# **Supporting Information**

# **An electrochemical super-sandwich assay for sensitive and selective DNA detection in complex matrices**

Fan Xia,<sup>†,‡</sup> Ryan J. White,<sup>‡</sup> Xiaolei Zuo,<sup>\*,‡</sup> Adriana Patterson,<sup>‡</sup> Yi Xiao,<sup>‡</sup> Di Kang,<sup>‡</sup>

Xiong Gong, <sup>§</sup> Kevin W. Plaxco<sup>\*, ‡</sup> and Alan J. Heeger<sup>\*,†</sup>

*† Center for Polymers and Organic Solids, University of California, Santa Barbara.* 

*‡ Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Santa Barbara.* 

*§ Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara.* 

**1. The gel-electrophoresis show different base pairs between the traditional structure and the super-sandwich structure.** 



Left Right

Figure S1. The gel-electrophoresis results confirm the formation of the super-sandwich structure (as we can see, the left lane exhibits a ladder of different length of super-sandwich structure and the maximum length is about 1000 base pairs. Correspondingly, the right lane exhibits traditional-sandwich structure's bands about less than 75 base pairs.).

#### **2. Electron transfer rates**

We have used square wave voltammetry to compare the electron transfer kinetics<sup>1</sup> of these two systems and find that the electron transfer kinetics of the super-sandwich assay, 1.0 s<sup>-1</sup> are quite similar to the 1.2 s<sup>-1</sup> observed for the traditional assay. Although the super-sandwich contains more methylene blues than the traditional assay, unfortunately, this is difficult to do as the electron transfer from regions of the super-sandwich complex distal from the electrode is likely much less efficient than electron transfer from redox reporters located nearer the electrode.



**Figure S2.** Square wave voltammetry has been used to compare the electron transfer kinetics of these two systems and find that the electron transfer kinetics of the super-sandwich assay, 1.0  $s^{-1}$  are quite similar to the 1.2  $s^{-1}$  observed for the traditional assay.

**3. Specificity of the super-sandwich assy.** 



Figure S3. The super-sandwich assay is also specific. In order to evaluate this, we challenged our assay using one-base, three-base and five-base mismatched targets and found that it readily discriminates between these mismatched targets.

#### **4. Materials and methods.**

### **Materials.**

Labeled oligonucleotides were synthesized by Biosearch Technologies, Inc. (Navato,CA), purified by C18 HPLC, confirmed by mass spectrometry and used as received. The sequences of these oligomers are:

# **Capture probe:**

5'-SH-(CH2)6-CGGC ACC TGG GGG AGT ATT GCG GAG GAA GGT GCCG-3' **Signal probe (Super-sandwich):** 

5'-CGGC ACC TGG GGG AGT ATT GCG GAG GAA GGT GCCG-Methylene Blue-3'

**Target:** 

5'-T ACTCCCCCAGGT GCCG A CGGC ACCTTCCTCCGC A-3'

# **Signal probe (Traditional sandwich):**

5'-CGGC ACC TGG GGG AGT AT-Methylene Blue-3'

# **1-Mismatch Target:**

5'-T ACTCCCCCAGGT GCGG A CGGC ACCTTCCTCCGC A-3'

### **3-Mismatch Target:**

5'-T ACTCCCCCAGGT CGGG A CGGC ACCTTCCTCCGC A-3'

### **5-Mismatch Target:**

5'-T ACTCCCCCAGGA CGGC A CGGC ACCTTCCTCCGC A-3'

### **Super-sandwich Assay Sequences:**

**5'-(SH)-CGGCACCTGGGGGAGTATTGCGGAGGAAGGTGCCG-3' (Capture Probe)** 

#### **3'-ACGCCTCCTTCCACGGCAGCCGTGGACCCCCTCAT-5' (Target)**

and the contract of the contract of

**5'-CGGCACCTGGGGGAGTATTGCGGAGGAAGGTGCCG(MB)3' (Signal Probe)** 

**3'-ACGCCTCCTTCCACGGCAGCCGTGGACCCCCTCAT-5' (Target)** 

**(Signal Probe) 5'-CGGCACCTGGGGGAGTATTGCGGAGGAAGGTGCCG(MB)3'** 

……

**Traditional Assay Sequences:** 

**5'-(SH)-CGGCACCTGGGGGAGTATTGCGGAGGAAGGTGCCG-3' (Capture Probe)** 

**3'-ACGCCTCCTTCCACGGCAGCCGTGGACCCCCTCAT-5' (Target)** 

**5'-CGGCACCTGGGGGAGTAT(MB)3' (Signal Probe)** 

#### **5. Fabrication of Sensors:**

Polycrystalline gold disk electrodes (2mm diameter, CH Instruments, Austin, TX) were prepared by polishing with 1  $\mu$ m diamond and 0.5  $\mu$ m alumina (Buehler, Lake Bluff, IL), sonicating in water, and electrochemically cleaning (a series of oxidation and reduction cycles in 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 0.01 M KCl/0.1 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and 0.05 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) before modification with probe DNA by incubating the clean electrode in  $0.6 \mu M$ DNA / 5 µM TCEP (tris(2-carboxyethyl) phosphine hydrochloride) in Tris buffer (pH 7.4) for 30 min. The surface was then rinsed with water and subsequently passivated with 2 mM 6-mercaptohexanol in Tris buffer, for 2 hours. Prior to use, electrodes were rinsed with deionized water.

Electrochemical measurements were conducted using square wave voltammetry (SWV) with a CHI 650b potentiostat (CH instruments, Austin, TX). SWV was performed using a potential window -0.15 to -0.4 V (versus Ag/AgCl), a potential step of 0.001 V, an amplitude of 0.05 V and a frequency of 60 Hz. The electrolyte and hybridization buffer used in this study was 10 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.4) with 500 mM NaCl and 1 mM  $MgCl<sub>2</sub>$ . The hybridization time is 1 hour.

The data points and error bars represent the average and standard deviations from at least three independently fabricated electrodes and are dominated by sensor-to-sensor variation arising from the fabrication process (relative sensor response  $(\%)$ , is employed because this is more reproducible from electrode-to-electrode than the absolute current change).

#### **Reference**

1. White, R. J.; Plaxco, K. W. *Anal. Chem*. **2010**, *82*, 73-6.