SUPPLEMENTAL FILE 5 MATHEMATICAL NOTATION

In this paper, we consider real variables. We use $\mathbb R$ to denote the set of real numbers. Scalars are denoted by lower case letters, e.g., s, t . Vectors in \mathbb{R}^n are denoted by either bold letters and numbers, or lower-case Greek letters, e.g., $1, x, \pi$, where 1 denotes a vector all of whose components are equal to one. x^t denotes the transpose of the vector x. The notation x_i refers to the *i*th component of the vector **x**. Matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ are denoted by either capital letters or upper-case Greek letters, e.g., C, P, Λ . I stands for the identity matrix. If $P \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, then $vec(P)$ transforms P into an nm -dimensional vector by stacking the columns. The equality and inequality symbols, $=$, \leq and \geq denote componentwise equality and inequality, respectively, for arrays of the same size. For example, if C is an $m \times n$ matrix, then $C \geq 0$ denotes the mn inequalities: each element of the matrix C is nonnegative. The curled inequality symbols, \leq, \leq, \geq, \succ , denote generalized matrix inequalities associated with the positive semi-definite cone. That is, if $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, then $A \succeq B$ (resp., $A \succ B$) means that $A - B$ is positive-semi-definite (resp., positive definite); and $A \preceq B$ (resp., $A \prec B$) means that $A - B$ is negative-semi-definite (resp., negative definite). We recall that a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is called positive-semidefinite (resp., positive definite) if $x^t A x \ge 0$ (resp., $x^t A x > 0$) for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (resp., $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$). If $-A$ is positive semi-definite (resp., positive definite), then A is called negative semi-definite (resp., negative definite).

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.

$$
\pi_d^t = \pi_0^t (I - CZ_0)^{-1}
$$
\n
$$
\iff \pi_d^t (I - CZ_0) - \pi_0^t = 0
$$
\n
$$
\iff [\pi_d^t (I - CZ_0) - \pi_0^t] Z_0^{-1} = 0 \tag{27}
$$
\n
$$
\iff \pi_d^t (I - P_0 + P_0^*) - \pi_d^t C - \pi_0^t (I - P_0 + P_0^*) = 0
$$

$$
\iff \begin{array}{rcl}\n(\pi_d^t - \pi_d^t P_0 + \pi_0^t) - \pi_d^t C - (\pi_0^t - \pi_0^t + \pi_0^t)\n\end{array} \tag{28}
$$
\n
$$
\iff \pi_d^t = \pi_d^t (P_0 + C),
$$

where Eq. (27) follows from the fact that Z_0 is invertible, and Eq. (28) follows from the properties: $\pi_d^t P_0^* = \pi_d^t \mathbf{1} \pi_0^t = \pi_0^t;$ $\pi_0^t P_0 = \pi_0^t$; and $\pi_0^t P_0^* = \pi_0^t$.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2. It is straightforward to check that the perturbation matrix $C = (\mathbf{1} \pi_d^t - P_0) \in \mathcal{D}$. That is we have (*i*) $\pi_d^t = \pi_d^t(P_0 + C);$ (*ii*) $C_1 = 0;$ (*iii*) $P_0 + C \ge 0$. Moreover, the perturbed matrix $P_0 + C = \mathbf{1} \pi_d^{\dot{t}}$ is a stochastic matrix with rank one. Therefore, it has a simple eigenvalue 1 corresponding to eigenvector 1, and eigenvalue 0 with multiplicity $n - 1$. Hence, its $SLEM = 0.$

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3. For any vector f, we introduce its unique direct sum decomposition $\mathbf{f} = \alpha_f \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{f}^\perp$, where $\alpha_f = \pi_d^t \mathbf{f}$ and $f^{\perp} \perp \pi_d$. It is easy to check that f^{\perp} is proportional to 1 if and only if $f^{\perp} = 0$.

Let $\psi = \alpha_{\psi} \mathbf{1} + \psi^{\perp}$ be a non-trivial eigenvector (i.e., $\psi^{\perp} \neq \mathbf{0}$) of P_E^* with eigenvalue μ . We will look for a vector ϕ , in the form $\phi = \psi + c\mathbf{1}$, that satisfies $P(s)\phi = (1 - s)\mu\phi$. We have

$$
P(s)\phi = P(s)\psi + c\mathbf{1}
$$
\n(29)

$$
= (1 - s)\mu\psi + s\alpha_{\psi}\mathbf{1} + c\mathbf{1}
$$
 (30)

$$
= (1 - s)\mu\phi + (s\alpha_{\psi} + c - (1 - s)\mu c)\mathbf{1}, (31)
$$

where Eq. (29) follows from the fact that $P(s)$ is stochastic, i.e., $P(s)$ **1** = **1**, and Eq. (30) is obtained by replacing $P(s)$ by its expression in Eq. (15). Therefore, if we chose $c = \frac{s\dot{\alpha}_{\psi}}{(1-s)\mu-1}$, we obtain $P(s)\phi = (1-s)\mu\phi$.

Let now $\phi = \alpha_{\phi} \mathbf{1} + \phi^{\perp}$ be a non trivial eigenvector of $P(s)$ with eigenvalue λ . In particular, $\phi^{\perp} \neq \mathbf{0}$. We first show that $\lambda \neq (1-s)$. From Eq. (15), we have

$$
P(s)\phi = (1-s)P_E^*\phi + s\alpha_\phi \mathbf{1}
$$
\n(32)

$$
= (1 - s)P_{E}^{*}\phi^{\perp} + (1 - s)\alpha_{\phi}\mathbf{1} + s\alpha_{\phi}\mathbf{1}.
$$
 (33)

On the other hand, if $\lambda = 1 - s$, then we would have

$$
P(s)\phi = (1-s)\phi \tag{34}
$$

$$
= (1 - s)\alpha_{\phi} \mathbf{1} + (1 - s)\phi^{\perp}.
$$
 (35)

By equating Eqs. (33) and (35), we obtain

$$
P_E^* \phi^{\perp} = \phi^{\perp} - \frac{s \alpha_{\phi}}{1 - s} \mathbf{1}.
$$
 (36)

It follows that, for any positive integer j we have

$$
P_E^{*j} \phi^{\perp} = P_E^{*(j-1)} \phi^{\perp} - \frac{s \alpha_{\phi}}{1 - s} \mathbf{1}.
$$
 (37)

Taking the limit as $j \longrightarrow \infty$, and because P_E^* is ergodic, we get

$$
P_E^{\ast \infty} \phi^\perp = P_E^{\ast \infty} \phi^\perp - \frac{s \alpha_\phi}{1 - s} \mathbf{1}.
$$
 (38)

Thus, $s\alpha_{\phi} = 0$, which implies, from Eq. (36), that $P_{E}^{*}\phi^{\perp} = \phi^{\perp}$. Hence, ϕ^{\perp} is an eigenvector of P_{E}^{*} corresponding to eigenvalue 1. Therefore, ϕ^{\perp} must be proportional to 1. We recall that ϕ^{\perp} is proportional to 1 if and only if ϕ^{\perp} = 0. This results in a contradiction because of the fact that $\phi^{\perp} \neq 0$. Therefore, we conclude that $\lambda \neq 1 - s$.

Now, we consider $\lambda \neq 1 - s$, we will find ψ in the form $\psi =$ $\phi + c\mathbf{1}$, that satisfies $P_E^* \psi = \frac{\lambda}{1-s} \psi$. We have

$$
P_E^* \psi = P_E^* \phi + c\mathbf{1} \tag{39}
$$

$$
= \frac{\lambda}{1-s}\phi - \frac{s\alpha_{\phi}}{1-s}\mathbf{1} + c\mathbf{1}
$$
 (40)

$$
= \frac{\lambda}{1-s}\psi + \left(-\frac{\lambda}{1-s}c - \frac{s\alpha_{\phi}}{1-s} + c\right)\mathbf{1},\qquad(41)
$$

where Eq. (39) follows from the stochasticity of P_E^* and Eq. (40) is obtained by replacing P_E^* by its expression in Eq. (15) and using the fact that ϕ is an eigenvector of $P(s)$ with eigenvalue λ . Finally, Eq. (41) follows by replacing $\phi = \psi - c$ 1. Therefore, if we chose $c = \frac{s\alpha_{\phi}}{1-s-\lambda}$, we obtain $P_E^* \psi = \frac{\lambda}{1-s} \psi$.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 4. $||C(s)||_2$ is a convex function in s, which reaches its minimum at $s = 0$. Therefore, it must be increasing for $s \geq 0$ (Boyd and Vandenberghe, 2003).

We also provide an alternative proof as follows: Let $A = P_E^* - P_0$ and $B = \mathbf{1}\pi_d^t - P_E^*$. Then, from Eq. (16),

$$
C(s) = A + Bs.
$$
\n(42)

By construction, we have for all $s \geq 0$,

$$
||C(s)||_2 \ge ||P_E^* - P_0||_2 = ||C(0)||_2 = ||A||_2 \iff (43)
$$

\n
$$
\max_{\mathbf{x}: \|\mathbf{x}\|=1} \langle (A+sB)^t (A+sB) \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \ge \max_{\mathbf{x}: \|\mathbf{x}\|=1} \langle A^t A \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle,
$$

where the right hand side equivalence follows from the definition of the spectral norm given in Eq. (8). Let x_s be such that $||x_s|| = 1$ and

$$
\max_{\mathbf{x}: \|\mathbf{x}\|=1} \langle (A+sB)^t (A+sB) \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = \langle (A+sB)^t (A+sB) \mathbf{x}_s, \mathbf{x}_s \rangle.
$$
\n(44)

Then,

$$
\langle (A+sB)^t (A+sB) \mathbf{x}_s, \mathbf{x}_s \rangle \geq \langle A^t A \mathbf{x}_s, \mathbf{x}_s \rangle, \quad (45)
$$

which means

$$
\langle (A^t B + B^t A + s B^t B) \mathbf{x}_s, \mathbf{x}_s \rangle \ge 0. \tag{46}
$$

Let $\tilde{s} \geq s$. We need to show that

$$
\max_{\mathbf{x}: \|\mathbf{x}\|=1} \langle (A+\tilde{s}B)^t (A+\tilde{s}B)\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\rangle \ge \langle (A+sB)^t (A+sB)\mathbf{x}_s, \mathbf{x}_s\rangle.
$$
\n(47)

It is sufficient to show that

$$
\langle (A+\tilde{s}B)^t (A+\tilde{s}B) \mathbf{x}_s, \mathbf{x}_s \rangle \ge \langle (A+sB)^t (A+sB) \mathbf{x}_s, \mathbf{x}_s \rangle. \tag{48}
$$

But,

$$
\langle (A+\tilde{s}B)^t (A+\tilde{s}B)\mathbf{x}_s, \mathbf{x}_s \rangle - \langle (A+sB)^t (A+sB)\mathbf{x}_s, \mathbf{x}_s \rangle
$$

=
$$
(\tilde{s}-s) \langle (A^t B+B^t A+sB^t B+\tilde{s}B^t B)\mathbf{x}_s, \mathbf{x}_s \rangle,
$$

which is positive because of Eq. (46).

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 5. For $0 \leq s \leq 1$, we have the following three properties

$$
\pi_d(s)^t Q(s) = \pi_d(s)^t \tag{49}
$$

$$
\pi_d(s)\mathbf{1} = 1\tag{50}
$$

$$
\pi_d(s) \ge 0. \tag{51}
$$

Because $Q(s)$ is ergodic, we know that such $\pi_d(s)$ exists and is unique. Let

$$
\phi = \pi_d(s) - ((1 - s)\pi_0 + s\pi_d). \tag{52}
$$

Then, we have

$$
\phi^t Q(s) = \pi_d(s)^t - (1-s)^2 \pi_0^t - \tag{53}
$$

$$
s(1-s)\pi_d^t P_0 - s(1-s)\pi_d^t - s^2 \pi_d^t
$$

= $\phi^t + s(1-s)(\pi_a^t - \pi_c^t P_0)$ (54)

$$
= \phi^t + s(1-s)(\pi_0^t - \pi_d^t P_0) \tag{54}
$$

$$
= \phi^t + s(1-s)(\pi_0^t - \pi_d^t)P_0, \tag{55}
$$

where Eq. (55) follows from the fact that $\pi_0^t P_0 = \pi_0^t$. Next, we notice that $\phi^t \mathbf{1} = 0$. Thus, from Eq. (20), we obtain

$$
\phi^t Q(s) = (1 - s)\phi^t P_0. \tag{56}
$$

By equating Eqs. (55) and (56), we obtain

$$
\phi^t[I - (1 - s)P_0] = s(1 - s)(\pi_d^t - \pi_0^t)P_0.
$$
 (57)

Observe that for $s > 0$, 1 is not an eigenvalue of $(1 - s)P_0$. Hence, $I - (1 - s)P_0$ is invertible, and we have

$$
\phi = s(1 - s)[I - (1 - s)P_0^t]^{-1}P_0^t(\pi_d - \pi_0). \tag{58}
$$

From Eq. (52), we have

$$
\pi_d(s) - \pi_d = \phi + (1 - s)(\pi_0 - \pi_d). \tag{59}
$$

Replacing ϕ by its expression in Eq. (58), Eq. (59) can be written as

$$
\pi_d(s) - \pi_d = (1 - s) \left(I - s(I - (1 - s)P_0^t)^{-1} P_0^t \right) (\pi_0 - \pi_d).
$$
\n(60)

That is, by factoring by $(I - (1 - s)P_0^t)^{-1}$,

$$
\pi_d(s) - \pi_d = (1 - s)(I - (1 - s)P_0^t)^{-1}(I - P_0^t)(\pi_0 - \pi_d).
$$
 (61)

If P_0 is symmetric, then by the spectral theorem we have $||P_0||_2 =$ $\lambda_{\text{max}}(P_0) = 1$, and by the triangle inequality,

$$
||(I - (1 - s)P_0^t)^{-1}(I - P_0^t)||_2 \le \frac{2}{2 - s},
$$

and thus

$$
\|\pi_d(s)-\pi_d\| \leq \frac{2(1-s)}{2-s} \|\pi_0-\pi_d\|.
$$

In the case of a non-symmetric matrix P_0 , we use geometric progression:

$$
[I - (1 - s)P_0^t]^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - s)^k P_0^t.
$$
 (62)

We note that the last series is convergent for any $0 < s \leq 1$ because P_0^k has a limit as $k \to \infty$. Equation (60) becomes then

$$
\pi_d(s) - \pi_d = (1 - s) \left(I - s \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - s)^k (P_0^t)^{k+1} \right) (\pi_0 - \pi_d).
$$
\n(63)

By noting that $\sup_{k \ge 1} ||P_0^k||_2 = \sup_{k \ge 1} ||(P_0^t)^k||_2$ is finite, we have the desired upper bound on $\|\pi_d(s) - \pi_d\|.$