# **Supporting Information**

# ROSETTA v3 options used for *de novo* folding 10,000 T4-lysozyme models with 25

# EPR distance restraints scored according to the ROSETTAEPR knowledge-based

### potential

-abinitio::increase\_cycles 2.5

-fold\_cst::force\_minimize -constraints::cst\_file ./2LZM\_dist\_w4.cst -constraints::cst\_weight 1.0 -constraints::epr\_distance -constraints::viol -constraints::viol\_level 101

-frags::scoring -frags::picking::selecting\_rule BestTotalScoreSelector

-in::path::database minirosetta\_database\_r34586 -in::file::native ./2LZM\_.pdb -in::file::fasta ./2LZM\_.fasta -in::file:frag3 ./aa2LZM\_03\_05.200\_v1\_3 -in::file::frag9 ./aa2LZM 09 05.200 v1 3

-out::output -out::prefix 2LZM\_ -out::file::silent ./2LZM\_.out -out::file::silent\_struct\_type binary -out::file::scorefile ./2LZM\_.sc -out::nstructs 10000 -out::show accessed options

ROSETTA v3 options used for full-atom refinement of one T4-lysozyme de novo

folded model with no distance restraints, resulting in ten new models complete

## with amino acid sidechains

-relax::sequence

-in::path::database ./minirsetta\_database\_r34586

-in::file::native ./2LZM\_.pdb -in::file::fullatom

-corrections::correct

-out::output -out::prefix 2LZM\_fa\_ -out::file::silent ./2LZM\_fa.out -out::file::silent\_struct\_type binary -out::file::scorefile ./2LZM\_fa.fsc -out::nstructs 10 -out::show\_accessed\_options

# Tables

# Table S1: Previously reported EPR distance restraints of T4-lysozyme compared

AA1-AA2 <sup>a</sup>	d <sub>cβ</sub> (Å) <sup>b</sup>	d <sub>s∟</sub> (Å) <sup>c</sup>	$\sigma_{\sf SL}({ m \AA})^{\sf d}$	Reference
061-135	37.7	47.2	2.2	Borbat <i>et al</i> , 2002
065-135	34.3	46.3	2.2	Borbat <i>et al</i> , 2002
061-086	34.5	37.5	2.0	Borbat <i>et al</i> , 2002
065-086	28.9	37.4	2.7	Borbat <i>et al</i> , 2002
080-135	26.7	36.8	1.0	Borbat <i>et al</i> , 2002
061-080	28.7	34.0	2.2	Borbat <i>et al</i> , 2002
065-080	22.6	26.5	3.8	Borbat <i>et al</i> , 2002
119-131	13.2	25.0	5.0	Alexander <i>et al</i> , 2008
123-131	14.6	23.0	5.0	Alexander <i>et al</i> , 2008
065-076	16.8	21.4	2.8	Borbat <i>et al</i> , 2002
116-131	11.1	19.0	10.0	Alexander <i>et al</i> , 2008
119-128	10.4	19.0	4.0	Alexander <i>et al</i> , 2008
140-151	15.5	18.0	9.0	Alexander <i>et al</i> , 2008
089-093	9.8	16.0	3.0	Alexander <i>et al</i> , 2008
086-119	10.0	15.0	3.0	Alexander <i>et al</i> , 2008
120-131	10.5	14.0	3.0	Alexander <i>et al</i> , 2008
127-151	9.6	14.0	2.4	Alexander <i>et al</i> , 2008
140-147	10.1	13.0	7.0	Alexander <i>et al</i> , 2008
131-150	8.7	5.7	0.4	Alexander <i>et al</i> , 2008
127-154	5.9	7.0	3.0	Alexander <i>et al</i> , 2008
131-154	9.5	6.5	4.0	Alexander <i>et al</i> , 2008
134-151	10.7	7.0	0.8	Alexander <i>et al</i> , 2008
131-151	10.4	9.0	8.0	Alexander <i>et al</i> , 2008
088-100	8.9	<6.0	3.0	Alexander <i>et al</i> , 2008
089-096	8.4	<6.0	3.0	Alexander <i>et al</i> , 2008

with distances observed in the crystal structure

<sup>a</sup> Indices of spin labeled amino acids with respect to the crystal structure
 <sup>b</sup> Cβ distance as reported in the crystal structure
 <sup>c</sup> Spin label distance as observed by EPR
 <sup>d</sup> Standard deviation as observed by EPR

Table S2: T4-lysozyme  $\alpha$ -helical core domain residues over which model RMSD<sub>C<sub>a</sub></sub>

and sidechain rotamer recovery were computed relative to the crystal structure in

RMSD	Rotamer Recovery		
	74-75, 78, 84, 87-88, 91, 94-104, 106,		
70-80, 82-90, 93-106, 108-113, 115-123,	110-111, 113-114, 116-118, 120-121, 125-		
126-134, 137-141, 143-155	126, 128-130, 132-134, 136, 138-139,		
	145-153, 156		

order to assess model accuracy

Table S3: Benchmarking results of *de novo* folding 10,000 T4-lysozyme models using no EPR distance restraints, 25 distance restraints scored according to the ROSETTAEPR knowledge-based potential, and 25 distance restraints scored according to a bounded quadratic penalty

Weigh t	% Models with RMSD <sub>c</sub> ₄ < 3.5Å	% Models with RMSD <sub>C₄</sub> < 7.5Å	% Models with RMSD <sub>C₄</sub> < 3.5Å	% Models with RMSD <sub>C₄</sub> < 7.5Å
0	0.03	7.17		
	ROSETTAEPR		Bou	nded
1	0.73	21.98	0.89	37.56
2	1.41	31.07	1.18	40.95
3	2.01	37.20	1.58	41.84
4	2.05	42.08	1.62	41.09
5	1.83	45.65	1.43	40.44
6	1.60	47.29	1.40	39.50
7	1.35	49.60	1.40	38.42
8	1.31	51.21	1.62	38.01
9	0.87	50.89	1.59	37.42
10	1.02	52.70	1.57	37.22
20	0.51	54.89	1.44	34.02
30	0.46	53.28	1.22	32.77
40	0.25	49.74	1.27	32.16
50	0.17	47.43	1.12	32.27
60	0.07	43.86	1.01	31.07
70	0.03	43.95	1.29	31.67
80	0.02	43.07	1.34	31.05
90	0.01	40.92	1.39	31.22
100	0.01	41.11	1.12	30.62

Table S4: Enrichment of low-RMSD (< 3.5 Å) model recovery resulting from eight

Round	Enrichment <sup>a</sup>	RMSD <sub>C</sub> of Best- Scoring Model (Å)	ROSETTA Score of Best- Scoring Model (REU)
1	2.09 <sup>b</sup>	5.09	-229.363
2	1.20	2.94	-232.705
3	1.18	3.00	-235.389
4	1.47	1.73	-237.707
$5^{\circ}$	1.08	1.83	-238.914
6	1.00	1.83	-239.219
7	1.00	1.83	-239.311
8	1.00	1.76	-240.262

iterations of high-resolution refinement of T4-lyosyzme in ROSETTA v3

<sup>a</sup> Enrichment = (fraction of low-RMSD models in top 10% by score)<sub>round N</sub>  $\div$  (fraction of low-RMSD models in top 10% by score)<sub>round N-1</sub>; low-RMSD = RMSD<sub>C<sub>a</sub></sub> < 3.5 Å relative to crystal structure

<sup>b</sup> Round N-1 is the entire filtered ensemble taken from the 500,000 *de noo* folded models

<sup>c</sup> Only 13,677 models generated in this round

# **Figure Captions**

#### Figure S1

Comparison of the ROSETTAEPR knowledge-based potential (Figure 2E) with the bounded potential. The broader bounded potential against which experimental distance restraint violations are scored is defined according to a quadratic penalty described by the equation reported in the figure, where ub = upper bound, lb = lower bound, sd = standard deviation of 1.0, and *rswitch* = 0.5.

#### Figure S2

Map of previously reported EPR distance restraints (Table S1) on the T4lysozyme crystal structure. The 107 C-terminal residues of the T4-lysozyme crystal structure are shown in rainbow with inter-residue distances used as structural restraints depicted as black dotted lines. A full list of experimentally determined EPR distances used in the benchmarking of ROSETTAEPR for this protein is reported in Table S1.

# Figures

## Figure S1



\*Bounded potential is defined as:



Figure S2

