Nuclear Importation of *Mariner* Transposases among Eukaryotes: Motif Requirements, and Homo-Protein Interactions

Marie-Véronique Demattei, Sabah Hedhili, Ludivine Sinzelle, Christophe Bressac, Sophie Casteret, Nathalie Moiré, Jeanne Cambefort, Xavier Thomas, Nicolas Pollet, Pascal Gantet and Yves Bigot

Supporting Information 5 : Sequence and expression properties of the optimized versions of the gene encoding MOS1

In silico design of optimized MOS1 ORF

Previous studies have demonstrated that the expression capacities of the eukaryotic genes can be restricted by several non-mutually exclusive factors^{1,2}. The first such factor is the ability of the mRNA to be accurately translated by the cellular machinery. Indeed, we observed that most of the MLE transposase ORFs available in databanks and in the literature had no Kozak box overlapping their start codon, thus potentially reducing the ability of the ribosomes to initiate the efficient translation of the transcript into protein. We also observed that most MLE transposase ORFs contained high levels of rare codons. For example, we found 39 rare codons in the ORF encoding MOS1 regardless of whether this protein was expressed in human or in Drosophila cells, the host from which it was cloned (Fig. 1, lane 1). These observations therefore supported the hypothesis that the translation of MLE transposase mRNA could be limited in many eukaryotic cells by codon usage, as previously demonstrated for other proteins³. These facts were used to define a first version of the MOS1 ORF, MOS1V1, which included a Kozak box and an optimal codon usage for protein expression in human cells (Fig. 1, lane 2).

The second kind of factor that might reduce MOS1 expression involved an intrastrand property of its ORF. We have previously shown^{4,5} that two elements belonging to the *mauritiana* sub-family, *Mos1* and *Botmar1*, both have a nucleic acid sequence that is able to form similar intrastrand DNA structures, despite their sequence divergence (25%). The increase in the sequence data available in databanks has allowed us to confirm with four other MLEs belonging to the *mauritiana* subfamily (*Momar1* (Acc No.: U15665), *Mdmar1* (Acc N°: U24436), *Ramar1* (Acc N°: DQ784570.1) and MEBOTRA1.4-9 (Acc No.: AJ781770.1)) that this property was conserved, whatever the nucleic acid sequence divergence (25-45%; data not shown). If such intrastrand

structures can be stably annealed within the genomic DNA, then they could restrict transcription into mRNA. If they occur in mRNA, they could inhibit their translation into protein by two non-mutually exclusive pathways. First, they could directly block ribosome function. Second, they could elicit the RNA-silencing, since the large intrastrand stem-loop structures might be recognized by *Dicer*-like proteins as potential substrates for making small interfering RNA molecules 20-30 nucleotides in length. Such an RNA self-silencing mechanism would be then able to erase the MLE transcripts.

To circumvent these potential problems of intrastrand annealing, we investigated the significance of the structures obtained in the wild-type *Mos1* ORF (MOS1) and the MOS1V1 using a previously devised statistical method⁵. The resulting graphs showed that all intrastrand folds obtained with MOS1 and most of those obtained with MOS1V1 were statistically consistent (Figure 2 a,b). Since a high proportion of the stem-loop structures was conserved between the folds obtained for both nucleic acid sequences, we removed them manually from the stem regions of the MOS1V1 using the degeneracy of the codon usage to design a second version of the MOS1 ORF, MOS1V2 (Fig. 1, lane 3). In this second version, we obviously avoided using rare codons to eliminate secondary structure. The statistical significance of the intrastrand folds obtained with MOS1V2 was verified. Graphs of the results (Figure 2c) showed that they were not significant, since they were all located in the middle of the space defined by the density ellipses. The nucleic acid features of the MOS1, MOS1V1 and MOSV2 ORFs are summarized in Table 1.

	ORF	GC	Number of	Percentage of sequence similarity						
ORF	length	content	CpG dinucleotides	MOS1	MOS1V1	MOS V2				
MOS1	1038	46.52	64	100	74.1	74.8				
MOS1V1	1038	66.18	140	74.1	100	93.5				
MOS1V2	1038	62.03	111	74.8	93.5	100				

Table 1 – DNA sequence properties of the three versions of the MOS1 ORF

The third kind of factor that could affect MLE transposase expression concerned the stability of the mRNA transcripts. It was recently demonstrated that adding specific 5' and 3' untranslated terminal regions (UTRs) has the effect of markedly increasing the expression of the *Sleeping Beauty* and *Himar1* transposases^{6.7}. In an attempt to find out whether the presence of such UTRs interfered with the expression of MOS1 in HeLa cells, we constructed three expression plasmids in which MOS1 [+], MOSV1 and MOS1V2 were flanked by the β -globin 5' and 3' UTRs.

Expression of the different MOS1 ORFs in HeLa cells

In an attempt to track the expression in HeLa cells, the three MOS1 versions were fused at their C-terminal end with GFP, and then cloned in the expression vector pCS2+, as described in the core manuscript. The resulting three expression plasmids were designated MOS1-GFP, MOS1V1-GFP, and MOS1V2-GFP respectively. Three complementary constructs were made to investigate the impact of the UTR, and designated U5-MOS1-GFP-U3, U5-MOS1V1-GFP-U3 and U5-MOS1V2-GFP-U3 respectively. Finally, two expression plasmids, designated pCS2 and pCS2-GFP, were used as negative and positive expression controls respectively. The expression pattern of the various GFP fusions was investigated by western blot analyses of crude protein extracts of plasmid-transfected cell hybridized with anti-GFP antibodies. Our results indicated that the amounts of GFP fusion produced in cells transfected with the MOS1V2-GFP and U5-MOS1V2-GFP-U3 plasmids were 12 to 15-fold higher than those produced with the MOS1-GFP plasmid (Fig. 3).

Materials and Methods

Sequence analyses and statistical treatments

Analyses of the intrastrand DNA structure in the cDNA sequence used the Mfold program⁸ from the web site <u>http://bioweb.pasteur.fr/seqanal/tmp/mfold/</u>. The statistical analyses of the significance of the folds obtained for each MLE sequence were carried out by creating files of 1000 shuffled sequences with the shuffleseq program at the website <u>http://emboss.bioinformatics.nl/</u>. To calculate the folds of each shuffled sequence, the stand-alone version 3.2 of Mfold (Red Hat binaries) was downloaded. In order to match the physiological conditions of HeLa cells, the folds were computed at 37°C using default parameters. We observed that the main sequence segments found to be involved in intrastrand annealings of the MOS1 ORF at 28°C⁵ were the same whether the calculations were done at 30° or at 37°C. Computing was done on a Mac OS X version 10.4.8 equipped with 2 Go RAM and 2 Dual-Core Intel Xeon 2.66 GHz. A bash script was used to analyze all sets of 1000 sequences. The number of folds and their Δ G for each sequence were recovered, and their graphic statistical analysis was computed by calculating their nonparametric bivariate density using the JMP version 3.6 package available at http://www.jmp.com/.

The codon usage of the various putative hosts of the MLE was investigated using data available at <u>http://www.kazusa.or.jp/codon/</u>. It was optimized using facilities of the Jcat software at <u>http://www.prodoric.de/JCat/</u>.

DNA sources

The ORF encoding the original natural *Mos1* transposase (MOS1; Acc N°**X78906**) was recovered as described elsewhere⁹. The two versions of the MOS1 ORF (MOS1V1 and MOS1V2)

with the optimized nucleic acid sequences, MOS1V1 and MOS1V2, were synthesized by ATG:Biosynthetics (Germany).

Plasmid constructs

The green fluorescent protein (GFP) cassette fused at the C-terminal ends of the three transposase fragments originated from the pCAMBIA-1302 (Acc No.: AF134298) as described in the Material and Methods section of the main manuscript. It was cloned as a *Eco*RI-*Xba*I fragment at the *Stu*I and *Xba*I sites of the multicloning site of the expression vector pCS2+ (Invitrogen; pCS2-GFP). Cloning for the fusion of the various MOS1 ORFs with GFP was done at the *Spe*I site (pCS2-MOS1-GFP, pCS2-MOS1V1-GFP, pCS2-MOS1V2-GFP). The ORFs encoding the GFP fusions with the three MOS1 versions were also cloned in a pCS2+ vector, in which they were flanked by the 5' and 3' UTRs of the *Xenopus laevis* β -globin mRNA.

Transformation of mammalian cells

HeLa cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. About 8 x 10^4 cells were seeded onto a 24-well plates, one day prior to transfection. The cells were transfected with TransPEI, according to the Manufacturer's instructions (Eurogentec). Briefly, plasmid DNA (0.5 µg) and TransPEI (1 µl) were separately diluted into 50 µl of 150 mM NaCl solution and then gently mixed together. After incubating for 30 min, the mixture was diluted in OPTIMEM medium to a final volume of 1 ml. The cells were then incubated with 0.1 ml complexes for 2 to 4 h. The transfection solution was then removed and replaced with fresh supplemented DMEM and the cells were incubated for 24 hours at 37°C. Cells were observed with an epifluorescence microscope (Olympus BX51). The GFP fluorescence was imaged with a blue excitation filter set (460-490 nm excitation filter, 515 nm cut-off filter).

Immunoblotting

Cells recovered from the cultures were washed three times with 1X PBS. Total protein extracts were separated by electrophoresis, adding 40 μ g of each sample to a discontinuous sodium dodecyl sulfate 8% polyacrylamide mini-gel, and then electro-blotted onto nitrocellulose filters (Bio-Rad Laboratories). After blocking with 5% skim milk in phosphate-buffered saline for 1 h, the filters were incubated overnight with rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP (1: 2000; invitrogen). The filters were then incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) before being developed using enhanced chemiluminescence (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Sunnyvale, CA). Band intensities on the blot were measured using Image Gauge V3.45 software.

	+	+ ~ ~ ~			M	S	S	F	V	P	N	K	E	Q	Т	R	Т	V	L	I	<i>16</i>
[+] V1 V2	tcag tcag tcag	itgea itgea	agtc agtc agtc	aac i aac i	ATG ATG	AGC	AGT AGC ICC	TTC TTC TTC	GTG GTG GTG	ccc ccc	AAT AAC AAC	AAA AAG AAG	GAG GAG GAG	CAG CAG	ACG ACC ACC	CGG CGC CGC	ACA ACC ACC	GTA GTG GTG	CTG CTG	ATC ATC	222
[+] V1 V2	F <u>TTC</u> TTC TTT	C TGT TGC TGT	F TTT TTC TTT	H C <u>AT</u> CAC CAC	L TTG CTG CTG	K AAG AAG AAG	K AAA AAG AAG	T ACA ACC ACC	A GCT GCC GCC	A GCG GCC GCC	E GAA GAG GAG	S TCG AGC TCC	H CAC CAC CAC	R CGA CGC CGC	M ATG ATG ATG	L CTT CTG CTG	V GTT GTG GTG	E GAA GAG GAG	A GCC GCC GCC	F TTT TTC TTC	<i>36</i> 282
[+] V1 V2	G GGC GGC GGA	E GAA GAG GAG	Q CAA CAG CAG	V GTA GTG GTG	P CCA CCC CCT	T ACT ACC ACC	V GTG GTG GTG	K AAA AAG AAG	T ACG ACC ACA	C TGT TGC TGT	E GAA GAG GAA	R CGG CGC CGG	W TGG TGG TGG	F TTT TTC TTC	Q CAA CAG CAG	R CGC CGC CGC	F TTC TTC TTC	K AAA AAG AAG	S AGT AGC AGC	G GGT GGC GGA	56 342
[+] V1 V2	D G <u>AT</u> GAC GAC	F <u>TTT</u> TTC TTT	D GAC GAC GAC	V GTC GTG GTG	D GAC GAC GAC	D GAC GAC GAC	K AAA AAG AAG	E GAG GAG GAG	H CAC CAC CAT	G GGA GGC GGC	K AAA AAG AAG	P CCG CCC CCC	P CCA CCC CCC	K AAA AAG AAG	R AGG CGC CGT	Y TAC TAC TAC	E GAA GAG GAG	D GAC GAC GAC	A GCC GCC GCC	E GAA GAG GAG	76 402
[+] V1 V2	L CTG CTG CTG	Q CAA CAG CAG	A GCA GCC GCT	L TTA CTG CTG	L TTG CTG CTG	D GAT GAC GAC	E GAA GAG GAG	D GAC GAC GAC	D GAT GAC GAC	A GCT GCC GCT	Q CAA CAG CAG	T ACG ACC ACC	Q CAA CAG CAG	K AAA AAG AAG	Q CAA CAG CAG	L CTC CTG CTG	A GCA GCC GCA	E GAG GAG GAA	Q CAG CAG CAG	L TTG CTG CTG	<i>96</i> 462
[+] V1 V2	E GAA GAG GAG	V GTA GTG GTG	S AGT AGC AGC	Q CAA CAG CAG	Q CAA CAG CAG	A GCA GCC GCC	V GTT GTG GTG	S TCC AGC AGC	N AAT AAC AAC	R CGC CGC CGC	L TTG CTG CTG	R CGA CGC CGC	E GAG GAG GAG	M ATG ATG ATG	G GGA GGC GGC	K AAG AAG AAG	I <u>ATT</u> ATC ATC	Q CAG CAG CAG	K AAG AAG AAG	V GTC GTG GTG	<i>116</i> 522
[+] V1 V2	G GGT GGC GGC	R AGA CGC CGC	W TGG TGG TGG	V GTG GTG GTG	P CCA CCC CCC	H CAT CAC CAC	E GAG GAG GAG	L TTG CTG CTG	N AAC AAC AAC	E GAG GAG GAG	R AGG CGC CGC	Q CAG CAG CAG	M ATG ATG ATG	E GAG GAG GAG	R AGG CGC CGC	R CGC CGC CGC	K AAA AAG AAG	N AAC AAC AAC	T ACA ACC ACC	C TGC TGC TGC	<i>136</i> 582
[+] V1 V2	E GAA GAG GAG	I <u>ATT</u> ATC ATC	L TTG CTG CTG	L CTT CTG CTG	S TCA AGC AGC	R CGA CGC CGC	Y TAC TAC TAC	K AAA AAG AAG	R AGG CGC CGC	K AAG AAG AAG	S TCG AGC AGC	F TTT TTC TTC	L TTG CTG CTG	H CAT CAC CAC	R CGT CGC CGC	I ATC ATC ATC	V GTT GTG GTG	T ACT ACC ACC	G GGA GGC GGC	D GAT GAC GAC	<i>156</i> 642
[+] V1 V2	E GAA GAG GAG	K AAA AAG AAG	W TGG TGG TGG	I ATC ATC ATT	F TTT TTC TTT	F TTT TTC TTT	V GTT GTG GTG	N AAT AAC AAC	P CCT CCC CCC	K AAA AAG AAG	R CGT CGC CGC	K AAA AAG AAG	K AAG AAG AAG	S TCA AGC TCC	Y TAC TAC TAC	V GTT GTG GTG	D GAT GAC GAC	P CCT CCC CCA	G GGA GGC GGA	Q CAA CAG CAG	<i>176</i> 702
[+] V1 V2	P CCG CCC CCT	A GCC GCC GCT	T ACA ACC ACA	S TCG AGC TCC	T ACT ACC ACA	A GCT GCC GCT	R CGA CGC CGC	P CCG CCC CCT	N AAT AAC AAC	R CGC CGC CGC	F TTT TTC TTT	G GGC GGC GGA	K AAG AAG AAG	K AAG AAG AAG	T ACG ACC ACC	M ATG ATG ATG	L CTC CTG CTG	C TGT TGC TGC	V GTT GTG GTG	W TGG TGG TGG	<i>196</i> 762
[+] V1 V2	W TGG TGG TGG	D GAT GAC GAC	Q CAG CAG CAG	S AGC AGC TCC	G GGT GGC GGA	V GTC GTG GTG	I ATT ATC ATC	Y TAC TAC TAC	Y TAT TAC TAC	E GAG GAG GAG	L CTC CTG TTG	L TTG CTG TTG	K AAA AAG AAG	P CCC CCC CCC	G GGC GGC GGA	E GAA GAG GAG	T ACG ACC ACC	V GTG GTG GTG	N AAT AAC AAC	T ACG ACC ACC	<i>216</i> 822
[+] V1 V2	A GCA GCC GCC	R CGC CGC CGC	Y TAC TAC TAC	Q CAA CAG CAG	Q CAA CAG CAG	Q CA <u>A</u> CAG CAG	L TTG CTG CTG	I <u>A</u> TC ATC ATT	N A <u>AT</u> AAC AAC	L TTG CTG CTG	N AAC AAC AAC	R CGT CGC CGC	A GCG GCC GCC	L CTT CTG CTG	Q CAG CAG CAG	R AGA CGC CGG	K AAA AAG AAG	R CGA CGC CGC	P CCG CCC CCC	E GAA GAG GAG	<i>236</i> 882
[+] V1 V2	Y TAT TAC TAC	Q CAA CAG CAG	K AAA AAG AAG	R AGA CGC CGC	Q CAA CAG CAG	H CAC CAC CAT	R AGG CGC CGC	V GTC GTG GTG	I <u>ATT</u> ATC ATC	F TTT TTC TTC	L CTC CTG CTG	H CAT CAC CAC	D GAC GAC GAC	N AAC AAC AAT	A GCT GCC GCC	P CCA CCC CCT	S TCA AGC AGC	H CAT CAC CAC	T ACG ACC ACC	A GCA GCC GCC	<i>256</i> 942
[+] V1 V2	R AGA CGC CGC	A GCG GCC GCC	V GTT GTG GTG	R CGC CGC CGG	D GAC GAC GAC	T ACG ACC ACC	L TTG CTG CTG	E GAA GAG GAG	T ACA ACC ACC	L CTC CTG CTG	N AAT AAC AAC	W TGG TGG TGG	E GAA GAG GAG	V GTG GTG GTG	L CTT CTG CTG	P CCG CCC CCC	H CAT CAC CAC	A GCG GCC GCC	A GCT GCC GCC	Y TAC TAC TAC	<i>276</i> 1002
[+] V1 V2	S TCA AGC AGC	P CCA CCC CCC	D GAC GAC GAC	L CTG CTG CTG	A GCC GCC GCC	P CCA CCC CCC	S TCC AGC AGC	D GAT GAC GAC	Y TAC TAC TAC	H CAC CAC CAC	L CTA CTG CTG	F TTC TTC TTC	A GCT GCC GCC	S TCG AGC TCC	M ATG ATG ATG	G GGA GGC GGA	H CAC CAC CAC	A GCA GCC GCC	L CTC CTG CTG	A GCT GCC GCC	<i>296</i> 1062
[+] V1 V2	E GAG GAG GAG	Q CAG CAG CAG	R CGC CGC CGC	F TTC TTC TTT	D GAT GAC GAC	S TCT AGC TCC	Y TAC TAC TAC	E GAA GAG GAG	S AGT AGC TCC	V GTG GTG GTG	K AAA AAG AAG	K AAA AAG AAG	W TGG TGG TGG	L CTC CTG CTG	D GAT GAC GAC	E GAA GAG GAG	W TGG TGG TGG	F TTC TTC TTT	A GCC GCC GCC	A GCA GCC GCT	<i>316</i> 1122
[+] V1 V2	K AAA AAG AAG	D GAC GAC GAC	D GAT GAC GAC	E GAG GAG GAG	F TTC TTC TTC	Y TAC TAC TAC	W TGG TGG TGG	R CGT CGC CGC	G GGA GGC GGA	I ATC ATC ATC	H CAC CAC CAC	K AAA AAG AAG	L TTG CTG CTG	P CCC CCC CCC	E GAG GAG GAG	R AGA CGC CGG	W TGG TGG TGG	E GAA GAG GAG	K AAA AAG AAA	C TGT TGC TGC	<i>336</i> 1182
[+] V1 V2	V GTA GTG GTG	A GCT GCC GCC	S AGC AGC TCC	D GAC GAC GAC	G GGC GGC GGC	K AAA AAG AAG	Y TAC TAC TAC	F TTT TTC TTC	E GAA GAG GAG	ta ta	iaatg iaatg iaatg	attt attt attt	tttc. tttc. tttc	tttt tttt tttt	tcca tcca	icaaa icaaa icaaa	attt attt attt	.aacg .aacg .aacg	tgtt tgtt tgtt	tttt tttt tttt	<i>345</i> 1251

Figure 1. Nucleic acid sequence alignment of the natural MOS1 ORF (lane [+]), the first version of MOS1 ORF, MOS1V1, in which is included a Kozak box and optimal codons for an expression in human cells, (lane V1), and the second version of MOS1 ORF, MOS1V2, that corresponds to a version of MOS1V1 in which putative secondary structures have been removed (lane V2). The amino acid sequence of MOS1 is shown above sequence alignment. Codons that are rare in the human codon usage are highlighted in gray in the MOS1 ORF. The Kozak box at the 5' end of V1, and the stop codons at the 3' ends are boxed. Sequence regions in lower-case letters at both sequence ends correspond to Mos1 UTR regions. Putative ARE and ARE-like motifs are underlined. Numbers in italics in the right margin indicate the amino acid positions. Those in roman numerals correspond to the nucleic acid positions in the original Mos1 transposon sequence (Acc N°: **X78906**).



Figure 2. Graphic analysis of the statistical significance of the secondary structures calculated using the nucleic acid sequences shown in Figure 1 for the natural MOS1 ORF (a), and for MOS1V1 (b), and MOS1V2 (c). For each sequence, the number of folds (horizontal axis) was used to posit the entropy value (vertical axis) of each of its folds. Files containing 1000 scrambled DNA sequences similar to the nucleotide composition of each investigated sequence were first used to calculate the folds, using Mfold, and then the results were used to calculate the nonparametric bivariate density ellipse. 21949, 37637 and 36517 spots were obtained to calculate the ellipses in a, b and c respectively. These ellipses depicted the lack of significance of the secondary structures obtained with non-structured sequences in concentric distribution spaces ranging from 100% (red) to 0% (blue), in steps of 5%. Each of these spaces was delineated by thin or thick colored lines. Since these spaces were calculated from non-structured sequences, they also described probability spaces that can be used to locate structured or non-structured folds. The space describing an absence of significance is delineated in each graphic with a threshold of 90% by the third ellipse in blue from the outside. The folds obtained with each MLE sequence are indicated by small black squares.



Figure 3. Western blot analysis of GFP fusion expressions in HeLa cells. GFP fusions were revealed by first hybridizing a rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP (1 : 2000) in (a). Then the filters were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG, followed by development using enhanced chemiluminescence. Values below the pictures indicate the increase in expression of each GFP fusion compared to MOS1-GFP. (b) shows an expression control with the housekeeping protein encoded by the MEN1 gene¹⁰ that was revealed by hybridizing a rabbit polyclonal anti-MEN1, and then incubating with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG, followed by development using enhanced chemiluminescence.

References

- Cherepanov, P., Pluymers, W., Claeys, A., Proost, P., De Clercq, E. & Debyser Z. (2000) Highlevel expression of active HIV-1 integrase from a synthetic gene in human cells. *FASEB J.* 14, 1389-99.
- 2. Guhaniyogi, J. & Brewer, G. (2001) Regulation of mRNA stability in mammalian cells. *Gene*, 265, 11-23.
- 3. Yant, S.R., Huang, Y., Akache, B. & Kay, M.A. (2007) Site-directed transposon integration in human cells. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 35:e50.
- Rouleux-Bonnin, F., Petit, A., Demattei, M.V., and Bigot, Y. (2005) Evolution of full-length and deleted forms of the *mariner*-like element, *Botmar1*, in the Genome of the bumble bee, *Bombus terrestris* (Hymenoptera: Apidae). J. Mol. Evol. 60, 736-747.
- Petit, A., Rouleux-Bonnin, F., Lambelé, M., Pollet, N. & Bigot, Y. (2007) Properties of the various *Botmar1* transcripts in imagoes of the bumble bee, *Bombus terrestris* (Hymenoptera: Apidae). *Gene*, **390**, 52-66.
- Keravala, A., Liu, D., Lechman, E.R., Wolfe, D., Nash, J.A., Lampe, D.J. & Robbins PD. (2006) Hyperactive *Himar1* transposase mediates transposition in cell culture and enhances gene expression *in vivo*. *Hum. Gene Ther.* **17**, 1006-1018.
- Wilber, A., Frandsen, J.L., Geurts, J.L., Largaespada, D.A., Hackett, P.B., & McIvar, R.S. (2006) RNA as a source of transposase for Sleeping Beauty-mediated gene insertion and expression in somatic cells and tissues. *Mol. Ther.* 13, 625-630
- Zuker, M. (2003) Mfold web server for nucleic acid folding and hybridization prediction. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 31, 3406-3415.
- 9. Augé-Gouillou, C., Hamelin, M.H., Demattei MV, Periquet G, & Bigot, Y. (2001) The ITR binding domain of the Mariner Mos-1 transposase. *Mol. Genet. Gen.* **265**, 58-65.
- Wautot, V., Khodaei, S., Frappart, L., Buisson, N., Baro, E., Lenoir, G.M., Calender, A., Zhang, C.X. & Weber, G. (2006) Expression analysis of endogenous menin, the product of the multiple endocrine neoplasia type 1 gene, in cell lines and human tissues. *Int. J. Cancer*, **85**, 877-881.