## Supplementary Materials for: Analytical description of extension, torque and supercoiling radius of a stretched twisted DNA

Sébastien Neukirch<sup>1,2</sup> <sup>1</sup>CNRS, UMR 7190, Institut Jean Le Rond d'Alembert, F-75005 Paris, France. <sup>2</sup>UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR 7190, Institut Jean Le Rond d'Alembert, F-75005 Paris, France.

> John F. Marko Department of Physics and Astronomy and Department of Molecular Biosciences, Northwestern University, Evanston IL 60208 (Dated: February 11, 2011)



SUPP. FIG. 1: Comparison of the effective charge  $\nu^*$  appearing in Eq. 2 as given by: (a) Stigter [1, 2] with a = 1 nm (thick, red); (b) Ubbink & Odijk [3]  $\nu^* = \xi/L_B$  with  $\xi$  given in their Table 7 (thin, black); (c) Maffeo *et al* [4]  $\nu^* = \chi\nu$  with  $\chi = 0.42$  and a = 1.2 nm (short dashed, green); (d) Manning counter-ion condensation theory  $\nu^* = 0.46$  (constant line). The DNA structural charge corresponds to  $\nu b = 1$ .



SUPP. FIG. 2: Comparison between the solutions of  $\nabla \mathcal{G} = \mathbf{0}$  (plain lines, red) and formulae (14), (16), and (17) (dashed lines, black), at 100mM with a = 1 nm, A = 50 nm, and C = 95 nm.

- [1] J. A. Schellman and D. Stigter, Biopolymers 16, 1415 (1977).
- [2] D. Stigter, J. Colloid Int. Sci. 53, 296 (1975).
- [3] J. Ubbink and T. Odijk, Biophys. J. **76**, 2502 (1999).

<sup>[4]</sup> C. Maffeo, R. Schöpflin, H. Brutzer, R. Stehr, A. Aksimentiev, G. Wedemann, and R. Seidel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 158101 (2010).