Supporting Information

Construction and test of ligand decoy sets using MDock: CSAR

benchmarks for binding mode prediction

Sheng-You Huang, and Xiaoqin Zou*

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Department of Biochemistry,

Dalton Cardiovascular Research Center, and Informatics Institute

University of Missouri

Columbia, MO 65211

^{*}Corresponding author. zoux@missouri.edu (email), 573-882-6045 (tel.), 573-884-4232 (fax).



Figure S1: The statistics of the ligand binding decoys for the CSAR-NRC HiQ benchmark: The number distribution of the near-native binding modes (RMSD<2.0 Å) in the (a) rigid-ligand and (b) flexible-ligand decoys of a complex; The maximum RMSD distribution in the (c) rigid-ligand and (d) flexible-ligand decoys of a complex. Notice that the horizontal axes in Panel (a) and Panel (b) are in logarithmic scales.



Figure S2: The success rates of ITScore, DOCK/FF, and DOCK/VDW on identifying native binding modes with the ligand decoys constructed for the CSAR-NRC HiQ benchmark using the criterion of RMSD < 2.0 Å from the native structure if the top-scored pose was considered.



Figure S3: The RMSD values of the top binding modes predicted by ITScore (black, filled circle), DOCK/FF (red, filled diamond), and DOCK/VDW (blue, open circle) with set 1 of the CSAR-NRC HiQ benchmark: (a) rigid ligand decoys; (b) flexible ligand decoys. Notice that the CSAR-NRC HiQ ID numbers of the complexes are not sequential, and therefore the x axes extend up to 250, which is more than the total number of complexes.



Figure S4: The RMSD values of the top binding modes predicted by ITScore (black, filled circle), DOCK/FF (red, filled diamond), and DOCK/VDW (blue, open circle) with set 2 of the CSAR-NRC HiQ benchmark: (a) rigid ligand decoys; (b) flexible ligand decoys. Notice that the CSAR-NRC HiQ ID numbers of the complexes are not sequential, and therefore the x axes extend up to 300, which is more than the total number of complexes.