

Supplemental Table 2: Statistical summary of behavioral data for *Viaat-Mecp2^{+/y}* mice

Behavioral Paradigm	Age (wks)	Measurement	Statistical Test	Comparison	Statistics	df, residual	p	Figure
<i>Viaat-Mecp2^{+/y}</i>	12	percent grooming time (%)	One-way ANOVA	Genotype	F = 26.53	3, 56	< 0.001	1f
			Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.001	
<i>Viaat-Mecp2^{+/y}</i> Holeboard	12	number of holes with 2 or more sequential nosepekes	Kruskal-Wallis		H = 20.55	3	< 0.0001	1g
			Dunn's post-hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.001	
<i>Viaat-Mecp2^{+/y}</i> Hot Plate	12	time to hindlimb response (sec)	One-way ANOVA	Genotype	F = 2.376	3, 60	0.0789	S4a
<i>Viaat-Mecp2^{+/y}</i> Tail Flick	12	time to tail flick response (sec)	Tukey's post hoc	no post tests $p > 0.05$				S4b
			One-way ANOVA	Genotype	F = 1.854	3, 60	0.1471	
<i>Viaat-Mecp2^{+/y}</i> Open Field Assay: Exploratory Activity	12	total distance traveled (cm)	One-way ANOVA	Genotype	F = 1.034	3, 52	0.3851	2f
	19	total distance traveled (cm)	Tukey's post hoc	no post tests $p > 0.05$				2f
<i>Viaat-Mecp2^{+/y}</i> Footslip	5	# slips per 100 beam breaks	One-way ANOVA	Genotype	F = 39.41	3, 59	< 0.0001	2a
			Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.001	
<i>Viaat-Mecp2^{+/y}</i> Wire Hang	9	latency to fall (sec)	One-way ANOVA	Genotype	F = 42.47	3, 59	< 0.0001	2d
			Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.001	
<i>Viaat-Mecp2^{+/y}</i> Accelerating Rotarod	6	latency to fall (sec)	Two-way ANOVA	Genotype	F = 8.761	3	< 0.0001	S4c
			Repeated measure	Trial	F = 79.11	7	< 0.0001	
				Interaction	F = 1.428	21	0.0999	
				Subjects	F = 8.48	60	< 0.0001	
				Residual		420		
			Bonferroni post hoc	WT vs. Cre			> 0.05	
			Trial 1	WT vs. Flox			> 0.05	
				WT vs. CKO			> 0.05	
	Cre vs. Flox			> 0.05				
	Cre vs. CKO			> 0.05				
	Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05				
Bonferroni post hoc	WT vs. Cre			> 0.05				
Trial 2	WT vs. Flox			> 0.05				
	WT vs. CKO			> 0.05				
	Cre vs. Flox			> 0.05				
	Cre vs. CKO			> 0.05				
	Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05				
Bonferroni post hoc	WT vs. Cre			> 0.05				
Trial 3	WT vs. Flox			> 0.05				
	WT vs. CKO			> 0.05				
	Cre vs. Flox			> 0.05				
	Cre vs. CKO			> 0.05				
	Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05				
Bonferroni post hoc	WT vs. Cre			> 0.05				
Trial 4	WT vs. Flox			> 0.05				
	WT vs. CKO			> 0.05				
	Cre vs. Flox			> 0.05				
	Cre vs. CKO			> 0.05				
	Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05				
Bonferroni post hoc	WT vs. Cre			> 0.05				
Trial 5	WT vs. Flox			> 0.05				
	WT vs. CKO			> 0.05				
	Cre vs. Flox			> 0.05				
	Cre vs. CKO			> 0.05				
	Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05				
Bonferroni post hoc	WT vs. Cre			> 0.05				
Trial 6	WT vs. Flox			> 0.05				
	WT vs. CKO			> 0.05				
	Cre vs. Flox			> 0.05				
	Cre vs. CKO			> 0.05				

		Bonferroni post hoc Trial 7	Flox vs. CKO WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05		
		Bonferroni post hoc Trial 8	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05		
	latency to fall (sec)	One-way ANOVA Trial 1	Genotype	F = 1.291	3, 60	0.2856		
		Tukey's post hoc	no post tests p > 0.05					
	latency to fall (sec)	One-way ANOVA Trial 2	Genotype	F = 4.177	3, 60	0.0094		
		Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 > 0.05		
	latency to fall (sec)	One-way ANOVA Trial 3	Genotype	F = 5.548	3, 60	0.002		
		Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.01 < 0.05		
	latency to fall (sec)	One-way ANOVA Trial 4	Genotype	F = 8.405	3, 60	< 0.0001		
		Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.05		
	latency to fall (sec)	One-way ANOVA Trial 5	Genotype	F = 5.388	3, 60	0.0024		
		Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.01 > 0.05		
	latency to fall (sec)	One-way ANOVA Trial 6	Genotype	F = 3.897	3, 60	0.013		
		Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.05 > 0.05		
	latency to fall (sec)	One-way ANOVA Trial 7	Genotype	F = 6.199	3, 60	0.001		
		Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05		
	latency to fall (sec)	One-way ANOVA Trial 8	Genotype	F = 11.05	3, 60	< 0.0001		
		Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.01		
19	latency to fall (sec)	Two-way ANOVA Repeated measure	Genotype Trial Interaction Subjects Residual	F = 14.78 F = 11.62 F = 0.802 F = 26.35	3 7 21 44 308	< 0.0001 < 0.0001 0.7172 < 0.0001	2c	
		Bonferroni post hoc Trial 1	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.01		
		Bonferroni post hoc Trial 2	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.05		
		Bonferroni post hoc Trial 3	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001		

		Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.05
	Bonferroni post hoc Trial 4	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05
	Bonferroni post hoc Trial 5	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.001
	Bonferroni post hoc Trial 6	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.01
	Bonferroni post hoc Trial 7	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.01
	Bonferroni post hoc Trial 8	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.001
latency to fall (sec)	One-way ANOVA Trial 1	Genotype	F = 11.29	3, 44	< 0.0001
	Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.05
latency to fall (sec)	One-way ANOVA Trial 2	Genotype	F = 9.808	3, 44	< 0.0001
	Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.05
latency to fall (sec)	One-way ANOVA Trial 3	Genotype	F = 10.85	3, 44	< 0.0001
	Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.05
latency to fall (sec)	One-way ANOVA Trial 4	Genotype	F = 10.81	3, 44	< 0.0001
	Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.05
latency to fall (sec)	One-way ANOVA Trial 5	Genotype	F = 15.70	3, 44	< 0.0001
	Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.001
latency to fall (sec)	One-way ANOVA Trial 6	Genotype	F = 11.08	3, 44	< 0.0001
	Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.01
latency to fall (sec)	One-way ANOVA Trial 7	Genotype	F = 14.75	3, 44	< 0.0001
	Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.001
latency to fall (sec)	One-way ANOVA Trial 8	Genotype	F = 11.68	3, 44	< 0.0001
	Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre			> 0.05

				WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.01	
<i>Viaat-Mecp2^{+/y}</i> Dowel walk	9	number of side touches	One-way ANOVA Tukey's post hoc	Genotype WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO	F = 39.06	3, 59	< 0.0001 > 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.001	2b
<i>Viaat-Mecp2^{+/y}</i> Grip strength	9	forelimb grip strength (g)	One-way ANOVA Tukey's post hoc	Genotype WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO	F = 19.12	3, 59	< 0.0001 > 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.001	2e
<i>Viaat-Mecp2^{+/y}</i> Nest building	6	nesting score	Two-way ANOVA Repeated measure	Genotype Time Interaction Subjects Residual	F = 60.18 F = 24.73 F = 2.169 F = 4.384	3 2 6 60 120	< 0.0001 < 0.0001 < 0.05 < 0.0001	S6b
			Bonferroni post hoc 24 hours	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.001	
			Bonferroni post hoc 48 hours	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.001	
			Bonferroni post hoc 72 hours	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.001	
	nesting score	One-way ANOVA 24 hours	Genotype	F = 41.53	3, 60	< 0.0001		
		Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.001		
	nesting score	One-way ANOVA 48 hours	Genotype	F = 41.01	3, 60	< 0.0001		
		Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.001		
	nesting score	One-way ANOVA 72 hours	Genotype	F = 44.41	3, 60	< 0.0001		
		Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.001		
13	nesting score	Two-way ANOVA Repeated measure	Genotype Trial Interaction Subjects Residual	F = 80.80 F = 12.16 F = 1.233 F = 1.684	3 2 6 56 112	< 0.0001 < 0.0001 0.01 0.2947	2j	
		Bonferroni post hoc 24 hours	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.001		
		Bonferroni post hoc 48 hours	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.001		
		Bonferroni post hoc 72 hours	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.001		

		nesting score	One-way ANOVA 24 hours	Genotype	F = 24.98	3, 56	< 0.0001	
			Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.001	
		nesting score	One-way ANOVA 48 hours	Genotype	F = 24.21	3, 56	< 0.0001	
			Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.001	
		nesting score	One-way ANOVA 72 hours	Genotype	F = 15.10	3, 56	< 0.0001	
			Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.001	
Viaat-Mecp2 ^{+/y} Partition test	6	interaction time (sec)	Two-way ANOVA Repeated measure	Genotype Trial Interaction Subjects Residual	F = 1.202 F = 120.6 F = 0.527 F = 3.261	3 2 6 56 120	0.3168 < 0.0001 0.7867 < 0.0001	S6c
			Bonferroni post hoc Familiar 1st encounter	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05	
			Bonferroni post hoc Novel	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05	
			Bonferroni post hoc Familiar 2nd encounter	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05	
		interaction time (sec)	One-way ANOVA 1st Familiar	Genotype	F = 0.8342	3, 60	0.4804	
			Tukey's post hoc	no post tests p > 0.05				
		interaction time (sec)	One-way ANOVA Novel	Genotype	F = 0.9969	3, 60	0.4006	
			Tukey's post hoc	no post tests p > 0.05				
		interaction time (sec)	One-way ANOVA 2nd Familiar	Genotype	F = 0.9724	3, 60	0.4118	
			Tukey's post hoc	no post tests p > 0.05				
	12-13	interaction time (sec)	Two-way ANOVA Repeated measure	Genotype Trial Interaction Subjects Residual	F = 17.65 F = 169.6 F = 5.017 F = 4.781	3 2 6 56 112	< 0.0001 < 0.0001 0.0001 < 0.0001	2g
			Bonferroni post hoc Familiar 1st encounter	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05	
			Bonferroni post hoc Novel	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.01	
			Bonferroni post hoc Familiar 2nd encounter	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.01 > 0.05 < 0.01 > 0.05	
		interaction time (sec)	One-way ANOVA 1st Familiar	Genotype	F = 11.68	3, 56	< 0.0001	
			Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.01	
		interaction time (sec)	One-way ANOVA Novel	Genotype	F = 17.40	3, 56	< 0.0001	
			Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox			> 0.05 > 0.05	

				WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			< 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.01	
		interaction time (sec)	One-way ANOVA 2nd Familiar Tukey's post hoc	Genotype	F = 10.09	3, 56	< 0.0001	
				WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.05	
<i>Viaat-Mecp2^{+/y}</i> 3-Chamber Habituation phase	13	total time in left chamber (sec)	One-way ANOVA Tukey's post hoc	Genotype	F = 0.2299	3, 52	0.8752	S6d
		total time in center chamber (sec)	One-way ANOVA Tukey's post hoc	Genotype	F = 4.829	3, 52		S6d
				WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05	
		total time in right chamber (sec)	One-way ANOVA Tukey's post hoc	Genotype	F = 1.215	3, 52	0.3135	S6d
		interaction time inanimate left cage (sec)	One-way ANOVA Tukey's post hoc	Genotype	F = 0.5016	3, 52	0.6828	S6e
		interaction time inanimate right cage (sec)	One-way ANOVA Tukey's post hoc	Genotype	F = 2.393	3, 52	0.0790	S6e
<i>Viaat-Mecp2^{+/y}</i> 3-Chamber Social phase	13	total time in chamber with inanimate empty cage (sec)	One-way ANOVA Tukey's post hoc	Genotype	F = 1.391	3, 52	0.2558	S6f
		total time in center chamber (sec)	One-way ANOVA Tukey's post hoc	Genotype	F = 1.486	3, 52	0.2291	S6f
		total time in chamber with stranger mouse (sec)	One-way ANOVA Tukey's post hoc	Genotype	F = 0.7328	3, 52	0.5371	S6f
		interaction time with inanimate empty cage (sec)	One-way ANOVA Tukey's post hoc	Genotype	F = 1.075	3, 52	0.3678	2h
		interaction time with stranger mouse(sec)	One-way ANOVA Tukey's post hoc	Genotype	F = 8.168	3, 52	< 0.0001	2h
				WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.01 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.05	
<i>Viaat-Mecp2^{+/y}</i> Novel object	11-12	interaction time (sec)	One-way ANOVA Tukey's post hoc	Genotype	F = 0.1147	3, 52	0.9511	2i
<i>Viaat-Mecp2^{+/y}</i> Prepulse inhibition (PPI)	8	maximum response to 120 dB (A.U.)	One-way ANOVA Tukey's post hoc	Genotype	F = 19.52	3, 60	< 0.0001	2k
		% prepulse inhibition	Two-way ANOVA Bonferroni post hoc 74 (+4) dB Bonferroni post hoc 78 (+8) dB Bonferroni post hoc 82 (+12) dB	Genotype Prepulse level Interaction Residual	F = 16.18 F = 49.52 F = 0.8517	3 2 6 180	< 0.0001 < 0.0001 0.5317	2l
				WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05	
				WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.01	
				WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.01	
		% prepulse inhibition	One-way ANOVA 74 (+4) dB Tukey's post hoc	Genotype	F=2.422	3, 60	0.0747	
		% prepulse inhibition	One-way ANOVA 78 (+8) dB	Genotype	F = 8.143	3, 60	< 0.0001	

			Tukey's post hoc 78 (+8) dB	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.01	
		% prepulse inhibition	One-way ANOVA 82 (+12) dB	Genotype	F = 8.733	3, 60	< 0.0001	
			Tukey's post hoc 82 (+12) dB	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.01 < 0.01	
<i>Viaat-Mecp2^Y</i> Morris water maze	10-11	training trials, normalized distance	Two-way ANOVA Repeated measure	Genotype Training day Interaction Subjects Residual	F = 0.7207 F = 96.64 F = 1.082 F = 2.609	3 3 9 52 156	0.5441 < 0.0001 0.3792 < 0.0001	2m
			Bonferroni post hoc Training day 1	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05	
			Bonferroni post hoc Training day 2	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05	
			Bonferroni post hoc Training day 3	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05	
			Bonferroni post hoc Training day 4	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05	
		training trials, normalized distance	One-way ANOVA Training day 2	Genotype	F = 2.511	3, 52	0.0688	
			Tukey's post hoc	no post tests p > 0.05				
		training trials, normalized distance	One-way ANOVA Training day 3	Genotype	F = 0.1485	3, 52	0.9302	
			Tukey's post hoc	no post tests p > 0.05				
		training trials, normalized distance	One-way ANOVA Training day 4	Genotype	F = 0.8396	3, 52	0.4783	
			Tukey's post hoc	no post tests p > 0.05				
	10-11	probe test, % platform crossings	Two-way ANOVA	Genotype Platform Interaction Residual	F = 1.447 F = 12.00 F = 4.735	3 3 9 208	0.2303 < 0.0001 < 0.0001	2n
			Bonferroni post hoc Left	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.01 > 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.01	
			Bonferroni post hoc Opposite	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05	
			Bonferroni post hoc Right	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05	
			Bonferroni post hoc Target	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.001	
		probe test, % platform crossings	One-way ANOVA Left	Genotype	F = 3.243	3, 52	< 0.05	
			Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox			> 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05	

				Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05	
		probe test, % platform crossings	One-way ANOVA Opposite Tukey's post hoc	Genotype no post tests $p > 0.05$	F = 1.002	3, 52	0.3996	
		probe test, % platform crossings	One-way ANOVA Right Tukey's post hoc	Genotype no post tests $p > 0.05$	F = 0.9695	3, 52	0.4142	
		probe test, % platform crossings	One-way ANOVA Target Tukey's post hoc	Genotype WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO	F = 11.93	3, 52	< 0.0001 > 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.001	
<i>Viaat-Mecp2^{+/y}</i> Light-dark	5	time in light side (sec)	One-way ANOVA Tukey's post hoc	Genotype no post tests $p > 0.05$	F = 2.301	3, 60	0.0862	S5a
		number of entries into light side	One-way ANOVA Tukey's post hoc	Genotype WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO	F = 3.095	3, 60	< 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05	S5b
<i>Viaat-Mecp2^{+/y}</i> Open field assay	5	center distance (cm)	One-way ANOVA Tukey's post hoc	Genotype WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO	F = 2.301	3, 84	< 0.0001 > 0.05 < 0.01 < 0.001 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05	S5c
		ratio center to total distance	One-way ANOVA Tukey's post hoc	Genotype WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO	F = 6.245	3, 84	0.0007 > 0.05 < 0.01 < 0.01 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05	S5d
<i>Viaat-Mecp2^{+/y}</i> Elevated plus maze	5	protected time (sec)	One-way ANOVA Tukey's post hoc	Genotype WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO	F = 4.142	3, 60	0.0098 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.05 > 0.05	S5e
		unprotected time (sec)	One-way ANOVA Tukey's post hoc	Genotype WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO	F = 4.142	3, 60	0.0098 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.05 > 0.05	S5f
		number protected rears	One-way ANOVA Tukey's post hoc	Genotype WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO	F = 14.97	3, 60	< 0.0001 > 0.05 < 0.01 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 > 0.05	S5g
		number unprotected rears	One-way ANOVA Tukey's post hoc	Genotype WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO	F = 6.568	3, 60	0.0006 > 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.01 > 0.05 < 0.01 < 0.01	S5h
<i>Viaat-Mecp2^{+/y}</i> Olfactory recognition	11	sniffing time (s)	Two-way ANOVA Repeated measure Bonferroni post hoc Mock Bonferroni post hoc Vanilla	Genotype Trial Interaction Subjects Residual WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO	F = 4.91 F = 97.01 F = 3.402 F = 1.086	3 2 6 92 184	0.0033 < 0.0001 0.0033 0.3163 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001	S7a

			Bonferroni post hoc Water	Flox vs. CKO WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			< 0.001 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05	
		sniffing time (s)	One-way ANOVA Mock	Genotype	F = 0.9237	3, 92	0.4326	
			Tukey's post hoc	no post tests p > 0.05				
		sniffing time (s)	One-way ANOVA Vanilla	Genotype	F = 4.204	3, 92	0.0078	
			Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05	
		sniffing time (s)	One-way ANOVA Water	Genotype	F = 2.424	3, 92	0.0708	
			Tukey's post hoc	no post tests p > 0.05				
<i>Viaat-Mecp2^{fl/y}</i> Olfactory habituation	11	sniffing time (s)	Two-way ANOVA Repeated measure	Genotype Trial Interaction Subjects Residual	F = 4.894 F = 122.7 F = 3.791 F = 0.9632	3 1 3 92 92	0.0033 < 0.0001 0.0130 0.5711	S7b
			Bonferroni post hoc Day 1	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.001 > 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.01	
			Bonferroni post hoc Day 2	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05	
		sniffing time (s)	One-way ANOVA Day 1	Genotype	F = 4.204	3, 92	0.0078	
			Tukey's post hoc	WT vs. Cre WT vs. Flox WT vs. CKO Cre vs. Flox Cre vs. CKO Flox vs. CKO			> 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05	
		sniffing time (s)	One-way ANOVA Day 2	Genotype	F = 1.656	3, 92	0.1990	
			Tukey's post hoc	no post tests p > 0.05				