Additional member of the protein-tyrosine kinase family: The srcand lck-related protooncogene c-tkl

(cDNA cloning/nucleotide sequence/cell transformation)

KLAUS STREBHARDT*, JAMES I. MULLINS[†], CLAUDINE BRUCK^{†‡}, AND HELGA RÜBSAMEN-WAIGMANN^{*}

*Chemotherapeutisches Forschungsinstitut, Georg-Speyer-Haus, Paul-Ehrlich-Strasse 42-44, 6000 Frankfurt 70, Federal Republic of Germany; and tDepartment of Cancer Biology, Harvard School of Public Health, ⁶⁶⁵ Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA ⁰²¹¹⁵

Communicated by Raymond L. Erikson, August 13, 1987 (received for review March 19, 1987)

ABSTRACT We report the isolation and nucleotide sequence of a 3.7-kilobase (kb) cDNA clone from chicken spleen corresponding to a previously undescribed member of the src family of protooncogenes. It encodes a protein with a Cterminal domain related to the src family of protein-tyrosine kinases (EC 2.7.1.112) and, among these, has most significant homology to the Ick gene isolated from a murine leukemia virus-induced thymoma cell line. The gene is therefore referred to as c-tkl for cellular tyrosine kinase related to lck. Analysis of genomic DNA reveals that c-tkl is ^a chromosomal locus distinct from c-src and c-lck. Furthermore, the size of c-tkl mRNA as well as its pattern of expression indicates that it is not the chicken homologue of Ick but a different gene. A 3.8-kb transcript of the c-tkl gene, identical to the size determined for c-src mRNA, was observed in cultured chicken embryo fibroblasts and in chicken spleen and brain. In contrast, detection of ^a definite c-src mRNA signal with mRNA from spleen was not possible under the hybridization conditions employed when the ⁵' end of v-src was used as the probe, and none of the 11 clones obtained from the cDNA library corresponded to a c-src transcript. Thus previous studies of c-src mRNA expression in spleen may have actually detected c-tkl transcripts.

The protein-tyrosine kinase activity (EC 2.7.1.112) originally identified was associated with the viral transforming protein of the Schmitt-Ruppin strain of Rous sarcoma virus (1, 2). Later, similar kinase activities were found in the transforming proteins of other tumor viruses of chicken as well as of other species. To date, the products of the oncogenes v-src $(1-3)$, v-yes (4), v-fgr (5), v-fps (6–8), v-fes (9), v-abl (10, 11), and v-ros (12) are known to exhibit tyrosine-specific protein kinase activity.

The provenance of these transforming proteins is cellular, since all of the viral transforming genes are closely related to cellular genes (13, 14). The cellular counterparts of viral oncogenes, termed protooncogenes, are generally expressed in very low amounts. Some of them are expressed only in very specific cells or at specific stages of development.

Apart from the protooncogene-encoded tyrosine kinase activities, whose function is unknown, cellular proteintyrosine kinase activities are also associated with receptors for cellular growth factors (13), such as the epidermal growth factor receptor (15), the receptor for insulin (16), or the receptor for the platelet-derived growth factor (17).

Recently, an overlap between the families of protooncogenes and growth factor receptors was found: c-erb B (18) and c-fms (19) encode genetic information related to the epidermal growth factor receptor and the receptor for the mononuclear phagocyte growth factor (CSF-1), respectively. Thus tyrosine kinases of normal cells seem to play a key role in regulation of the growth and differentiation of cells.

The level of tyrosine phosphorylation in normal cells is low (2). However, it is not known how many tyrosine-specific protein kinases exist in normal cells and how they exert their supposed regulatory functions. In an attempt to characterize the mRNA of c-src, the cellular homologue of the viral transforming gene v-src, and possibly to find more src-related genes that are expressed in certain cells, we analyzed expression of the gene in adult chicken tissues. We describe here the isolation and characterization of a *src*-related gene $(c$ -*tkl*)§ from a chicken cDNA library, and we suggest that this gene likely corresponds to most of the actual mRNA detected in previous studies of c-src expression.

 \mathbf{I}

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells. Chicken embryo fibroblasts were prepared from 11-day-old SPF chicken embryos (SPAFAS) and maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium with 10% fetal calf serum, in a humidified incubator at 5% CO₂.

Construction of ^a cDNA Library. Total RNA from adult chicken spleen (SPAFAS) was isolated by using the guanidine isothiocyanate/cesium chloride cushion technique (20). Polyadenylylated RNA was prepared by two cycles of binding to oligo(dT)-cellulose (21). The cDNA was synthesized according to a modification (22) of the Gubler and Hoffmann cDNA synthesis technique (23). In ^a subsequent step the cDNA was annealed to λ gt10 arms (24) prepared as described and ligated with T4 DNA ligase (25).

Screening of the cDNA Library. The 0.8-kilobase (kb) Pvu II fragment of v-src was nick-translated to a specific activity of 2–8 \times 10⁵ cpm/ng and used at 1 \times 10⁶ cpm/ml to screen (26) approximately 5×10^5 λ gt10 recombinant bacteriophage. Replica nitrocellulose filters were incubated at 42°C in a hybridization mix containing 10% dextran sulfate and 50% formamide as previously described (25). Filters were washed at 50 \degree C in 0.2 \times SSC (30 mM NaCl/3 mM sodium citrate, pH 7.0). Autoradiography using intensifying screens was performed at -70° C for 1–2 days.

RNA Blot Hybridization Analysis. Samples $(10 \mu g)$ of poly(A)+ RNA prepared as described above were separated on 1% agarose/methylmercury gels (27) and transferred to nitrocellulose (28). As a probe, the 1.7-kb EcoRI fragment of lck (29, 30) or the probes indicated in the text, at 1×10^6 cpm/ml, were hybridized as described above.

Southern Blot Analysis. High molecular weight DNA was extracted from chicken spleen (20), digested with restriction endonucleases, electrophoresed in 1% agarose gels, transferred to nitrocellulose filters, and hybridized to radiolabeled probe.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

tPresent address: Smith Kline RIT, 89, Rue de ^L'Institut, 1330 Rixensart, Belgium.

[§]This sequence is being deposited in the EMBL/GenBank data base (Bolt, Beranek, and Newman Laboratories, Cambridge, MA, and Eur. Mol. Biol. Lab., Heidelberg) (accession no. J03579).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Isolation of a src-Related Gene. Chicken spleen was used for the establishment of ^a cDNA library because the amount of mRNA yielding ^a positive signal with ^a src-specific probe had shown to be relatively high in that tissue (33). The library was screened with the 0.8-kb Pvu II fragment of v-src from the Schmidt-Ruppin strain of Rous sarcoma virus; this fragment encodes a part of the C-terminal catalytic domain of the kinase (34).

Eleven positive clones with insert sizes ranging from 1.3 to 3.7 kb (the latter was named clone Cl) were obtained from 500,000 plaques screened. Restriction site analysis of these clones demonstrated that they had very similar restriction patterns. These patterns, however, differed from the pattern published for the v-src gene. They were also distinct from those known for the viral oncogenes v-fgr and v-yes.

To further characterize the cDNA clones, restriction enzyme digests of genomic DNA from chicken spleen were separated on agarose gels and probed by hybridization with all of the cDNA clones and with the v-src fragment. The latter revealed bands of 9.5, 4.9, and 2.2 kb when the DNA was cut with HindIII and EcoRI. When the same DNA was hybridized with the cDNA clones, bands of 18, 2.2, 1.2, 1.0, and 0.8 kb were visible, indicating that the cDNAs were derived from ^a locus that is not c-src (Table 1). A similar conclusion could be drawn from the results when BamHI was used as restriction enzyme. This analysis also showed that the new clones were derived from a locus that is distinct from lck.

Blot hybridization analysis of the mRNA from chicken embryo fibroblasts, using the largest clone, C1, as a probe, showed a band of 3.8 kb (Fig. 1), which is identical in size to the c-src mRNA determined by Gonda et al. (33).

Structure of the mRNA of the src-Related Gene. The complete nucleotide sequence of C1 determined by the dideoxy chain-termination method (32) is shown in Fig. 2. The total length of the clone is ³⁷¹² nucleotides. A 1371 nucleotide open reading frame extends from its ⁵' end and ends at an in-frame stop codon at position 1393. Since the ATG (Met) codon at position ²² matches with Kozak's rules for the initiation of translation (35) in that the position -3 is a purine and -2 and -1 are cytosine, this Met residue could be the start of a protein specified by the open reading frame

Table 1. Restriction analysis of genomic loci: Fragment sizes (kb) and hybridization intensities

C1 probe		v-src probe		lck probe
HindIII $+ EcoRI$	BamHI	HindIII $+ EcoRI$	BamHI	BamHI
18 $+ + +$	$11.2 +$	$9.5 + + +$	11 $+$	$8.5 + +$
$2.2 +$	$4.8 + +$	$4.9 +$	$7.3 + + +$	$4.7 +$
$1.2 +$	$2.7 + + +$	$2.2 +$	$5.6 +$	$4.5 + + +$
$1.0 +$			$5.2 +$	$2.8 + +$
$0.8 +$			$4.7 +$	$1.6 +$
			$3.6 +$	
			$2.6 + +$	

Southern blotting experiments were carried out with 10 μ g of chicken spleen DNA per lane and the nick-translated probes of the genes indicated. Hybridization was under medium-high stringency for C1 and v-src. For ick, low-stringency conditions (30% formamide, 37 $^{\circ}$ C) were used, because the *lck* gene was derived from mouse. Hybridization intensities are indicated by $+$ (very weak), $+$ + (weak), and $++$ (strong).

FIG. 1. Blot hybridization analysis of RNA from chicken embryo fibroblasts. A 10- μ g sample of poly(A)⁺ RNA was analyzed. The blot was hybridized with $32P$ -labeled cDNA of clone C1 (1 × 10⁶ cpm/ml) for 18 hr, washed with $0.2 \times$ SSC at 50°C, and exposed for 48 hr.

with a relative molecular mass of about 51,596. However, as discussed below, protein sequence alignments strongly suggest that approximately 150 bases derived from the ⁵' end of the coding sequence of this gene are missing from the cDNA clone C1. The ³' region has a length of 2319 base pairs (bp), which encompasses a signal for the poly(A) addition at position 3683 and a short poly(A) sequence at its very end. Within this region, sequence information for two short proteins is found, the first containing 169 amino acids (nucleotides 1810-2317) and the second containing 93 amino acids (nucleotides 3172-3451). However, in both cases, the consensus sequence for eukaryotic initiation sites (35) is not observed.

The Gene in C1 Is Related to the Tyrosine Kinase Family. A computer homology search of the sequence of C1 revealed that its putative translation product is highly related to the catalytic domains of tyrosine kinases (Table 2). This homology is most striking for the products of lck (29, 30) and lyn (37) and less for those of yes, src, and syn (36). Since the homology encompasses the entire catalytic domain of the related tyrosine kinases listed in Table 2, we supposed that C1 encodes a protein with tyrosine kinase activity and hence named it tkl (tyrosine kinase related to lck).

This idea was, furthermore, strongly supported by a comparison of the catalytic domains of src (43), yes, lyn, and Ick with tkl (Fig. 3A). The consensus sequence of the ATP-binding region (37), Gly-Xaa-Gly-Xaa-Xaa-Gly (first box in Fig. 3A) is perfectly conserved in tkl at amino acid positions 199-204. Furthermore, a lysine residue is present at position 220 (arrow in Fig. 3A) in tkl, which is supposed to be involved in the binding of ATP (38) in other tyrosine kinases. Interestingly, a tyrosine site at position 341 (second box in Fig. 3A) in tkl could represent an autophosphorylation site like Tyr-416 in src.

In contrast to the catalytic domain described above, much less homology with the other tyrosine kinases is found in the N-terminal 200 amino acids of tkl (Table 2). Generally, this region is believed to determine the specificity of individual tyrosine kinases with respect to their protein substrates (30). Again tkl shows the highest degree of homology with Ick (77%) (Table 2). The homology between lck and tkl extends upstream and downstream of the first AUG codon in tkl (Fig. 3B). Furthermore, in contrast to other tyrosine kinases of the src family, the first methionine is followed by serine and proline residues, rather than by glycine and serine/cysteine, the glycine being the attachment site for myristic acid in these proteins. It therefore appears that the C1 cDNA clone is missing the 5' end of the *tkl* transcript. Comparing the size of the C1 clone (3.71 kb) with the observed size of the tkl mRNA (3.8 kb) and the alignment shown in Fig. 3B, we estimate that we are missing at least 100-150 bp of sequence.

CCC CTG GTG TCC TAC GAG GCC ATG TCT CCG CCG TGC TCC CCG CTG CAA GAC MG CTC GTG GTG GCC CTG TAT GAC TAT GM CCC ACT CAC ⁹⁰ Met Ser Pro Pro Cys Ser Pro Leu Gln Asp Lys Leu Val Val Ala Leu Tyr Asp Tyr Glu Pro Thr His GAT GGG GAC CTG GGA CTT MG CAG GGC GAG AAG CTG CGC GTC CTG GM GAG AGC GGA GAG TGG TGG AGG GCG CAG TCG CTC ACC ACG GGC ¹⁸⁰ Asp Gly Asp Leu Gly Leu Lys Gin Gly Glu Lys Leu Arg Val Leu Glu Glu Ser Gly Glu Trp Trp Arg Ala Gln Ser Leu Thr Thr Gly CAG GAG GGT TTG ATC CCC CAC AAC TTC GTG GCC ATG GTG AAC AGC CTG GAG CCG GAG CCG TGG TTC TTC AAG AAC CTC AGC CGC AAG AAC 270
Gin Giu Giy Leu Ile Pro His Asn Phe Val Ala Mét Val Asn Ser Leu Giu Pro Giu Pro Trp Phe Phe Lys As GCG GAG GCC AGG CTG CTG GCG TCG GGC AAC ACG CAC GGC TCC TTC CTC ATC CGG GAG AGC GAG ACC TCT AAA GGC TCC TAC TCG CTG TCA 360
Ala Glu Ala Arg Leu Leu Ala Ser Gly Asn Thr His Gly Ser Phe Leu Ile Arg Glu Ser Glu Thr Ser Lys Gl GTG AGG GAC TTC GAC CAG AAC CAG GGC GAG ACA GTG AAG CAC TAC AAG ATT CGC AAC ATG GAC AAC GGG GGG TAC TAC ATC TCC CCC CGG – 450
Val Arg Asp Phe Asp Gln Asn Gln Gly Glu Thr Val Lys His Tyr Lys Ile Arg Asn Met Asp Asn Gly Gly GIC ACC TIC AGC AGC CIG CAC GAG CIG GIG GAG TAT TAC TCA AGC AGC TCG GAT GGG CIG TGC ACC CGC CTT GGC AAG CCC TGC CGG ACG - 540
Val Thr Phe Ser Ser Leu His Glu Leu Val Glu Tyr Tyr Ser Ser Ser Asp Gly Leu Cys Thr Arg Leu Gly CAG AAG CCG CAG AAG CCG 1GG 1GG CAG GAC GAG 1GG GAG G1G CCA CGA GAG 1CG C1G AAG C1G G1G AAG C1G GGA GCC GGG CAG TTT
G1n Lys Pro G1n Lys Pro Trp Trp G1n Asp G1u Trp G1u Va1 Pro Arg G1u Ser Leu Lys Leu Va1 G1u Lys Leu G1y A1 GGA GAA GTC TGG ATG GGC TTC TAC AAC GGC CAC ACC AAG GTA GCC ATC AAG AAC CTG AAG CAG GGC AGT ATG TCC CCC AGC GCC TTC CTG - 720
Gly Glu Val Trp Met Gly Phe Tyr Asn Gly His Thr Lys Val Ala Ile Lys Asn Leu Lys Gln Gly Ser Met GCC GAG GCC AAC CTG ATG AAG AAC CTG CAG CAC CCA CGG CTG GTG CGG CTC TAC GCT GTG GTG ACC AAG GAG CCC ATC TAC ATC ATC ACA — 810
Ala Glu Ala Asn Leu Met Lys Asn Leu Gln His Pro Arg Leu Val Arg Leu Tyr Ala Val Val Thr Lys Glu GAG TAC ATG GAG AAG GGC AGC CTG GTG GAC TTC CTC AAG ACC TCA GAG GGC ATC AAG CTC AGC ATC AAC AAA CTT CTG GAC ATG GCC GCA 900
Glu Tyr Met Glu Lys Gly Ser Leu Val Asp Phe Leu Lys Thr Ser Glu Gly Ile Lys Leu Ser Ile Asn Lys Le CAG ATT GCT GAA GGC ATG GCC TTC ATC GAA GCC AAG AAC TAC ATC CAC CGT GAC CTG CGG GCT GCC AAC ATC CTC GTG TCG GAG GCC CTG 990
GIn Ile Ala Glu Gly Met Ala Phe Ile Glu Ala Lys Asn Tyr Ile His Arg Asp Leu Arg Ala Ala Asn Ile Le TGC TGC AAA ATC GCT GAC TTC GGG CTG GCG CGC CTC ATC GAG GAC AAC GAA TAC ACA GCA CGA GAA GGG GCT AAA TTC CCC ATC AAG TGG - 1080
Cys Cys Lys ILe Ala Asp Phe Gly Leu Ala Arg Leu Ile Glu Asp Asn Glu Tyr Thr Ala Arg Glu Gly Ala ACA GCA CCG GAG GCT ATC AAT TAC GGC ACG TTC ACC ATC AAG TCT GAC GTC TGG TCC TTT GGC ATC CTG CTC ACT GAG ATT GTT ACC TAC - 1170
Thr Ala Pro Glu Ala Ile Asn Tyr Gly Thr Phe Thr Ile Lys Ser Asp Val Trp Ser Phe Gly Ile Leu Leu GGC CGG ATC CCG TAT CCA GGG ATG ACC AAC CCC GAG GTG ATC CAG AAC CTG GAG CGC GGC TAC CGC ATG CCG CAG CCC GAC TGC CCG - 1260
Gly Arg Ile Pro Tyr Pro Gly Met Thr Asn Pro Glu Val Ile Gln Asn Leu Glu Arg Gly Tyr Arg Met Pro Gln CAG GAG CTG TAC GAA CTG ATG ATG CAG TGC TGG AAG GAG CAG CCT GAG GAG CGG CCC ACC TTC GAG TAC ATG AAG AGC GTG CTG GAG GAC 1350
G1n G1u Leu Tyr G1u Leu Met Met G1n Cys Trp Lys G1u G1n Pro G1u G1u Arg Pro Thr Phe G1u Tyr Met TTC TTC ACC GCC ACC GAG GGA CAA TAC CAG CAG CAG CCG TGA GGCCCCGC GCAGCCTGGG GGCACGCCGG GCCCCCCAGA CTCCACGAGC ATCCCATGAG - 1450
Phe Phe Thr Ala Thr Glu Gly Gln Tyr Gln Gln Gln Pro End CACCGCCTGG CAGTGAAGAT TTCGTGCTTC CCAAAGAGCT TTGCCCCTGA ATGGTGACAG CCTAACTGAA GTGCCCGTGG ACTGGTGTGC TGGGGGACG TGTCGCAGCC 1560
CACCGCCTGC ATGGCTGTA CCTCCCCCCC TTGCCCCAAG GCCCCAGAC AGAGAACGGA GCTCCCAGCC TGGGGGGACC TCGACGGGC T CGCCGTGCCC ATGGCTGTCA CCTCCCCGCC TTGCCCCACA GCCCCACAC ACAGCACGGA GCTCCCAGCG TGGGGCGGCC CCTCACGGCC TCGGCCGGGC TGCAGCAGAG 1670
CCCATGCGCC CTCACGGCTT GGGCAGAGCG GTGCCCCTTA GATGGAGGCC ATGGGCCGAG GCCACGGGGT TGGGGTGACT GCCAGCGGG GCCATGGGCC CTCACGGCTT GGGCAGAGCG GTGCCCCTCA GATGGAGGCC ATGGGCCGAG GCCACGGGGT TGGGGTCACT GCCAACCAGG CCCGGCTCTC CCTGCTGCCA 1780 GTCTCTCTTG CCCGCAGTGG GGGTAGGAAA TGCCTGGGAC TGCAGCGCGG GCCGCAGCCC ACAGGGACCG GGGCMGGCC GTGGGCTCGA GGCCCGTGGT CTGCCACCCC 1890 CGGGGCCCGC GCTCCGCCAC GCCAGGCCCC GCTGACCCGT GCCGGCACTG CCCGGCCTTC CAGCCCCGCT CGCCCCAGGC CCGGGCCTCA GGCACTGGGA AGCCCCGTGC 2000 CGCGCCCCCC CGGCACGTTG GGAATGGTTC GGCCCCACCT GTTCCCCCCC TCCGCGCAGA ATGGTTCCGC CCCGCCACCT GGCCCCGCGC CCCGGTGGTG CAGCCCGCCG 2110 CTCCGCCTAG CCTGCTGGCT CCGCCGCGCC GCCGCCTCGA CTTCTCATTG GACGGCGGGC TGGAAGG6GT GATGTTGAAA CTATTACTAT GGCCAGGGGC ACCCATGMG 2220 CCCCACAGGA TCCGGATGAC CCACMCCTA CTGCTGMCT ACGGCCTTTA CAGGAAGATG GAGATATATC GCCCTCACM GGCGAACGGG AGGAGATGAC CAAGTACCAC 2330 AGTGATGACT ACATCMATT CCTGAGATCC ATCCGCCCAG ACMCATGTC TGAGTACAGC AAGCAGATGC AAAGATTTAA CGTCGGGGAG GACTGCCCTG TGTTCGATGG 2440 GCTGTTTGAG TTCTGTCAGC TCTCTGCTGG AGGCTCCGTT GCCAGCGCTG TGMGCTGM CMGCAACAG ACAGATATTG CTGTGAATTG GGCAGGAGGC CTTCACCACG 2550 CTAAGMGTC GGAGGCTTCT GGCTTCTGTT ATGTCMCGA TATTGTCCTG GCTATCTTGG AGCTCTTAAA GTATCACCAG AGGGTCCTGT ATATTGACAT TGATATTCAC 2660 CATGGAGATG GTGTGGAGGA AGCCTTCTAT ACCACAGACC GTGTGATGAC CGTGTCCTTT CATMGTATG GAGAGTACTT CCCAGGMCA GGGGACCTGC GGGACATTGG 2770 TGCAGGCAAA GGCAMTACT ATGCTGTCAA CTATCCCCTC CGGATGGGAT TGATGATGAG TCCTACGAGG CMTATTCAA GCCGGTGATA TCTMAGTGA TGGAGACATT 2880 CCAGCCTAGT GCAGTTGTCC TGCAGTGCGG GTCGGATTCT CTGTCCGGGG ACAGGCTGGG TTGTTTTAAT CTGACCATCA AAGGTCATGC CMGTGTGTG GAGTTTGTCA 2990 AMGTTTTM TTTGCCTATG CTGATGCTGG GAGGAGGTGG CTATACGATC CGCAACGTGG CCAGATGCTG GACGTATGAG ACTGCTGTGG CTTTGGACAT GAGATCCCM 3100 ATGAGCTCCC ATATAATGAC TATTTTGAGT ACTTCGGACC AGACTTTMG CTGCACATCA GTCCCTCAAA CATGACCAAC CAGAATACCA ATGAGTATCT CGAGAAGATC 3210 AAGCAGCGTC TCTTTGAGAA TCTGCGCATG CTGCCTCATG CCCCTGGCGT CCAGATGCAG CCMTTCCTG AGGATGCTGT TCAGGMGAGC AGTGGGGATG AAGMGAAGA 3320 AGATCCTGAG AAGCGCATTT CAATCCGCAA TICTGATAAG AGAATATCCT GTGATGAAGA ATTCTCTGAC TCTGAAGATG AAGGGGAAGG AGGCGCAAA AATGTGCCAA 3430
CITTAAGAAG GCCAAGCGTG TGAAAACAGA GGAGGAAAAG GAGGAGGAGG AAGAAGAAGG ATGAGAAAG AGAGGAAAAA GGCAAAAGAG CTTTAAGMG GCCMGCGTG TGAAAACAGA GGAGGAAMG GAGGAGGAGG AAGAAGMGG ATGAGAAAGA AGAGGMAAA GGCAAAAGAG GAGAAAGGCC GAAGGMGGG 3540 GTGMGGAAG AGACMAATC CACCTMGAT GGCTGCAGCT GGACAGTACC TGTCAGTGCA TAGTTATCGT AGGTTAGCTT CTCTGGTTGC CTCCCATTCC ACAGGATTTA 3650 TATTTTATAT ATGTTTCTGT ATATATTTCT ATATAAATAT ATAAATGACT TGAAAAAAAA AA 3712

Transcription of tki and Related Genes in Chicken Tissues and Cell Lines. The relationship between tkl, lck, and src and

Table 2. Relationship at the amino acid level of the protein coded for by the open reading frame of clone C1 to known tyrosine-specific protein kinases and related genes

Gene of homologous protein (origin)	Region of homology, amino acids	$%$ amino acid identity	% identity of N termini*
fes (FeSV)	246–594	40.5	30
gag-fps (PRC II)	$263 - 518$	42.8	30
ros (avian)	145–364	43.4	30
fps (Fujinami ASV)	603-858	43.2	30
fms (FeSV)	770–942	43.7	30
abl (Abelson MuSV)	5-372	47.2	30
<i>src</i> (fruit fly)	27-303	51.3	30
fgr (FeSV)	151–539	61.2	36
ves (avian)	73-524	62.9	48
src (chicken)	87–528	63.6	50
src (RSV)	87–511	63.5	50
syn (human)	89-497	64.4	51
lyn (human)	67–557	70.9	59
hck (human)	52-458	74.8	62
<i>lck</i> (mouse)	57–471	81.7	77

Note that the region of homology includes the kinase domain. MuSV, murine sarcoma virus; FeSV, feline sarcoma virus; ASV, avian sarcoma virus; IPRC II, strain of ASV; RSV, Rous sarcoma virus. *Comparison with tkl amino acid residues 1-200.

FIG. 2. Total nucleotide and amino acid sequence of the clone C1. The longest open reading frame, which generates a 457-amino acid protein, begins at position 22. The putative translation product of this reading frame is noted above in the three-letter code. Nucleotides are numbered on the right.

the pattern of expression of tkl were studied by blot hybridization analysis of RNA derived from chicken spleen and brain and from chicken embryo fibroblasts. When spleen mRNA was hybridized with $3^{2}P$ -labeled tkl under reduced stringency (Fig. 4) a strongly hybridizing 3.8-kb fragment and a very weakly hybridizing 2.2-kb fragment (clearly visible only in the original autoradiogram) were observed. In contrast, the lck probe detected a fragment at 2.2 kb and a very weakly hybridizing fragment at 3.8 kb. This result suggests that *lck* and *tkl* cDNAs are derived from two different mRNA species, in agreement with Southern blot data reported in Table 1. Furthermore, lck (isolated from murine cells) was previously found to be expressed exclusively in mouse lymphocytes (29), while tkl is expressed in chicken spleen (Fig. 4) and brain (data not shown) and in chicken embryo fibroblasts (Fig. 1). It should be noted, however, that we did observe a weakly hybridizing 2- to 2.2-kb band in blots from chicken brain mRNA when the blots were probed under low stringency with *lck*.

As it appears that tkl and Ick are encoded by distinct chromosomal loci, tkl also does not appear to correspond to the chicken homologue of the newly transcribed gene hck (39): (i) it displays weaker amino acid sequence homology with tkl than does lck (Fig. $3A$); (ii) in contrast to tkl, hck does not appear to be expressed in brain; and *(iii)* the hck probe detects a message of 2.1 kb in human lymphocytes, whereas the tkl probe detects a message of about 4 kb (data not shown).

Biochemistry: Strebhardt et al.

FIG. 3. Comparison of the various domains of known tyrosinespecific kinases with tkl. (A) Catalytic domains of different proteintyrosine kinases. The amino acid sequence of a putative tkl protein is shown in one-letter code on the top line of each group. Residues that are identical to those in the tkl sequence are denoted with dots. The numbering of amino acids reflects the translation initiation codon of each protein. The first boxed sequence, beginning at residue 336, specifies a conserved region suggested to be involved in nucleotide binding. A lysine residue, which belongs to the ATP-binding region as well, is located at position 220 (indicated by an arrow). The second box shows the autophosphorylation site of pp60^{c-src}. (B) N termini of hck, lck, and tkl.

The size of the *tkl* mRNA we observe is identical to the size previously assigned to c-src (33). If both mRNAs were present in nearly comparable amounts in chicken spleen, some c-src clones should have been obtained, since the library was screened with a src probe. However, all of the 11 clones obtained corresponded to tkl cDNAs, suggesting that the c-src mRNA is significantly less abundant in this tissue.

FIG. 4. Blot hybridization analysis of mRNA from chicken spleen. For lane 1, 10 μ g of mRNA from chicken spleen was analyzed. The blot was hybridized with 32P-labeled cDNA clone C1 $(1 \times 10^6 \text{ cpm/ml}$ for 12 hr), washed with $0.2 \times$ SSC at 50°C, and exposed for 18 hr. For lane 2, the blot with 10 μ g of mRNA from chicken spleen was hybridized under low-stringency conditions $(30\%$ formamide, 37°C) with ³²P-labeled cDNA clone lck (1 × 10⁶ cpm/ml for 12 hr), washed with $5 \times$ SSC at 37°C, and exposed for 18 hr.

To evaluate the expression of c-src versus c-tkl, blots of chicken spleen mRNA and total RNA were first probed with the v-src Pvu II fragment and subsequently with cDNA corresponding to the N terminus of tkl. With mRNA (Fig. 5) and total RNA ^a significantly hybridizing 3.8-kb band was seen only with the 5' end of c-tkl (0.8 kb, Pst I) as probe. Although probing with the Pvu II fragment of v-src, which contains the kinase domain, did result in some background radioactivity (Fig. 5, lane 1), the blot was clear when the ⁵' end of src (BamHI-Sma I) was used (data not shown). When the same blot was counter-probed with the ⁵' end of c-tkl, again a 3.8-kb band was found (lane 2).

Our results demonstrate that in spleen the abundance of a 3.8-kb c-src mRNA is at or below the limit of detection. These data agree with the findings of Golden et al. (40), who were unable to precipitate the pp60^{c-src} protein from spleen lysates by using a monoclonal antibody raised against v-src. The data also give one explanation why we did not obtain any c-src-specific cDNA clones from the spleen library. Previous experiments demonstrating a relatively high expression of c-src in spleen (33) may thus have been due to crosshybridization of the v-src probe with c-tkl mRNA.

Preliminary data from our laboratory indicate that in embryonic chicken brain also the c-src message may be significantly less abundant than the c-tkl message. In future experiments it will thus be very important to use only the ⁵' termini rather than the kinase domains of src and tkl as probes

FIG. 5. Blot hybridization analysis of mRNA from chicken spleen. An $8-\mu g$ sample of mRNA was analyzed. The blot was hybridized to a ³²P-labeled Pvu II fragment of v-src $(1 \times 10^6 \text{ cm/ml})$ for 18 hr, washed with $0.2 \times$ SSC, and exposed for 2 days (lane 1). After the probe had been removed the filter was hybridized again with ³²P-labeled cDNA of clone C1 under the same conditions (lane 2). For C1 exposure time was 18 hr.

and/or to use high stringency conditions for hybridization in order to distinguish between the messages of these genes. Generally, as has been observed by other authors also (41, 42, 44), c-src expression in normal tissues may be fairly restricted.

The expert technical assistance of R. C. Binari, Jr., V. Stallard, and S. Raupp is gratefully acknowledged. We thank R. Young for ^a gift of λ gtl 0 and R. Perlmutter for plasmid clones of lck and hck and for providing manuscripts prior to publication. We also thank R. L. Erikson and T. Roberts for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft Ru 242 6-1, the Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes, and the Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst (K.S.) and by the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie and National Institutes of Health Grants CA ⁰¹⁰⁵⁸ and CA ⁴⁰⁶⁴⁶ (J.I.M.).

- 1. Collett, M. & Erikson, R. L. (1978) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 75, 2021-2024.
- 2. Hunter, T. & Sefton, B. M. (1980) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77, 1311-1315.
- 3. Collett, M. S., Purchio, A. F. & Erikson, R. L. (1980) Nature (London) 285, 167-169.
- 4. Kawai, S., Yoshida, M., Segawa, K., Sugiyama, H., Ishizaki, R. & Toyoshima, K. (1980) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77, 6199-6203.
- 5. Naharro, G., Dunn, C. Y. & Robbins, K. C. (1983) Virology 125, 502-507.
- 6. Neil, J. C., Ghysdael, J. & Vogt, P. K. (1981) Virology 109, 223-228.
- 7. Feldman, R. A., Hanafusa, T. & Hanafusa, H. (1980) Cell 22, 757-765.
- 8. Pawson, T., Guyden, J., Kung, T.-H., Radke, K., Gilmore, T. & Martin, G. S. (1980) Cell 22, 767-776.
- 9. Barbacid, M. & Lauver, A. V. (1981) J. Virol. 40, 812-821.
- 10. Witte, 0. N., Dasgupta, A. & Baltimore, D. (1980) Nature (London) 283, 826-831.
- 11. Van de Ven, W. J. M., Reynolds, F. H. & Stephenson, J. R. (1980) Virology 101, 185-197.
- 12. Feldmann, R. A., Wang, L.-H., Hanafusa, H. & Balduzzi, P. C. (1982) J. Virol. 42, 228-236.
- 13. Hunter, T. & Cooper, J. A. (1985) Annu. Rev. Biochem. 54, 897-930.
- 14. Collett, M. S., Brugge, J. S. & Erikson, R. L. (1978) Cell 15, 1363-1369.
- 15. Cohen, S., Carpenter, G. & King, L. (1980) J. Biol. Chem. 255, 4834-4842.
- 16. Ganguli, S., Petruzzelli, L. M., Herrera, R., Stadtmauer, L. & Rosen, 0. M. (1985) Curr. Top. Cell. Regulat. 27, 38-94.
- 17. Nishimura, J., Hung, J. S. & Deuel, T. F. (1982) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 79, 4303-4307.
- 18. Ullrich, A., Coussens, L., Hayflick, J. S., Dull, T. J., Gray, A., Tam, A. W., Lee, J., Yarden, Y., Libermann, T. A., Schlessinger, J., Downward, J., Mayes, E. L. V., Whittle, N., Waterfield, M. D. & Seeburg, P. H. (1984) Nature (London) 309, 418-425.
- 19. Sherr, C. J., Rettenmeyer, C. W., Sacca, R., Roussel, M. F.,
- Look, A. T. & Stanley, E. R. (1985) Cell 41, 665-676.
- 20. Chirgwin, J. M., Przybyla, A. E., MacDonald, R. J. & Rutter, W. J. (1979) Biochemistry 18, 5294-5299.
- 21. Aviv, H. & Leder, P. (1972) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 69, 1408-1412.
- 22. Bruck, C., Co, M. S., Slaoui, M., Gaulton, G. N., Smith, T., Fields, B. N., Mullins, J. I. & Greene, M. J. (1986) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83, 6578-6582.
- 23. Gubler, U. & Hoffmann, B. J. (1983) Gene 25, 263–269.
24. Huynh, T. V., Young, R. A. & Davis, R. W. (1984)
- Huynh, T. V., Young, R. A. & Davis, R. W. (1984) DNA Cloning Techniques (IRL, Oxford), pp. 16-25.
- 25. Maniatis, T., Hardison, R. C., Lacy, E., Lauer, J., O'Connell, C., Quon, D., Sim, D. K. & Efstratiadis, A. (1978) Cell 15, 687-701.
- 26. Mullins, J. 1., Casey, J. W., Nicholson, M. O., Burck, K. B. & Davidson, N. (1981) J. Virol. 38, 688-703.
- 27. Bailey, J. M. & Davidson, N. (1976) Anal. Biochem. 70, 75-85.
- 28. Thomas, P. S. (1980) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77, 5201-5205.
- 29. Marth, J. D., Peet, R., Krebs, E. G. & Perlmutter, R. M. (1985) Cell 43, 393-404.
- 30. Marth, J. D., Disteche, C., Pravtcheva, D., Ruddle, F., Krebs, E. G. & Perlmutter, R. M. (1986) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83, 7400-7404.
- 31. Poncz, M., Solowiejczck, D., Ballantine, M., Schwartz, E. & Surrey, S. (1982) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 79, 4298-4302.
- 32. Sanger, F., Nicklen, S. & Coulson, A. R. (1977) Proc. NatI. Acad. Sci. USA 74, 5463-5467.
- 33. Gonda, T. J., Sheiness, D. K. & Bishop, J. M. (1982) Mol. Cell. Biol. 2, 617-624.
- 34. Czernilofsky, A. P., Levinson, A. D., Varmus, H. E., Bishop, J. M., Tischer, E. & Goodman, H. (1983) Nature (London) 301, 736-738.
- 35. Kozak, M. (1984) Nucleic Acids Res. 12, 857-872.
- 36. Semba, K., Nishizawa, M., Miyajima, N., Yoshida, M. C., Sukegawa, J., Yamanashi, Y., Sasaki, M., Yamamoto, T. & Toyoshima, K. (1986) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83, 5459-5463.
- 37. Wierenga, R. K. & Hol, W. G. J. (1983) Nature (London) 302, 842-844.
- 38. Kamps, M. P., Taylor, S. S. & Sefton, B. M. (1984) Nature (London) 310, 589-592.
- 39. Ziegler, S. F., Marth, J. D., Lewis, D. B. & Perlmutter, R. M. (1987) Mol. Cell. Biol. 6, 2276-2285.
- 40. Golden, A., Nemeth, S. P. & Brugge, J. S. (1986) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83, 852-856.
- 41. Herzog, N. K., Bargmann, W. J. & Bose, H. R. (1986) J. Virol. 57, 371-375.
- 42. Sejersen, T., Sümegi, J. & Ringertz, N. R. (1985) J. Cell. Physiol. 125, 465-470.
- 43. Parsons, J. T., Bryant, D., Wilkerson, V., Gilmartin, G. & Parsons, S. J. (1984) Cancer Cells (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY), pp. 37-42.
- 44. Naparestek, E., Pierce, J., Metcalf, D., Shadduck, R., Ihle, J., Leder, A., Sakakeeny, M. A., Wagner, K., Falco, J., FitzGerald, T. J. & Greenberger, J. S. (1986) Blood 67, 1395-1403.