ± 124	2292 ± 94
MHC II MFI	9906 ± 346	8075 ± 1599	2327 ± 1308	$10182 \pm 752*$
CD62L MFI	82.6 ± 9.9	74.8 ± 5.4	42.7 ± 33.4	24.3 ± 14.1
CXCR4 MFI	430 ± 19	417 ± 49	448 ± 46	365 ± 25
CD86 MFI	1504 ± 39.0	1463 ± 122	1218 ± 204	1450 ± 82
PMNs	1.8 ± 1.0	1.9 ± 0.7	1.7 ± 1.0	1.3 ± 0.2
CD116 MF1	12584 ± 506	12580 ± 1605	19249 ± 1223	14815 ± 520
MHC II MFI	123 ± 71	91 ± 76	325 ± 298	136 ± 49
Gr1++monocytes	2.0 ± 0.4	2.4 ±0.3	3.7 ± 0.3	$1.7 \pm 0.1^{***}$
CD11b MFI	6659 ± 216	6641 ± 210	8171 ± 277	$6889 \pm 71^{**}$
MHC II MFI	276 ± 77	365 ± 108	662 ± 342	429 ±54
CXCR4 MFI	21 ± 9.9	42.6 ±27	262.9 ± 63.1	$8.4 \pm 21.6^{**}$
CD86 MFI	531 ± 42	692 ± 127	1145 ± 107	551 ± 45
%CD69+	29.2 ± 1.6	29.0 ± 3.0	39.2 ± 5.1	$24.9 \pm 1.6*$

Gr1+monocytes	21.9 ± 0.4	24.2 ± 1.3	29.2 ± 1.2	28.0 ± 1.3
CD11b MFI	1437 ± 42	1674 ± 141	2977 ± 124	1866 ± 75
MHC II MFI	10754 ± 288	9121 ± 1530	2635 ± 1266	$10018 \pm 603^{***}$
CD62L MFI	139 ± 16	112 ± 7	88 ± 37	52 ± 22
CXCR4 MFI	639 ± 26	591 ± 72	592 ± 55	504 ± 31
CD86 MFI	1633 ± 73	1613 ± 101.2	1227 ± 181	1495 ± 107
%CD69+	70.5 ±1.1	65.0 ± 3.6	59.4 ± 5.8	62.8 ± 1.8
Gr1+monocytes	29.3 ± 0.6	30.9 ± 1.1	32.7 ± 1.8	34.5 ± 0.9
CD11b MFI	1740 ± 53	1955 ± 173	3716 ± 132	2386 ± 118
MHC II MFI	11721 ± 268	9689 ± 1818	2693 ± 1704	11859 ± 923
CXCR4 MFI	507 ± 18	489 ± 55	436 ± 45	411 ±26
CD86 MFI	1712 ± 70	1637 ± 126	1234 ± 212	1557 ± 69
%CD69+	62.7 ± 1.3	58.1 ± 3.7	47.3 ± 6.3	55.3 ± 2.2

Fairhurst et al: Supplemental Figure 1:

Deletion of an additional copy of TLR7 in B6. Yaa Mice. B6.*Yaa* mice were backcrossed to TLR7 deficient mice and the mRNA expression examined using RT-PCR with Primer sets from Applied Biosystems (A). Flow cytometry plots of 9 month old male B6, B6.*Sle1*, B6.*Sle1Yaa* and B6.*Sle1YaaTLR7*- mice demonstrate a resolution of phenotypes. (B&C). The CD4+ memory population is restored to normal levels on TLR7 deletion (B). Marginal Zone B cell depletion is also restored on TLR7 deletion (C).



Fairhurst et al: Supplemental Figure 2:

Serum Analysis of Ig in a separate cohort of 9 month mice. The autoantigen was repeated in a separate cohort of 9 month old mice at the UT Southwestern Microarray Core facility. The autoantigen specificity of these antibodies is shown for IgM (a) and IgG (b)



U1-snRNP-C U1-snRNP-68

Fairhurst et al: Supplemental Figure 3:

UIsnRNP levels in aged mice. Immulon II plates (Dynatech Laboratories, Chantilly, VA), precoated with methylated BSA, were coated with 0.4µg/ml each of U1-snRNP C Protein, U1-snRNP C A Protein and U1-snRNP C 68 Protein, as recommended by the manufacturer (Diarect, Germany). After blocking with PBS/3% BSA/0.1% gelatin/3mM EDTA, 1/800 dilutions of the test sera were incubated in duplicate for 2h at room temperature (Optimization of this dilution was determined using 5 MRLlpr mice in an initial experiment). Bound IgG was detected with alkaline phosphatase (AP)-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA or IgM–AP (Southern Biotech)) using pNPP as a substrate. Raw OD was converted to U/ml using positive control serum from an MRLlpr mouse. Positive sera were determined to be values over B6 (mean+ 4 SD).



7

Fairhurst et al: Supplemental Figure 4

Additional Renal Pathology Data: Kidneys were analyzed by an independent pathologist as described in the methods. Kidneys were analyzed for the percentage of glomeruli with crescents crescents (a). Tubular interstitial nephritis (TIN) was also graded (b).

A: Crescents



B: Tubules and Interstitium



Fairhurst et al: Supplemental Figure 5

Gating Strategy for the Myeloid lineage. Spleens and kidneys from 9 month old male B6, B6.*Sle1*, B6.*Sle1Yaa* and B6.*Sle1YaaTLR7*- mice were processed for flow cytometry as described in Experimental Procedures. Live splenic cells were gated on CD4 and CD8 and the non-T population was analyzed for CD19 expression (A). Non B cells were then gated and examined for expression of Gr1 and CD11b. PMNs have high Gr1+ expression (G1, A). Myeloid cells are gated into Gr1high (G2), and Gr1lo/- (G3). Kidney leukocytes were gated on using CD45+ and forward scatter (FSC) (B). Leukocytes were then gated on nonT and nonB, as for splenic gating. The resultant CD11bvsGr1+ plot showed 4 main populations that were CD11b+. PMNs are Gr1+ (G1side scatter high). Remaining myeloid cells are gated into Gr1++ monocytes (G2) and Gr1+ (G3) and Gr1- myeloid cells (G4).

