## **Supporting Information**

## Mizuno et al. 10.1073/pnas.1017001108



- log<sub>10</sub>(p-value) · direction of association

Fig. S1. Association scores from different sizes of ESC/iPSC signatures in (A) the Miller et al. (1) and (B) the Langerød et al. (2) datasets, and (C) Tomida et al. (3) datasets. Overall associations (*Right*) were assessed using representative profiles.

1. Miller LD, et al. (2005) An expression signature for p53 status in human breast cancer predicts mutation status, transcriptional effects, and patient survival. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102: 13550–13555.

2. Langerød A, et al. (2007) TP53 mutation status and gene expression profiles are powerful prognostic markers of breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res 9:R30.

3. Tomida S, et al. (2008) Relapse-related molecular signature in lung adeno carcinomas identify patients with dismal prognosis. J Clin Oncol 27:2793–2799.



**Fig. S2.** *WIP1*, *ARF*, *MDM2*, and *MDM4* are known upstream regulators of p53 activity and are shown diagrammatically at the top. Tumors are sorted according to p53 mutation status and relative expression levels of these upstream p53 regulators. Association scores for ESC, iPSC, PRC2, and p53<sub>ESC</sub> signatures are shown. Gray areas over the p53 wild-type tumors in the *WIP1* and *ARF* plots show the demarcation of the highest and lowest 15% of expressers, respectively.



**Fig. S3.** Venn diagram for (*A*) p53<sub>ESC</sub> versus PRC2 signatures and for (*B*) ESC versus iPSC signatures. In each case, the overlap in genes is highly significant ( $\chi^2$  test). The top 20 gene-annotation categories identified among overlapping genes are listed at the right. These represent biological states and activities with likely functional relevance for samples that exhibit their coordinate up- or down-regulation.

DNAS



-5 0 5 - log<sub>10</sub>(p-value) · direction of association

**Fig. S4.** Breast cancers were ordered according to association scores for the claudin signature (1) in (*A*) the Miller et al. (2) and (*B*) the Langerød et al. (3) datasets. Scores for ESC, iPSC, PRC2, and p53<sub>ESC</sub> signatures are also shown. (C) Venn diagram and overlap statistics ( $\chi^2$  test) for the claudin signature (1) versus the iPSC signature. The claudin signature used comprises the following genes: *APOE, BSRPY, CDH1, CGN, CLDN3, CLDN4, CLDN7, ELF3, EPCAM, EPN3, ESRP1, FXYD3, KRT19, MAL2, MB, MY06, NEBL, OCLN, PRR15L, SHROOM3, SPINT2, TOMIL1, and TRPS1 (1).* 

1. Hennessy BT, et al. (2009) Characterization of a naturally occurring breast cancer subset enriched in epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and stem cell characteristics. Cancer Res 69: 4116–4124.

2. Miller LD, et al. (2005) An expression signature for p53 status in human breast cancer predicts mutation status, transcriptional effects, and patient survival. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102: 13550–13555.

3. Langerød A, et al. (2007) TP53 mutation status and gene expression profiles are powerful prognostic markers of breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res 9:R30.

Α

в

653 Nt p53 mutation Predicted p53 inactivation ESC 318 genes (no prol) <-5.0 ESC 296 genes (no prol, no MYC) <-5.0 iPSC 340 genes (no prol) >5.0 iPSC 332 genes (no prol, no MYC) >5.0 251 breast cancers from Miller et al. **PS**<sup>3</sup><sup>Nt</sup> p53 mutation ESC 318 genes (no prol) <-5.0 ESC 296 genes (no prol, no MYC) <-5.0 iPSC 340 genes (no prol) >5.0 iPSC 332 genes (no prol, no MYC) >5.0 80 breast cancers from Langerød et al.



- log<sub>10</sub>(p-value) · direction of association

Fig. S5. Association scores from ESC/iPSC signatures after the removal of MYC-network genes (1) for (A) the Miller et al. (2) and (B) the Langerød et al. (3) datasets. Overall associations (Right) were assessed using representative profiles.

1. Kim J, et al. (2010) A Myc network accounts for similarities between embryonic stem and cancer cell transcription programs. Cell 143:313–324.

2. Miller LD, et al. (2005) An expression signature for p53 status in human breast cancer predicts mutation status, transcriptional effects, and patient survival. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102: 13550-13555.

3. Langerød A, et al. (2007) TP53 mutation status and gene expression profiles are powerful prognostic markers of breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res 9:R30.

Table S1. Datasets used for iPSC signature generation

Table S1

Table S2. Genes up-regulated in various iPSCs

Table S2

**Overall association**