

Table S1. The 10 ER agonists/antagonists with the highest predicted IC₅₀s, as predicted by the regression model. The names of the compounds are given along with their targets and original energy scores from eHiTS.

ranking from regression model	predicted IC ₅₀ from regression model (nM)	ER agonist/antagonist	ER targets in BindingDB	original eHiTS energy score (pKi)
1	18	GSK 5182	ERa, E-RRg	-10.40
2	23	4-hydroxytamoxifen 4-OHT analog, 16	ERa, E-RRg	-9.64
3	25	4-hydroxytamoxifen 4-OHT analog, 6	ERa, E-RRg	-11.73
4	29	4-hydroxytamoxifen 4-OHT analog, 5	ERa, E-RRg	-11.73
5	29	4-hydroxytamoxifen 4-OHT analog, 11	ERa, E-RRg	-11.73
6	34	4-hydroxytamoxifen 4-OHT analog, 4	ERa, E-RRg	-11.69
7	38	4-hydroxytamoxifen 4-OHT analog, 12	ERa, E-RRg	-10.21
8	46	4-hydroxytamoxifen 4-OHT analog, 9	ERa, E-RRg	-9.71
9	54	4-hydroxytamoxifen 4-OHT analog, 10	ERa, E-RRg	-11.72
10	58	4-hydroxytamoxifen 4-OHT analog, 14	ERa, E-RRg	-11.74

Table S2. The 10 most highly ranked ER agonists/antagonists, as predicted by the classification model. The names of the compounds are given along with their targets and original energy scores from eHiTS.

ranking from classification model	ER agonist/antagonist	ER targets in BindingDB	original eHiTS energy score (pKi)
1	piperidine derivative, 38c	ERa, ERb	-11.74
2	GSK 5182	ERa, E-RRg	-10.40
3	4-hydroxytamoxifen 4-OHT analog, 16	ERa, E-RRg	-9.64
4	pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine, 12c	ERa, ERb	-11.74
5	piperazine derivative, 47	ERa, ERb	-11.74
6	4-hydroxytamoxifen 4-OHT_analog, 5	ERa, E-RRg	-11.73
7	piperazine derivative, 49	ERa, ERb	-11.74
8	4-hydroxytamoxifen 4-OHT analog, 4	ERa, E-RRg	-11.69
9	tetrahydroisoquinoline derivative, 19e	ERa, ERb	-11.74
10	4-hydroxytamoxifen 4-OHT analog, 14	ERa, E-RRg	-11.74