How active site protonation state influences the reactivity and ligation of the heme in chlorite dismutase

Bennett R. Streit,¹ Béatrice Blanc,¹ Gudrun S. Lukat-Rodgers,² Kenton R. Rodgers,² Jennifer L. DuBois¹ Contents:

- 1. Change in absorbance spectrum observed following pH-jump from 6.8 to 4 (Figure S1)
- 2. Correlation between the change in absorbance of Soret band at 393 nm and rate of chlorite dismutation upon change of pH from 6.8 to 4 (Figure S2)
- 3. Titration of Cld heme chromophore over middle pH range (7–11) (Figure S3)
- 4. High frequency rR spectra of ferrous Cld at pH 5.8, 6.8, 7.5, and 10.0 (Figure S4)
- 5. Resonance Raman spectra of the isotopomers of Cld-CO at pH 5.8, 6.8, and 10 (Figure S5)
- 6. UV/vis spectra of ligand-bound forms of Cld (Figure S6)
- 7. rR spectra of ligand-bound forms of Cld (Figure S7)
- 8. Representative titration plots for determination of Cld/ligand K_D values (Figure S8)
- 9. Table of Frequencies for Ferrous CO complexes of heme proteins (Table S1); data were used to generate correlation plot shown in text.
- 10. Table of UV/Visible bands and spin states for several heme proteins and their hydroxide complexes (Table S2)
- 11. Derivation of pH-rate equations used in text

Figure S1. Change in UV/visible absorbance upon changing pH from 6.8 (dashed line) to 4 (solid black line). Scans were taken at 3 s intervals after changing the pH from 6.8 to 4 by addition of a concentrated 100 mM citrate phosphate buffer, pH 3.2. All spectra were corrected for dilutions. The inset shows the absorbance at 393 as a function of time.



Figure S2. Correlation between the change in absorbance of Soret band at 393 nm and rate of chlorite dismutation upon change of pH from 6.8 to 4. To normalize the change in Δ Absorbance or change in $[O_2]$ concentration, the Δ Abs at time t (Δ Abs_t) or the $[O_2]$ at time t ($[O_2]_t$) is divided by either the Δ Absorbance or $[O_2]$ at the final time point (Δ Abs_f or $[O_2]_f$). The time course of the O_2 -evolution reaction, which does not go to completion, matches that of the Soret band's blue shift. This suggests that the reaction continues only as long as active enzyme is available.



Figure S3.

(a)The Cld UV/vis spectrum was titrated from 6.7 (dashed line) to 9.5 (solid black line) at 25°C in 100 mM citrate-phosphate buffer. This buffer was used for all of the steady-state kinetic data reported in the text. The inset shows the absorbance at 393 as a function of pH with a pKa of 8.9 ± 0.2 . The peak maxima isosbestic points, and pK_a are all identical to what was measured in the 20mM (each) Mes/Ches/Tris mixed buffer reported in the text. Spectra were obtained at pH 6.7 (Soret band at 393 nm), 7, 7.5, 7.9, 8.2, 8.6, 9 and 9.5 (Soret band at 410 nm). As the pH increases above 9.5, the Soret absorbance begins to blue shift due to formation of a new 5cHS species presumed to be due to loss of axial His ligation and coordination of OH at the distal side. The spectrum at pH 6.7 shows a Soret band at 393 nm and CT bands at 644 nm and 509 nm respectively. At pH 9.5, the spectrum shows a Soret band at 410 nm, and α and β at 576 nm and 533 nm respectively. The isosbestic points for the transition of the 5c-HS species to the 6c species occur at approximately 351 nm, 469 nm, 540 nm, 558 nm, 621 nm. Discrepancies in the isosbestic points are likely due to small shifts in the UV/vis baseline that occurred following removal and refilling of the spectrometer cuvette.



Figure S4. High frequency rR spectra of ferrous Cld at pH 5.8, 6.8, 7.5, and 10.0. Spectra were acquired with 406.7 nm excitation (18 mW). Solutions at pH 6.8 and 7.5 were buffered in 100 mM sodium phosphate. The pH 5.8 and 10.0 solutions were buffered with 100 mM sodium citrate and Ches, respectively. The asterisks mark intensity due to Hg atomic emission from inadvertent and indirect exposure of the spectrometer to ambient fluorescent lighting.



Figure S5. Soret-excited (413.1 nm) rR spectra of Cld–CO (black) and Cld–¹³CO (red), along with the respective difference spectra (blue) as a function of pH. The difference spectra reveal the isotope-sensitivities of the v_{Fe-C} and v_{C-O} bands. They further reveal two forms of Cld–CO whose relative populations are sensitive to pH. The FeCO features attributable to form 1 have greater amplitude than those of form 2. However form 1 is more favored at high pH than it is at low pH. Bands arising from FeCO vibrations are labled in blue and were assigned based on their ¹³CO isotope shifts. Iron porphyrinate bands are labled in green.



Figure S6. Spectra of ligand-bound Cld. Spectra were measured at ligand concentrations and pH values ensuring full occupation of the Fe sites. The visible region of the spectrum (450 -800 nm) is magnified 10 fold for clarity. (a) oxidized Cld; (b) cyanide bound spectrum; (c) azide bound spectrum; (d) fluoride bound spectrum; (e) nitrite bound spectrum; (f) pyridine bound spectrum; (g) thiocyanate bound spectrum; (h) imidazole bound spectrum. Peak positions are indicated on Table 2 in the text.



Figure S7. Soret-excited rR spectra of ferric Cld complexes: $Cld-CN^{-}$ (4.5 mM NaCN, pH 7.0), Cld–ImH (1.2 mM imidazole, pH 7.5), Cld–N₃⁻ (2.0 mM NaN₃, pH 7.5), Cld–NO₂⁻ (11 mM NaNO₂, pH 6.8), Cld–SCN⁻ (9.8 mM KSCN, pH 5.8), and Cld–F⁻ (1.3 M NaF, pH 5.8). These complexes were prepared at the pH of their greatest thermodynamic stability in the presence of saturating exogenous ligand concentrations, as determined by spectrophotometric titrations (see Figure 7). The Cld–CN⁻ and Cld–ImH complexes are completely 6c and LS. The Cld–N₃⁻, Cld–NO₂⁻, and Cld–SCN⁻ complexes harbor equilibrium mixtures of 6cHS and 6cLS hemes. The Cld–F⁻ complex is 6cHS.



Figure S8. Representative plots illustrating data quality and analysis for Cld/ligand binding titrations. (a) Titration of imidazole at pH 7.0 in a 10 mM phosphate buffer. Spectra were measured after imidazole additions of 0, 4.84, 9.38, 13.7, 17.6, 21.4, 25.0, 28.4, 47.4, 65.4, 82.5, 446, and 793 μ M. Spectra are corrected for dilution. The inset shows an expanded view of the visible region of the spectrum. (b) Difference plot generated from (a). (c) Plot of ΔAbs_{385} as a function of ligand concentration. The inset shows an expanded view of the visible region of pH. The data were fit to an equilibrium isotherm, as described in the text.







Fe(II)-CO	vFe-C	νС-О	δFe-C-O	Reference	
Cld pH 6.8	496	1957	575	this work	
Cld pH 10.0	499	1945	576	this work	
Sensor Proteins					
sGC <i>Ec</i> Dos	472 486	1987 1973	562 nr	1 2	
<i>Ec</i> DosH	487	1969	575	3	
CooA	487	1982	nr	4	
AxPDEA1H	493	1973	581	3	
HemAT-B	494	1964	573	5	
<i>Mt</i> DosH	494	1972	570	3	
NPAS2 bHLH-PAS-A	495	1962	nr	6	
NPAS2 PAS-A	496	1962	572	7	
<i>Bj</i> FixL	496	1969	571	3	
sGC	497	1959	574	8, 9	
NPAS2 PAS-B	497	1962	577	10	
CLOCK PAS-A	498	1960	576	submitted	
<i>Rm</i> FixLH	498	1962	576	11	
CooA(alkaline)	500	1964	nr	4	
<i>Rm</i> FixLT	502	1956	572	11	
Peroxidases/catalases					
HRP(I) CCP(I)	490 495	1932 1922		12 13	
KatG	499	1965	no	14	
HRP(III)	516	1933		15, 16	
KatG	522	1926	584	14	
CCP(II)	530	1922		13	
HRP(II)	539	1906		12,16,15	
Catalase	542	1908		17	

Table S1. Frequencies for Ferrous CO complexes of heme proteins

Heme Transport proteins

PhuS ShuS SmHasA	498 498 532	1960 1964 1954	578 582	18 unpublished results 19
Globins				
SW myoglobin	507	1947		20, 21
human myoglobin	508	1941		22, 23
M. tuberculosis HbN	500	1948		24
	534	1917		24
Ascaris suum Hb	543	1909	588	25
	515	1948		25
Models				
PPDMe(Im ⁻)	490	1942		12
PPMeImH	495	1960		12

Protein	Sixth ligand	Soret	CT1	β	α	CT2	Spin	Ref
HRP (pH <3.1)	H ₂ O*	370	-	515	-	652	hs	26
HRP(neutral)	none	403	497	-	-	641	hs	27
HRP (pH 11)	OH-	416	-	545	575	-	ls	27
CCp (neutral)	none	408	508	540	592	644	hs	28
CCp (pH 8)	His	414	488	534	563	629	ls	28
HRP(H42R)	OH-	408	498	538	575	607 (643)	mixed	29
CCp(D235N)	none	406	500	535	576	624	hs	28
CCp(D235N)	OH-	412	503	541	576	627	ls	28
CCp(D235N)	His	414	485	531	566	634	ls	28
swMb (pH 4)	$H_2O *$	370		510		641	hs	26
swMb (neutral)	H_2O	409.5	505	546	590	635	hs	30,31
swMb (pH < 11)	OH-	414	484	542	582	595	mixed	30,31
CIP (pH 3.8)	H_2O*	394	-	507		652	hs	26
CIP (neutral)	none	403	-	505	534	649	hs	26
CIP (pH 12.1)	OH-	412 (370sh)	-	543	575	-	ls	26
CIP(D245N) (pH3.8)	H_2O	412 (370)	506	534	575	639	hs (hs*)	26
CIP(D235N) (neutral)	H_2O	407	502	536	575	639	hs	26
CIP(245N) (pH 10)	OH	410 (370)	(511)	541	575	(644)	hs (hs*)	26

Table S2. UV/Visible bands and spin states for several heme proteins and their hydroxide complexes

^a Phosphate buffer; ^b nitrate buffer; * coordination of water or hydroxide, but loss of proximal His ligation.

Sample equation derivation

A derivation of the equation describing the pH dependence of k_{cat}/K_m exhibiting 3 pK_as (model 1, equation (7) in text) is shown:

A 3 pK_a model implies that there are at least four different enzyme forms separated by the 3 turning points on the k_{cat}/K_m plot:

$$k_{cat}/K_m (obs) = c[EH_2]/[E_t] + c'[EH]/[E_t]$$
 (Eq.S1)

In the present case, the enzyme forms EH₂ and EH are active. EH₃ and E are not active. Therefore, at any pH, the observed k_{cat}/K_m is a function of the proportion of enzyme in the EH₂ form and its intrinsic k_{cat}/K_m (called c) and the EH form and its intrinsic $k_{\text{cat}}\!/K_{\text{m}}\left(c^{2}\right)\!.$:

$$k_{cat}/K_m (obs) = c[EH_2]/[E_t] + c'[EH]/[E_t]$$
 (Eq.S2)

With three pK_{as} , the total enzyme concentration E_{t} will be partitioned according to the pH of the solution:

$$E_{T} = EH_{3} + EH_{2} + EH + E$$
(Eq.S3)

Partitioning of the enzyme between the 4 forms will be determined by the three Kas according to:

$$Ka(1) = \frac{[EH_2][H^+]}{[EH_3]}, Ka(2) = \frac{[EH][H^+]}{[EH_2]}, Ka(3) = \frac{[E][H^+]}{[EH]} \quad (Eq. S4 - S6)$$

These K_a expressions are rearranged and used to express [E_T] in terms of the concentration of each enzyme species in the numerator terms of Eq.S2. For example:

$$[EH_3] = [EH_2][H^+]/K_a(1)$$

 $[EH] = K_a(2) [EH_2]/[H^+]$

 $[E] = K_a(3)[EH]/[H^+] = K_a(2)xK_a(3)[EH_2]/[H^+]^2$

٢

And $[E_T] = [EH_2][H^+]/K_a(1) + [EH_2] + K_a(2) [EH_2]/[H^+] + K_a(2)xK_a(3) [EH_2]/[H^+]^2$

A similar expression can be written in terms of [EH]. These expressions for [E_T] are substituted back into Eq.S2 to give the expression:

$$k_{cat}/K_{m}(obs) = \left\{ \frac{c}{1 + \frac{Ka(2) \times Ka(3)}{[H^{+}]^{2}} + \frac{[H^{+}]}{Ka(1)} + \frac{Ka(2)}{[H^{+}]}} + \frac{C'}{1 + \frac{[H^{+}]}{Ka(2)} + \frac{Ka(3)}{[H^{+}]} + \frac{[H^{+}]^{2}}{Ka(1) \times Ka(2)}} \right\}$$

Taking the logarithm of both sides gives equation (7). The other equations expressing the pH dependence of kinetic parameters or K_D were derived in an analogous way.

References

- (1) Tomita, T.; Ogura, T.; Tsuyama, S.; Imai, Y.; Kitagawa, Y. Biochemistry 1997, 36, 10155-10160.
- (2) Sato, A.; Sasakura, Y.; Sugiyama, S.; Shimizu, T.; Mizutani, Y.; Kitagawa, T. *Journal of biological chemistry* **2002**, *277*, 32650-32658.
- (3) Tomita, T.; Gonzalez, G.; Chang, A.L.; Ikeda-Saito, M.; Gilles-Gonzales, M.A. *Biochemistry* **2002**, *41*, 4819-4826.
- (4) Coyle, C.M.; Puranik, M.; Youn, H.; Nielsen, S.B.; Wiliams, R.D., Kerby, R.L.; Roberts, G.P.; Spiro, T.G. Journal of biological chemistry 2003, 278, 35384-35393.
- (5) Aono S., Kato, T., Matsuki, M., Nakajima, H. Ohta, T., Uchida, T., Kitagawa, T. **2002** *Journal of biological chemistry*, 277, 13528-13538.
- (6) Mukaiyama, Y.; Uchida, T.; Sato, E.; Sasaki, A.; Sato, Y.; Igarashi, J.; Kurokawa, H.; Sagami, I.; Kitagawa, T.; Shimizu, T. *FEBS journal* **2006**, *273*, 2528-2539.
- (7) Uchida, T.; Sato, E.; Sato, A.; Sagami, I.; Shimizu, T.; Kitagawa, T. *Journal of biological chemistry* **2005**, *280*, 21358-21368.
- (8) Yu A.E.; Hu, S.; Spiro, T.G., Burstyn, J.N. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1994**, *116*, 4117-4418.
- (9) Fan, B.; Gupta, G.; Danziger, R.S.; Friedman, J.M.; Rousseau, D.L. *Biochemistry* **1998**, *37*, 1178-1184.
- (10) Koudo, R.; Kurokawa, H.; Sato, E.; Igarashi, J.; Uchadi, T.; Sagamu, I.; Kitagawa, T.; Shimizu, T. *FEBS journal* **2005**, *272*, 4153-4162.
- (11) Miyatake, H.; Mukai, M., Adachi, S.I.; Nakamura, H.; TAmura, K.; Iizuka, T.; Shiro, Y.; Strange, R.W.; Hasnain, S.S. *Journal of biological chemistry* **1999**, *274*, 23176-23184.
- (12) Evangelista-kirkup, R.; Smulevich, G.; Siro, T.G. Biochemistry 1986, 25, 4420-4425.
- (13) Li, X. Y.; Spiro, T. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 6024-6033.
- (14) Lukat-Rodgers, G.S.; Wengenack, N.L.; Rusnak, F.; Rodgers, K.R. *Biochemistry* **2001**, *40*, 7149-7157.
- (15) Uno, T.; Nishimura, Y.; Tsuboi, M.; Makino, R.; Iizuka, T.; Ishimura, Y. *Journal of biological chemistry* **1987**, *262*, 4549-4556.
- (16) Feis, A.; Rodriguez-Lopez, J.N.; Thorneley; R.N.F.; Smulevich, G. *Biochemistry* **1998**, *37*, 13575-13581.
- (17) Hu, S.; Kincaid, J.R. FEBS letters 1992, 314, 293-296.
- (18) Block, D.R.; Lukat-Rodgers, G.S.; Rodgers, K.R.; Wilks, A.; Bhata, M.N.; Lansky, I.B. *Biochemistry* 2007, 46, 14391-14402.
- (19) Lukat-Rodgers, G. S.; Rodgers, K. R.; Caillet-Saguy, C.; Izadi-Pruneyre, N.; Lecroisey, *Biochemistry* **2008**, *47*, 2087-2098.
- (20) Anderton, C.L.; Hester, R.E.; Moore, J.N. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 1997, 1338, 107-120.
- (21) Spiro, T.G.; Wasbotten, I.H. Journal of inorganic biochemistry 2005, 99, 34-44.
- (22) Ling, J.; Li. T.; Oslon, J.S.; Bocian, D.F. Biochimica et biophysica acta. 1994, 1188, 417-421.

- (23) Li, T.; Quillin, M.L.; Phillips, G.N., Jr, Olson, J.S. Biochemistry 1994, 33, 1433-1446.
- (24) Yeh, S.R.; Couture, M.; Oullet, Y.;Guertin, M.; Rousseau, D.L. *Journal of biological chemistry* **2000**, 275, 1679-1684.
- (25) Das, T.K.; Friedman, J.M.; Kloek, A.P.; Goldberg, D.E.; Rousseau, D.L. *Biochemistry* **2000**, *39*, 837-842.
- (26) Smulevich, G.; Neri, F.; Marzocchi, M. P.; Welinder, K. G. Biochemistry 1996, 35, 10576-10585.
- (27) Keilin, D.; Hartree, E. F. Biochem. J. 1951, 49, 88-106.
- (28) Vitello, L. B.; Erman, J. E.; Miller, M. A.; Mauro, J. M.; Kraut, J. *Biochemistry* **1992**, *31*, 11524-11535.
- (29) Howes, B. D.; RodriguezLopez, J. N.; Smith, A. T.; Smulevich, G. *Biochemistry* **1997**, *36*, 1532-1543.
- (30) Hanania, G. I.; Yeghiayan, A.; Cameron, B. F. Biochem. J. 1966, 98, 189-192.
- (31) Smith, D. W.; Williams, R. J. Biochem. J. 1968, 110, 297-301.