A subpopulation of spinach chloroplast tRNA genes does not require upstream promoter elements for transcription

W.Gruissem*, C.Elsner-Menzel, S.Latshaw, J.O.Narita, M.A.Schaffer and G.Zurawski¹

Department of Botany, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 and ¹DNAX Research Institute of Molecular and Cellular Biology, 901 California Avenue, Palo Alto, CA 94304, USA

Received 8 August 1986; Accepted 5 September 1986

ABSTRACT

We have identified a class of spinach plastid tRNA genes which do not require 5' upstream promoter elements for their expression in a chloroplast transcription system. The 5' DNA sequences flanking the trnRl and trnSl coding regions have little or no homology to previously characterized chloroplast promoter sequences. The deletion of the 5' DNA sequences from these genes to positions close to the start of the coding regions has little effect on their transcription in vitro. In addition, a synthetic DNA fragment homologous to the 5' region of trnSl does not support the transcription of the promoter (-) trnM2 mutant 51 in a promoter/trnM2-51 fusion assay. In a dicistronic construct the wild type trnSl gene does not support transcription of trnSl transcription occurs immediately following the 3' end of the coding region. Both trnSl and trnRl compete with trnM2 for the same chloroplast RNA polymerase and/or common transcription factors.

INTRODUCTION

The development of chloroplast transcription systems has allowed the assessment of DNA sequences which are required for the in vitro transcription of transfer RNA and protein coding genes coded by chloroplast DNA (1,2,3,4). The comparison of DNA sequences 5' upstream of transcription start sites from several genes or polycistronic transcription units in higher plant chloroplast DNA has revealed DNA sequences with homology to prokaryotic promoter elements (5,6). Subsequent analysis of DNA sequences which support accurate transcription of cloned plastid genes in the chloroplast transcription system has confirmed the importance of a -35 promoter element (ctpl) for the transcription of the spinach trnM2 (7) and mustard psbA genes (3). The detailed mutational analysis of the promoter region for the spinach trnM2 gene has provided additional information for the requirement of a -10 analog (ctp2) as well as a specific arrangement of ctpl and ctp2 for maximal transcription (7). In analogy to the prokaryotic promoter elements, base substitutions in ctp1 and ctp2 result in reduced transcription rates for the $\underline{trnM2}$ gene. A $\underline{trnM2}$ promoter-deletion derivative has been used as a tool to identify and characterize the promoter regions for the spinach \underline{rbcL} , \underline{atpB} and \underline{psbA} plastid protein coding genes (8). The fusion constructs have demonstrated that approximately 40 bp regions, including the defined in vivo transcriptional start sites and proximal residues, from \underline{rbcL} , \underline{atpB} and \underline{psbA} , direct the correct transcription of the $\underline{trnM2}$ gene. All three regions have DNA sequences homologous to ctp1 and ctp2, and thus the results are consistent with rules that have been established for the spinach plastid $\underline{trnM2}$ and prokaryotic promoters.

In addition to the above genes with established promoter regions, we have identified a class of plastid tRNA genes with 5' upstream DNA sequences that have little or no homology to the previously characterized ctpl and ctp2 regions. Two of these genes, $\underline{trn}Rl$ and $\underline{trn}Sl$, have been analyzed in detail for their transcription properties in <u>vitro</u>. We report here that both tRNA genes have no 5' upstream promoter elements that are comparable in their function to ctpl and ctp2, which are essential for the transcription of $\underline{trn}M2$, $\underline{rbc}L$, $\underline{atp}B$ and $\underline{psb}A$.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmid DNA

The trnSl gene was subcloned from the spinach plastid BamHI fragment 16 (9) as a 380 bp Sau3A fragment and inserted into the BglII site of the vector pMT11. The pMT11 vector is a truncated pBR322 plasmid and has a polylinker insertion as well as additional pUC8 sequences, but lacks the lacZ' promoter region (H. Huang and K. Moore, unpublished). The trnRl gene was subcloned from the spinach plastid SalI fragment 10 as a SalI-HindIII fragment and inserted into the vector pUC18. Plasmid DNA for enzymatic reactions and <u>in vitro</u> transcription experiments was purified from bacterial lysates by ethidium bromide-CsCl gradient centrifugation.

Construction of 5' Deletion Mutants

The trnSl gene in pMTll was digested with <u>Hind</u>III, and 15 μg of the restricted DNA was incubated with 4 units of the 3',5'-

exonuclease Bal31 for 12 min at 37°C. Aliquots were removed from the reaction at various intervals. The aliquots were combined, extracted with phenol-chloroform-isoamylalcohol, and precipitated with ethanol. Blunt ends were generated by incubation of the Bal31-treated DNA with T4 DNA polymerase, and the plasmid DNA was subsequently digested with PstI. The Bal31-treated fragments containing the trnSl gene were separated on a 1.5% agarose gel, and fractions of different DNA fragment sizes were isolated from the gel. The DNA fragments were ligated into pMTll which had been digested with Smal and Pst1. The initial Bal31 deletion mutants were selected from a screen of the transformants digested The 5' endpoints of the Bal31 deletion with EcoRI and PstI. mutants were determined by direct sequencing of the doublestranded plasmid DNA using the pBR322 EcoRI primer (5'GTATCAC-GAGGCCCTT) and reverse transcriptase (10). The trnRl gene in pUC18 was digested with XbaI, and subsequently incubated with Bal31 using the above protocol. After treatment with T4 DNA polymerase, digestion with HindIII and electrophoretic separation, DNA fragments of appropriate sizes were ligated into pUC18 digested with SmaI and HindIII. Selection of Bal31 deletion mutants and DNA sequencing followed the above procedures. A11 selected Bal31 mutants of the trnRI gene were also cloned as EcoRI-HindIII fragments into pUC19 and pMT11.

Promoter/trnM2 and Polycistronic trnSl/trnM2 Fusion Constructs

The <u>trnSl</u> 5' region from -1 to -34, which supports transcription of <u>trnSl</u> in the deletion mutant 2-9, was constructed from synthetic oligonucleotides as a DNA fragment with <u>EcoRI</u> and <u>BamHI</u> restriction sites and ligated to the <u>trnM2</u> promoter deletion mutant 51 (7) in pdXll as described (8) to yield the <u>trnM2-51/S2-</u> 9 fusion construct. A polycistronic <u>trnSl-trnM2</u> locus was constructed using the <u>trnSl</u> gene in pMTll and the <u>trnM2</u> promoter deletion mutant 51. The <u>trnSl</u> template was cut with <u>XmnI</u>, which cleaves 20 bp 3' of the coding region, and subsequently with <u>XbaI</u>. The isolated fragment was ligated to the <u>trnM2-51</u> fragment which was cut with <u>SmaI</u> and <u>XbaI</u>. The ligated fragments were cloned into the <u>XbaI</u> cut pIBI vector DNA.

Chloroplast Transcription Extract

Intact chloroplasts were isolated from hydroponically grown



Figure 1. Location and organization of the trnRl and trnM2 spinach chloroplast genes. The trnRl gene, located 107 bp downstream from the trnG gene, was subcloned as a <u>Sall/HindIII</u> fragment, which was used for the Bal31 deletion analysis. The trnS1 gene was subcloned as a <u>Sau3A</u> as shown on the map. Open boxes indicate the positions of the trnRl, trnG, trnS1 and psbC coding regions, black bars represent non-coding regions, and the black box indicates part of the intron in trnG.

<u>Spinacea</u> oleracea (cv. Marathon hybrid) and used for the preparation of the transcription extract as previously described (1,11). In Vitro Transcription and <u>Analysis</u> of <u>Transcripts</u>

Plasmid DNAs (predominately form I DNA; 60 µg/ml) were incubated under standard conditions in 25 µl reactions according to a published protocol (11). After termination of the <u>in vitro</u> transcription reaction and isolation of RNA, the labeled tRNA products were seperated on 10% polyacrylamide-50% urea gels. After localization of the tRNA products on X-ray film they were excised from the gel and incorporation of $[\alpha-3^2P]$ -UMP into the mature tRNA transcripts was determined by scintillation counting.

RESULTS

The Spinach Chloroplast trnRl and trnSl Transcription Units

The <u>trnRl</u> gene is located downstream from the <u>atpA</u> transcription unit and 107 bp upstream from the 5' end of the <u>trn</u>Gl gene. The <u>trnRl</u> and <u>trnGl</u> genes are transcribed in the same orientation, with the <u>trnRl</u> gene being transcribed towards the 3' end of <u>atpA</u> (Figure 1A). The spinach chloroplast <u>Sal</u>I fragment 10 was initially used as a template for the detailed characterization of the tRNA^{Arg} transcript. RNAase Tl fingerprint analysis unequivocally established the correct transcription and processing of the tRNA^{Arg} in the chloroplast <u>in vitro</u> transcription system (12). No transcript has been detected for the <u>atpA</u> gene <u>in vitro</u>, which is consistent with the observation that this gene is cotranscribed with atpF and atpH in vivo (13). The trnSl gene is located 253 bp downstream from the 3' end of the <u>psbD</u> coding region, and is transcribed towards the 3' end of this gene (9; Figure 2B). An 11 bp inverted repeat occurs 80 bp downstream from trnSl, which has been proposed to serve as a transcription terminator for trnSl and/or <u>psbD</u> (9). It has been noted that a 270 bp open reading frame is located 273 bp upstream from the 5' end of <u>trnSl</u>, which does not appear to be expressed in chloroplast (9). In addition, since the <u>Sau3A</u> fragment in pMT11, which excludes this region (Figure 1B), is transcribed in the chloroplast extract, we conclude that the sequence is not important for the expression of <u>trnSl</u>.

Analysis of trnRl 5' Deletion Mutants

The Sall-HindIII fragment containing the trnRl gene in pUC18 directs the transcription of tRNA^{Arg} with approximately the same efficiency at comparable template concentrations in vitro (not shown). The SalI site at -164 of trnRl provides a convenient start point for resection of the 5' upstream region with Bal31 exonuclease (Figure 1A). Deletion of 5' DNA sequences from the SalI site to -75 does not alter the transcription efficiency of the trnRl deletion mutants significantly (2-1; Figure 2B). This deletion site for trnRl is located 32 bp downstream from the 3' end of the trnGl coding region. Deletions 2-1 and 2-5 also remove one and two T at -76 and -75, respectively, from a sequence 5'TTGTCT, which has partial homology to ctpl and was identified as a promoter element for trnM2. Removal of these nucleotides results in a 60% reduction of transcription efficiency for trnM2 (7), but has no effect on transcription of trnR1. Mutation 2-4 removes all 5' upstream sequences to -58, including the sequence 5' TTGTCT, but does not decrease the transcription efficency of the resulting deletion mutant template in the in vitro system (Figures 2A and 2B). Deletion mutants 3-2 and 3-3 have 5' upstream sequences deleted to -29 and -21 of the trnRl coding region, respectively, including a sequence 5' TTGTTT (-52) Again, we find that the with significant homology to ctpl. deletions have only a marginal effect on in vitro trnRl transcription. Deletion of the 5' upstream region to -8 decreases



Deletion analysis of the trnRl 5' region. Figure 2. (A) DNA sequence of the trnRl region and deletions obtained after resec-The nucleotides of the trnRl coding region are tion with Bal31. Blank areas indicate deleted DNA sequences enclosed by a box. which were replaced by pUC18, pUC19 or pMT11 DNA sequences upstream from the SmaI site. (B) In vitro transcription of trnRl deletion mutants in the spinach chloroplast extract. The DNA concentrations in the transcription reactions were 60 μ g/ml (form I) for wild type and mutant templates. Lanes 1 - 8 correspond to wild type and mutant templates 2-1, 2-5, 2-4, 3-2, 3-3, 3-7, 4-3, respectively. Lanes 9 and 10 are additional mutants with del tions in the coding region (not shown in A). The mature tRNAArg transcript is 75 nt long and has been previously characterized (12).

transcription of the mutant template to 65%, which could in part be a consequence of alterations at the 5' initiation site. Since the chloroplast transcription extract is active in tRNA processing (14), we have been unable to confirm the transcription start site for this gene. No mature $tRNA^{Arg}$ or truncated transcript can be detected when part of the coding region of <u>trnRl</u> is deleted (mutant 4-3).

To exclude that DNA sequences in the pUC18 vector can function as a promoter for the transcription of $\underline{\mathrm{trn}}$ Rl in vitro, and thus cause the observed levels of transcripts from the 5' deletion mutants, we have subcloned all deletion mutants as $\underline{\mathrm{EcoRI}}$ -<u>Hind</u>III fragments into pUC19 and finally into the lacZ' promoter (-) vector pMT11 (see Materials and Methods). All $\underline{\mathrm{trn}}$ Rl deletion templates in pUC19 or pMT11 direct the transcription of $\mathrm{tRNA}^{\mathrm{Arg}}$ in the chloroplast extract with the same efficiency as described for the pUC18 constructs (results not shown).

Analysis of trnSl 5' Deletion Mutants

The 380 bp Sau3A fragment in pMTll directs the transcription of the 96 nt tRNASer in the chloroplast extract at a high efficiency, indicating that the trnSl locus is actively expressed in vitro. The analysis of the 5' upstream region does not reveal DNA sequences with good homology to the ctpl and ctp2 promoter elements of trnM2 (7), indicating that transcription of trnSl may be similar to trnRl. This notion is supported by transcription experiments with 5' deletion mutants of the trnSl gene in the chloroplast extract (Figure 3A and 3B). The trnSl deletion mutants 1-3, 2-4, 2-1, 2-9 and 2-6, in which 5' DNA sequences were removed upstream from positions -79, -44, -41, -35 and -34, respectively, all direct the transcription of tRNA^{Ser} at nearly wild type levels. Further deletion of upstream sequences to -10 results in a decrease of trnSl transcription. As discussed for the trnRl gene, we can not exclude that this is a consequence of alterations around the transcription start site. No transcripts can be detected from templates in which part of the trnSl coding region has been deleted.

Fusion of trnS1 5' DNA sequences to the trnM2 coding region

The analysis of the $\underline{trnS1}$ 5' deletion mutants lacks the resolution to exclude that DNA sequences between -10 and -35 may have promoter activity in vitro. To evaluate the function of this region, we constructed a synthetic DNA fragment of the 5' region which remains in the $\underline{trnS1}$ deletion mutant 2-9, and fused it to the $\underline{trnM2}$ promoter deletion mutant 51 (Figure 4A). This



Deletion analysis of the trnSl 5' region. Figure 3. (A) DNA sequence of the trnSl region and deletions obtained after resection with Bal31. The trnSl sequence has been previously published (9). The nucleotides of the trnSl coding region are enclosed by a box. Blank areas indicate deleted DNA sequences which were replaced by pMT11 DNA sequences upstream from the SmaI In vitro transcription of trnSl deletion mutants in site. (B) The concentrations of DNA temthe spinach chloroplast extract. plates were 60 μ g/ml, except in lane 1, where the concentration was 20 µg/ml. Lane 1: wild type; lane 2: 2-4; lane 3: 2-1; lane 4: no DNA; lanes 5 and 6: wild type; lanes 7 - 11 correspond to mutant templates 2-9, 2-6, 3-13, 3-15 and 4-4, respectively. The mature tRNASer transcript is 96 nucleotides long.

technique has been successfully used for the analysis of promoter regions from <u>trnM2</u>, <u>rbcL</u>, <u>atpB</u> and <u>psbA</u> (7,8). As is shown in Figure 4B, <u>trnS1</u> wild type and deletion mutant 2-9 templates direct the transcription of tRNA^{Arg} at nearly comparable levels. The <u>trnM2-51/S2-9</u> fusion construct, however, shows almost no increase in tRNA^{Met} transcript levels over the background level detected for the <u>trnM2-51</u> deletion mutant. As a control, the <u>psbA-promoter/trnM2-51</u> fusion construct directs the transcription of tRNA^{Met} approaching wild type levels, which is consistent with



51/S2-9 - GAATTCGGGAATAAGAATAAGAATAGAAATTGGAATCTATGGTGGATCCGTCCCTCTTTGTAATTTGTAATGATAAAAG



Figure 4. Construction and expression of a trnM2/trnS15'fusion template. (A) The trnS15' region from -1 to -34 (see Figure 3A) was synthesized and fused to the trnM2 deletion mutant 51 as described in Materials and Methods. The filled boxes and the bar represent the trnM2 coding region and pdX11 sequences, respectively. (B) In vitro transcription of trnM2/promoter fusion templates. Form I plasmid DNAs (60 µg/ml) were transcribed as described in Materials and Methods. Lane 1: trnM2 in pdX11; lane 2: trnS1 in pMT11; lanes 3 and 4: trnS15' deletion mutants 2-9 and 3-13, respectively; lane 5: trnM2 deletion mutant 51 (7); lane 6; trnM2-51/S2-9; lane 7: trnM2-psbA promoter fusion control (8).

previously published results (8). We therefore conclude that the DNA sequence present in the <u>trnSl</u> deletion mutant 2-9 alone is not sufficient to support the transcription of the <u>trnSl</u> gene <u>in</u> <u>vitro</u>.

Can trnS1 Support The Transcription Of trnM2 Deletion Mutant 51?

To address the question if the $\underline{trn}Sl$ gene itself can serve as a promoter for the expression of the $\underline{trn}M2$ deletion mutant 51, we constructed the polycistronic transcription unit shown in Figure 5A. It was demonstrated in previous experiments that chloroplast polycistronic tRNA transcripts occur in vivo (15,16) and can be



cription reactions was 60 μ g/ml (form I DNA). Lane 1: trnM2 deletion mutant 51 in pdX11; lane 2:trnS1 in pMT11; lane 3: trnM2 in pIBI; lane 4: trnS1 in pIBI; lanes 5 and 6: trnS1-trnM2 fusion construct in pIBI inserted into the XbaI of the polylinker region in both orientaions relative to the vector DNA.

transcribed and properly processed in vitro (1,2,14,15). In the dicistronic construct trnSl and trnM2 are seperated by 58 bp, with 20 bp and 27 bp resulting from the trnSl 3' and trnM2 5' regions, respectively. The ll bp separating these DNA sequences are derived from the polylinker region of pdXll (Figure 5A). The single trnSl gene in pIBI is transcribed efficiently, and we also note that the background transcription of the single trnM2 deletion mutant gene 51 in pIBI has increased as compared to the pdXll construct (Figure 5B). This is most likely due to nonspecific initiation events of the chloroplast RNA polymerase on The transcription of the dicistronic vector DNA sequences. trnSl-trnM2 construct in the chloroplast extract shows that trnSl is transcribed with an efficiency similar to the monocistronic transcription unit. However, we do not observe a significant increase of tRNA^{Met} transcripts. Since we can not detect increased levels of transcripts of higher molecular weight, it is unlikely that this result is a consequence of inefficient processing of a primary transcript. We therefore conclude that in the dicistronic construct the trnM2 gene is not cotranscribed.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 tRNA^{Ser} tRNA^{Met}

Figure 6. Competition of trnM2 and trnS1 for common transcription factors in the spinach chloroplast extract. All incubations were for 60 min at 25°C with various DNA template (form I) concentrations. Lane 1: no DNA; lane 1 - 4: trnS1 at 20, 60 and 120 μ g/m1, respectively; lanes 5 - 7: trnM2 at 20, 40 and 60 μ g/m1, respectively; lane 8: trnS1 (60 μ g/m1); lane 9: trnM2 at 20, 40 and 60 μ g/m1; lanes 10 - 12: trnS1 at 60 μ g/m1 and trnM2 at 20, 40 and 60 μ g/m1, respectively.

trnM2 and trnS1 Compete For The Same Transcription Factors

It is possible that tRNA genes in the chloroplast genome which differ in their promoter organization require different transcription factors or are transcribed by different RNA polymerase activities. At least two chloroplast RNA polymerase activities have recently been reported for higher plants and Euglena (17). We have therefore initiated competition experiments with trnM2 and trnS1 in the chloroplast transcription extract (Figure 6). Increasing template concentrations in the transcription reactions result in an approximately 1.5- and 2-fold increase of transcript levels for trnM2 and trnS1, respectively. The addition of vector DNA alone does not result in a significant decrease of transcript levels, indicating little non-specific binding of chloroplast RNA polymerase. Increasing concentrations of trnM2 (20-60 μ g/ml) in the presence of a fixed trnSl concentration (60 μ g/ml) in the transcription reaction results in a decrease of tRNASer transcripts to levels lower than observed with trnSl alone. Preincubation experiments with trnSl or trnM2 suggest that stable transcription complexes can be formed with both genes, which results in decreased transcription levels of the gene added following the preincubation (results not shown). A1though these experiments do not clearly distinguish between RNA polymerase and/or additional transcription factor requirements,

they indicate, however that $\underline{trn}M2$, $\underline{trn}S1$ and $\underline{trn}R1$ are most likely transcribed by the same chloroplast RNA polymerase activity, and that $\underline{trn}M2$ and $\underline{trn}S1$ appear to compete for common transcription factors.

DISCUSSION

The available complete sequence of the tobacco chloroplast genome has demonstrated that thirty different tRNA genes are encoded by the chloroplast DNA (18). Of these trnL-CAA is cotranscribed with the 16S and 23S rrn transcription units, and trnE-UUC - trnY-GUA - trnD-GUC form the only known polycistronic tRNA transcription unit in higher plant chloroplasts (16). The spinach chloroplast trnM2 (CAU), trnR1 (UCU) and trnS1 (UGA) genes which we have analysed in this and previous reports (9,12) are located in positions which are similar to those reported for the respective tobacco genes. Only the promoter region of the spinach chloroplast trnM2 has been functionally defined (7), although chloroplast promoter regions for tRNA, ribosomal RNAs and protein-coding genes have been compiled based on their structural homologies to the prokaryotic consensus promoter sequence and their location relative to experimentally defined transcript start sites (19). It has been generally assumed that the chloroplast RNA polymerase requires such prokaryote-type 5' upstream regions for binding and transcription initiation. Only recently have promoter regions been functionally defined for psbA (3,8), rbcL and atpB (8,20) by their ability to support transcription in homologous chloroplast extracts, and the results have confirmed the requirement for prokaryote-type promoter elements.

It was therefore unexpected that a subpopulation of chloroplast tRNA genes does not require 5' upstream promoter regions for their transcription in vitro. The absence of functional promoter elements in the 5' regions of the <u>trnRl</u> and <u>trnSl</u> coding regions is supported by several criteria. First, comparison of the upstream DNA sequences for these genes with the defined chloroplast promoter regions does not reveal regions of significant homology with ctpl-/ctp2-type sequences (7,8). Second, deletion of the <u>trnSl</u> and <u>trnRl</u> 5' DNA sequences to or beyond the limit of minimal DNA sequence required for promoter function has little or no effect on the transcription of these genes in vitro. This is in contrast to results obtained for the trnM2 locus, where deletion of a "-35"-like promoter element around -80 (ctpl) results in a complete loss of transcription from this gene (7). The possibility that the promoter regions for trnSl and trnRl are located upstream from the restriction sites used to subclone these genes can be excluded, since longer restriction fragments show no increase in tRNASer or tRNAArg transcript levels. Third, DNA sequences from the 5' region of trnSl do not support transcription of the trnM2 promoter deletion mutant 51, in contrast to promoter regions from <u>psbA</u>, <u>rbcL</u> and <u>atpB</u>, which can effectively replace the trnM2 wild type promoter.

At present we can only speculate about the location of the promoter regions for trnRl and trnSl. It is interesting to note that for transcription of eukaryotic nuclear tRNA genes by RNA polymerase III two intragenic sequence blocks are required which are highly conserved in all eukaryotic tRNAs (21). Consequently, bacterial and chloroplast tRNA genes which share this homology are also recognized and transcribed by the eukaryotic RNA polymerase III (22). Comparison of the respective sequences in the spinach chloroplast tRNA genes shows that trnSl has complete homology with the eukaryotic consensus A- and B-block promoter sequences, while in trnRl six out of nine (A-block) and 8 out of nine (B-block) base pairs are conserved. However, a similar level of homology is observed for the spinach chloroplast trnM2 gene, which requires upstream promoter elements for transcription in vitro. To demonstrate the presence of internal control regions for transcription of eukaryotic tRNA genes, deletions were constructed extending from the 5' and 3' flanking regions into the tRNA coding region (21,23). Although similar trnRl and trnM2 deletion mutants were tested, we would not have detected truncated tRNA molecules since they are rapidly degraded in the chloroplast extract. We can not exclude, however that the deletion mutants are no longer transcribed. Experiments are currently underway to introduce base substitutions that change the sequence of the trnRl coding region, but do not alter the secondary structure of the tRNA molecule.

In the dicistronic trnSl-trnM2-51 locus trnSl does not sup-

Nucleic Acids Research

port the transcription of trnM2. It is most likely, therefore that termination of trnSl transcription occurs within the remaining 20 bp of the 3' DNA sequences. Again, this is consistent with results reported for eukaryotic tRNA genes, in which T-rich 3' DNA regions can serve as termination signals for RNA polymerase III (21). Both trnRl and trnSl coding regions are immediately followed by T-rich DNA sequences, whereas such region can not be detected for trnM2. Transcription of trnM2 proceeds through an inverted repeat sequence, which may serve as processing signal and which can be detected in vitro (1). Moreover, analysis of run-on transcripts from the spinach atpB/E-trnM2 region indicates that transcription from the trnM2 gene may even continue into the non-coding strand of the atpB/E region (X.W. Deng and W. Gruissem, manuscript in preparation). Since little is known about transcription termination in higher plant chloroplasts, we can at best speculate about the requirements for the chloroplast RNA polymerase to terminate transcription of the three different tRNA genes.

In tobacco, only one $\underline{trn}Rl$ (ACG) has been found that most likely can read all four Arg codons (18). Although the total number of $\underline{trn}R$ genes in the spinach chloroplast genome is unknown, the similarity of the two genomes and the efficient transcription of this gene in the chloroplast extract would exclude the formal possibility that $\underline{trn}Rl$ is a pseudogene. Similarly, the codon recognized by $tRNA^{Ser}$ (UGA) is frequently used for the translation of chloroplast proteins. Thus, both $\underline{trn}Rl$ and $\underline{trn}Sl$ appear to be actively expressed genes in spinach chloroplast. This notion is supported by our results that both genes compete with $\underline{trn}M2$ for common transcription factors and/or RNA polymerase in the in vitro transcription reaction.

Based on the present evidence we therefore conclude that \underline{trnSl} and \underline{trnRl} do not require 5' promoter regions for their transcription in <u>vitro</u>. Although the promoter regions for these genes remains to be elucidated, it appears that a prokaryote-type promoter structure can not be generalized for chloroplast genes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Drs. Warwick Bottomley and Paul Whitfeld for the DNA sequence information of the trnRl gene, and Dr. Masahiro Sugiura

for providing the sequence of the tobacco chloroplast genome. This work was supported by a grant from the U.S. Department of Energy (85ER13375).

*To whom correspondence should be addressed

REFERENCES

- Gruissem, W., Greenberg, B.M., Zurawski, G., Prescott, D.M. and Hallick, R.B. (1983) Cell 35, 815-828.
- 2. Gruissem, W., Narita, J.O., Greenberg, B.M., Prescott, D.M. and Hallick, R.B. (1983) J. Cell. Biochem. 22, 31-46.
- 3. Link, G. (1984) EMBO J. 3, 1697-1704.
- 4. Orozco, E.M., Mullet, J.E. and Chua, N.H. (1985) Nucleic Acids Res. 13, 1283-1302.
- 5. Bohnert, H.F., Crouse, E.J. and Schmitt, J.M. (1982) In Parthier, B. and Boulter, D. (eds.), Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Vol. 14B, pp. 475-530.
- 6. Whitfeld, P.R. and Bottomley, W. (1983) Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. 34, 279-310.
- Gruissem, W. and Zurawski, G. (1985) EMBO J. 4, 1637-1644.
 Gruissem, W. and Zurawski, G. (1985) EMBO J. 4, 3375-3383.
- 9. Hohlschuh, K., Bottomley, W. and Whitfeld, P.R. (1984) Nuc-leic Acids Res. 12, 8819-8834.
- 10. Zagursky, R.J., Baumeister, K., Lomax, N. and Berman, M.L. (1985) Gene Anal. Techn. 2, 89-94.
- 11. Gruissem, W., Greenberg, B.M., Zurawski, G. and Hallick, R.B. (1986) Methods Enzymol. 118, 815-828.
- 12. Gruissem, W. and Zurawski, G. (1985) In van Vloten-Doting, L. Groot, G.S.P. and Hall, T.C. (eds.), Molecular Form and Function of the Plant Genome, Plenum Publishing Company, New York pp. 199-210.
- 13. Cozens, A.L., Walker, J.E., Phillips, A.L., Huttly, A.K. and Gray, J.C. (1986) EMBO J. 5, 217-222.
- 14. Greenberg, B.M., Gruissem, W. and Hallick, R.B. (1984) Plant Mol. Biol. 3, 97-109.
- 15. Greenberg, B.M. and Hallick, R.B. (1986) Plant Mol. Biol. 6, 89-100.
- 16. Ohme, M., Kamogashira, T., Shinozaki, K. and Sugiura, M. (1985) Nucleic Acids Res. 13, 1045-1056.
- 17. Greenberg, B.M., Narita, J.O., DeLuca-Flaherty, C., Gruissem, W., Rushlow, K.A. and Hallick, R.B. (1984) J. Biol. Chem. 259 14880-14887.
- 18. Shinozaki, K., Ohme, M., Tanaka, M., Wakasugi, T., Hayashida, N., Matsubayashi, T., Zaita, N., Chunwongse, J., Obokata, J., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., Ohto, C., Torazawa, K., Meng, B.Y., Sugita, M., Deno, H., Kamogashira, T., Yamada, K., Kusuda, J. Takaiwa, F., Kato, A., Tohdoh, N., Shimada, H. and Sugiura, M (1986) EMBO J., in press.
- 19. Kung, S.D. and Lin, C.M. (1985) Nucleic Acids Res. 13, 7543-7549.
- 20. Hanley-Bowdin, L., Orozco, E.M. and Chua, N.H. (1985) Mol. Cell. Biol. 5, 2733-2745.

- 21. Galli, G., Hofstetter, H. and Birnstiel, M.L. (1981) Nature 294, 626-631.
- 22. Gruissem, W., Prescott, D.M., Greenberg, B.M. and Hallick, R. B. (1982) Cell 39, 81-92.
- 23. Sharp, S., DeFranco, D., Dingermann, T., Farrell, P. and Soll D. (1981) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78, 6657-6661.