Supporting Information

Cho and Harrison 10.1073/pnas.1106389108

SI Methods.

Cloning, Expression, and Purification of Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Sc) Scm3, Cse4, and H4. Clones of Sc Cse4, H4, and Scm3 were obtained by PCR amplification from a S. cerevisiae genomic library and subcloned into pET vectors modified for ligationindependent cloning (LIC). Scm3 was cloned into an LIC vector encoding an N-terminal $His₆$ tag and a tobacco etch virus (TEV) cleavage site. Cse4 and H4 were expressed without affinity tags. Constructs were transformed into Rosetta (DE3) pLysS Escherichia coli competent cells (Novagen) and used for protein expression. For Sc Cse4 and H4 purification, cells were grown at 37 °C in LB medium and induced at $OD = 0.6$ with 0.2 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside. Harvested cells were resuspended in 30 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, and 3 mM β-merchaptoethanol with protease inhibitor cocktail tablets. Cells were sonicated and insoluble pellets were harvested from centrifugation at $20,000 \times g$ for 1 h. Pellets were then resuspended in inclusion-body washing buffer (30 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 1% triton X-100, and 3 mM β-merchaptoethanol), incubated at 4 °C for 1 h shaking, and centrifuged at $20,000 \times g$ for 30 min. This washing procedure was repeated three times. Isolated inclusion bodies of Sc Cse4 and H4 were solubilized in 30 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 7 M guanidinium hydrochloride (GuHCl), 10 mM DTT, and insoluble debris was removed by centrifugation at $20,000 \times g$ for 30 min. Refolding of 7 M GuHCl solubilized Sc Cse4 and H4 proceeded by two-step dialysis in the presence or absence of Sc Scm3. Step 1 was dialysis against 30 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 2 M NaCl, 10 mM DTT; step 2 was dialysis against 30 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 0.5 M NaCl, 1 mM DTT. Sc Scm3 was expressed and purified as described for Kluyveromyces lactis Scm3 (see Methods). Misfolded proteins were removed by centrifugation at $20,000 \times g$ for 30 min. Soluble fractions were then concentrated and applied to a Superdex 200 size exclusion column (Prep grade 16/60: GE healthcare) preequilibrated with 30 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP [tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine].

Fig. S1. Properties of K. lactis (KI) Scm3:Cse4:H4 and its minimum stable complex. (A) Sedimentation equilibrium analytical ultracentrifugation of KI Scm3: Cse4:H4 complex; the measured molecular mass corresponds to a heterotrimer. (B) Minimum required fragments of K/ Scm3, Cse4, and H4 for stable complex formation. Purified Scm3:Cse4:H4 complex was treated with either trypsin or subtilisin; protease-resistant fragments (gray box) were determined by mass spectrometry. CCR, central conserved region of Scm3; HFD, histone-fold domain. (C) Expression of the minimum stable complex of Kl Scm3(41–115):Cse4 (103–184):H4(22–103). Scm3 (41–115) is sufficient for proper folding of Cse4 HFD and H4 HFD. S, soluble fraction; I, insoluble fraction; Ni, Ni-NTA eluate. (D) Purification of Kl Scm3(41–115):Cse4(103–184):H4(22–103) by size exclusion chromatography. SDS-PAGE gel shows that the three proteins coelute as a stable complex.

Fig. S2. S.cerevisiae (Sc) Scm3 as a chaperone for Sc Cse4 and H4. (A) Refolding of Sc Cse4 and H4 in the absence of Sc Scm3 was carried out as described in SI Methods. The dialyzed sample was centrifuged to remove misfolded proteins after dialysis (lane labeled "Soluble"). As shown here, Sc Cse4 and H4 failed to refold in the absence of Sc Scm3. (B) Refolding of Sc Cse4 and H4 in the presence of Sc Scm3. Refolding Sc Cse4 and H4 together with Sc Scm3 yields a stable complex as shown in the column profile from Superdex 200 size exclusion chromatography. SDS-PAGE gel of column fractions shows that the three proteins coelute as a complex. (C) Sedimentation equilibrium analytical ultracentrifugation of the Sc Scm3:Cse4:H4 complex. As with the K. lactis Scm3:Cse4:H4 complex, the molecular mass of the Sc Scm3:Cse4:H4 complex corresponds to a heterotrimer. (D) Minimum required fragments of Sc Scm3, Cse4, and H4 for stable complex formation. Purified Scm3:Cse4:H4 was treated with subtilisin; protease-resistant fragments (gray box) were determined by mass spectrometry. CCR, central conserved region of Scm3; HFD, histone-fold domain.

AC

A ...
KLa Scm3p/41-115 RDG------WVYTM VIDLOTGEVIEDNGHLENLSNE SCe_Scm3p/64-144
CG1 Scm3p/62-142 SRPIAERNGHVYIN LVDLKTGEIVEDNGHIKTLTAN YKEVKKKNGRAYIY **NIDLOSGEIITDNGHIRNLNTG** AGo_Scm3p/34-108
SKu_Scm3p/65-145 PAG-------VVYV WDLRTGETVEDNGHTRGLADG VDLKIGEIVEDNGHIKGLADG
VDLKTGEIIEDNGHIKKLTVN VRPVAERNGHV $SK1$ Scm3p/66-146 NDPVTOHDGVTFIL DLIDLRTGEIVEDNGHLRGLSAT EQGTQEHDNVVYI $KWa_Scm3p/67-147$.
JI.DI.NTCETVEDNCHVPSI.SEP KLa Cse4p/103-184 STDLLISRMPFARLVKEVTDOFTTESEPLRWC 'IMRKDMOLARRIRGOFI SCe_Cse4p/148-229
SKu_Cse4p/148-229 STDLLISKIPFARLVKEVTDEFTTKDQDLRWQ:
STDLLISKIPFARLVKEVTDEFTTKDQDLRWQ:
STDLLISKIPFARLVKEVTDEFTTKDQDLRWQ: :::::::::::::::::::::
!IMKKDMQLARRIRGQFI
!IMKKDMQLARRIRGQFI KWa_Cse4p/102-183
SK1_Cse4p/59-140
AGo_Cse4p/123-204 STOLLISKMPFARLVKEVTDOYTTEEOOLRWO IMRKDMOLARRIRGOFI STELLISKMPFARLVKEVTEQFSTDEQQLRWQ
STELLISKMPFARLVKEVTEQFSTDEQQLRWQ
STELLISRMPFARLVKEVTDQFTTVDQQMRWQ IMKNDMQLARRIRGQFI
IMKKDMQLARRIRGQFI
VMRKDMQLARRIRGQFI CG1 Cse4p/159-240 STALLIOKIPFAKLVKEVTEEFAGESODLRWC IMKKDMOLARRIRGOFI KLa_H4/22-103 ILRDNIQGITKPAIRRLARRGGVKRISGLIYEEVRNVLKTFLESVIRDAVTYTEHAKRKTV ROGRTLYGFGG KLa_H4/22-103

SCe_H4/22-103

CG1_H4/22-103

AGo_H4/22-103

SKu_H4/22-103

SK1_H4/22-103 ${\small \textbf{ILRDNIGGITKRARIRLARRGGVRRTSGLIYEEVRAVLKSELESVIRDSVTYTEHAKRKTV HENDNIGGITKRATRRLARRGGVRRTSGLIYEEVRAVLKSELESVIRDSVTYTEHAKRKTV HENDNIGGITKPAIRRLARRGGVRRISGLIYEDVRAVLKSELESVIRDAVTYTEHAKRKTV HENDNIGGITKPAIRRLARRGGVRRISGLIYEDVRAVLKSELESVIRDAVTYTEHAKRKTV HENDNIGGITKPAIRRLRREGVRRISGLIYEDVRAVLKSELESVIRDAVTYTEHAKRKTV HENDNIGGITKPAIRRLRRTV HNDKPTSPLAFRWITR$ ROGRTLYGFGG RQGRTLYGFGG ROGRTLYGFGG ILRDNIQGITKPAIRRLARRGGVKRISGLIYEEVRAVLKSFLESVIRDSVTYTEHAKRKTV
ILRDNIQGITKPAIRRLARRGGVKRISGLIYEEVRAVLKSFLESVIRDSVTYTEHAKRKTV ROGRTLYGEGG 2GRTLYGFGG KWa H4/22-103 ILRDNIQGITKPAIRRLARRGGVKRISGLIYEEVRAVLKSFLESVIRDAVTYTEHAKRKTV QGRTLYGFGG

Fig. S3. Interface of Scm3:Cse4 and Scm3:H4 in the heterotrimer. (A) Multiple sequence alignments of Scm3 (central conserved region), Cse4 and H4 (HFDs) from point-centromere yeasts. Blue boxes represent residues at the interface of Scm3 and Cse4; red boxes, residues at the interface of Scm3 and H4. KLa, K. lactis; SCe, S. cerevisiae; CGl, Candida glabrata; AGo, Ashbya gossypii; SKu, Saccharomyces kudriavzevii; SKl, Saccharomyces kluyveri; KWa, Kluyveromyces waltii. (B) Interface of Scm3 and Cse4. Interacting residues are shown as stick models. (C) Interface of Scm3 and H4, in a similar representation.

Fig. S4. Multiple sequence alignments of centromeric histone H3s and its chaperone proteins. (A) Multiple sequence alignment of the HFDs of Cse4 and its orthologs from other eukaryotes, including human CENP-A. (B) Multiple sequence alignment of the central conserved region of Scm3 and the N-terminal regions of CenH3 chaperones from other eukaryotes, including human HJURP. The Lys–Tyr pair, conserved among CenH3 chaperones from yeast to man, is marked in the red box.

* parenthesis : corresponding residue number for S. cerevisiae protein

Fig. S5. Comparison of the Scm3/Cse4/H4 structures and its human orthologs. (A) The ribbon diagram of the K. lactis Scm3/Cse4/H4 structure reported here, that of the S. cerevisiae single chain construct [Zhou et al., Protein Data Bank (PDB) ID code 2L5A] (1), and its human orthologs (HJURP/CENP-A/H4, PDB ID code 3R45) (2). The overall structures of the Scm3/Cse4/H4 complex and its human orthologs are similar with rmsd of 0.7 Å for 176 overlapping residues. (B) Primary sequence comparison of fragments used in the Zhou et al. (NMR) experiment and in ours (X-ray). In the Zhou et al. structure, a conserved N-terminal segment of Scm3 (70–92) was removed, and an essential part of H4 HFD (helix I, 20–41) was truncated.

1 Zhou Z, et al. (2011) Structural basis for recognition of centromere histone variant CenH3 by the chaperone Scm3. Nature 472:234–237. 2 Hu H, et al. (2011) Structure of a CENP-A-histone H4 heterodimer in complex with chaperone HJURP. Genes Dev 25:901–906.

Fig. S6. Two (Cse4 : H4)₂ tetramers in the asymmetric unit of space group R3. Ribbon diagram of two tetramers of (Cse4 : H4)₂ in the asymmetric unit. Superposition of two tetramers shows the same Cse4:Cse4 tetramer interface. The contacts between tetramers, while relatively intimate, do not mimic any known nucleosomal interactions and probably represent interactions generated during crystallization.

Fig. S7. Comparison of (Cse4 : H4)₂ tetramer with (H3/H4)₂ tetramer. (A) Comparison of (Cse4 : H4)₂ and (H3 : H4)₂ (PDB ID code 1ID3). Two sets of distances (D1 and D2) were measured to compare the compactness of each tetramer. (*B*) Measured distances, D1 and D2, from (H3 : H4)₂, (Cse4 : H4)₂, and (CENP-A/H4)₂ tetramer. (C) Comparison of surface charge distribution in the CENP-A targeting domain (CATD) of (Cse4 : H4 $)_2$ and (H3 : H4) $_2$. In contrast with (CENP-A/H4) $_2$, the surface charge distributions in $(Cseq : H4)_2$ and $(H3 : H4)_2$ at CATD are similarly negative. (D) Sequence alignment of loop1 from CENP-A vs. H3 and from Cse4 vs. H3. Residues proposed to increase hydrophobicity in loop1 of CENP-A are marked by orange boxes. Cse4 counterparts of those hydrophobic residues are not more hydrophobic than their counterparts in H3.

 $*R_{sym} = \sum \sum_j |l_j - \langle l \rangle| / \sum l_j$, where l_j is the measured intensity of reflection j, and $\langle l \rangle$ is the mean intensity for multiply recorded reflections.

 $^{\dagger}\!R_{\rm work,free}=\sum||F_{\rm obs}|-|F_{\rm calc}||/|F_{\rm obs}|$, where the working and free R factors are calculated using the working and free reflection sets, respectively.

 \checkmark