

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Table S1: Strain×diet interactions for the number of c-Fos (Table 1) and Zif268 (Table 2) positive cells after (15×CS) extinction retrieval in unconditioned mice fed control (Ctl) or Zn-restricted (ZnR) diets 3 weeks prior to conditioning. n=4/group.

Region (distance from Bregma)	c-Fos	Zif268
	Strain×diet interaction	Strain×diet interaction
<i>Cortical regions</i>		
Primary motor (M1, 1.78 mm)	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.09, p=0.77$	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.12, p=0.74$
Secondary motor (M2, 1.78 mm)	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.05, p=0.83$	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.25, p=0.62$
Cingulate area 1 (Cg1, 1.78 mm)	$F_{(1, 12)}<0.01, p=1.00$	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.16, p=0.70$
Cingulate area 1 (Cg1, 1.54 mm)	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.05, p=0.82$	$F_{(1, 12)}=2.53, p=0.14$
Cingulate area 2 (Cg2 ,1.10 mm)	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.04, p=0.85$	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.08, p=0.78$
Prelimbic (PrL, 1.98 mm)	$F_{(1, 12)}=1.15, p=0.30$	$F_{(1, 12)}=1.13, p=0.31$
Prelimbic (PrL, 1.78 mm)	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.08, p=0.78$	$F_{(1, 12)}=1.67, p=0.22$
Prelimbic (PrL, 1.54 mm)	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.03, p=0.87$	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.08, p=0.77$
Infralimbic (IL, 1.98 mm)	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.41, p=0.53$	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.69, p=0.42$
Infralimbic (IL, 1.78 mm)	$F_{(1, 12)}=3.16, p=0.11$	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.10, p=0.75$
Infralimbic (IL, 1.54 mm)	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.25, p=0.62$	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.10, p=0.76$
Insular cortex (AI, 1.98 mm)	No detectable expression	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.32, p=0.58$
Insular cortex, dorsal (AID, 1.78 mm)	No detectable expression	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.02, p=0.90$
Insular cortex, dorsal (AID, 1.54 mm)	No detectable expression	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.18, p=0.68$
Insular cortex, ventral (AIV, 1.78 mm)	No detectable expression	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.14, p=0.71$

Insular cortex, ventral (AlIV, 1.54 mm)	No detectable expression	$F_{(1, 12)}=1.00, p=0.34$
Perirhinal cortex (PRh, -1.58mm)	No detectable expression	$F_{(1, 12)}=1.18, p=0.30$
Ectorhinal cortex (Ect, -1.58mm)	No detectable expression	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.03, p=0.88$
 <i>Amygdala nuclei</i> (all -1.58 mm)		
Lateral, dorsal (LAd)	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.01, p=0.93$	$F_{(1, 12)}<0.01, p=0.96$
Lateral, ventral (LAv)	No detectable expression	$F_{(1, 12)}=1.40, p=0.26$
Basolateral, anterior (BA)	$F_{(1, 12)}=1.05, p=0.32$	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.78, p=0.39$
Central, medial (CeM)	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.02, p=0.90$	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.32, p=0.58$
Central, lateral (CeL)	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.02, p=0.87$	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.22, p=0.64$
Central, capsular (CeC)	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.11, p=0.75$	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.02, p=0.90$
Medial paracapsular ITC (Imp)	No detectable expression	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.78, p=0.40$
Lateral paracapsular ITC (Ilp)	No detectable expression	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.02, p=0.90$
ITC nucleus (In)	No detectable expression	$F_{(1, 12)}<0.01, p=0.96$
Medial, posterodorsal (MePD)	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.06, p=0.82$	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.26, p=0.62$
Medial, posteroventral (MePV)	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.96, p=0.35$	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.53, p=0.48$
Anterior cortical (ACo)	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.10, p=0.76$	$F_{(1, 12)}<0.01, p=0.99$
Posterolateral cortical (PLCo)	$F_{(1, 12)}=1.46, p=0.25$	$F_{(1, 12)}=0.11, p=0.75$

Figure S1: Effect of time between fear conditioning and fear extinction training on potential savings in extinction learning. Extinction training performed 14 days, as opposed to 1 day, after fear conditioning resulted in savings in extinction learning in ZnR S1, control-fed B6 (B6 Ctl) and ZnR B6, but not in control-fed S1 (S1 Ctl). Data are presented as Means \pm SEM. * p <0.05 S1 Ctl vs. B6 Ctl; # p <0.05 S1 Ctl vs. S1 ZnR; † p <0.05 B6 ZnR vs. S1 Ctl; § p <0.05 B6 Ctl vs B6 ZnR.

