
Isolation of a deoxycytidylate methyl transferase capable of protecting DNA uniquely against cleavage by endonuclease R.Aqu I (isoschizomer of Ava I)

C.Karreman, N.Tandeu de Marsac* and A.de Waard

Department of Medical Biochemistry, Sylvius Laboratories, University of Leiden, PO Box 9503, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands and *Unité de Physiologie Microbienne, CNRS ERA 398, Département de Biochimie et Génétique Moléculaire, Institut Pasteur, Rue du Dr. Roux, 75724 Paris Cedex 15, France

Received 11 April 1986; Revised and Accepted 10 June 1986

ABSTRACT

A sequence-specific modification methylase (M.AquI) was isolated and purified from *Agmenellum quadruplicatum* (*Synechococcus* PCC 7002). This enzyme uniquely methylates the deoxycytidylate residue in the sequence *CYCGRG indicated by the asterisk. It was shown to protect DNA against cleavage by restriction endonucleases *Ava*I, *Sma*I and *Xho*I, which recognize the sequences CYCGRG, CCCGGG, and CTCGAG, respectively.

INTRODUCTION

Sequence-specific DNA modification methylases (the counterparts of type II restriction endonucleases) are beginning to find use in molecular-biological research, e.g. for altering of restriction enzyme cleavage patterns and for modifying DNA to be used in transfection experiments.

Restriction endonucleases are found in many genera and species of cyanobacteria (for a review see Tandeu de Marsac and Houmard (1)). *Agmenellum quadruplicatum* contains such an endonuclease, R.AquI (2) which is an isoschizomer of endonuclease R.AvaI (C[↓]YCGRG). We report here the first sequence-specific modification methylase M.Aqu I to be isolated from a cyanobacterium.

MATERIALS AND METHODS**Culture conditions**

Agmenellum quadruplicatum PR-6 (strain 7002 of the Pasteur Culture Collection) was grown in medium ASN III (3) buffered with 2 mM HEPES (N-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine-N'-ethanesulfonic acid) buffer, pH 7.8, and supplemented with 100 µg vitamin B12/litre. The culture was illuminated with cool-white fluorescent light at 1000 lux and aerated with a stream of sterile air (95%)/CO₂ (5%) bubbling through. The cells were harvested in late log phase and stored at -30°C.

Chemicals and enzymes

S-adenosyl-methionine (SAM) was purchased from Boehringer Mannheim. Restriction endonucleases were obtained from Anglian Biotechnology Ltd or Promega-Biotec.

Isolation of modification methylase M.AquI

Frozen cells of Agmenellum quadruplicatum (10 g) were processed as described earlier (4). The crude extract was treated with polyethylene imine at pH 7.9 (1% final concentration) in the presence of 0.6 M NaCl to precipitate nucleic acids. After removal of these by centrifugation (10.000 x g, 10 min), the supernatant was saturated to 70% with ammonium sulphate. The precipitated proteins were dissolved in 20 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.4) - 1 mM Na₂EDTA, 2mM 2-mercaptoethanol and dialysed against 2x2 l of the same buffer. The enzyme solution was clarified by brief centrifugation and chromatographed on a 20x2.5 cm column of Whatman P11 cellulose phosphate equilibrated with the same buffer. Two consecutive gradients were applied, first 40 ml from 0 to 0.2 M NaCl in the above buffer (also containing 10% glycerol) and secondly, 200 ml from 0.2 to 0.4 M NaCl in the same buffer. The methyl transferase eluted in the latter gradient, ahead of the restriction endonuclease R.AquI.


Assay of methylase M.AquI

The method of McClelland (5) was used which is based on the capacity of a modification methylase to protect DNA against a restriction endonuclease with identical sequence recognition properties. Bacteriophage lambda DNA (0.6 µg) was incubated for 120 min with 56 mM Tris-Cl (pH 7.4), 10 mM Na₂EDTA, 6 mM 2-mercaptoethanol in the presence of 1 mM SAM, in a total volume of 20 µl. The reaction was terminated by heating the mixture at 65°C for 10 min. MgCl₂ and NaCl were then added to 16 mM and 100 mM, respectively, and 3 units of purified endonuclease R.AquI were added (reaction volume now 35 µl); incubation was continued at 37°C for 60 min. Agarose gel-electrophoretic examination showed which fractions were capable of protecting λ DNA against cleavage by endonuclease R.AquI.

Strategy used to identify the base methylated by M.AquI

All known DNA methyltransferases are specific for either adenine or cytosine. The nature of the AquI recognition sequence excludes the former possibility. In order to determine which of the possible cytosine residues in this sequence is methylated by the M.AquI enzyme, the following strategy was developed. Plasmid pUC9 was converted to a new construct, pKW2, by

cutting pUC9 at the unique sites for R.AquI and R.BamHI, filling in the "sticky" ends using the large fragment (Klenow) of polymeraseI and religating the so formed "blunt" ends. In this way the second C of the original sequence: 5'-GGATCCCCGG-3' was deleted. The essential feature of this new DNA molecule is the presence of four overlapping sites for the endonucleases Sau3A, BamHI, AquI and HpaII/MspI, as shown below.



It is known that the sequence $GAT^{me}C$ is resistant to cleavage by endonuclease Sau3A (6). Incubation of the above plasmid with M.AquI in the presence of SAM and subsequent treatment of the methylated pKW2 with Sau3A should therefore tell whether the leftmost cytosine of the AquI sequence is the target base for the AquI methylase.

It is also known that the sequence $^{me}CCGG$ is resistant to both endonucleases HpaII and MspI, whereas $C^{me}CCG$ is susceptible to cleavage by MspI and resistant to HpaII (7). A similar methylation experiment as described above and subsequent digestion of the product with either MspI or HpaII would establish whether the third cytosine has become methylated. The second cytosine of the sequence is not expected to be a substrate for the methylase in vivo in view of the degeneracy (T/C) of this position. If, however, this base could accept a methyl group in vitro (as an artefact) this would be detected by the failure of both MspI and HpaII to digest such a sequence.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The methylating enzyme M.AquI had an absolute requirement for SAM as a donor of methyl groups. It had the unique property of protecting various DNA molecules against the endonucleolytic action of AquI and AvaI (which both specifically cleave the nucleotide sequence CYCGRG) and also that of XhoI (CTCGAG) and SmaI (CCCGGG) (Fig.1). It did not protect DNA molecules against cleavage by restriction endonucleases with different recognition




Figure 1. Protection of various DNA molecules against cleavage by restriction endonucleases R.AvaI, R.SmaI and R.XhoI.
 a) AvaI. Lane 1, linearized plasmid pUC19. Lane 2, (unmethylated) plasmid pUC19 incubated with R.AvaI. Lane 3, plasmid pUC19 methylated by M.AquI and subsequently mixed with bacteriophage λ DNA and digested with R.AvaI. Lane 4, λ DNA digested with R.AvaI.
 b) SmaI. Experimental set up as in a. Lane 3, plasmid pUC19 methylated by M.AquI and subsequently incubated with R.SmaI. Adenovirus type 5 DNA was used as control.
 c) XhoI. Experimental set up as in b, except that bacteriophage ϕ X174 RF DNA was used as a substrate. Adenovirus type 2 DNA was used as a control.

properties such as PstI (CTGCAG), NcoI (CCATGG), EcoRV (GATATC) and Asp700 (GAAN₄TTC).

We were able to prove that the leftmost cytidylate residue in the CYCGRG sequences is the receptor of the methyl group from the donor molecule SAM. The evidence for this came to a large extent from the experiment with Sau3A, HpaII and MspI endonucleases as discussed in the Methods section. This experiment is documented in Fig.2. The 2448-bp SinI-A fragment of pKW2 was first methylated *in vitro* by M.AquI and then incubated in separate incubations with Sau3A, HpaII and MspI. Lanes 4-7 give the results with HpaII and MspI, which bear on the innermost cytosine present in the AquI recognition sequence. In this figure, the smallest 101-bp fragment in lane 7 is slightly underrepresented in lane 5, suggesting that the innermost C might be methylated. However, other experiments did not substantiate this conclusion. Firm proof that, indeed, the outermost C is methylated by M.AquI is given in lanes 1-3. The two Sau3A fragments of the SinI-A fragment of pKW2 which border the AquI site (lane




Figure 2. Localization of 5meC in the AquI recognition sequence. Sizes of bands are given in base-pairs.

- Lane 1. The two Sau3A fragments which border the AquI site.
 Lane 2. Fragment SinI-A of pKW2 methylated in vitro by M.AquI and treated with R.Sau3A.
 Lane 3. Fragment SinI-A of pKW2 unmethylated, and incubated with R.Sau3A.
 Lane 4. Fragment SinI-A of pKW2 methylated in vitro x R.MspI.
 Lane 5. Fragment SinI-A of pKW2 methylated in vitro by M.AquI and subsequently incubated with R.HpaII.
 Lane 6. The two MspI fragments which border the AquI site.
 Lane 7. Fragment SinI-A fragment of unmethylated pKW2, incubated with R.MspI.

Lane 2' and 3' are identical to lane 2 and 3, only the autoradiogram has been exposed for a longer time.




Figure 3. Sequence ladder (chemical cleavage method) for a fragment of pUC19 after methylation *in vitro*. Section I shows methylated pUC19 and the missing band of residue no.417 (10) is indicated by the arrow. Section II shows the same ladder for the same, unmethylated, pUC19 sequence.

1) are present when unmethylated pKW2 is digested with Sau3A (lane 3), but absent when this DNA molecule had been methylated previously by M.AquI (lane 2). This is the predicted result when the AquI sequence has been modified to meCYCGRG.

We confirmed this conclusion by performing a sequence analysis of the methylated DNA. It is known that 5-methylcytidylate residues are rather resistant to attack by hydrazine, the base-specific reagent used in the sequencing procedure of Maxam and Gilbert (8,9). Fig.3 shows a sequence ladder of a fragment of pUC19 DNA harbouring an AquI-recognition site which has been methylated *in vitro* by methylase M.AquI. It is seen that nucleotide 417 (numbering according to (10)) is absent from the sequence

ladder (see legend). This in contrast to all other cytidylate residues - present in other than AquI recognition sites - in this or any other sequence ladder that was made with DNA preincubated with M.AquI.

This result proves both the nature of the methylated C (^{5me}C) as well as its position in the recognition sequence. The alternative product N⁴-methyl C (recently found in a number of bacterial species (11)) cannot be a product of the enzyme modification reaction as this nucleotide behaves like unmodified C in the cleavage reaction with hydrazine (12).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are indebted to B.M.M. Dekker and H. van Ormondt for help and advice. Financial support was obtained from the Foundation for the Technical Sciences (STW) in The Netherlands.

Abbreviations: bp=base-pair; R=purine; SAM=S-adenosyl-methionine;

Y=pyrimidine;

REFERENCES

1. Tandeau de Marsac, N. and Houmard, J-. in: Cyanobacteria, current research (P. Fay and C. van Baalen editors) Elsevier, Amsterdam.
2. Lau, R.H., and Doolittle, W.F. (1980) FEBS Lett. 121, 200-202.
3. Rippka, R., Deruelles, J. Waterbury, J.B., Herdman, M. and Stanier, R. (1979), J.Gen.Microbiol. 111, 1-61.
4. Calléja, F., Tandeau de Marsac, N., Coursin, T., Van Ormondt, H., and de Waard, A. (1985), Nucl. Acids Res. 13, 6745-6751.
5. McClelland, M. (1981), Nucl. Acids Res. 9, 6795-6804.
6. McClelland, M. (1983), Nucl. Acids Res. 11, r169-r173.
7. Waalwijk, C. and Flavell, R.A. (1978), Nucl. Acids Res. 5, 3231-3236.
8. Ohmori, H., Tomizawa, J. and Maxam, A. (1978), Nucl. Acids Res. 5, 1479-1485.
9. Maxam, A.M. and Gilbert, W. (1980), Methods in Enzymology, 65, 499-560.
10. Yanisch-Perrow, C., Vieize J. and Messing, J. (1985) Gene, 33, 103-119.
11. Ehrlich, M., Gama-Sosa, M.A., Carreira, L.H., Ljungdahl, L.G., Kuo, K.C. and Gehrke, C.W. (1985), Nucl.Acids Res. 13, 1399-1412.
12. Butkus, V., Klimašauskas, S., Keršulytė, D., Vaitkevičius, D., Lebionka, A. and Janulaitis, A. (1985), Nucl.Acids Res. 13, 5727-5746.