## **Supplementary Material**

## **Legends to Figures**

- **Figure S1.** QQ-plot depicting the excess of significant association signals in the 25 CNVRs detected in the familybased discovery GWAS sample (1,314 CNV markers). The quantiles of the  $-\log_{10}$  (p-values) of the observed associations are plotted in green against the quantiles under no association (uniform distribution). The diagonal red line represents the ideal expectancy under the global null hypothesis, whereas the grey shaded funnel is the result of permutation procedure described in "Permutation and Multiple Testing" with the three black lines representing the 97.5, 50, and 2.5 percentile values of 1,000 sets of a random selections.
- **Figure S2.** The P-value distributions for all 8,051 CNV markers in all 244 analyzed common CNVRs in the two discovery GWAS samples are depicted. Upper panel: QQ plots for the family-based discovery GWAS sample (green dots) and the case control discovery GWAS sample (red dots). Lower panels: P-value histograms for the family-based (left side) and the case-control (right side) discovery GWAS sample.
- **Figure S3.** QQ-Plots comparing the quantiles of the 8,051 true (asymptotic) observed p-values in the 244 common CNVRs with those quantiles of approximate exact p-values based on 1,000 permutations in the family-based GWAS discovery sample (left panal) and case-control GWAS discovery sample (right panel), respectively. In each plot the red line represents the ideal situation, where observed and permutation-based quantiles equal each other.



Expected -log<sub>10</sub>(p-value)

QQ-Plot



Expected quantiles [-log<sub>10</sub>(p)]





## Tables

sample	description	status	n total	age in years <sup>a</sup>	BMI in kg/m <sup>2 a</sup>	BMI SDS <sup>a,b</sup>
•			{% female}	{female}	{female}	{female}
				(male)	(male)	(male)
(1) discovery:	(extremely) obese					
family-based GWAS	children and adolescents	cases	424	132+28	32.0 + 5.4	4 22 + 1 84
0		eases	{53}	$\{13.4 \pm 2.9\}$	$\{32.3 \pm 5.8\}$	$\{4.53 \pm 1.98\}$
				$(13.0 \pm 2.7)$	$(31.5 \pm 4.9)$	$(3.87 \pm 1.61)$
	parents of the			× ,	. ,	. , ,
	(extremely) obese					
	adolescents	parents	848	$42.3\pm6.1$	$30.4 \pm 6.4$	$1.66 \pm 1.85$
			{50}	$\{40.6 \pm 5.4\}$	$\{30.6 \pm 7.4\}$	$\{1.84 \pm 1.94\}$
				$(44.0 \pm 6.2)$	$(30.2 \pm 5.4)$	$(1.48 \pm 1.73)$
	subgroup reference					
	sample I <sup>c</sup> for CNV	narents	212	$41.8 \pm 5.9$	30 1 + 5 9	1 62 + 1 77
	cumig	purchas	{50}	$\{40.0 \pm 5.1\}$	$\{29.8 \pm 6.1\}$	$\{1.67 \pm 1.65\}$
				(43.6 + 6.1)	(30.4 + 5.6)	$(1.57 \pm 1.89)$
	subgroup reference			(	(0000 2000)	(
	sample II <sup>c</sup> for CNV		212		25.2.2.7	0.10 0.70
	calling	parents	212	$41.5 \pm 5.7$	$25.2 \pm 2.7$	$0.18 \pm 0.72$
			50	$\{40.0 \pm 5.1\}$	$\{24.4 \pm 2.0\}$	$\{0.13 \pm 0.09\}$
(2) discovory:	(extremely) obese		50	(43.1 ± 5.8)	$(20.1 \pm 2.3)$	$(0.17 \pm 0.75)$
case-control	children and					
GWAS	adolescents	cases	453	$14.4 \pm 3.8$	33.1 ± 6.7	$4.55\pm2.16$
			{58}	$\{14.5 \pm 3.7\}$	${33.2 \pm 6.8}$	$\{4.66 \pm 2.18\}$
				$(14.2 \pm 3.9)$	(33.1 ± 6.5)	$(4.40 \pm 2.12)$
	lean or normal weight subjects	controls	435	261+58	18.3 + 1.1	$-1.45 \pm 0.34$
	sucjeeus	controls	{61}	$\{26.5 \pm 6.4\}$	$\{17.9 \pm 1.0\}$	$\{-1.35 \pm 0.30\}$
				$(25.4 \pm 4.6)$	$(19.0 \pm 0.9)$	$(-1.60 \pm 0.36)$
	(extremely) obese					
(3) replication:	children and		265	1275 . 240	22 41 + 6 47	4.24 + 2.15
Tamily-based	adolescents	cases	583	$13.75 \pm 3.40$ {13.77 + 3.48}	$32.41 \pm 0.47$	$4.34 \pm 2.15$ {4 51 + 2 17}
			[50]	$(13.77 \pm 3.40)$	$(32.09 \pm 6.30)$	$(4.10 \pm 2.17)$
	parents of the			$(13.74 \pm 3.29)$	(32.09 ± 0.42)	(4.10 ± 2.10)
	(extremely) obese					
	children and adults	parents	730	$41.67 \pm 9.38$	$30.42 \pm 6.13$	$1.71 \pm 1.80$
			{50}	{ /.85 ± /.85 }	$\{30.18 \pm 6.68\}$	$\{1./4 \pm 1.//\}$
				$(10.59 \pm 10.59)$	(30.66 ± 5.52)	$(1.69 \pm 1.83)$
(3a) array- based	(extremely) obese					
replication:	children and					
family-based	adolescents	cases	281	13.80 ± 3.29	32.15 ± 6.41	4.26 ± 2.121
[subsample of			{58}	$\{13.76 \pm 3.26\}$	$\{32.45 \pm 6.36\}$	$\{4.46 \pm 2.10\}$
Sample 3]				$(13.84 \pm 3.32)$	$(31.74 \pm 6.46)$	$(3.98 \pm 2.10)$

**Table S1.** Basic phenotypical characteristics of the family-based GWAS sample, the case-controlGWAS sample and the family-based replication sample.

parents of the (extremely) obese children and adults	parents	562	$42.89 \pm 5.93$	$30.10\pm6.16$	1.63 ± 1.82
		{50}	$\{41.31 \pm 5.48\}$	$\{29.69 \pm 6.71\}$	$\{1.61 \pm 1.79\}$
			$(44.52 \pm 5.94)$	$(30.52 \pm 5.53)$	$(1.65 \pm 1.85)$

<sup>a</sup> Data is shown as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation.

- <sup>b</sup> Age and BMI SDS (standard deviation score) values were available for only 422 mothers and 421 fathers of the family-based discovery GWAS sample. For the family-based replication [array-based replication] sample age was available for 358 [274] mothers and 350 [266] fathers, BMI was available for 358 [274] mothers and 356 [272] fathers and BMI SDS was available for 355 [271] mothers and 349 [265] fathers. Calculation of the BMI SDS values has been based on population reference values following the National Nutrition Survey I (Hebebrand et al. 1994).
- <sup>c</sup> Reference sample I is a random sample of all parents whereas reference sample II is based on parents representing lowest BMI SDS values out of all parents in our sample.