Supporting Material: A multi-scale dynamic model of DNA supercoil relaxation by topoisomerase IB

Todd D. Lillian, Maryna Taranova, Jeff Wereszczynski, Ioan Andricioaei, and N. C. Perkins

## Numerical Algorithm

Here we outline the finite difference algorithm used to simulate DNA in the accompanying paper. Our algorithm was originally developed in [\(1\)](#page-9-0). Consequently, here we will repeat many of the equations of [\(1\)](#page-9-0). In addition, our algorithm and notation also closely follows the cable dynamics algorithm of [\(2\)](#page-9-1); however, our elastic rod formulation (Eqs. 2-5) is distinct. The algorithm is now written in MATLAB <sup>R</sup> (Natick, Massachusetts, USA) and is formulated as follows.

We begin by rewriting the system of nonlinear partial differential equations (Eqs. 2-5) describing the elastic rod formulation as

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
M\dot{Y} + KY_s + F = 0.
$$
 (S1)

Here Y is a  $12 \times 1$  vector of field variables  $\{v, \omega, \kappa, f\}$  with  $\dot{Y} = \frac{\partial Y}{\partial t}$  and  $Y_s = \frac{\partial Y}{\partial s}$  as partial derivatives with respect to space and time respectively. The matrices M and K are  $12 \times 12$  matrices defined as

$$
M = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta & \Theta & \Theta & \Theta \\ \Theta & \Theta & I & \Theta \\ \Theta & I & \Theta & \Theta \\ mI & \Theta & \Theta & \Theta \end{bmatrix},
$$
(S2)

and

$$
K = -\begin{bmatrix} I & \Theta & \Theta & \Theta \\ \Theta & I & \Theta & \Theta \\ \Theta & \Theta & \mathbf{B} & \Theta \\ \Theta & \Theta & \Theta & I \end{bmatrix}.
$$
 (S3)

Here,  $\Theta$  is a  $3 \times 3$  zero matrix, I is a  $3 \times 3$  identity matrix, m is the mass per unit length, **I** is a  $3 \times 3$  inertia matrix per unit length, and **B** is the  $3 \times 3$ stiffness tensor. The  $12 \times 1$  vector F is defined as

$$
F = \begin{bmatrix} \omega \times \hat{t} - \kappa \times v \\ -\kappa \times \omega \\ -\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial s}\kappa - \frac{\partial (\mathbf{B}\kappa_{o})}{\partial s}\right) + \omega \times \mathbf{I}\omega + f \times \hat{t} - \kappa \times \mathbf{B}(\kappa - \kappa_{o}) - Q_{body} \\ m(\omega \times v) - \kappa \times f - F_{body} \end{bmatrix}.
$$
\n(S4)

Here,  $\hat{t}$  is the tangent of the rod,  $F_{body}$  is a body force per unit length on the rod, and  $Q_{body}$  is a body moment per unit length on the rod. The matrices

 $M, K$ , and F in general are functions of the arclength coordinate s and time t. In addition, F may also be a function of the configuration of the rod (the position and orientation of the rod axis as a function of s). For example, a dependence on configuration arises from the inclusion of electrostatic forces.

We write boundary conditions (that in general are functions of time) in the following form

<span id="page-2-4"></span>
$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc} C_0 & C_L \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} Y_{s=0} \\ Y_{s=L} \end{array}\right] = c. \tag{S5}
$$

Here  $C_0$  and  $C_L$  are  $12 \times 12$  matrices and c is a  $12 \times 1$  vector. The entries of  $C_0$ ,  $C_L$  and c are chosen to describe the boundary conditions on the rod. To represent Dirichlet boundary conditions on v and  $\omega$  at both ends, for example, the first 6 rows and columns of  $C_0$  and the second 6 rows and first 6 columns of  $C_L$  would both form  $6 \times 6$  identity matrices while the remaining entries would all be zeros. In this special case, the entries of c would be the prescribed values of v and  $\omega$  at either end. In the accompanying paper, c is a function of the integral of  $\omega$  following Eqs. 12-14.

To solve this system of equations we discretize it into N spatial gridpoints. We use j to index grid-points from 1 to N. We now write [Eq.](#page-1-0)  $S1$  for a point  $(j - \frac{1}{2})$  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) halfway between grid-points  $j-1$  and j

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
(M\dot{Y})_{j-\frac{1}{2}} + (KY_s)_{j-\frac{1}{2}} + (F)_{j-\frac{1}{2}} = 0.
$$
 (S6)

We approximate  $(M\dot{Y})_{j-\frac{1}{2}}$  with

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
(M\dot{Y})_{j-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}(M_{j-1}\dot{Y}_{j-1} + M_j\dot{Y}_j),
$$
\n(S7)

 $(KY_s)_{j-\frac{1}{2}}$  with

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
(KY_s)_{j-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}(K_{j-1} + K_j)\frac{1}{\Delta s}(-Y_{j-1} + Y_j),\tag{S8}
$$

and  $(F)_{j-\frac{1}{2}}$  with

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
(F)_{j-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}(F_{j-1} + F_j). \tag{S9}
$$

In [Eq.](#page-2-0) [S8](#page-2-0) we introduce the spatial discretization length,  $\Delta s$ , to estimate the spatial derivative  $Y_s$ . Substituting [Eqs.](#page-2-1) [S7](#page-2-1)[-S9](#page-2-2) into [Eq.](#page-2-3) [S6,](#page-2-3) simplifying, and

arranging into matrix form we have

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\nM_{j-1} & M_j\n\end{bmatrix}\n\begin{bmatrix}\n\dot{Y}_{j-1} \\
\dot{Y}_j\n\end{bmatrix} +\n\begin{bmatrix}\n-(K_j + K_{j-1})\frac{1}{\Delta s} & (K_j + K_{j-1})\frac{1}{\Delta s}\n\end{bmatrix}\n\begin{bmatrix}\nY_{j-1} \\
Y_j\n\end{bmatrix} + F_{j-1} + F_j = 0
$$
\n(S10)

Considering  $1 \leq j \leq N$ , we have  $(N-1)$  equations like Eq. [S10.](#page-3-0) For more compact notation, we now define the following vectors of dimension  $12N \times 1$ :

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\bar{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{Y}_1 \\ \dot{Y}_2 \\ \dot{Y}_3 \\ \vdots \\ \dot{Y}_N \end{bmatrix},
$$
\n(S11)\n
$$
\bar{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ Y_3 \\ \vdots \\ Y_N \end{bmatrix},
$$
\n(S12)

and

$$
\bar{F} = \begin{bmatrix} F_1 + F_2 \\ F_2 + F_3 \\ F_3 + F_4 \\ \dots \\ F_{N-1} + F_N \\ c \end{bmatrix} .
$$
 (S13)

We further define the following matrices of dimension  $12N \times 12N$ :

$$
\bar{M} = \begin{bmatrix} M_1 & M_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & M_2 & M_3 & 0 & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & M_{N-1} & M_N \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (S14)

and

$$
\bar{K} = \frac{1}{\Delta s} \begin{bmatrix}\n-K_2 - K_1 & K_2 + K_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & -K_3 - K_2 & K_3 + K_2 & 0 & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & -K_N - K_{N-1} & K_N + K_{N-1} \\
\Delta s C_0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \Delta s C_L\n\end{bmatrix}
$$
\n(S15)

Now assembling Eq. [S10](#page-3-0) (for  $1 \le j \le N$ ) and [Eq.](#page-2-4) [S5](#page-2-4) we have

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
\bar{M}\bar{Y} + \bar{K}\bar{Y} + \bar{F} = 0.
$$
 (S16)

Note that Eq. [S16](#page-4-0) is continuous in time. We now integrate it in time using the generalized alpha method  $(3)$ ; see also  $(1, 2)$  $(1, 2)$  $(1, 2)$ .

<span id="page-4-3"></span>
$$
(1 - \alpha_m)\bar{M}\dot{Y}^i + \alpha_m \bar{M}\dot{Y}^{i+1} + (1 - \alpha_k)\bar{K}\bar{Y}^i + \alpha_k \bar{K}\bar{Y}^{i-1} + (1 - \alpha_k)\bar{F}^i + \alpha_k \bar{F}^{i-1} = 0
$$
\n(S17)

Here the superscript  $i$  is an index that denotes the discrete temporal gridpoint. The variables  $\alpha_m$  and  $\alpha_k$  are numerical parameters for the generalized alpha method. Because  $\overline{M}$  and  $\overline{K}$  may themselves be functions of time we perform a weighted average following [\(2\)](#page-9-1)

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
\bar{M} = (1 - \alpha_m)\bar{M}^i + \alpha_m \bar{M}^{i-1},\tag{S18}
$$

<span id="page-4-5"></span><span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
\bar{K} = (1 - \alpha_k)\bar{K}^i + \alpha_k \bar{K}^{i-1}.
$$
\n(S19)

Now substituting Eq. [S18](#page-4-1) and Eq. [S19](#page-4-2) into Eq. [S17](#page-4-3) we have

$$
(1 - \alpha_m) \left[ (1 - \alpha_m) \bar{M}^i + \alpha_m \bar{M}^{i-1} \right] \bar{Y}^i + \alpha_m \left[ (1 - \alpha_m) \bar{M}^i + \alpha_m \bar{M}^{i-1} \right] \bar{Y}^{i-1} + (1 - \alpha_k) \left[ (1 - \alpha_k) \bar{K}^i + \alpha_k \bar{K}^{i-1} \right] \bar{Y}^i + \alpha_k \left[ (1 - \alpha_k) \bar{K}^i + \alpha_k \bar{K}^{i-1} \right] \bar{Y}^{i-1} + (1 - \alpha_k) \bar{F}^i + \alpha_k \bar{F}^{i-1} = 0.
$$
 (S20)

Here

$$
\bar{Y}^i = \bar{Y}^{i-1} + \Delta t \left[ (1 - \gamma) \bar{\dot{Y}}^{i-1} + \gamma \bar{\dot{Y}}^i \right]
$$
\n(S21)

and therefore

<span id="page-4-4"></span>
$$
\overline{\dot{Y}}^i = \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[ \frac{1}{\Delta t} (\overline{Y}^i - \overline{Y}^{i-1}) - (1 - \gamma) \overline{\dot{Y}}^{i-1} \right].
$$
 (S22)

.

## A model of DNA supercoil relaxation 5

Here,  $\gamma$  is an additional numerical parameter of the generalized alpha method. The parameters  $\alpha_m$ ,  $\alpha_k$ , and  $\gamma$  may all be chosen to adjust numerical dissipation and accuracy of the generalized alpha method. In the accompanying paper, we choose  $\alpha_m = -0.5$ ,  $\alpha_k = 0.0$ , and  $\gamma = 1.0$ , which yields a second order accurate method with numerical damping of spurious high frequen-cies [\(2,](#page-9-1) [3\)](#page-9-2). (In addition, in our simulations, we choose  $\Delta s = 0.34$  nm and  $\Delta t = 0.1$  ns.) Now substituting Eq. [S22](#page-4-4) into Eq. [S20](#page-4-5) we have

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
(1 - \alpha_m) \left[ (1 - \alpha_m) \bar{M}^i + \alpha_m \bar{M}^{i-1} \right] \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[ \frac{1}{\Delta t} (\bar{Y}^i - \bar{Y}^{i-1}) - (1 - \gamma) \bar{Y}^{i-1} \right] + \alpha_m \left[ (1 - \alpha_m) \bar{M}^i + \alpha_m \bar{M}^{i-1} \right] \bar{Y}^{i-1} + (1 - \alpha_k) \left[ (1 - \alpha_k) \bar{K}^i + \alpha_k \bar{K}^{i-1} \right] \bar{Y}^i + \alpha_k \left[ (1 - \alpha_k) \bar{K}^i + \alpha_k \bar{K}^{i-1} \right] \bar{Y}^{i-1} + (1 - \alpha_k) \bar{F}^i + \alpha_k \bar{F}^{i-1} = 0.
$$
 (S23)

For convenience, we group terms for  $\bar{Y}^i$ ,  $\bar{Y}^{i-1}$ , and  $\bar{Y}^{i-1}$  and define their coefficients as  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , and  $A_3$  respectively. Specifically, we define

$$
A_1 = (1 - \alpha_m) \left[ (1 - \alpha_m) \bar{M}^i + \alpha_m \bar{M}^{i-1} \right] \frac{1}{\gamma \Delta t} + (1 - \alpha_k) \left[ (1 - \alpha_k) \bar{K}^i + \alpha_k \bar{K}^{i-1} \right],
$$
\n
$$
A_2 = -(1 - \alpha_m) \left[ (1 - \alpha_m) \bar{M}^i + \alpha_m \bar{M}^{i-1} \right] \frac{1}{\gamma \Delta t} + \alpha_k \left[ (1 - \alpha_k) \bar{K}^i + \alpha_k \bar{K}^{i-1} \right],
$$
\n(S25)

and

$$
A_3 = (1 - \alpha_m) \left[ (1 - \alpha_m) \bar{M}^i + \alpha_m \bar{M}^{i-1} \right] \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[ -(1 - \gamma) \right] + \alpha_m \left[ (1 - \alpha_m) \bar{M}^i + \alpha_m \bar{M}^{i-1} \right]
$$
\n(S26)

such that Eq. [S23](#page-5-0) becomes

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
A_1 \bar{Y}^i + A_2 \bar{Y}^{i-1} + A_3 \bar{Y}^{i-1} + (1 - \alpha_k) \bar{F}^i + \alpha_k \bar{F}^{i-1} = 0.
$$
 (S27)

Note that  $\bar{F}^i$  may in general be a function of  $\bar{Y}^i$ .

To find the solution  $(\bar{Y}^i)$  of this system of nonlinear equations we use Newton-Raphson iterations. We index our iterations with the left superscript l. To initiate the iterations we define

<span id="page-5-3"></span>
$$
{}^{0}\bar{Y}^{i} = \bar{Y}^{i-1} + \Delta t \bar{Y}^{i-1}.
$$
 (S28)

Newton-Raphson iterations are based upon linearizing Eq. [S27](#page-5-1) about  ${}^{l}\bar{Y}^{i}$ , the  $l^{th}$  estimate of  $\bar{Y}^i$ . To do so we define the Jacobian

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
{}^{l}\bar{J}^{i} = (1 - \alpha_{k}) \left. \frac{\partial \bar{F}^{i}}{\partial \bar{Y}^{i}} \right|_{l\bar{Y}^{i}} + A_{1}.
$$
 (S29)

Now we iteratively solve the the following equation for  ${}^{l}\bar{Y}^{i}$ :

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
{}^{l}\bar{Y}^{i} = {}^{l-1}\bar{Y}^{i} - \left( {}^{l-1}\bar{J}^{i} \right)^{-1} \left( A_{1}{}^{l-1}\bar{Y}^{i} + A_{2}\bar{Y}^{i-1} + A_{3}\bar{Y}^{i-1} + (1 - \alpha_{k})^{l-1}\bar{F}^{i} + \alpha_{k}\bar{F}^{i-1} \right)
$$
\n
$$
(S30)
$$

until some error tolerance is satisfied; for example, when  $\|\vec{Y}^i - \vec{Y}^i\|$  is sufficiently small.

To aid in the calculation of the Jacobian, we define  $G_j^i$  as

$$
G^i = \frac{\partial F_j^i}{\partial Y_j^i}.\tag{S31}
$$

Therefore, in the special case when  $F_{body}$  and  $Q_{body}$  are zero vectors and **B** is constant we have

$$
G_j^i = \begin{bmatrix} -\tilde{\kappa}_j^i & -\tilde{\hat{t}} & \tilde{v}_j^i & \Theta \\ \Theta & -\tilde{\kappa}_j^i & \tilde{\omega}_j^i & \Theta \\ \Theta & -(\widetilde{\mathbf{I}}\omega_j^i) + \tilde{\omega}_j^i \mathbf{I} & (\mathbf{B}(\tilde{\kappa}_j - \kappa_o)) - \tilde{\kappa}_j^i \mathbf{B} & -\tilde{\hat{t}} \\ m\tilde{\omega}_j^i & -m\tilde{v}_j^i & \tilde{f}_j^i & -\tilde{\kappa}_j^i \end{bmatrix} .
$$
 (S32)

Here, the  $\tilde{()}$  operator generates a skew symmetric matrix, such that for any pair of 3-vectors a and b,  $a \times b = ab$ . Now we can define  $\overline{G}^i$  (similar to [Eq.](#page-4-1) [S18](#page-4-1) and Eq. [S19\)](#page-4-2) as

$$
\bar{G}^{i} = \frac{\partial \bar{F}^{i}}{\partial \bar{Y}^{i}} = \begin{bmatrix} G_{1}^{i} & G_{2}^{i} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & G_{2}^{i} & G_{3}^{i} & 0 & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & G_{N-1}^{i} & G_{N}^{i} \\ \frac{\partial c^{i}}{\partial Y_{1}^{i}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{\partial c^{i}}{\partial Y_{N}^{i}} \end{bmatrix} .
$$
 (S33)

Finally, this expression is evaluated at  ${}^{l}\bar{Y}^{i}$  and substituted into Eq. [S29.](#page-5-2)

During the algorithm we also compute the position  $R$  and orientation of the rod as a function of the arclength coordinate s. (Our algorithm here is based on integrating curvature, however we could instead base it on integrating velocity and angular velocity.) To do so we first define

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\theta_j^i = \frac{\Delta s}{2} \left\{ \kappa_{j-1}^i + \kappa_j^i \right\}.
$$
 (S34)

Next we calculate

$$
\mathbf{L}_j^i = \exp\left\{-\tilde{\theta}_j^i\right\} \mathbf{L}_{j-1}^i,\tag{S35}
$$

where  $\exp\{\}\$ is the matrix exponential operator and  $\mathbf{L}_j^i$  is the direction cosine matrix i i

$$
\mathbf{L}_{j}^{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{j1}^{i} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1} & \mathbf{a}_{j1}^{i} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{2} & \mathbf{a}_{j1}^{i} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{3} \\ \mathbf{a}_{j2}^{i} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1} & \mathbf{a}_{p2}^{i} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{2} & \mathbf{a}_{j2}^{i} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{3} \\ \mathbf{a}_{j3}^{i} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1} & \mathbf{a}_{j3}^{i} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{2} & \mathbf{a}_{j3}^{i} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{3} \end{bmatrix}.
$$
 (S36)

Here,  $a_j^i$  is a body fixed reference frame at spatial gridpoint j along the arclength. Its basis vectors are  $\mathbf{a}_{j1}^i$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_{j2}^i$ , and  $\mathbf{a}_{j3}^i$ , with  $\mathbf{a}_{j3}^i = \hat{t}_j^i$ . In addition, the basis vectors for the inertial reference frame are denoted  $e_1, e_2$ , and  $e_3$ . Finally, we compute  $R_j^i$ 

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
R_j^i = R_{j-1}^i + \frac{\Delta s}{2} \left\{ \hat{t}_{j-1}^i + \hat{t}_j^i \right\}.
$$
 (S37)

In general,  $R_1^i$  and  $\mathbf{L}_1^i$  at the first end of the rod  $(s = 0)$  could vary with time; in this case we integrate velocity and angular velocity following the development to determine  $R_1^i$  and  $\mathbf{L}_1^i$ .

To summarize our algorithm, we present an outline below.

- Set  $i=0$
- Define initial conditions  $\bar{Y}^i$  and  $\bar{Y}^i$
- Loop in time with index  $i$ 
	- Update i using  $i = i + 1$
	- Reset Newton-Raphson index,  $l = 0$
	- Calculate  ${}^{0}\bar{Y}^{i}$ , the first estimate of  $\bar{Y}^{i}$ , by using Eq. [S28](#page-5-3)
	- Loop for Newton-Raphson iterations
		- ∗ Update l using l = l + 1
		- ∗ Calculate  $^{l-1}R_j^i$  and  $^{l-1}L_j^i$  for all j using [Eqs.](#page-6-0) [S34](#page-6-0)[-S37](#page-7-0)
		- $*$  Update  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , and  $A_3$  when necessary (for example if the boundary conditions change)
		- ∗ Calculate  $^{l-1}F^i$
		- ∗ Calculate  $^{l-1}J^i$  using Eq. [S29](#page-5-2)
- ∗ Solve Eq. [S30](#page-6-1) for  ${}^{l}\bar{Y}^{i}$
- ∗ Exit loop if  ${}^{l}\bar{Y}^{i}$  is sufficiently converged, otherwise continue Newton-Raphson loop
- Set  $\bar{Y}^i = {}^l\bar{Y}^i$
- Calculate  $\overline{\dot{Y}}^i$  from Eq. [S22](#page-4-4)
- Calculate  $R_j^i$  and  $\mathbf{L}_j^i$  for all j using Eq. [S34-](#page-6-0)[S37](#page-7-0)
- Exit loop when integrated through time sufficiently long
- Algorithm complete, post-process output

## References

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>1. Goyal, S., N. C. Perkins, and C. L. Lee. 2005. Nonlinear dynamics and loop formation in Kirchhoff rods with implications to the mechanics of DNA and cables. J. Comput. Phys. 209:371–389.
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>2. Gobat, J. I., and M. A. Grosenbaugh. 2001. Application of the generalizedalpha method to the time integration of the cable dynamics equations. Comput. Method. Appl. M. 190:4817–4829.
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>3. Chung, J., and G. M. Hulbert. 1993. A time integration algorithm for structural dynamics with improved numerical dissipation: The generalized-alpha method. J. Appl. Mech. 60:371–375.