A APPENDIX

We prove that if all error estimators are unbiased, then $\lim_{K\to\infty} B(m,n,K) = 0.$

LEMMA A.1. If all error estimators are unbiased, then $B(m, n, K) \leq 0$.

PROOF. Define the set $S_n = \{S_n^1, S_n^2, \dots, S_n^K\}$, where $S_n^k, k = 1, 2, \dots, K$ is a random sample taken from the distribution F_k for $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$. Also, we can rewrite Equation (9) as

$$\varepsilon_{\text{est}}^{\min}(K) = \min_{i,j} \left\{ \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \varepsilon_{\text{est}}^{i,j,k} \right\},\tag{A.1}$$

where i = 1, 2, ..., r and j = 1, 2, ..., s. Owing to the unbiasedness of the error estimators, $E_{S_n^k}[\varepsilon_{est}^{i,j,k}] = E_{S_n^k}[\varepsilon_{true}^{i,k}]$. Referring to Equations (10) and (A.1), we have

B(m, n, K)

$$= E_{\mathcal{S}_{n}}[\varepsilon_{\text{est}}^{\min}(K)] - \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} E_{\mathcal{S}_{n}^{k}} \left[\varepsilon_{\text{true}}^{i\min,k}\right]$$

$$= E_{\mathcal{S}_{n}} \left[\min_{i,j} \left\{ \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \varepsilon_{\text{est}}^{i,j,k} \right\} \right] - \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} E_{\mathcal{S}_{n}^{k}} \left[\varepsilon_{\text{true}}^{i\min,k}\right]$$

$$\leq \min_{i,j} \left\{ E_{\mathcal{S}_{n}} \left[\frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \varepsilon_{\text{est}}^{i,j,k} \right] \right\} - \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} E_{\mathcal{S}_{n}^{k}} \left[\varepsilon_{\text{true}}^{i\min,k}\right]$$

$$= \min_{i,j} \left\{ \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} E_{\mathcal{S}_{n}} \left[\varepsilon_{\text{est}}^{i,j,k}\right] \right\} - \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} E_{\mathcal{S}_{n}^{k}} \left[\varepsilon_{\text{true}}^{i\min,k}\right]$$

$$= \min_{i,j} \left\{ \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} E_{\mathcal{S}_{n}^{k}} \left[\varepsilon_{\text{est}}^{i,j,k}\right] \right\} - \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} E_{\mathcal{S}_{n}^{k}} \left[\varepsilon_{\text{true}}^{i\min,k}\right]$$

$$= \min_{i,j} \left\{ \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} E_{\mathcal{S}_{n}^{k}} \left[\varepsilon_{\text{true}}^{i,j,k}\right] \right\} - \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} E_{\mathcal{S}_{n}^{k}} \left[\varepsilon_{\text{true}}^{i\min,k}\right]$$

$$= \min_{i} \left\{ \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} E_{\mathcal{S}_{n}^{k}} \left[\varepsilon_{\text{true}}^{i,k}\right] \right\} - \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} E_{\mathcal{S}_{n}^{k}} \left[\varepsilon_{\text{true}}^{i\min,k}\right]$$

$$\leq 0. \qquad (A.2)$$

where the relations in the third and sixth lines result from Jensen's inequality and unbiasedness of the error estimators, respectively. \Box

LEMMA A.2. If all error estimators are unbiased, then $\lim_{K\to\infty} B(m,n,K) \ge 0.$

PROOF. Let

$$A^{i,j} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \varepsilon_{\text{est}}^{i,j,k}, \qquad T^{i} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} E_{\mathcal{S}_{n}} \left[\varepsilon_{\text{true}}^{i,k} \right].$$
(A.3)

Owing to the unbiasedness of the error estimators, $E_{S_n}[A^{i,j}] = T^i \leq 1$. Without loss of generality, we assume $T^1 \leq T^2 \leq \ldots \leq$

 T^r . To avoid cumbersome notation, we will further assume that $T^1 < T^2$ (with some adaptation, the proof goes through without this assumption). Let $2\delta = T^2 - T^1$ and

$$B_{\delta} = \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{s} \left(T^{1} - \delta \leq A^{1,j} \leq T^{1} + \delta\right)\right)$$
$$\bigcap\left(\min_{i \neq 1,j} \left\{A^{i,j}\right\} > T^{1} + \delta\right).$$
(A.4)

Because $|\varepsilon_{\text{est}}^{i,j,k}| \leq 1$, $\operatorname{Var}_{\mathcal{S}_n}[A^{i,j}] \leq 1/K$. hence, for $\tau > 0$, there exists $K_{\delta,\tau}$ such that $K \geq K_{\delta,\tau}$ implies $P(B_{\delta}(K)) > 1 - \tau$. Hence, referring to Equation (10), for $K \geq K_{\delta,\tau}$,

$$E_{\mathcal{S}_n}\left[\varepsilon_{\text{est}}^{\min}(K)\right] = E_{\mathcal{S}_n}\left[\varepsilon_{\text{est}}^{\min}(K) \mid B_{\delta}\right] P(B_{\delta}) \\ + E_{\mathcal{S}_n}\left[\varepsilon_{\text{est}}^{\min}(K) \mid B_{\delta}^c\right] P(B_{\delta}^c) \\ \ge E_{\mathcal{S}_n}\left[\varepsilon_{\text{est}}^{\min}(K) \mid B_{\delta}\right] P(B_{\delta}) \\ = E_{\mathcal{S}_n}\left[\min_j A^{1,j}\right] P(B_{\delta}) \\ \ge (T^1 - \delta)(1 - \tau).$$
(A.5)

Again referring to Equation (10) and recognizing that $i_{\min} = 1$ in B_{δ} , for $K \ge K_{\delta,\tau}$,

$$\frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} E_{\mathcal{S}_n} \left[\varepsilon_{\text{true}}^{i_{\min},k} \right] = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left(E_{\mathcal{S}_n} \left[\varepsilon_{\text{true}}^{i_{\min},k} \mid B_{\delta} \right] P(B_{\delta}) + E_{\mathcal{S}_n} \left[\varepsilon_{\text{true}}^{i_{\min},k} \mid B_{\delta}^c \right] P(B_{\delta}^c) \right)$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left(E_{\mathcal{S}_n} \left[\varepsilon_{\text{true}}^{1,k} \mid B_{\delta} \right] P(B_{\delta}) + P(B_{\delta}^c) \right)$$
$$\leq T^1 + \tau. \tag{A.6}$$

Putting Equations (A.5) and (A.6) together and referring to Equation (10) yields, for $K \ge K_{\delta,\tau}$,

$$B(m, n, K) \ge (T^{1} - \delta)(1 - \tau) - T^{1} - \tau \ge -(2\tau + \delta)$$
 (A.7)

Since δ and τ are arbitrary positive numbers, this implies that for any $\eta > 0$, there exists K_{η} such that $K \ge K_{\eta}$ implies $\lim_{K\to\infty} B(m, n, K) \ge 0$, which is precisely what we want to prove.

Combining Lemmas A.1 and A.2, we have proven that $\lim_{K\to\infty} B(m, n, K) = 0$ under the assumption that all the error estimators are unbiased.