



Association between Cancer and Contact Allergy- a linkage Study

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2011-000084
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	01-Feb-2011
Complete List of Authors:	Engkilde, Kaare; Copenhagen University Hospital Gentofte, National Allergy Research Centre. Department of Dermato-Allergology Thyssen, Jacob; Gentofte Hospital, University of Copenhagen, Department of Dermatology Menné, Torkil; Copenhagen University Hospital Gentofte, National Allergy Research Centre. Department of Dermato-Allergology Johansen, Jeanne; Copenhagen University Hospital Gentofte, National Allergy Research Centre. Department of Dermato-Allergology
Subject Heading:	Dermatology
Keywords:	EPIDEMIOLOGY, Dermatological tumours < ONCOLOGY, Breast tumours < ONCOLOGY, Urological tumours < ONCOLOGY

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Title: Association between Cancer and Contact Allergy– a linkage Study

Running head: Association between Cancer and Contact Allergy

Word count: 2608words - Table count: 2 tables

Authors:

Kaare Engkilde, Jacob P. Thyssen, Torkil Menné, Jeanne D. Johansen

Affiliation:

National Allergy Research Centre, Department of Dermato-Allergology, Copenhagen University Hospital Gentofte, University of Copenhagen
Niels Andersens Vej 65
DK-2900 Hellerup
Denmark

Corresponding author:

Kaare Engkilde
National Allergy Research Centre
Ledreborg Allé 40, 1.floor
DK-2820 Gentofte
Denmark
Tel: +45 39 77 73 00
Fax: +45 39 77 71 18
Email: kaaeng02@geh.regionh.dk

Article focus

- Cancer and allergy have previously been shown to be associated. Mostly the associations found are inverse, which have found support in the immune surveillance theory, as an enhanced tumour immunosurveillance could be hypothesized in allergic individuals.
- These epidemiological studies have predominantly been done on type I allergy, while the present study finds comparative association between type IV allergy and cancer.

Key messages

- The present study adds knowledge about associations between contact allergy and cancer, which have not previously been investigated.
- Of interest, we find an association between bladder cancer and contact allergy. Though we don't have enough power to study specific allergen association, this is interesting as an association between bladder cancer and hair dye use have previously been found.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- The present study is unique in looking at cancer and its possible association to a type IV allergy, and can only be done due to large validated patient registers
- As this is not a prospective cohort study, it lacks the possibility to prove causation

Possible manuscript reviewers:

Wolfgang Uter, Department of Medical Informatics, Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nürnberg. Email: wolfgang.uter@rzmail.uni-erlangen.de

Howard Maibach, Department of Dermatology, University of California, San Francisco, USA.
Email: MaibachH@derm.ucsf.edu

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 **Funding statement:**

2 This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-
3 for-profit sectors, though we are grateful for general financial support from Aage Bang's
4 Foundation and The Capital Region's Research Foundation.

5 **Competing interest's statement**

6 The authors declare they have no competing interests

7 **Contributor statements**

8 KE, TM and JDU designed the study. KE and JPT analysed and interpreted the data. KE and JPT
9 drafted the manuscript and all authors revised it critically. All authors made final approval of the
10 version to be published

1 **Abstract**

2 **Background:**

3 Contact allergy is a prevalent. It is estimated that about 20% of the general population is allergic to
4 one or more of the chemicals that constitutes the baseline patch test panel. While many studies have
5 investigated associations between type I allergic disorders and cancer, only very few studies have
6 investigated the association between cancer and contact allergy. We therefore aimed to investigate
7 the possible association between a contact allergy and cancer by linking two clinical databases.

8 **Methods:**

9 Record linkage of two different registers was performed; 1) a tertiary hospital register of dermatitis
10 patient's patch tested for contact allergy and 2) a nation wide cancer register (the Danish Cancer
11 Register). After linkage of the two registers, only cancer subtypes with 40 or more patients
12 registered were included in the following analysis. The final associations were evaluated by logistic
13 regression analysis.

14 **Results:**

15 An inverse association between contact allergy and respectively, non-melanoma skin- and breast
16 cancer was identified in both genders, and an inverse trend for brain cancer was found in women
17 with contact allergy. Furthermore, a positive association between contact allergy and bladder cancer
18 was found.

19 **Conclusion:**

20 Contact allergy was significantly associated with three cancer groups. Two of these (breast and non-
21 melanoma skin cancer) were inversely associated with contact allergy, and additionally brain cancer
22 in women had a trend for an inverse association. This tend to support the immunosurveillance
23 hypothesis (i.e. sufferers of allergy are less likely to get cancer due to a triggered immune system),
24 while the positive association to bladder cancer possibly could be due to accumulations of chemical
25 metabolites in the bladder. However, the background for these associations is uncertain and not
26

1
2 1 necessarily the result of causality. Nevertheless, our findings add to the limited knowledge that
3
4 2 exists about contact allergy and the hazards of cancers.
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

1 Introduction

2 About 20% of Danish adults are contact allergic to at least one of frequent chemicals and metals in
3 our environment ^{1,2}. Contact allergy is caused by skin contact with low molecular weight non-
4 protein chemicals, referred to as haptens, and can progress to allergic contact dermatitis if re-
5 exposure exceeds the individual threshold ³. Allergic contact dermatitis is a cutaneous delayed-type
6 hypersensitivity reaction, mediated by hapten-specific T-cells, in which CD8+ type 1 T-cells are
7 effector cells in normal contact hypersensitivity responses, while CD4+ T-cells are mainly endowed
8 with down-regulatory functions ⁴. A possible association between allergic hypersensitivity reactions,
9 as observed in atopic diseases and the unrestrained cell growth in cancer has intrigued researchers
10 for decades. Studies have reported both positive and inverse associations for allergic disorders,
11 while some have not found any significant associations, reviewed in Sherman et al. ⁵. Most current
12 epidemiological studies indicate that atopic diseases may be associated with a reduced risk of
13 cancer ⁶. However, one important problem affecting many epidemiological studies on associations
14 between atopy and cancer is the variability between studies in the definition of atopy. Additionally,
15 some studies have included patients with allergic contact dermatitis which is problematic as the
16 immune response is very different ⁶. Few studies have investigated the relationship between contact
17 allergy and cancer. Contact allergy to metal dental restorations was shown to be a potential risk
18 factor for intra-oral squamous cell carcinoma ⁷, while glioma appear to be inversely associated with
19 self-reported contact dermatitis ⁸. Thus, it remains unclear whether two very prevalent disorders,
20 cancer and contact allergy, are truly associated, and if so, in what direction. We have previously
21 shown that contact allergy is inversely associated with some prevalent autoimmune diseases such as
22 diabetes and inflammatory bowel disease ⁹⁻¹¹. We set out to investigate the possible association
23 between contact allergy and cancer by using cross-linkage between our contact allergy database and
24 the national cancer database (The Danish Cancer Registry).

1 **Materials and Methods**

2 **Study population and allergy testing:**

3 From November 1984 to December 2008, 16,922 (6,113 men and 10,809 women) patients with
4 dermatitis were patch tested for contact allergy with the European baseline series at the Department
5 of Dermatology, Gentofte Hospital, Denmark. The outcome of patch testing, sex and date of birth
6 were recorded in the allergy database. The European baseline series contains the most prevalent
7 contact allergens in the environment for the European continent. Patch testing was performed on the
8 upper back using Trolab allergens (Hermal, Reinbek, Germany) and Finn Chambers® (8 mm
9 Epitest Ltd, Oy, Finland) on Scanpor tape® (Norgesplaster A/S, Alharma, Vennessla, Norway) for
10 occlusion. Occlusion time was 48 hours and the patches were read on day 2, on day 3 or 4, and on
11 day 5 or 7 according to international criteria from the International Contact Dermatitis Research
12 Group (ICDRG)^{12;13}. A positive allergic reaction was defined as at least homogeneous erythema
13 and infiltration in the test area. The database contains information on patch test reading result for
14 each of the days, but in the present study, a binary variable was constructed. Thus, a positive patch
15 test reaction at any day of reading to any allergen in the European baseline series was considered
16 positive.

17 **Linkage study:**

18 At birth, or on immigration, all Danish residents are given a unique and personal identifier number,
19 a CPR-number, which can be used for identification in databases. This enables linkage of individual
20 data between databases.

21 Using the unique identifier number, the contact allergy database from Gentofte Hospital, a tertiary
22 referral centre, was linked with the Danish Cancer Registry, which contains codes of cancer
23 diagnosis from the International Classification of Diseases, 7th or 10th revision (ICD7 and ICD10.
24 The Danish Cancer Registry is a population-based registry containing nationwide data on cancer
25 cases since 1943. The history of the Danish Cancer Registry has been reviewed previously by
26 Storm et al. 1997¹⁴. Cancer types were defined according to the Nordic Cancer Registry

1 (NORDCAN Database, <http://www.ancr.nu/nordcan.asp>). The cancer types “other leukemia” and
2 acute “leukemia” in the NORDCAN database was however omitted from data analyses as we
3 considered the grouping “leukemia” to cover immunological aspects of this cancer type and be
4 representative. Table 1 shows cancer types used from the NORDCAN database. Only cancer types,
5 for which we found 40 or more patients after the linkage, were included in the logistic regression
6 analyses. Age was calculated as the age at first positive patch test outcome. In case there was no
7 positive patch test reading, the age at first patch test procedure was used instead. Based on the
8 number of patients in different age-groups, patients were stratified into five groups: “0-29 years”,
9 “30-41 years”, “42-52 years”, “53-65 years” and “65< years”.

10 The combined data file was analyzed using logistic regression analysis with the patch test outcome
11 (contact allergy: “yes” vs. “no”) as the dependent variable and different cancer types as the
12 independent variables, and controlled for sex and age. Finally, we inserted interaction terms
13 between gender and each cancer subtype (e.g. sex*colon cancer, sex*lung cancer, etc.) in the
14 regression analysis to test whether we had to stratify the analyses by gender. Odds ratios (ORs) with
15 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated using logistic regression. All data analysis was done
16 using SPSS version 15 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA)

18 Results

19 Among 16,922 patients patch tested in the selected time period, 6,065 (35.8%) had a positive
20 reaction to at least one allergen on at least one occurrence. The prevalence of contact allergy was
21 however different between the sexes, as the prevalence was 26.1% in male patients and 41.4% in
22 female patients. The study population has previously been detailed (Carlsen, BC et al. 2007)¹⁵.
23 Briefly, the mean and median prevalence of contact allergy across test years were respectively,
24 37.1 % (SD 3.37) and 36.8 % (Range 28.3% - 43.9%). Thus, only small changes in the frequency of
25 positive reactions were observed across test years.

1
2 1 After linkage with the Danish Cancer Registry, 3,200 (18.9%) dermatitis patients were identified
3
4 2 with a benign tumour and/or a malignant cancer diagnosis, and 1,207 (37.7%) of these also had a
5
6 3 positive patch test reaction. The distribution within different cancer groups (with ≥ 40 cases) is
7
8 4 shown in Table 1. Crude data analysis revealed a positive and significant association between being
9
10 5 contact allergic and being registered in the cancer registry (Mantel-Haenszel common OR = 1.1;
11
12 6 CI95%= 1.02-1.20). Using logistic regression analyses with contact allergy as the dependent
13
14 7 variable, we calculated odds ratios for different cancer groups and adjusted the analysis for sex and
15
16 8 age. Breast and non-melanoma skin cancer in both genders were found to be inversely and
17
18 9 significantly associated with contact allergy, while there was a trend for an inverse association
19
20 10 between contact allergy and brain cancer in women. Bladder cancer was found to be positively and
21
22 11 significantly associated with contact allergy. The sex specific association for brain cancer was
23
24 12 identified by investigating different interaction terms between cancer subtypes and gender.
25
26 13 However, we only found a significant interaction term for brain/CNS cancer. Thus, when a
27
28 14 subsequent adjusted regression analysis was performed in female dermatitis patients only, a trend
29
30 15 towards an inverse association was found between brain/CNS cancer and contact allergy; ($p =$
31
32 16 0.080; OR = 0.36 (CI95%= 0.12-1.13). Table 2 shows the cancer types, adjusted for age and sex,
33
34 17 which were associated with contact allergy. The cancer types were Breast cancer (OR= 0.80;
35
36 18 95%CI = 0.65-0.98), skin cancer (non-melanoma) (OR = 0.83; 95%CI = 0.70-0.97), brain/CNS
37
38 19 cancer in women (OR=0.36; 95%CI = 0.12-1.13) and bladder cancer which were positively
39
40 20 associated with contact allergy (OR = 1.44; 95%CI = 1.02-2.05).
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 22 Discussion

54
55 23 We found a significant and inverse association between contact allergy and, respectively, breast
56
57 24 cancer and non-melanoma skin cancer and a significant and positive association between contact
58
59 25 allergy and bladder. Additionally, brain/CNS cancer in women had a trend toward an inversely
60
26 associated with contact allergy. The associations were identified by performing record linking of

1
2 1 two databases: one of contact allergy test outcomes and one of cancer diagnoses, i.e. the Danish
3
4 2 Cancer Registry. Patients with contact allergy entered the allergy database following referral to a
5
6 3 tertiary university dermatology clinic, generally because of chronic dermatitis and by referral from
7
8 4 private dermatology clinics and general physicians. Patch testing classified the patients into groups
9
10 5 with and without contact allergy (based on the outcome of patch testing). Apparently, those with
11
12 6 positive patch test reactions had a decreased risk of having breast cancer and non-melanoma skin
13
14 7 cancer, while they had an increased risk of having bladder cancer. Additionally, women with
15
16 8 positive patch test reactions had reduced risk of brain*/CNS cancer (insignificant trend). These
17
18 9 associations are interesting since hospitalization bias would generally lead to a positive association
19
20 10 and not a negative association. Additionally, possible associations may have been diluted as both
21
22 11 cases and controls were from the same database consisting of patch tested dermatitis patient.
23
24 12 Population-based studies are often preferable to patient-based studies by virtue of unbiased
25
26 13 estimation of prevalence and risk; however when evaluating risk factors for contact allergy they
27
28 14 often have limited power¹⁶.
29
30 15 We chose to use logistic regression analyses for several reasons. First, the time span from the
31
32 16 development of contact allergy to the diagnosis was established is unknown and likewise for cancer.
33
34 17 Second, our objective was not to establish causality but rather to test whether a skewed immune
35
36 18 system towards delayed type hypersensitivity is positively or negatively associated with cancer.
37
38 19 Third, we have with success applied this type of regression analysis in previous studies
39
40 20 investigating diabetes and inflammatory bowel disease^(10;11). Fourth, it has been suggested that the
41
42 21 advantages of Cox regression are mainly found when analyzing high baseline risks which was not
43
44 22 the case in this study¹⁷. .
45
46 23 The allergy database had a median age of 47 years, and the patients that also appeared in the cancer
47
48 24 register had a median age of 60 years. Some of the analyzed patients may therefore develop cancer
49
50 25 when they get older; however with a median age of 47 years, some of the malignant tumors may
51
52 26 already have developed. It should be emphasized that contact allergy to prevalent allergens such as
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 nickel and cobalt is typically developed early in life, i.e. prior to development of most cancers.
2
3
4 2 There are more females than males in the allergy database, and likewise there are also more females
5
6
7 3 with a positive patch test reactions, which is in accordance with the literature ¹⁵.
8
9 4 The present study is unique in the sense that it is only possibly to perform record cross-linkage of
10
11
12 5 registers due to the personal identifier given to all Danish citizens at birth or at immigration.
13
14 6 Furthermore, the allergen database is composed of patients patch tested at a hospital in the capital
15
16 7 region of Denmark, a region where there is limited industrial exposure due to pesticide
17
18 8 manufacturing, synthetic rubber processing, petrochemical refinery etc. that might lead to cancer.
19
20 9 Instead many of the patients with contact allergy are allergic to cosmetic ingredients and metals
21
22
23 10 from jewellery which gives no immediate confounding due to working conditions known to cause
24
25
26 11 cancer. A negative patch test response might be due to tolerance of the individual as most
27
28 12 individuals are exposed to contact allergens, and a positive patch test response could therefore be
29
30
31 13 implicative of an efficient immune system lending support to the immunosurveillance hypothesis.
32
33 14 The positive association to bladder cancer might be due to accumulations of metabolites from
34
35 15 contact allergens in the bladder, which is somewhat supported by a study showing an increased
36
37 16 frequency of micronuclei in urothelial cells of hair dye users ¹⁸.
38
39
40 17 We cannot account for smoking in our study, although smoking may increase the risk of developing
41
42 18 nickel contact allergy and some types of cancer ^{19;20}. However, we do not find any association to
43
44 19 lung or oral cancers, which are positively associated with smoking ²¹. We do however find a
45
46
47 20 positive association with bladder cancer that could be somewhat caused by smoking, as smoking is
48
49 21 a known risk factor for bladder cancer ²². Smoking can additionally be a risk factor for non-
50
51 22 melanoma skin cancer ^{23;24}, a modest risk factor for brain cancer ^{25;26} and might be a risk factor for
52
53
54 23 breast cancer ²⁷, however as these cancer types were inversely associated with contact allergy, a
55
56 24 bias caused by smoking would therefore rather have weakened the association. Most of contact
57
58
59 25 allergy patients have been treated intermittently with topical steroids and only a minority with
60
26 systemic immunosuppressants. The later treatment may be associated with non-melanoma skin

1
2 1 cancer as TNF- α -inhibitors and prednisone have been shown to increase the risk of non-melanoma
3
4 2 skin cancer in rheumatoid arthritis patients ²⁸. Additionally, a study on squamous cell carcinoma
5
6 3 found a positive association in patients hospitalized for chronic diseases including skin disease and
7
8 4 among these allergic contact dermatitis ²⁹. In our study, we found an inverse association between
9
10 5 contact allergy and non-melanoma skin cancer, and treatment bias could possibly have weakened
11
12 6 this inverse association
13

14
15
16 7 Self-reported contact eczema have previously been found to be inversely correlated to glioma and
17
18 8 meningioma ⁸. The self-reported contact eczema even had the lowest OR of any of the allergic
19
20 9 conditions for both glioma and meningioma, though the confidence interval for meningioma was
21
22 10 wide and close to one. Glioma patients have been shown to suffer from impaired immunity ³⁰,
23
24 11 whether the suppression is evident before diagnosis of the tumour is not known. If the reduced risk
25
26 12 associated with allergies was an effect of immunosuppression induced by the tumour, current
27
28 13 allergic diseases would be expected to be associated with a decreased risk. It has been suggested
29
30 14 that hair dyeing can increase the risk of glioma ^{31;32}; however we find an inverse association even
31
32 15 though hair dyeing is a risk factor for development of e.g. p-phenylenediamine (PPD) contact
33
34 16 allergy. Hair dyeing may additionally be a risk factor for the development of bladder cancer ^{18;33}. In
35
36 17 our study we find a positive association between contact allergy and bladder cancer, which may be
37
38 18 caused by PPD contact allergy. Hair dyeing does not appear to be related with breast cancer ³⁴. It
39
40 19 would have been interesting if we could have analyzed possible association between specific
41
42 20 allergens and the significant cancer types, in the current dataset. However, we do not have enough
43
44 21 power to analyze these associations.
45
46
47
48
49

50
51 22 Different hypothesis have been suggested to explain the association between allergy and cancer. To
52
53 23 explain positive associations the “antigenic stimulation” hypothesis has been suggested, in which it
54
55 24 is speculated that the increased stimulation of cell growth in allergy and chronic inflammation
56
57 25 increase the likelihood of mutation of dividing stem cells and malignant proliferation ⁵. To explain
58
59 26 inverse associations, the immunosurveillance hypothesis has been suggested in which the allergic
60

1 symptoms is the side effect of hyperimmunity and are therefore not the result of causation.
2
3
4 2 Additionally, tumours may suppress the immune system systemically and in the microenvironment
5
6
7 3 of the tumour ³⁵, as seen for glioma patients that have a lower count of CD4+T cells overall and an
8
9
10 4 increased fraction of CD4+FOXP3+T cells in the remaining fraction ³⁶
11
12 5 In conclusion contact allergy was found to be associated with four different cancer subtypes. Most
13
14 6 of the associations were inverse, which might support the immunosurveillance hypothesis. The
15
16 7 reason for these relations is uncertain and not necessarily the result of causality. More refined
17
18 8 analyses, adjusting for social class, smoking e.g. and studies focusing on specific chemical
19
20 9 exposures are required to further increase our understanding of the role of contact allergies in the
21
22
23 10 development of cancer. However, if these relations are etiological, it has implications for
24
25
26 11 understanding how contact allergy can affect cancer development and vice versa.
27
28 12 Nevertheless, our findings add to the limited knowledge that exists about contact allergy and the
29
30
31 13 hazards of cancers.
32
33 14

36 15 **Acknowledgments**

37
38
39 16 Financial support from Aage Bang's Foundation and The Capital Region's Research Foundation is
40
41 17 gratefully acknowledged.
42
43
44
45 18
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 1 **References**
3
4
5

- 6 2 1. Nielsen NH, Linneberg A, Menne T *et al.* Allergic contact sensitization in an adult Danish
7 3 population: two cross-sectional surveys eight years apart (the Copenhagen Allergy Study).
8 4 *Acta Derm.Venereol.* 2001; **81**: 31-4.
9
10
11 5 2. Thyssen JP, Linneberg A, Menne T *et al.* Contact allergy to allergens of the TRUE-test
12 6 (panels 1 and 2) has decreased modestly in the general population. *Br.J.Dermatol.* 2009; **161**:
13 7 1124-9.
14
15
16
17 8 3. Rustemeyer T, van Hoogstraten IMW, von Blomberg BM *et al.* Mechanisms in Allergic
18 9 Contact Dermatitis. In: *Contact Dermatitis* (Frosch,PJ, Menné,T, Lepoittevin,J-P, eds), 4th.
19 10 edn. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer, 2006: 11-43.
20
21
22
23 11 4. Vocanson M, Hennino A, Chavagnac C *et al.* Contribution of CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells in
24 12 contact hypersensitivity and allergic contact dermatitis. *Expert Review of Clinical*
25 13 *Immunology* 2005; **1**: 75-86.
26
27
28 14 5. Sherman PW, Holland E, Sherman JS. Allergies: Their Role in Cancer Prevention. *Quarterly*
29 15 *Review of Biology* 2008; **83**: 339-62.
30
31
32
33 16 6. Wang H, Diepgen TL. Is atopy a protective or a risk factor for cancer? A review of
34 17 epidemiological studies. *Allergy* 2005; **60**: 1098-111.
35
36
37 18 7. Hougeir FG, Yiannias JA, Hinni ML *et al.* Oral metal contact allergy: a pilot study on the
38 19 cause of oral squamous cell carcinoma. *International Journal of Dermatology* 2006; **45**: 265-
39 20 71.
40
41
42
43 21 8. Wigertz A, Lonn S, Schwartzbaum J *et al.* Allergic conditions and brain tumor risk. *Am.J*
44 22 *Epidemiol.* 2007; **166**: 941-50.
45
46
47 23 9. Bangsgaard N, Engkilde K, Thyssen JP *et al.* Inverse relationship between contact allergy and
48 24 psoriasis: results from a patient- and a population-based study. *Br.J.Dermatol.* 2009.
49
50
51
52 25 10. Engkilde K, Menne T, Johansen JD. Inflammatory bowel disease in relation to contact allergy:
53 26 a patient-based study. *Scand.J Gastroenterol.* 2007; **42**: 572-6.
54
55
56 27 11. Engkilde K, Menné T, Johansen JD. Inverse relationship between allergic contact dermatitis
57 28 and type 1 diabetes mellitus: a retrospective clinic-based study. *Diabetologia* 2006; **49**: 644-7.
58
59
60 29 12. Wilkinson DS, Fregert S, Magnusson B *et al.* Terminology of contact dermatitis. *Acta*
30 *Derm.Venereol.* 1970; **50**: 287-92.

- 1
2 1 13. Wahlberg JE, Lindberg M. Patch Testing. In: *Contact Dermatitis* (Frosch,PJ, Menné,T,
3 2 Lepoittevin,J-P, eds), 4th edn. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer, 2006: 365-90.
4
5
6 3 14. Storm HH, Michelsen EV, Clemmensen IH *et al.* The Danish Cancer Registry - history,
7 4 content, quality and use. *Danish Medical Bulletin* 1997; **44**: 535-9.
8
9
10
11 5 15. Carlsen BC, Menne T, Johansen JD. 20 Years of standard patch testing in an eczema
12 6 population with focus on patients with multiple contact allergies. *Contact Dermatitis* 2007;
13 7 **57**: 76-83.
14
15
16 8 16. Uter W, Pfahlberg A, Gefeller O *et al.* Risk factors for contact allergy to nickel - results of a
17 9 multifactorial analysis. *Contact Dermatitis* 2003; **48**: 33-8.
18
19
20
21 10 17. Langner, I, Bender, R, Lenz-Tönjes, R, Küchenhoff, H, and Blettner, M. Bias of Maximum-
22 11 Likelihood estimates in logistic and Cox regression models: A comparative simulation study.
23 12 Discussion Paper 362 Collaborative Research Center 386 Munich , 1-18. 2003.
24 13 Ref Type: Generic
25
26
27
28 14 18. Espinoza F, Silverman D, Kogevinas M *et al.* Micronuclei assessment in the urothelial cells of
29 15 women using hair dyes and its modulation by genetic polymorphisms. *Cancer Lett.* 2008; **263**:
30 16 259-66.
31
32
33 17 19. Linneberg A, Nielsen NH, Menne T *et al.* Smoking might be a risk factor for contact allergy.
34 18 *J Allergy Clin.Immunol.* 2003; **111**: 980-4.
35
36
37
38 19 20. Thyssen JP, Johansen JD, Menne T *et al.* Effect of Tobacco Smoking and Alcohol
39 20 Consumption on the Prevalence of Nickel Sensitization and Contact Sensitization. *Acta*
40 21 *Dermato-Venereologica* 2010; **90**: 27-33.
41
42
43 22 21. Gandini S, Botteri E, Iodice S *et al.* Tobacco smoking and cancer: A meta-analysis.
44 23 *International Journal of Cancer* 2008; **122**: 155-64.
45
46
47
48 24 22. Baris D, Karagas MR, Verrill C *et al.* A Case-Control Study of Smoking and Bladder Cancer
49 25 Risk: Emergent Patterns Over Time. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 2009; **101**:
50 26 1553-61.
51
52
53 27 23. De Hertog SAE, Wensveen CAH, Bastiaens MT *et al.* Relation between smoking and skin
54 28 cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2001; **19**: 231-8.
55
56
57
58 29 24. Milan T, Verkasalo PK, Kaprio J *et al.* Malignant skin cancers in the Finnish Twin Cohort: a
59 30 population-based study, 1976-97. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2002; **147**: 509-12.
60

- 1
2 1 25. Efrid JT, Friedman GD, Sidney S *et al.* The risk for malignant primary adult-onset glioma in a
3 2 large, multiethnic, managed-care cohort: cigarette smoking and other lifestyle behaviors.
4 3 *Journal of Neuro-Oncology* 2004; **68**: 57-69.
5
6
7
8 4 26. Silvera SAN, Miller AB, Rohan TE. Cigarette smoking and risk of glioma: A prospective
9 5 cohort study. *International Journal of Cancer* 2006; **118**: 1848-51.
10
11
12 6 27. Cui Y, Miller AB, Rohan TE. Cigarette smoking and breast cancer risk: update of a
13 7 prospective cohort study. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment* 2006; **100**: 293-9.
14
15
16 8 28. Chakravarty EF, Michaud K, Wolfe F. Skin cancer, rheumatoid arthritis, and tumor necrosis
17 9 factor inhibitors. *Journal of Rheumatology* 2005; **32**: 2130-5.
18
19
20
21 10 29. Jensen AO, Olesen AB, Dethlefsen C *et al.* Chronic diseases requiring hospitalization and risk
22 11 of non-melanoma skin cancers - A population based study from Denmark. *Journal of*
23 12 *Investigative Dermatology* 2008; **128**: 926-31.
24
25
26 13 30. Dix AR, Brooks WH, Roszman TL *et al.* Immune defects observed in patients with primary
27 14 malignant brain tumors. *Journal of Neuroimmunology* 1999; **100**: 216-32.
28
29
30
31 15 31. Heineman EF, Ward MH, McComb RD *et al.* Hair Dyes and Risk of Glioma Among
32 16 Nebraska Women. *Cancer Causes and Control* 2005; **16**: 857-64.
33
34
35 17 32. Bluhm EC, Zahm SH, Fine HA *et al.* Personal Hair Dye Use and Risks of Glioma,
36 18 Meningioma, and Acoustic Neuroma among Adults. *Am.J.Epidemiol.* 2007; **165**: 63-71.
37
38
39
40 19 33. Gago-Dominguez M, Castelao JE, Yuan JM *et al.* Use of permanent hair dyes and bladder-
41 20 cancer risk. *Int.J.Cancer* 2001; **91**: 575-9.
42
43
44 21 34. Zheng T, Holford TR, Mayne ST *et al.* Use of hair colouring products and breast cancer risk:
45 22 a case-control study in Connecticut. *Eur.J.Cancer* 2002; **38**: 1647-52.
46
47
48
49 23 35. Rabinovich GA, Gabrilovich D, Sotomayor EM. Immunosuppressive strategies that are
50 24 mediated by tumor cells. *Annual Review of Immunology* 2007; **25**: 267-96.
51
52
53 25 36. Fecci PE, Mitchell DA, Whitesides JF *et al.* Increased regulatory T-cell fraction amidst a
54 26 diminished CD4 compartment explains cellular immune defects in patients with malignant
55 27 glioma. *Cancer Research* 2006; **66**: 3294-302.
56
57
58 28
59
60

Tables

Table 1. Sex specific distribution of cancer types and contact allergy. The cancer types are sorted ascendingly according to the total number of patients with the respective cancer type. Only cancer types with ≥ 40 patients were included in the logistic regression analyses.

CANCER GROUPS (NORDCAN)	SEX						TOTAL
	Men			Women			
	Contact allergy	No contact allergy	Total	Contact allergy	No contact allergy	Total	
Pancreas	4	11	15	13	17	30	45
Brain/CNS	7	15	22	30	11	41	63
Cervix uteri	n/a	n/a	n/a	34	30	64	64
Leukemia	7	27	34	24	13	37	71
Lip, oral cavity and pharynx	15	30	45	16	12	28	73
Corpus uteri	n/a	n/a	n/a	48	38	86	86
Rectum and anus	14	41	55	29	17	46	101
Melanoma of skin	11	32	43	40	21	61	104
Prostate	36	86	122	n/a	n/a	n/a	122
Colon	9	43	52	43	29	72	124
Bladder etc.	33	63	96	21	22	43	139
Lung	26	83	109	41	42	83	192
Colorectal	23	83	106	71	46	117	223
Breast	0	0	0	248	151	399	399
Skin, non-melanoma	72	203	275	284	166	450	725
All sites but non-melanoma skin cancer	209	531	740	663	452	1,115	1,855
Total(allergy database)	1,594	4,519	6,113	4,471	6,338	10,809	16,922

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 2 The final analysis outcome including the interaction variable Brain/CNS*Sex, all adjusted for age and sex

Cancer groups (NORDCAN)	ICD7	ICD10	p-value	OR	CI 95%
Bladder etc.	181	C65-68+ D09.0 + D41.4	0.040	1.44	1.02 - 2.05
Breast	170	C50	0.035	0.80	0.65 - 0.98
Skin, non-melanoma	191	C44+C46.0	0.021	0.83	0.70 - 0.97
Brain/CNS	193	C70-72+D32-33+D42-43	0.513	1.35	0.55 - 3.33
Brain/CNS *Sex	-	-	0.080	0.36	0.12 - 1.13

For peer review only

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cohort studies*

	Item No	Recommendation
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract Yes "a linkage Study" in the title (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found Yes
Introduction		
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported Yes, explain that type 1 allergy associations have previously been reported and that
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses YES
Methods		
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper YES
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection YES
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up YES (b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable YES
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group YES
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias YES
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at YES
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why YES
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding YES (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions YES (c) Explain how missing data were addressed N/A (d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed N/A (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses N/A

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Results		
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed YES were applicable (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage N/A (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not used
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders Not possible (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest Register data (c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount) N/A
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time YES
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included YES (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized No continuous variable (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period N/A
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses YES
Discussion		
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives YES
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias YES
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence YES
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results YES
Other information		
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based No specific funding for the present paper, but 2 general grants, mentioned in the Acknowledgments section

1 *Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.
2
3

4 **Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and
5 published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely
6 available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at
7 <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is
8 available at <http://www.strobe-statement.org>.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only



Association between Cancer and Contact Allergy: a linkage Study

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2011-000084.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	04-Apr-2011
Complete List of Authors:	Engkilde, Kaare; Copenhagen University Hospital Gentofte, National Allergy Research Centre. Department of Dermato-Allergology Thyssen, Jacob; Gentofte Hospital, University of Copenhagen, Department of Dermatology Menné, Torkil; Copenhagen University Hospital Gentofte, National Allergy Research Centre. Department of Dermato-Allergology Johansen, Jeanne; Copenhagen University Hospital Gentofte, National Allergy Research Centre. Department of Dermato-Allergology
Subject Heading:	Dermatology
Keywords:	EPIDEMIOLOGY, Dermatological tumours < ONCOLOGY, Breast tumours < ONCOLOGY, Urological tumours < ONCOLOGY

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Title: Association between Cancer and Contact Allergy: a linkage Study

Deleted: -

Running head: Association between Cancer and Contact Allergy

Word count: 1933 words - Table count: 2 tables

Deleted: 2608words -

Authors:

Kaare Engkilde, Jacob P. Thyssen, Torkil Menné, Jeanne D. Johansen

Affiliation:

National Allergy Research Centre, Department of Dermato-Allergology, Copenhagen University Hospital Gentofte, University of Copenhagen
Niels Andersens Vej 65
DK-2900 Hellerup
Denmark

Corresponding author:

Kaare Engkilde
National Allergy Research Centre
Ledreborg Allé 40, 1.floor
DK-2820 Gentofte
Denmark
Tel: +45 39 77 73 00
Fax: +45 39 77 71 18

Email: kaaeng02@geh.regionh.dk

Deleted: Mostly the

Deleted: found

Deleted: which have found support in the immune surveillance

Deleted: , as an

Deleted: could be hypothesized

Deleted: se

Deleted: have

Deleted: been done

Deleted: , while

Deleted: finds comparative

Deleted: The present study adds knowledge about associations

Deleted: , which have not previously been investigated. ¶
• . Of interest, we find an

Deleted: . Though

Deleted: don't have enough power to study specific allergen association, this

Deleted: as an association between bladder cancer and hair dye use have previously been found.

Deleted: The present

Deleted: is unique in looking at

Deleted: to

Deleted: , and can only be done

Deleted: wolfgang.uter@rzmail.uni-erlangen.deDeleted: MaibachH@derm.ucsf.edu

Article focus

• Cancer and allergy have previously been shown to be associated. The associations are mostly inverse, adding weight to the theory that enhanced tumour immunosurveillance is present in allergic individuals.

• The epidemiological studies showing these findings were predominantly on type I allergy; the present study investigated the association between type IV allergy and cancer.

Key messages

• An association seemingly exists between contact allergy and cancer. In the light of previous findings of an association between bladder cancer and hair-dye use, the association between bladder cancer and contact allergy, we found is interesting.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is a novel study investigating cancer and its possible association with a type IV allergy. The analysis was possible due to large, validated patient registers.
- As this is not a prospective cohort study, it lacks the possibility to prove causation.

Possible manuscript reviewers:

Wolfgang Uter, Department of Medical Informatics, Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nürnberg. Email: wolfgang.uter@rzmail.uni-erlangen.de

Howard Maibach, Department of Dermatology, University of California, San Francisco, USA.

Email: MaibachH@derm.ucsf.edu

Funding statement:

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors; however, we are grateful for general financial support from Aage Bang's Foundation and the Capital Region's Research Foundation.

Deleted: , though

Deleted: The

Deleted: interest's

Competing interests statement

The authors declare they have no competing interests.

Contributor statements

KE, TM and JDU designed the study. KE and JPT analysed and interpreted the data. KE and JPT drafted the manuscript and all authors revised it critically. All authors gave their final approval of the version to be published

Deleted: made

Abstract

Background:

Contact allergy is a prevalent disorder. It is estimated that about 20% of the general population is allergic to one or more of the chemicals that constitute the European baseline patch test panel.

While many studies have investigated associations between type I allergic disorders and cancer, few have looked into the association between cancer and contact allergy, a type IV allergy. By linking two clinical databases, we investigate the possible association between contact allergy and cancer.

Methods:

Record linkage of two different registers was performed: 1) a tertiary hospital register of dermatitis patients patch tested for contact allergy and 2) a nationwide cancer register (the Danish Cancer Register). After linking the two registers, only cancer subtypes with 40 or more patients registered were included in the analysis. The final associations were evaluated by logistic regression analysis.

Results:

An inverse association between contact allergy and non-melanoma skin- and breast cancer, respectively, was identified in both sexes, and an inverse trend for brain cancer was found in women with contact allergy. Additionally, a positive association between contact allergy and bladder cancer was found.

Conclusion:

The inverse associations supports the immunosurveillance hypothesis (i.e. individuals with allergy are less likely to get cancer due to a triggered immune system), while the positive association with bladder cancer could be due to accumulations of chemical metabolites in the bladder. Our findings add to the limited knowledge about contact allergy and the risk of cancer.

Deleted: s

Deleted: only very

Deleted: studies

Deleted: investigated

Deleted: . We therefore aimed to

Deleted: a

Deleted: by linking two clinical databases

Deleted: :

Deleted: patient's

Deleted:

Deleted: linkage of

Deleted: following

Deleted: respectively,

Deleted: genders

Deleted: Furthermore

Deleted: Contact allergy was significantly associated with three cancer groups. Two of these (breast and non-melanoma skin cancer) were inversely associated with contact allergy, and additionally brain cancer in women had a trend for an

Deleted: . This tend to support

Deleted: sufferers of

Deleted: to

Deleted: possibly

Deleted: However, the background for these associations is uncertain and not necessarily the result of causality. Nevertheless, our

Deleted: that exists

Deleted: hazards

Deleted: s

1 **Introduction**

2
 3 2 About 20% of Danish adults are contact allergic to chemicals and metals common in the
 4
 5 3 environment^{1,2}. Contact allergy is caused by skin contact with low molecular weight non-protein
 6
 7 4 chemicals, referred to as haptens, and can progress to allergic contact dermatitis if re-exposure
 8
 9 5 exceeds the individual's threshold³. Allergic contact dermatitis is a cutaneous delayed-type
 10
 11 6 hypersensitivity reaction, mediated by hapten-specific T-cells⁴. A possible association between type
 12
 13 7 1 allergic hypersensitivity reactions, as observed in atopic diseases, and the unrestrained cell growth
 14
 15 8 in cancer has long intrigued researchers. Some studies have reported both positive and inverse
 16
 17 9 associations for allergic disorders; others have not found any significant associations, reviewed in
 18
 19 10 Sherman et al.⁵. Most recent epidemiological studies point towards atopic diseases being associated
 20
 21 11 with a reduced risk of cancer⁶. However, a major problem affecting many epidemiological studies
 22
 23 12 on associations between atopy and cancer is the different way the studies define atopy. Additionally,
 24
 25 13 some studies have included patients with allergic contact dermatitis, which is problematic as the
 26
 27 14 immune response differs greatly⁶. To date, few studies have investigated the relationship between
 28
 29 15 contact allergy and cancer. Contact allergy to metal dental restorations was found to be a potential
 30
 31 16 risk factor for intra-oral squamous cell carcinoma⁷, and glioma appeared inversely associated with
 32
 33 17 self-reported contact dermatitis⁸. Thus, it remains unclear whether two prevalent disorders, cancer
 34
 35 18 and contact allergy, are truly associated, and if so, in what direction. We have previously shown
 36
 37 19 that contact allergy is inversely associated with autoimmune diseases such as type 1 diabetes and
 38
 39 20 inflammatory bowel disease⁹⁻¹¹. This is a descriptive exploratory investigation of the possible
 40
 41 21 association between contact allergy and cancer by using cross-linkage between our contact allergy
 42
 43 22 database and the national cancer database (the Danish Cancer Registry).

Deleted: at least one of frequent

Deleted: our

Deleted: individual

Deleted: ,

Deleted: , in which CD8+ type 1 T-cells are effector cells in normal contact hypersensitivity responses, while CD4+ T-cells are mainly endowed with down-regulatory functions

Deleted: for decades. Studies

Deleted: , while some

Deleted: current

Deleted: indicate that

Deleted: may be

Deleted: one important

Deleted: variability between studies in the definition of

Deleted: is very different

Deleted: Few

Deleted: shown

Deleted: while

Deleted: appear to be

Deleted: very

Deleted: some prevalent

Deleted: We set out to investigate

Deleted: The

4

Materials and Methods

Study population and allergy testing:

From November 1984 to December 2008, [patch tests for contact allergy using the European baseline series were performed on](#) 16,922 (6,113 men and 10,809 women) patients with dermatitis at the Department of Dermatology, Gentofte Hospital, Denmark. The outcome of patch testing, sex and date of birth were recorded in the allergy database. The European baseline series contains the most prevalent contact allergens in the environment for the European continent. Patch testing was performed on the upper back using Trolab allergens (Hermal, Reinbek, Germany) and Finn Chambers® (8 mm Epitest Ltd, Oy, Finland) on Scanpor tape® (Norgesplaster A/S, Alpharma, Vennessla, Norway) for occlusion. Occlusion time was 48 hours and the patches were read on [Day 2](#), on [Day 3](#) or 4, and on [Day 5](#) or 7 according to international criteria from the International Contact Dermatitis Research Group (ICDRG)^{12,13}. A positive allergic reaction was defined as at least homogeneous erythema and infiltration in the test area. The database contains information on patch test reading result for each [day](#), but in the present study, a binary variable was constructed. Thus, a positive patch test reaction [on any reading day](#) to any allergen in the European baseline series was considered positive. [The study population has been detailed previously \(Carlsen, BC et al. 2007\)¹⁴.](#)

Linkage study:

At birth, or on immigration, all [those with residency in Denmark receive](#) a unique and personal identifier number, a CPR-number, which can be used for identification in databases. This enables linkage of individual data between databases.

[We used](#) the unique identifier number [to link](#) the contact allergy database from Gentofte Hospital, a tertiary referral centre, [with](#) the Danish Cancer Registry, which contains codes of cancer diagnosis from the International Classification of Diseases, 7th or 10th revision (ICD7 and ICD10). The Danish Cancer Registry is a population-based registry containing nationwide data on cancer cases since 1943. The history of the Danish Cancer Registry [was](#) reviewed by Storm et al. [in](#) 1997¹⁵. Cancer types were defined according to the Nordic Cancer [Registries](#) (NORDCAN Database,

Deleted: were patch tested for contact allergy with the European baseline series

Deleted: day

Deleted: day

Deleted: day

Deleted:

Deleted: of the days

Deleted: at

Deleted: day of

Deleted: Danish residents are given

Deleted: Using

Deleted: ,

Deleted: was linked

Deleted: has been

Deleted: previously

Deleted:¹⁴

Deleted: Registry

1 1 <http://www.ancr.nu/nordcan.asp>). The cancer types “other leukaemia” and acute “leukaemia” in the
 2
 3 2 NORDCAN database were omitted from data analyses as we considered the grouping “leukaemia”
 4
 5 3 to cover immunological aspects of this cancer type and be representative. Table 1 shows cancer
 6
 7 4 types used from the NORDCAN database. Only cancer types for which we found 40 or more
 8
 9 5 patients after the linkage were included in the logistic regression analyses. Age was calculated as
 10
 11 6 the age at first positive patch test outcome. When there was no positive patch test reading, the age at
 12
 13 7 first patch test procedure was used. Based on the number of patients in different age groups,
 14
 15 8 patients were stratified into five groups: 0–29 years, 30–41 years, 42–52 years, 53–65 years and
 16
 17 9 65< years.
 18
 19 10 The combined data file was analysed using logistic regression analysis with the patch test outcome
 20
 21 11 (contact allergy: “yes” vs. “no”) as the dependent variable and different cancer types as the
 22
 23 12 independent variables, and controlled for sex and age. Lastly, we inserted interaction terms between
 24
 25 13 sex and each cancer subtype (e.g. sex*colon cancer, sex*lung cancer, etc.) in the regression analysis
 26
 27 14 to test whether we should stratify the analyses by sex. Odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence
 28
 29 15 intervals (CIs) were estimated using logistic regression. All data analysis was done using SPSS
 30
 31 16 version 18 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA)

- Deleted: <http://www.ancr.nu/nordcan.asp>
- Deleted: leukemia
- Deleted: leukemia
- Deleted: was however
- Deleted: leukemia
- Deleted: ,
- Deleted: ,
- Deleted: In case
- Deleted: instead
- Deleted: -
- Deleted: “
- Deleted: -
- Deleted: “, “
- Deleted: -
- Deleted: “, “
- Deleted: -
- Deleted: “, “
- Deleted: -
- Deleted: “
- Deleted: “
- Deleted: analyzed
- Deleted: Finally
- Deleted: gender
- Deleted: had to
- Deleted: gender
- Deleted: 15

34 **Results**

35 18
 36
 37 19 Among 16,922 patients patch tested in the selected period, 6,065 (35.8%) had a positive reaction to
 38
 39 20 at least one allergen on at least one occasion. The prevalence of contact allergy, however, differed
 40
 41 21 between the sexes, as the prevalence was 26.1% in male patients and 41.4% in female patients.
 42
 43 22 After linkage with the Danish Cancer Registry, 3,200 (18.9%) dermatitis patients were identified
 44
 45 23 with a benign tumour and/or a malignant cancer diagnosis, and 1,207 (37.7%) of these also had a
 46
 47 24 positive patch test reaction. The distribution within different cancer groups (with ≥ 40 cases) is
 48
 49 25 shown in Table 1. Crude data analysis revealed a positive and significant association between being
 50
 51 26 contact allergic and being registered in the cancer registry (Mantel-Haenszel common OR = 1.1; p-

- Deleted: time
- Deleted: occurrence
- Deleted: was
- Deleted: different
- Deleted: The study population has previously been detailed (Carlsen, BC et al. 2007)¹⁵. Briefly, the mean and median prevalence of contact allergy across test years were respectively, 37.1 % (SD 3.37) and 36.8 % (Range 28.3% - 43.9%). Thus, only small changes in the frequency of positive reactions were observed across test years.
- Deleted: ≥

52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60

Deleted: -

Deleted: odds ratios

Deleted: genders

Deleted: , while

Deleted: in women

Deleted: gender

Deleted: only

Deleted: only

Deleted: ;

Deleted: -

Field Code Changed

Deleted: s

Deleted: were associated with contact allergy. The cancer types were Breast cancer (OR= 0.80; 95%CI = 0.65-0.98),

Deleted:) (OR = 0.83; 95%CI = 0.70-0.97), brain/CNS cancer in women (OR=0.36; 95%CI = 0.12-1.13) and bladder cancer which were positively associated with contact allergy (OR = 1.44; 95%CI = 1.02-2.05)

Deleted: We found a significant and inverse association between contact allergy and, respectively, breast cancer and non-melanoma skin cancer and a significant and positive association between contact allergy and bladder. Additionally, brain/CNS cancer in women had a trend toward an inversely associated with contact allergy. The associations were identified by performing record linking of two databases: one of contact allergy test outcomes and one of cancer diagnoses, i.e. the Danish Cancer Registry. Patients with contact allergy entered the allergy database following referral to a tertiary university dermatology clinic, generally because of chronic dermatitis and by referral from private dermatology clinics and general physicians. Patch testing classified the patients into groups with and without contact allergy (based on the outcome of patch testing). Apparently, those with positive patch test reactions had a decreased risk of having breast cancer and non-melanoma skin cancer, while they had an increased risk of having bladder cancer. Additionally, women with positive patch test reactions had reduced risk of brain*/CNS cancer (insignificant trend). These associations are interesting since hospitalization bias would generally lead to a positive association and not a negative association. Additionally, possible associations may have been diluted as both cases and controls were from the same database consisting of patch tested dermatitis patient. Population-based studies are often preferable to patient-based studies by virtue of unbiased estimation of prevalence and risk; however when evaluating risk factors for contact allergy they often have limited power

Deleted: . ¶
We chose to use logistic regression analyses for several reasons. First, the time span from the development of ... [1]

value = 0.014, CI95%= 1.02-1.20). Using logistic regression analyses with contact allergy as the dependent variable, we calculated ORs for different cancer groups and adjusted the analysis for sex and age. Breast cancer and non-melanoma skin cancer in both sexes were found to be inversely and significantly associated with contact allergy; for women, there was a trend for an inverse association between contact allergy and brain cancer. Bladder cancer was found to be positively and significantly associated with contact allergy. The sex specific association for brain cancer was identified by investigating different interaction terms between cancer subtypes and sex. However, we found a significant interaction term only for brain/CNS cancer. Thus, when a subsequent adjusted regression analysis was performed only in female dermatitis patients, a trend towards an inverse association was found between brain/CNS cancer and contact allergy (p = 0.080; OR = 0.36 (CI95%= 0.12-1.13). Table 2 shows the ORs for each cancer type, adjusted for age and sex, and the final analysis outcome, which included bladder, breast, brain/CNS and skin cancer (non-melanoma), as well as the brain/cancer*sex interaction.

Discussion

We found a significant and inverse association between contact allergy and breast cancer and non-melanoma skin cancer, respectively, as well as a significant and positive association between contact allergy and bladder cancer. Additionally, brain/CNS cancer in women was inversely associated with contact allergy, albeit the p-value was above 0.050 (p-value=0.08).

The allergen database used in the study comprises patients patch tested at Gentofte hospital, and as such the patch tests have been scored uniformly over the years. The hospital lies in the capital region of Denmark, a region where there is limited industrial exposure from pesticide manufacturing, synthetic rubber processing, petrochemical refinery etc., which gives no immediate confounding due to working conditions known to cause cancer.

We did not account for smoking in our study, although smoking may increase the risk of developing nickel contact allergy and some types of cancer^{16,17}. However, we found no association with lung

7

1 1 or oral cancers, which are positively associated with smoking¹⁸ but we did find a positive
 2 2 association with bladder cancer, which could have been partially caused by smoking as smoking is
 3 3 a known risk factor for bladder cancer.¹⁹ Smoking can also be a risk factor for non-melanoma skin
 4 4 cancer^{20:21} a modest risk factor for brain cancer^{22:23} and is speculated to be a risk factor for breast
 5 5 cancer.²⁴ However, as these cancer types were inversely associated with contact allergy, a bias
 6 6 caused by smoking would have weakened the association.
 7 7 Although most patients with contact allergy have been treated intermittently with topical steroids,
 8 8 only a minority have been treated with systemic immunosuppressants. The latter treatment might be
 9 9 associated with non-melanoma skin cancer as TNF- α -inhibitors and prednisolone have been shown
 10 10 to increase the risk of non-melanoma skin cancer in rheumatoid arthritis patients.²⁵ Additionally, a
 11 11 study on squamous cell carcinoma found a positive association in patients hospitalized for chronic
 12 12 diseases, including skin disease and among these allergic contact dermatitis.²⁶ In our study, we
 13 13 found an inverse association between contact allergy and non-melanoma skin cancer, and treatment
 14 14 biases could therefore have weakened this inverse association.
 15 15 Self-reported contact eczema has been found to be inversely correlated to glioma and meningioma.⁸
 16 16 The self-reported contact eczema had the lowest OR of any of the allergic conditions for both
 17 17 glioma and meningioma, although the confidence interval for meningioma was wide and close to
 18 18 one. Glioma patients have been shown to have impaired immunity²⁷ whether the suppression is
 19 19 evident before diagnosis of the tumour is unknown. It has been suggested that hair dyeing can
 20 20 increase the risk of glioma^{28:29}; however, we found an inverse association even though hair dyeing
 21 21 is a risk factor for development of e.g. p-phenylenediamine (PPD) contact allergy. Hair dyeing may
 22 22 also be a risk factor for developing bladder cancer^{30:31}. In our study we found a positive association
 23 23 between contact allergy and bladder cancer, which may be caused by PPD contact allergy. Hair
 24 24 dyeing does not appear to be related to breast cancer.³²
 25 25 It would have been interesting if we had analysed possible associations between specific allergens
 26 26 and cancer types in the current dataset, but due to lack of power this was not possible.

Deleted: ^{10:11}
Deleted:). Fourth, it has been suggested that the advantages of Cox regression are mainly found when analyzing high baseline risks which was not the case in this study
Deleted: ¹⁷
Deleted: . ¶
 The allergy database had a median age of 47 years, and the patients that also appeared in the cancer register had a median age of 60 years. Some of the analyzed patients may therefore develop cancer when they get older; however with a median age of 47 years, some of the malignant tumors may already have developed. It should be emphasized that contact allergy to prevalent allergens such as nickel and cobalt is typically developed early in life, i.e. prior to development of most cancers. There are more females than males in the allergy database, and likewise there are also more females with a positive patch test reactions, which is in accordance with the literature
Deleted: ¹⁵
Deleted: . ¶
 The present study is unique in the sense that it is only possibly to perform record cross-linkage of registers due to the personal identifier given to all Danish citizens at birth or at immigration. Furthermore, the allergen database is composed of patients patch tested at a hospital in the capital region of Denmark, a region where there is limited indu... [2]
Deleted: ¹⁸
Deleted: . ¶
 We cannot account for smoking in (... [3]
Deleted: ^{19:20}
Deleted: . However, we do not find any association to lung or oral cancers (... [4]
Deleted: ²¹
Deleted: . We do however find a positive association with bladder c (... [5]
Deleted: ²²
Deleted: . Smoking can additionally be a risk factor for non-melanoma sk (... [6]
Deleted: ^{23:24}
Deleted: , a modest risk factor for brain cancer
Deleted: ^{25:26}
Deleted: and might be a risk factor for breast cancer
Deleted: ²⁷
Deleted: , however as these cancer types were inversely associated w (... [7]
Deleted: ²⁸
Deleted: . Additionally, a study on squamous cell carcinoma found a (... [8]
Deleted: ²⁹
Deleted: . In our study, we found an inverse association between conta (... [9]

51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 1 Various hypotheses have been put forward to explain the associations between allergy and cancer.
 2
 3 2 The “antigenic stimulation” hypothesis has been suggested to explain positive associations. In this
 4
 5 3 hypothesis it is speculated that the increased stimulation of cell growth in allergy and chronic
 6
 7 4 inflammation increases the likelihood of mutation of dividing stem cells and malignant proliferation
 8
 9 5 ⁵ To explain inverse associations, the immunosurveillance hypothesis has been suggested, where
 10
 11 6 the allergic symptoms are the side effect of hyperimmunity. Additionally, tumours may suppress the
 12
 13 7 immune system systemically and in the microenvironment of the tumour ³³ as seen in glioma
 14
 15 8 patients, who have a lower count of CD4+T cells overall and an increased fraction of
 16
 17 9 CD4+FOXP3+T cells in the remaining fraction ³⁴

18 10 In conclusion, contact allergy was found to be associated with four different cancer subtypes. Most
 19
 20 11 of the associations were inverse, which might support the immunosurveillance hypothesis. The
 21
 22 12 reason for these relations is uncertain and not necessarily the result of causality. More refined
 23
 24 13 analyses, adjusting for social class, and smoking, for instance, and studies focusing on specific
 25
 26 14 chemical exposures are required to further our understanding of the role of contact allergies in the
 27
 28 15 development of cancer. However, if these relations are aetiological, there are implications for
 29
 30 16 understanding how contact allergy can affect cancer development and vice versa.

31
 32 17 Our findings add to the limited knowledge of the association between contact allergy and cancer.

33 Acknowledgments

34
 35 18 Financial support from Aage Bang's Foundation and the Capital Region's Research Foundation is
 36
 37 19 gratefully acknowledged.
 38
 39 20
 40
 41
 42 21

Deleted: ⁸

Deleted: . The self-reported contact eczema even had the lowest OR of any of the allergic conditions for both glioma and meningioma, though the confidence interval for meningioma was wide and close to one. Glioma patients have been shown to suffer from impaired immunity

Deleted: ³⁰

Deleted: , whether the suppression is evident before diagnosis of the tumour is not known. If the reduced risk associated with allergies was an effect of immunosuppression induced by the tumour, current allergic diseases would be expected to be associated with a decreased risk. It has been suggested that hair dyeing can increase the risk of glioma

Deleted: ^{31;32}

Deleted: ; however we find an inverse association even though hair dyeing is a risk factor for development of e.g. p-phenylenediamine (PPD) contact allergy. Hair dyeing may additionally be a risk factor for the development of bladder cancer ^{18;33}. In our study we find a positive association between contact allergy and bladder cancer, which may be caused by PPD contact allergy. Hair dyeing does not appear to be related with breast cancer ³⁴. It would have been interesting if we could have analyzed possible association between specific allergens and the significant cancer types, in the current dataset. However, we do not have enough power to analyze these associations.¶

Different hypothesis have been suggested to explain the association between allergy and cancer. To explain positive associations the “antigenic stimulation” hypothesis has been suggested, in which it is speculated that the increased stimulation of cell growth in allergy and chronic inflammation increase the likelihood of mutation of dividing stem cells and malignant proliferation ⁵. To explain inverse associations, the immunosurveillance hypothesis has been suggested in which the allergic symptoms is the side effect of hyperimmunity and are therefore not the result of causation. Additionally, tumours may suppress the immune system systemically and in the microenvironment of the tumour ³⁵, as seen for glioma patients that have a lower count of CD4+T cells overall and an increased fraction of CD4+FOXP3+T cells in the remaining fraction [... [10]

Deleted: ,

Deleted: e.g.

Deleted: increase

Deleted: etiological, it has

Deleted: Nevertheless, our

Deleted: limit

Deleted: that exists about

Deleted: the hazards of cancers

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: The

References

- (1) Nielsen NH, Linneberg A, Menne T, Madsen F, Frolund L, Dirksen A et al. Allergic contact sensitization in an adult Danish population: two cross-sectional surveys eight years apart (the Copenhagen Allergy Study). *Acta Derm Venereol* 2001; 81(1):31-34.
- (2) Thyssen JP, Linneberg A, Menne T, Nielsen NH, Johansen JD. Contact allergy to allergens of the TRUE-test (panels 1 and 2) has decreased modestly in the general population. *Br J Dermatol* 2009; 161(5):1124-1129.
- (3) Rustemeyer T, van Hoogstraten IMW, von Blomberg BM, Scheper RJ. Mechanisms in Allergic Contact Dermatitis. In: Frosch PJ, Menné T, Lepoittevin J-P, editors. *Contact Dermatitis*. 4th. ed. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer; 2006. 11-43.
- (4) Vocanson M, Hennino A, Chavagnac C, Saint-Mezard P, Dubois B, Kaiserlian D et al. Contribution of CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells in contact hypersensitivity and allergic contact dermatitis. *Expert Review of Clinical Immunology* 2005; 1(1):75-86.
- (5) Sherman PW, Holland E, Sherman JS. Allergies: Their Role in Cancer Prevention. *Quarterly Review of Biology* 2008; 83(4):339-362.
- (6) Wang H, Diepgen TL. Is atopy a protective or a risk factor for cancer? A review of epidemiological studies. *Allergy* 2005; 60(9):1098-1111.
- (7) Hougeir FG, Yiannias JA, Hinni ML, Hentz JG, el-Azhary RA. Oral metal contact allergy: a pilot study on the cause of oral squamous cell carcinoma. *International Journal of Dermatology* 2006; 45(3):265-271.
- (8) Wigertz A, Lonn S, Schwartzbaum J, Hall P, Auvinen A, Christensen HC et al. Allergic conditions and brain tumor risk. *Am J Epidemiol* 2007; 166(8):941-950.
- (9) Bangsgaard N, Engkilde K, Thyssen JP, Linneberg A, Nielsen NH, Menne T et al. Inverse relationship between contact allergy and psoriasis: results from a patient- and a population-based study. *Br J Dermatol* 2009.
- (10) Engkilde K, Menne T, Johansen JD. Inflammatory bowel disease in relation to contact allergy: a patient-based study. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2007; 42(5):572-576.
- (11) Engkilde K, Menné T, Johansen JD. Inverse relationship between allergic contact dermatitis and type 1 diabetes mellitus: a retrospective clinic-based study. *Diabetologia* 2006; 49(4):644-647.

- 1 1 (12) Wilkinson DS, Fregert S, Magnusson B, Bandmann HJ, Calnan CD, Cronin E et al.
2 2 Terminology of contact dermatitis. *Acta Derm Venereol* 1970; 50(4):287-292.
3
4
5 3 (13) Wahlberg JE, Lindberg M. Patch Testing. In: Frosch PJ, Menné T, Lepoittevin J-P, editors.
6 4 Contact Dermatitis. 4th ed. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer; 2006. 365-390.
7
8 5 (14) Carlsen BC, Menne T, Johansen JD. 20 Years of standard patch testing in an eczema
9 6 population with focus on patients with multiple contact allergies. *Contact Dermatitis* 2007;
10 7 57(2):76-83.
11
12
13 8 (15) Storm HH, Michelsen EV, Clemmensen IH, Pihl J. The Danish Cancer Registry - history,
14 9 content, quality and use. *Danish Medical Bulletin* 1997; 44(5):535-539.
15
16 10 (16) Linneberg A, Nielsen NH, Menne T, Madsen F, Jorgensen T. Smoking might be a risk
17 11 factor for contact allergy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2003; 111(5):980-984.
18
19
20 12 (17) Thyssen JP, Johansen JD, Menne T, Nielsen NH, Linneberg A. Effect of Tobacco Smoking
21 13 and Alcohol Consumption on the Prevalence of Nickel Sensitization and Contact
22 14 Sensitization. *Acta Dermato-Venereologica* 2010; 90(1):27-33.
23
24 15 (18) Gandini S, Botteri E, Iodice S, Boniol M, Lowenfels AB, Maisonneuve P et al. Tobacco
25 16 smoking and cancer: A meta-analysis. *International Journal of Cancer* 2008; 122(1):155-164.
26
27
28 17 (19) Baris D, Karagas MR, Verrill C, Johnson A, Andrew AS, Marsit CJ et al. A Case-Control
29 18 Study of Smoking and Bladder Cancer Risk: Emergent Patterns Over Time. *Journal of the
30 19 National Cancer Institute* 2009; 101(22):1553-1561.
31
32
33 20 (20) De Hertog SAE, Wensveen CAH, Bastiaens MT, Kielich CJ, Berkhout MJP, Westendorp
34 21 RGJ et al. Relation between smoking and skin cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2001;
35 22 19(1):231-238.
36
37 23 (21) Milan T, Verkasalo PK, Kaprio J, Koskenvuo M, Pukkala E. Malignant skin cancers in the
38 24 Finnish Twin Cohort: a population-based study, 1976-97. *British Journal of Dermatology*
39 25 2002; 147(3):509-512.
40
41
42 26 (22) Efird JT, Friedman GD, Sidney S, Klatsky A, Habel LA, Udaltsova NV et al. The risk for
43 27 malignant primary adult-onset glioma in a large, multiethnic, managed-care cohort: cigarette
44 28 smoking and other lifestyle behaviors. *Journal of Neuro-Oncology* 2004; 68(1):57-69.
45
46 29 (23) Silvera SAN, Miller AB, Rohan TE. Cigarette smoking and risk of glioma: A prospective
47 30 cohort study. *International Journal of Cancer* 2006; 118(7):1848-1851.
48
49
50
51
52
53 11
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1 1 (24) Cui Y, Miller AB, Rohan TE. Cigarette smoking and breast cancer risk: update of a
2 2 prospective cohort study. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment* 2006; 100(3):293-299.
3
4
5 3 (25) Chakravarty EF, Michaud K, Wolfe F. Skin cancer, rheumatoid arthritis, and tumor necrosis
6 4 factor inhibitors. *Journal of Rheumatology* 2005; 32(11):2130-2135.
7
8 5 (26) Jensen AO, Olesen AB, Dethlefsen C, Sorensen HT, Karagas MR. Chronic diseases
9 6 requiring hospitalization and risk of non-melanoma skin cancers - A population based study
10 7 from Denmark. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology* 2008; 128(4):926-931.
11
12
13 8 (27) Dix AR, Brooks WH, Roszman TL, Morford LA. Immune defects observed in patients with
14 9 primary malignant brain tumors. *Journal of Neuroimmunology* 1999; 100(1-2):216-232.
15
16 10 (28) Heineman EF, Ward MH, McComb RD, Weisenburger DD, Zahm SH. Hair Dyes and Risk
17 11 of Glioma Among Nebraska Women. *Cancer Causes and Control* 2005; 16(7):857-864.
18
19
20 12 (29) Bluhm EC, Zahm SH, Fine HA, Black PM, Loeffler JS, Shapiro WR et al. Personal Hair
21 13 Dye Use and Risks of Glioma, Meningioma, and Acoustic Neuroma among Adults. *Am J*
22 14 *Epidemiol* 2007; 165(1):63-71.
23
24
25 15 (30) Espinoza F, Silverman D, Kogevinas M, Creus A, Fernandez F, Garcia-Closas M et al.
26 16 Micronuclei assessment in the urothelial cells of women using hair dyes and its modulation
27 17 by genetic polymorphisms. *Cancer Lett* 2008; 263(2):259-266.
28
29 18 (31) Gago-Dominguez M, Castelao JE, Yuan JM, Yu MC, Ross RK. Use of permanent hair dyes
30 19 and bladder-cancer risk. *Int J Cancer* 2001; 91(4):575-579.
31
32
33 20 (32) Zheng T, Holford TR, Mayne ST, Owens PH, Boyle P, Zhang B et al. Use of hair colouring
34 21 products and breast cancer risk: a case-control study in Connecticut. *Eur J Cancer* 2002;
35 22 38(12):1647-1652.
36
37 23 (33) Rabinovich GA, Gabrilovich D, Sotomayor EM. Immunosuppressive strategies that are
38 24 mediated by tumor cells. *Annual Review of Immunology* 2007; 25:267-296.
39
40
41 25 (34) Fecci PE, Mitchell DA, Whitesides JF, Xie WH, Friedman AH, Archer GE et al. Increased
42 26 regulatory T-cell fraction amidst a diminished CD4 compartment explains cellular immune
43 27 defects in patients with malignant glioma. *Cancer Research* 2006; 66(6):3294-3302.
44
45 28
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53 12
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Tables

Table 1. Sex specific distribution of cancer types and contact allergy. The cancer types are sorted ascendingly according to the total number of patients with the respective cancer type. Only cancer types with ≥ 40 patients were included in the logistic regression analyses.

CANCER GROUPS (NORDCAN)	SEX						TOTAL	
	Men			Women				
	No contact allergy	Contact allergy	Total	No contact allergy	Contact allergy	Total		
Pancreas	<u>11</u> 24.4%	<u>4</u> 8.9%	15 33.3%	<u>13</u> 28.9%	<u>17</u> 37.8%	30 66.7%	45 100%	Field Code Changed Deleted: \geq Deleted: Contact Deleted: No contact
Brain/CNS	<u>15</u> 23.8%	<u>7</u> 11.1%	22 34.9%	<u>30</u> 47.6%	<u>11</u> 17.5%	41 65.1%	63 100%	Deleted: Contact Deleted: No contact Deleted: 11
Cervix uteri	n/a	n/a	n/a	<u>34</u> 53.1%	<u>30</u> 46.9%	64 100%	64 100%	Deleted: 7 Deleted: 27
Leukaemia	<u>27</u> 38.0%	<u>7</u> 9.9%	34 47.9%	<u>24</u> 33.8%	<u>13</u> 18.3%	37 52.1%	71 100%	Deleted: Leukemia Deleted: 15
Lip, oral cavity and pharynx	<u>30</u> 41.1%	<u>15</u> 20.5%	45 61.6%	<u>16</u> 21.9%	<u>12</u> 16.4%	28 38.4%	73 100%	Deleted: 30
Corpus uteri	n/a	n/a	n/a	<u>48</u> 55.8%	<u>38</u> 44.2%	86 100%	86 100%	Deleted: 14 Deleted: 41
Rectum and anus	<u>41</u> 40.6%	<u>14</u> 13.9%	55 54.5%	<u>29</u> 28.7%	<u>17</u> 16.8%	46 45.5%	101 100%	Deleted: 11 Deleted: 32
Melanoma of skin	<u>32</u> 30.8%	<u>11</u> 10.6%	43 41.3%	<u>40</u> 38.5%	<u>21</u> 20.2%	61 58.7%	104 100%	Deleted: 36 Deleted: 86
Prostate	<u>86</u> 70.5%	<u>36</u> 29.5%	122 100%	n/a	n/a	n/a	122 100%	Deleted: 9 Deleted: 43
Colon	<u>43</u> 34.7%	<u>9</u> 7.3%	52 41.9%	<u>43</u> 34.7%	<u>29</u> 23.4%	72 58.1%	124 100%	Deleted: 63 Deleted: 26
Bladder etc.	<u>63</u> 45.3%	<u>33</u> 23.7%	96 69.1%	<u>21</u> 15.1%	<u>22</u> 15.8%	43 30.9%	139 100%	Deleted: 83 Deleted: 23
Lung	<u>83</u> 43.2%	<u>26</u> 13.5%	109 56.8%	<u>41</u> 21.4%	<u>42</u> 21.9%	83 43.2%	192 100%	Deleted: 83 Deleted: 23
Colorectal	<u>83</u> 37.2%	<u>23</u> 10.3%	106 47.5%	<u>71</u> 31.8%	<u>46</u> 20.6%	117 52.5%	223 100%	Deleted: 83 Deleted: 72
Breast	0	0	0	<u>248</u> 62.2%	<u>151</u> 37.8%	399 100%	399 100%	Deleted: 203 Deleted: 209
Skin, non-melanoma	<u>203</u> 28.0%	<u>72</u> 9.9%	275 37.9%	<u>284</u> 39.2%	<u>166</u> 22.9%	450 62.1%	725 100%	Deleted: 531
All sites but non-melanoma skin cancer	<u>531</u> 28.6%	<u>209</u> 11.3%	740 39.9%	<u>663</u> 35.7%	<u>452</u> 24.4%	1,115 60.1%	1,855 100%	Deleted: 1,594 Deleted: 519
Total(allergy database)	<u>4,519</u> 26.7%	<u>1,594</u> 9.4%	6,113 36.1%	<u>4,471</u> 26.4%	<u>6,338</u> 37.5%	10,809 63.9%	16,922 100%	

Table
Logistic analysis for the individual cancer group adjusted for age and sex. The last six rows are the final analysis outcome with the interaction variable and the significant cancer groups.

Field Code Changed
Deleted: The final analysis outcome including the interaction variable Brain/CNS*Sex, all adjusted for age and sex¶
 Cancer groups (NORDCAN) ... [11]

Cancer groups (NORDCAN)	p-value	OR	CI 95%
Pancreas	0.184	1.50	0.83–2.72
Brain/CNS	0.159	0.66	0.38–1.16
Cervix uteri	0.503	1.18	0.73–1.94
Leukaemia	0.233	0.73	0.43–1.23
Lip, oral cavity and pharynx	0.496	1.18	0.73–1.92
Corpus uteri	0.797	1.06	0.69–1.62
Rectum and anus	0.470	0.85	0.55–1.31
Melanoma of skin	0.262	0.79	0.51–1.20
Prostate	0.455	1.16	0.78–1.73
Colon	0.215	0.78	0.53–1.15
Bladder etc.	0.039	1.44	1.02–2.05
Lung	0.720	1.06	0.78–1.43
Colorectal	0.187	0.82	0.61–1.10
Breast	0.031	0.80	0.65–0.98
Skin, non-melanoma	0.020	0.82	0.70–0.97
All sites but non-melanoma skin cancer	0.415	0.96	0.86–1.06
Final logistic analysis			
Bladder etc.	0.040	1.44	1.02–2.05
Breast	0.035	0.80	0.65–0.98
Skin, non-melanoma	0.021	0.83	0.70–0.97
Brain/CNS	0.513	1.35	0.55–3.33
Brain/CNS *Sex	0.080	0.36	0.12–1.13

review only

1
2
3 **Page 7: [1] Deleted**

Forfatter

4
5
6
7 We chose to use logistic regression analyses for several reasons. First, the time span from
8 the development of contact allergy to the diagnosis was established is unknown and
9 likewise for cancer. Second, our objective was not to establish causality but rather to test
10 whether a skewed immune system towards delayed type hypersensitivity is positively or
11 negatively associated with cancer. Third, we have with success applied this type of
12 regression analysis in previous studies investigating diabetes and inflammatory bowel
13 disease (
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 **Page 8: [2] Deleted**

Forfatter

25
26
27 The present study is unique in the sense that it is only possibly to perform record cross-
28 linkage of registers due to the personal identifier given to all Danish citizens at birth or at
29 immigration. Furthermore, the allergen database is composed of patients patch tested at a
30 hospital in the capital region of Denmark, a region where there is limited industrial
31 exposure due to pesticide manufacturing, synthetic rubber processing, petrochemical
32 refinery etc. that might lead to cancer. Instead many of the patients with contact allergy
33 are allergic to cosmetic ingredients and metals from jewellery which gives no immediate
34 confounding due to working conditions known to cause cancer. A negative patch test
35 response might be due to tolerance of the individual as most individuals are exposed to
36 contact allergens, and a positive patch test response could therefore be implicative of an
37 efficient immune system lending support to the immunosurveillance hypothesis. The
38 positive association to bladder cancer might be due to accumulations of metabolites from
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 contact allergens in the bladder, which is somewhat supported by a study showing an
4
5 increased frequency of micronuclei in urothelial cells of hair dye users
6
7

8
9 **Page 8: [3] Deleted**

Forfatter

10
11
12 We cannot account for smoking in our study, although smoking may increase the risk of
13
14 developing nickel contact allergy and some types of cancer
15
16

17
18 **Page 8: [4] Deleted**

Forfatter

19
20 . However, we do not find any association to lung or oral cancers, which are positively
21
22 associated with smoking
23

24
25 **Page 8: [5] Deleted**

Forfatter

26
27 . We do however find a positive association with bladder cancer that could be somewhat
28
29 caused by smoking, as smoking is a known risk factor for bladder cancer
30

31
32 **Page 8: [6] Deleted**

Forfatter

33
34 . Smoking can additionally be a risk factor for non-melanoma skin cancer
35

36
37 **Page 8: [7] Deleted**

Forfatter

38
39 , however as these cancer types were inversely associated with contact allergy , a bias
40
41 caused by smoking would therefore rather have weakened the association. Most of
42
43 contact allergy patients have been treated intermittently with topical steroids and only a
44
45 minority with systemic immunosuppressants. The later treatment may be associated with
46
47 non-melanoma skin cancer as TNF- α -inhibitors and prednisone have been shown to
48
49 increase the risk of non-melanoma skin cancer in rheumatoid arthritis patients
50

51
52 **Page 8: [8] Deleted**

Forfatter

53
54 . Additionally, a study on squamous cell carcinoma found a positive association in
55
56 patients hospitalized for chronic diseases including skin disease and among these allergic
57
58 contact dermatitis
59
60

1
2
3
4 **Page 8: [9] Deleted**

Forfatter

5 . In our study, we found an inverse association between contact allergy and non-
6 melanoma skin cancer, and treatment bias could possibly have weakened this inverse
7 association
8

9
10 Self-reported contact eczema have previously been found to be inversely correlated to
11 glioma and meningioma
12
13
14
15

16
17
18 **Page 9: [10] Deleted**

Forfatter

19 ; however we find an inverse association even though hair dyeing is a risk factor for
20 development of e.g. p-phenylenediamine (PPD) contact allergy. Hair dyeing may
21 additionally be a risk factor for the development of bladder cancer ^{18;33}. In our study we
22 find a positive association between contact allergy and bladder cancer, which may be
23 caused by PPD contact allergy. Hair dyeing does not appear to be related with breast
24 cancer ³⁴. It would have been interesting if we could have analyzed possible association
25 between specific allergens and the significant cancer types, in the current dataset.
26 However, we do not have enough power to analyze these associations.
27

28
29 Different hypothesis have been suggested to explain the association between allergy and
30 cancer. To explain positive associations the “antigenic stimulation” hypothesis has been
31 suggested, in which it is speculated that the increased stimulation of cell growth in
32 allergy and chronic inflammation increase the likelihood of mutation of dividing stem
33 cells and malignant proliferation ⁵. To explain inverse associations, the
34 immunosurveillance hypothesis has been suggested in which the allergic symptoms is the
35 side effect of hyperimmunity and are therefore not the result of causation. Additionally,
36 tumours may suppress the immune system systemically and in the microenvironment of
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

the tumour ³⁵, as seen for glioma patients that have a lower count of CD4+T cells overall and an increased fraction of CD4+FOXP3+T cells in the remaining fraction ³⁶

Page 14: [11] Deleted

Forfatter

The final analysis outcome including the interaction variable Brain/CNS*Sex, all adjusted for age and sex

Cancer groups (NORDCAN)	ICD7	ICD10	p-value	OR	CI 95%
Bladder etc.	181	C65-68+ D09.0 + D41.4	0.040	1.44	1.02 - 2.05
Breast	170	C50	0.035	0.80	0.65 - 0.98
Skin, non-melanoma	191	C44+C46.0	0.021	0.83	0.70 - 0.97
Brain/CNS	193	C70-72+D32-33+D42-43	0.513	1.35	0.55 - 3.33
Brain/CNS *Sex	-	-	0.080	0.36	0.12 - 1.13

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cohort studies*

	Item No	Recommendation
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract Yes "a linkage Study" in the title
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found Yes
Introduction		
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported Yes, explain that type 1 allergy associations have previously been reported and that
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses YES
Methods		
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper YES
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection YES
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up YES
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable YES
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group YES
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias YES
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at YES
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why YES
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding YES
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions YES
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed N/A
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed N/A
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses N/A

Results		
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed YES were applicable (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage N/A (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not used
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders Not possible (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest Register data (c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount) N/A
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time YES
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included YES (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized No continuous variable (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period N/A
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses YES
Discussion		
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives YES
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias YES
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence YES
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results YES
Other information		
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based No specific funding for the present paper, but 2 general grants, mentioned in the Acknowledgments section

1 *Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.
2
3

4 **Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and
5 published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely
6 available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at
7 <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is
8 available at <http://www.strobe-statement.org>.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only