## APPENDIX

Here we generalized the results of Ghosh and Lin (2000) to obtain the decomposition of  $\sqrt{m} \{\hat{F}_1(t) - F_1(t)\}\$  for clustered competing risks data. We define the following random processes:

$$
dM_{1ik}(t) = dN_{1ik}(t) - Y_{ik}(t) dH_1(t), \text{ and } dM_{ik}(t) = dN_{ik}(t) - Y_{ik}(t) dH(t).
$$

Let  $M_{1ik}(t) = |dM_1|$ 0  $(t) = | dM_{ijk}(u)$ *t*  $M_{1ik}(t) = \int_0^t dM_{1ik}(u)$  and  $M_{ik}(t) = \int_0^t dM_{2ik}(u)$  $(t) = \int dM_{ik}(u)$ *t*  $M_{ik}(t) = \int dM_{ik}(u)$ . Since event times for individuals within the

same cluster are correlated, both  $M_{ijk}(t)$  and  $M_{ik}(t)$  are no longer martingales with respect to the overall joint filtration  $\mathcal{F}_t = \bigvee_{i=1}^n \bigvee_{k=1}^{n_i} \sigma\{Y_{ik}(s), N_{ik}(s): 0 \le s \le t\}$ . Therefore, the martingale central limit theory cannot be applied. Following the procedure of Ghosh and Lin (2000), we have the following equation

$$
\sqrt{m} \{\hat{F}_1(t) - F_1(t)\} = \sqrt{m} \left[ \int_0^t S(u) d \{\hat{H}_1(u) - H_1(u)\} - \int_0^t \{\hat{H}(u) - H(u)\} dF_1(u) \right] + o_p(1).
$$

Using results from empirical processes (Pollard, 1984; Spiekerman and Lin, 1998), both  $\{\hat{H}_1(u) - H_1(u)\}\$ and  $\{\hat{H}(u) - H(u)\}\$ can be decomposed into a sum of mean zero random

variables. Therefore, we have

$$
\sqrt{m} \{\hat{F}_1(t) - F_1(t)\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} Z_{ik}(t) + o_p(1) , \qquad (A.1)
$$

where

$$
Z_{ik}(t) = m^{-1/2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{S(u)}{y(u)} dM_{1ik}(u) + m^{-1/2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{F_1(u) - F_1(t)}{y(u)} dM_{ik}(u),
$$

and  $y(t) = P(t \leq X_{ik})$ . For any fixed time *t*, by the law of large numbers for a weakly dependent series (Feller, 1957),  $\hat{F}_1(t) - F_1(t)$  converges to zero in probability. Therefore,  $\hat{F}_1(t)$  is a consistent estimator for the cumulative incidence function  $F_1(t)$ .

Since the decomposed terms  $Z_{ik}(t)$  only depend on observation *k* in cluster *i*, they are correlated within the same cluster, but independent between different clusters. By using the methods for the clustered linear statistic (Williams, 2000), the between-cluster variance estimator

of the linear statistic 
$$
Z(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} Z_{ik}(t)
$$
 is given by  $\hat{V}(t) = \frac{n}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \hat{Z}_{i}(t) - \overline{Z}_{-}(t) \right\}^2$ ,

where  $\hat{Z}_i(t) = \sum \hat{Z}_i$ 1  $(t) = \sum Z_{ik}(t)$ *ni*  $i \cdot (l) = \sum_{i} L_{ik}$ *k*  $Z_i(t) = \sum Z_{ik}(t)$  $=\sum_{k=1}^{1}\hat{Z}_{ik}(t)$ , and  $\overline{Z}_{i}(t)=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}\hat{Z}_{i}$  $(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{Z}_{i}(t)$ *i i*  $\overline{Z}_{\cdot}(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{Z}_{i}(t)$ . Since,

$$
E\{\hat{V}(t)\} = \frac{n}{n-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} E \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} \hat{Z}_{ik}(t) \right\}^2 - nE \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} \hat{Z}_{ik}(t) \right\}^2 \right)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{n}{n-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k_1}^{n_i} \sum_{k_2}^{n_i} E \hat{Z}_{ik_1}(t) \hat{Z}_{ik_2}(t) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k_1}^{n_i} \sum_{k_2}^{n_i} E \hat{Z}_{ik_1}(t) \hat{Z}_{ik_2}(t) \right)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k_1}^{n_i} \sum_{k_2}^{n_i} E \hat{Z}_{ik_1}(t) \hat{Z}_{ik_2}(t)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{var} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} Z_{ik}(t) \right\},
$$

we conclude that  $\hat{V}(t)$  is a consistent estimator for the variance of  $Z(t)$ .

For the variance of the Gray test under the null, assuming that process  $\widehat{W}(t)$  converges

to  $W(t)$  in probability, and  $\frac{m_0}{\rightarrow} \rho_0$ *m*  $\frac{m_0}{m}$   $\rightarrow$   $\rho_0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we show that  $\hat{Q}_G$  can be rewritten as sum of

some mean zero random variables:

$$
\hat{Q}_G = \sqrt{\frac{m_1 m_0}{m}} \int \widehat{W}(t) d\left\{ \widehat{F}_1^{(1)}(t) - \widehat{F}_1^{(0)}(t) \right\} \n= \sqrt{\frac{m_1 m_0}{m}} \left[ \int W(t) d\left\{ \widehat{F}_1^{(1)}(t) - F_1^{(1)}(t) \right\} - \int W(t) d\left\{ \widehat{F}_1^{(0)}(t) - F_1^{(0)}(t) \right\} \right] + o_p(1) \quad \text{(A.2)}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} g_{ik} \int W(t) dZ_{ik}(t) + o_p(1).
$$

By applying the robust variance estimator method of Williams (2000) to equation (A.2), one can consistently estimate the variance for test statistics  $\hat{Q}_G$  by

$$
\widehat{V}_G=\frac{1}{m}\times\frac{n}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^n\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n_i}g_{ik}\int\widehat{W}(t)d\widehat{Z}_{ik}(t)-\int\widehat{W}(t)d\widehat{Z}_{-}^*(t)\right\}^2,
$$

When individuals in groups 0 and 1 are from different clusters, above formula reduce to

$$
\widehat{V}_{G} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{g=0}^{1} \frac{m_{1-g} n^{(g)}}{m_{g} (n^{(g)} - 1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n^{(g)}} \left[ \int \widehat{W}(t) \left\{ d \widehat{Z}_{i}^{(g)}(t) - d \widehat{Z}_{-}^{(g)}(t) \right\} \right]^{2}.
$$

Similarly, the robust variance for test statistics  $\hat{Q}_{PM}$  can be consistently estimated by

$$
\widehat{V}_{PM}=\frac{1}{m}\times\frac{n}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^n\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n_i}g_{ik}\int\widehat{W}(t)\widehat{Z}_{ik}(t)dt-\int\widehat{W}(t)\widehat{Z}_{-}^*(t)dt\right\}^2,
$$

and

$$
\widehat{V}_{PM} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{g=0}^{1} \frac{m_{1-g} n^{(g)}}{m_g (n^{(g)} - 1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n^{(g)}} \left[ \int \widehat{K}(t) \left\{ \widehat{Z}_{i}^{(g)}(t) - \widehat{Z}_{-}^{(g)}(t) \right\} dt \right]^2,
$$

when individuals in groups 0 and 1 are from different clusters.