A cellular protein, activating transcription factor, activates transcription of multiple ElA-inducible adenovirus early promoters

(cellular transcription factor/DNA-binding proteins)

KEVIN A. W. LEE*, TSONG-YUING HAI*, LAKSHMI SIVARAMAN[†], BAYAR THIMMAPPAYA[†], HELEN C. HURST[‡], NICOLAS C. JONES[‡], AND MICHAEL R. GREEN^{*}

*Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Harvard University, ⁷ Divinity Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02138; tDepartment of Microbiology and Immunology and Cancer Center, Northwestern University Medical School, 303 East Chicago Avenue, Chicago, IL 60611; and [‡]Gene Regulation Group,
Imperial Cancer Research Fund, London, England WC2A 3PX

Communicated by James C. Wang, August 17, 1987 (received for review June 6, 1987)

ABSTRACT We have examined the relationship between sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins that activate transcription of ElA-inducible adenovirus early promoters. Factors previously referred to as E4F1 and E2A-EF bind to the E4 and E2A promoters, respectively. We demonstrate here that E4F1 and E2A-EF have identical DNA-binding specificity. Moreover, E4F1 and E2A-EF both activate transcription of the E4 and E2A promoters in vitro. These findings demonstrate that E4F1 and E2A-EF are the same factor, which we have designated activating transcription factor, or ATF. In addition to the E4 and E2A promoters, ATF binds to an important functional element of the ElA-inducible E3 promoter. Interaction of a common activator protein, ATF, with multiple ElA-inducible early viral promoters, suggests a significant role for ATF in ElA-mediated transcriptional activation.

The EIA gene of adenovirus produces closely related 13S and 12S mRNAs that encode nuclear-localized phosphoproteins with diverse transcriptional regulatory properties (1-3). The E1A 13S gene product coordinately activates a set of viral early genes $(EIA, EIB, E2A, E3,$ and $E4$) during a productive infection of permissive human cells (4-7). The ElA 12S gene product encodes a transcriptional repression function that appears to act through transcriptional enhancer elements (8-10). In addition to regulating viral transcription, ElA activates or represses transcription of a limited number of cellular genes (11, 12), and activates polymerase III-dependent promoters (for review, see ref. 7).

Despite numerous studies, several factors have contributed to the difficulties in elucidating the mechanism(s) by which $E1A$ activates transcription of early viral genes. (i) Unlike many other transcriptional regulatory proteins, E1A is not a sequence-specific DNA-binding protein (13), implying that ElA acts indirectly via interaction with cellular transcription components. (ii) Extensive mutagenesis studies have failed to identify common promoter target sequences that signal induction by E1A (see ref. 7). (iii) The biochemical activities and cellular components (transcription factors) required for transcriptional activation by E1A protein are largely unidentified.

Several groups have recently identified cellular factors that interact with ElA-inducible viral promoters (14-19). In one case, a protein factor that interacts with the E2A promoter (E2F) is markedly increased in DNA-binding activity (or amount) by ElA (15, 20). This suggests that activation of E2F is required for ElA-mediated activation of the E2A promoter. However, E2F protein does not interact with all early viral promoters (21), and consequently, activation of E2F cannot entirely account for coordinate activation of early viral genes. Other observations support this view. For the $E4$ and $E3$

promoters, there is currently no evidence that ElA acts by increasing the DNA-binding activity of factors required for E4 and E3 transcription $(18, 19)$. For the E1B promoter (22) and the cellular β -globin promoter (23), the "TATA" box has been implicated as an ElA-responsive promoter element. Thus activation of a variety of ElA-inducible promoters appears to involve different cellular factors and may occur through divergent pathways, ultimately linked by ElA.

Two independent studies have identified additional factors that interact with early viral promoters. A factor referred to as E4F1 binds to the E4 promoter and also interacts with the EIA, E2A, and E3 promoters (18). Similarly, a factor referred to as E2A-EF binds to the E2A, EIA, E3, and E4 promoters (17). We show here that E4F1 and E2A-EF have the same DNA-binding specificity and that both factors activate transcription of the E4 and E2A promoters in vitro. These results demonstrate that E4F1 and E2A-EF are the same factor, which we refer to as ATF, for activating transcription factor. In addition to the E4 and E2A promoters, ATF interacts with an important functional element of the adenovirus E3 promoter. The interaction of ATF with multiple ElA-inducible promoters suggests ^a significant role for ATF in E1Amediated transcriptional activation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmids. pE4WT contains the adenovirus type ⁵ genome between map units 100 and 89, including the entire $E4$ gene cloned into pBR322 between the EcoRI and Pvu II sites. $\beta\Delta$ 128 contains the entire human β -globin gene including 128 base pairs (bp) of ⁵' flanking sequences cloned between the EcoRI and Pvu II sites of pBR322. pBR730 contains adenovirus type 5 sequences between map units 59.5 and 75.9 (containing the E2A promoter) cloned between the EcoRI and BamHI sites of pBR322.

In Vitro Transcription. Nuclear extracts were prepared from HeLa cells as previously described (18, 24). Transcription reactions and competition assays were done as described (18). RNA was prepared and analyzed by primer extension as described (10).

DNase I "Footprinting" Experiments. The 3' ³²P end-labeled probes were prepared by isolating ^a DNA fragment containing E4 promoter sequences between -138 and $+250$ and labeling at position -138 with reverse transcriptase. Footprinting assays were done as described (18). Competitor DNA and labeled probe $(\approx 1$ ng per reaction) were added simultaneously to the binding reactions. DNase ^I digestions were terminated by the addition of 3 vol of $2 \times$ proteinase K buffer (25) containing an additional ¹⁰ mM EDTA followed by proteinase K treatment, purification of labeled DNA, and fractionation on denaturing polyacrylamide gels as described (18).

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Abbreviations: Me2SO4, dimethyl sulfate; E2F, E2 promoter binding factor; ATF, activating transcription factor;F, factor.

Gel Retardation Assays. Probes used for gel retardation assays are described in the figure legends. Labeled probes were prepared by end labeling gel-purified DNA fiagments or syn-

thetic double-stranded oligonucleotides. Gel retardation assays were done as described (17) using either crude nuclear extract from uninfected HeLa cells or a nuclear protein fraction obtained as follows. Crude nuclear extract was loaded onto a phosphocellulose (P11) column at ⁴⁰ mM KCI followed by step elution with ²⁵⁰ mM and ⁶⁰⁰ mM KCI. The ⁶⁰⁰ mM fraction (containing most of the binding activity) was dialyzed against buffer D (24) containing ¹⁰⁰ mM KCI and then loaded onto ^a DEAE-Sephacel column equilibrated in buffer D. The flowthrough fraction contained most of the ATF-binding activity, and we refer to this fraction as DEAE-fractionated nuclear extract.

Dimethyl Sulfate (Me₂SO₄) Footprinting Experiments. Binding reactions using 10 μ g of protein from DEAE-fractionated nuclear extract were done as for DNase ^I footprinting experiments. Me₂SO₄ was diluted 10-fold in water, and 1 μ l of diluted solution was added to a $20-\mu l$ binding reaction. After incubation at room temperature for 4 min, reactions were stopped by addition of 50 μ l of stop solution (26), 180 μ l of water, and 750 μ l of ethanol, precooled on dry ice. DNA was precipitated by centrifugation for 15 min at 4°C in a Microfuge; the pellet was resuspended in 200 μ l of 0.3 M sodium acetate (pH 7) and ethanol-precipitated once more. The pellet was resuspended in 100 μ l of 1 M piperidine and incubated at 90°C for 30 min. Samples were Iyophilized three times and then fractionated on denaturing polyacrylamide gels.

RESULTS A Related Protein Factor Binds to the Adenovirus E4, E3,

and E2A Promoters. Using a DNase ^I footprinting assay we

FIG. 1. A related factor binds to the E4, E3, and E2A promoters. Labeled DNA probes and unlabeled competitor DNA fragments were as follows: E4P (containing an E4F1 binding site), 27-bp synthetic oligonucleotide (oligo) containing positions -37 to -63 of the E4 promoter; E2AP (containing an E2A-EF binding site), 27-bp oligo containing positions -63 to -85 of the E2A promoter; E3P1 (containing an E3F2 binding site), 24-bp oligo containing positions -44 to -67 of the E3 promoter; E3P2 (containing an E3F3 binding site), 22-bp oligo containing positions -82 to -103 of the E3 promoter; E3P3 (containing an E3F4 binding site), 24-bp oligo containing positions -157 to -180 of the E3 promoter; E3N, "nonsense" 22-bp oligo (containing no factor-binding sites) containing positions -107 to -128 of the E3 promoter; E2WT, 81-bp DNA fragment containing positions -17 to -98 of the E2A promoter; E2LS, equivalent to E2WT but lacking positions -74 to -85 of the E2A promoter (17); β P, 24-bp oligo containing sequences from the human β -globin pre-mRNA (5' GCCCT CTATITTCCCACCCTTAGG 3'). (A) E4F1 was detected by a retardation assay using crude nuclear extract. E4P contained within an \approx 100-bp DNA fragment from the polylinker region of pGEM3 was the labeled probe. Excess of unlabeled competitor DNA is indicated at bottom. Lane 1, no competitor DNA; lanes 2-4, E4P as competitor DNA; lanes 5-7, E2AP as competitor DNA; lanes 8 and 9, E2LS as competitor DNA; lane 10, β P as competitor DNA. (B) E4F1 was assayed in the crude nuclear extract by DNase ^I footprinting as described, in the presence of excess unlabeled competitor DNA as indicated at bottom. Lane 1, no nuclear extract; lanes 2-4, 10 μ l of nuclear extract and increasing amounts of E2LS as competitor; lanes 5-7, 10 μ l of nuclear extract and increasing amount of E2WT as competitor; lane 8, 10 μ l of nuclear extract in the absence of competitor. (C) E2A-EF, E3F2, and E4F1 were assayed by gel retardation assays using E2WT, E3P1, and E4P, respectively, as labeled probes, in the presence of excess unlabeled competitor DNAs as indicated at the bottom. Lanes 1-5, E2WT as probe; lanes 6-11, E3P1 as probe; lanes 12-17, E4P as probe.

Biochemistry: Lee et al.

have recently detected a cellular factor (E4F1) that binds to multiple sites in the adenovirus E4 promoter and activates transcription (18). Based on sequences present within E4F1 binding sites of the E4 promoter (18) we inferred a consensus E4F1 binding site (ACGTA/CAC). Significantly, related sequences are present in functionally important regions of the adenovirus $E2A$ (27) (ACGTCAT, positions -71 to -77) and E3 (28) (TCGTCAC, positions -59 to -53) promoters, and factors have been shown to bind to these regions. A protein factor called E2A-EF binds to the E2A promoter between positions -82 to -66 (17), and a protein factor called E3F2 binds to the E3 promoter between positions -68 to -44 (19).

To determine the relationship between E4F1, E2A-EF, and E3F2 we first performed a series of competition studies (Fig. 1). Using a gel retardation assay for E4F1, we examined the ability of various E2A promoter fragments to compete for E4F1 binding (Fig. lA). A 20-fold molar excess of ^a 27-bp synthetic oligonucleotide containing an E2A-EF-binding site (E2AP) efficiently competes for E4F1 binding, whereas a 20-fold molar excess of an E2A promoter fragment (E2LS), containing a mutated E2A-EF-binding site, fails to compete. $[E2LS$ contains a linker scan mutation in which positions -74 to -85 of the *E*2A promoter are replaced by linker DNA (17). E2LS does not bind E2A-EF (17) and is impaired for E2A transcription (27).] These data indicate that E4F1 and E2A-EF are closely related. To demonstrate that E4F1 as detected by DNase ^I footprinting is related to E2A-EF, we performed competition studies using the DNase ^I footprinting assay for E4F1 (Fig. 1B). As previously shown, E4F1 binds to the region between -39 and -56 of the E4 promoter (18). Binding of E4F1 is efficiently inhibited by a 30-fold molar excess of E2WT DNA, but this binding is not inhibited by ^a 180-fold molar excess of E2LS promoter fragment.

We next examined the ability of E4P to compete for binding of E2A-EF to the $E2A$ promoter (Fig. 1C). Using a gelretardation assay for E2A-EF (Fig. 1C, lanes 1-5), a 20-fold molar excess of E4P DNA efficiently competes for binding of

FIG. 2. Methylation protection by E4F1. Nuclear extract (DEAEfractionated) was incubated with ³' end-labeled probe from the E4 promoter region under footprinting conditions as described. Guanosine residues and their positions with respect to the E4 transcription start site are indicated to the left, for both the coding and noncoding strands. Guanosine residues that are protected by E4F1 are indicated by stars within the E4F1 binding site at the bottom.

E2A-EF, whereas a 50-fold excess of βP DNA fails to compete. We also assayed E3F2 (19) using ^a gel retardation assay (Fig. 1C, lanes 6–11) and found that E3F2 binding to the E3 promoter is efficiently competed against by E4P and E2WT DNA fragments but not by the E2LS fragment, which lacks the E2A-EF binding site. Finally we assayed E4F1 by using E4P-labeled DNA fragment as probe (Fig. 1C, lanes 12-17) and found that an oligonucleotide (E3P1) containing an E3F2-binding site competes for E4F1 binding. In contrast, oligonucleotides containing binding sites for other protein factors that interact with the E3 promoter [E3P2 and E3P3 oligonucleotides contain binding sites for E3F3 and E3F4 proteins, respectively, and E3N, the nonsense oligonucleotide, contains E3 promoter sequences that do not bind any factors (19)] do not compete for E4F1 binding. Thus E4F1 is related to E3F2, but the former protein factor is not related to E3F3 or E3F4. We therefore conclude that the DNAbinding specificities of E4F1, E2A-EF, and E3F2 are closely related.

FIG. 3. ATF binding sites in other viral and cellular transcriptional control regions. The consensus binding site is derived from the ATF-binding sites described in this study, by taking into account the sequences surrounding the three important guanosine contacts between ATF and DNA. Protected guanosine residues (determined by methylation protection or interference assays) are indicated by closed circles. Note that in some cases the consensus sequence is on the coding strand and in other cases on the noncoding strand, consistent with the ability of ATF to function bidirectionally (18). We previously showed that ATF interacts with the adenovirus EIA promoter (18) and noted the position of putative ATF binding sites within the EIA promoter region (GCGTAAC, -249 to -243 ; ACG-TAAA, -121 to -127 ; ACGTCAG, -38 to -44). We have not determined whether ATF interacts with these sequences within the EIA promoter. HTLV, human T-cell leukemia virus; LTR, long terminal repeat; BLV, bovine leukemia virus; Hsp, heat shock protein; VIP, vasoactive intestinal peptide.

Protein Factors E4F1, E2A-EF, and E3F2 Bind to Identical Sequences. To determine some of the important DNA-protein contacts in the E4F1-binding site between positions -56 and -39 of the E4 promoter, we performed methylation protection experiments for both coding and noncoding strands (Fig. 2). Unprotected DNA, or DNA protected by factors present in DEAE-fractionated nuclear extract, was treated with Me2SO4, isolated, cleaved with piperidine, and analyzed on denaturing gels. The guanosine residues present at positions -46 and -49 of the coding strand and position -48 of the noncoding strand are protected from methylation by E4F1. We also determined the pattern of methylation protection for the high-affinity E4F1 site in the $E4$ enhancer (between positions -170 and -164) and obtained the same result (data not shown, see Fig. 3). A summary of the methylationprotection data for the analyzed E4F1-binding site is indicated schematically at the bottom of Fig. 2. Significantly, the three guanosine residues in the E4F1 consensus sequence that contact E4F1 are also important contacts in the binding of E2A-EF (17, 20) and E3F2 (19) to their respective promoters (Fig. 3). Thus from all the above data, we conclude that E4F1, E2A-EF, and E3F2 have essentially identical DNA-binding specificities.

Factors E4F1 and E2A-EF Activate Transcription of the E4 and E2A Promoters in Vitro. We have previously shown that E4F1 is required for efficient transcription of the $E4$ promoter in vitro in nuclear extracts from uninfected HeLa cells (18); this conclusion is based on the ability of E4P DNA to specifically compete for binding of E4F1 and inhibit E4 transcription, as shown below. Using this assay we tested whether E4F1 and E2A-EF are functionally equivalent (Fig. 4). In control experiments a 75-fold molar excess of E4P DNA almost abolishes E4 transcription, whereas the same amount of an unrelated double-stranded oligonucleotide (βP) has no effect (Fig. 4, lanes 3-5). In addition, inhibition of E4 transcription is promoter specific [as previously shown (18)] because E4P DNA does not inhibit β -globin transcription even at 180-fold molar excess (Fig. 4, lanes ¹ and 2). We examined whether E4F1 is required for transcription of the E2A promoter in vitro. As previously shown for the adenovirus type 2 $E2A$ promoter (29, 30) the adenovirus type 5 $E2A$ promoter is accurately transcribed in vitro in the crude nuclear extract, although less efficiently than the E4 promoter. Significantly, E2A transcription is inhibited by the same concentrations of E4P required to inhibit E4 transcription [Fig. 4, lanes $6-8$ (E4) and lanes $9-11$ (*E2A*)]. Thus E4F1 is required for E2A transcription in vitro. In agreement with this conclusion, the E4F1 binding site in the E2A promoter maps precisely to a cis-acting promoter element previously defined in vitro for the adenovirus type 2 $E2A$ promoter (30). We next examined whether E2A promoter fragments containing or lacking an E2A-EF binding site could inhibit E4 transcription. A 40-fold molar excess of E2WT DNA strongly inhibited E4 transcription, whereas the same amount of E2LS DNA had ^a slight stimulatory effect (Fig. 4, lanes 12-16). We conclude that E2A-EF is able to activate the $E4$ promoter. Thus, by the criteria of transcriptional activation of common promoters, E4F1 and E2A-EF are functionally equivalent. Together with their identical DNA-binding specificity, these data demonstrate that E4F1 and E2A-EF are the same factor.

DISCUSSION

We have shown that ^a cellular factor, ATF, interacts with the E1A-inducible adenovirus $E4$, $E2A$, and $E3$ promoters. This factor corresponds to E4F1, E2A-EF, or E3F2 described in previous studies on the individual early viral promoters (17-19). Our data cannot rule out the possibility that multiple factors with identical DNA-binding specificities bind to ATF consensus sequences, as appears to be true for factors binding to the octamer motif (31). However, because the E2A, E3, and E4 promoters are physically linked and coordinately activated within the same cell, we favor the interpretation that E4F1, E2A-EF, and E3F2 represent a single factor, which we refer to as ATF.

Several pieces of evidence indicate that ATF is required for transcriptional activation of the E2A, E3, and E4 promoters. First, in each case ATF binds to DNA sequences that are critical for functioning of the corresponding promoters in vivo (18, 27, 28, 32, 33). Second, our previous data (18) and the transcription competition experiments reported here, demonstrate that binding of ATF to the $E2$ and $E4$ promoters is required for transcription in vitro. Although related by the presence of ATF-binding sites, the E2A, E3, and E4 promoters are otherwise dissimilar and interact with distinct transcription factors (Fig. 5). For the E4 promoter, multiple binding sites for ATF and the "TATA" box might provide full promoter function (18), whereas for the $E2A$ and $E3$ promoters ATF must functionally interact with additional factors to promote transcription.

The finding that ATF binds to multiple ElA-inducible promoters, together with our previous observation that ATF interacts with an ElA-inducible enhancer element (18), strongly suggests ^a direct role for ATF in ElA-mediated transcriptional activation. However, the nature of such a role is currently unclear. In addition, it is pertinent that ATF does not bind to the adenovirus EIB promoter (17) or major late promoter (14), and thus, ATF is not required for transcription of all ElA-inducible promoters. This might reflect the exist-

FIG. 4. E4F1 and E2A-EF activate transcription in vitro. In vitro transcription reactions were done using crude nuclear extract from uninfected HeLa cells as described (18). In each case ⁸⁰⁰ ng of circular DNA template was used to program transcription. Primer extension products representing correctly initiated RNAs $(\beta, \beta$ -globin) are indicated at the side. DNA competitor fragments and the molar excess of competitor DNA are indicated at bottom. Transcription templates were as follows. Lanes 1 and 2, $\beta\Delta 128$ (containing the human β -globin promoter); lanes $3-8$ and lanes $12-16$, $pB4WT$ (containing the $E4$ promoter); lanes $9-11$, $pBR730$ (containing the $E2A$ promoter).

Biochemistry: Lee et al.

FIG. 5. Positions of ATF-binding sites in adenovirus early promoters. The figure schematically represents the E4, E3, and E2A promoters, emphasizing the known factor-binding sites; this scheme does not necessarily provide a complete description for any of the promoters, but it is intended to summarize existing data. Positions of factor-binding sites with respect to the transcription start site $(+1)$ are indicated at bottom. Binding sites for the E2A [E2F (15, 20, 21) and E2A-EF (17, 20)], E3 [E3F3 and E3F4 (19)], and E4 (E4F1 (18)] promoters have been described previously. In addition, all factorbinding sites indicated coincide with functionally important elements of the corresponding promoters (15, 18, 21, 27, 28, 30, 32-34).

ence of multiple pathways involved in ElA-mediated transcriptional activation-some requiring ATF and others not. Certainly the fact that ElA has diverse activating effects on cellular and viral transcription is consistent with the notion that ElA acts through multiple pathways. ElA does not increase the amount or DNA-binding activity of ATF, which is abundant in nuclear extracts from uninfected cells (17-19). Possibly, ElA directly modifies ATF and increases its transcriptional activity without affecting its DNA-binding properties. An alternative possibility is that ATF is indirectly activated via interaction with another (as yet unidentified) factor. In this regard it is interesting that ATF does not appear to interact with the E2A promoter in vivo in the absence of ElA (35). Thus ATF binding to the E2A promoter is E1Adependent in vivo but E1A-independent in vitro, suggesting that additional factors influence ATF binding to the E2A promoter in vivo.

Because ATF is a cellular factor, ATF is almost certainly involved in the transcriptional activation of specific cellular genes. Accordingly, ATF-binding sites are present in a limited number of cellular promoters (Fig. 3). The c-fos promoter contains an ATF consensus sequence within an important promoter element and is bound by a nuclear factor that makes the essential guanosine contacts characteristic of ATF (36). Thus, ATF is probably involved in transcription of the c-fos gene. ATF consensus binding sites are also closely associated with cAMP-inducible elements within cAMPinducible promoters (37, 38). It will be of interest to determine whether ATF binds to these promoters and whether the spectrum of genes activated by ElA and cAMP overlap.

We are grateful to M. McCaffrey for excellent technical assistance. K.A.W.L. is supported by a Jane Coffin Childs Post-doctoral Research Fellowship. This work was supported by a grant from the National Institutes of Health to M.R.G. and grants from the National Institutes of Health and American Heart Association (to B.T.). B.T. is an established investigator of the American Heart Association.

- 1. Berk, A. J. & Sharp, P. A. (1978) Cell 14, 695-711.
2. Perricaudet. M.. Akusiarvi. G.. Virtanen. A. & Pette
- 2. Perricaudet, M., Akusjarvi, G., Virtanen, A. & Pettersson, U. (1979) Nature (London) 281, 694-6%.
- 3. Graham, F. L. (1984) in The Adenoviruses, ed. Ginsberg, H. (Plenum, New York), pp. 339-398.
- 4. Berk, A. J., Lee, F., Harrison, T., Williams, J. & Sharp, P. A. (1979) Cell 17, 935-944.
- 5. Jones, N. & Shenk, T. (1979) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 76, 3665-3669.
- 6. Nevins, J. R. (1981) Cell 26, 213-220.
- 7. Berk, A. J. (1986) Annu. Rev. Genet. 20, 45-79.
8. Borrelli, E., Hen, R. & Chambon, P. (1984) Nat
- 8. Borrelli, E., Hen, R. & Chambon, P. (1984) Nature (London) 312, 608-612.
- 9. Velcich, A. & Ziff, E. (1985) Cell 40, 705-716.
10. Lillie, J. W., Green, M. & Green, M. R. (
- Lillie, J. W., Green, M. & Green, M. R. (1986) Cell 46, 1043-1051.
- 11. Kingston, R. E., Baldwin, A. S. & Sharp, P. A. (1985) Cell 41, 3-5.
- 12. Liu, H. T., Baserga, R. & Mercer, W. E. (1985) Mol. Cell. Biol. 5, 2936-2942.
- 13. Ferguson, B., Krippl, B., Andrisani, O., Jones, N., Westphal, H. & Rosenberg, M. (1985) Mol. Cell. Biol. 5, 2653-2661.
- 14. Carthew, R. W., Chodosh, L. A. & Sharp, P. A. (1985) Cell 43, 439-448.
- 15. Kovesdi, I., Reichel, R. & Nevins, J. R. (1986) Cell 45, 219-228.
- 16. Sawadogo, M. & Roeder, R. G. (1985) Cell 43, 165-175.
17. SiyaRaman, L., Subramanian, S. & Thimmappaya, B. (
- 17. SivaRaman, L., Subramanian, S. & Thimmappaya, B. (1986) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83, 5914-5918.
- 18. Lee, K. A. W. & Green, M. R. (1987) *EMBO J.* 6, 1345–1353.
19. Hurst, H. C. & Jones, N. C. (1987) *Genes Dev.*, in press.
- 19. Hurst, H. C. & Jones, N. C. (1987) Genes Dev., in press.
20. SivaRaman, L. & Thimmappaya, B. (1987) Proc. Natl. Ac
- SivaRaman, L. & Thimmappaya, B. (1987) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84, 6112-6116.
- 21. Kovesdi, I., Reichel, R. & Nevins, J. R. (1987) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84, 2180-2184.
- 22. Wu, L., Rosser, D. S. E., Schmidt, M. C. & Berk, A. J. (1987) Nature (London) 326, 512-515.
- 23. Green, M. R., Treisman, R. & Maniatis, T. (1983) Cell 35, 137-148.
- 24. Dignam, J. D., Lebovitz, R. M. & Roeder, R. G. (1983) Nucleic Acids Res. 11, 1475-1489.
- 25. Favalaro, J. M., Treisman, R. H. & Kamen, R. (1980) Methods Enzymol. 65, 718-749.
- 26. Maniatis, T., Fritsch, E. F. & Sambrook, J. (1982) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY).
- 27. Murthy, S. C. S., Bhat, G. P. & Thimmappaya, B. (1985) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82, 2230-2234.
- 28. Leff, T., Corden, J., Elkaim, R. & Sassone-Corsi, P. (1985) Nucleic Acids Res. 13, 1209-1221.
- 29. Mathis, D. J., Elkaim, R., Kedinger, C., Sassone-Corsi, P. & Chambon, P. (1981) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78, 7383- 7387.
- 30. Elkaim, R., Goding, C. & Kedinger, C. (1983) Nucleic Acids Res. 11, 7105-7117.
- 31. Staudt, L. M., Singh, H., Sen, R., Wirth, T., Sharp, P. A. & Baltimore, D. (1986) Nature (London) 323, 640-643.
- 32. Gilardi, P. & Perricaudet, M. (1984) Nucleic Acids Res. 12, 7877-7888.
- 33. Handa, H. & Sharp, P. A. (1984) Mol. Cell. Biol. 4, 791–798.
34. Zaichowski, D. A., Bouef. H. & Kedinger, C. (1985) EMBO J.
- Zajchowski, D. A., Bouef, H. & Kedinger, C. (1985) EMBO J. 4, 1293-1300.
- 35. Kovesdi, I., Reichel, R. & Nevins, J. R. (1986) Science 231, 719-722.
- 36. Gilman, M. Z., Wilson, R. N. & Weinberg, R. A. (1986) Mol. Cell. Biol. 6, 4305-4316.
- 37. Montminy, M. R., Sevarino, K. A., Wagner, J. A., Mandel, G. & Goodman, R. H. (1986) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83, 6682-6686.
- 38. Silver, B. J., Bokar, J. A., Virgin, J. B., Vallen, E. A., Milsted, A. & Nilson, J. H. (1987) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84, 2198-2202.