## $\Gamma$  in  $\Gamma$  is a supporting  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  Thiennimitr et al. 10.1073/pnas.1107857108



Fig. S1. Contribution of ethanolamine utilization to colonization in the typhoid mouse model versus the mouse colitis model. (A) Representative image (80×) of H&E-stained cecal sections from the mouse typhoid model. Note the predominant monocytic infiltrate. (Scale bar, 50 μm.) (B) Mice (mouse typhoid model) were orally inoculated with an equal mixture of the Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium (S. Typhimurium) wild-type (wt) eutC mutant (PT100). Four days after infection, bacteria were recovered from the tissues, as indicated, and the competitive index (CI) calculated. CoC, colon content; CeC cecal content; PP, Peyer's patches; MNL, mesenteric lymph node; S, spleen; L, liver. (C) Representative image (80×) of H&E-stained cecal sections from the mouse colitis model. Note the exudative inflammation and concomitant neutrophilic infiltration. (Scale bar, 50 μm.) (D) Mice (mouse colitis model) were orally inoculated with an equal mixture of the S. Typhimurium wild-type (wt) and the eutC mutant (PT100) (competition 1) or an invA spiB mutant and a invA spiB eutC mutant (competition 2). Data represent the geometric mean from four animals  $\pm$  SE.

A



\*Number of cells per high power field (400X)



Fig. S2. Analysis of cecal inflammation in the mouse model of Salmonella-induced colitis. (A) Chart listing criteria for the scoring of H&E-stained cecal sections. (B) Detailed representation of the histopathological scoring for each individual animal shown in Figs. 2A and 4A. For data shown in the three panels to the left, mice were inoculated with mixtures of the following strains: wild-type (IR715) vs. eutC mutant (PT100); invA spiB mutant (SPN452) vs. invA spiB eutC mutant (PT102); or ttrA mutant (SW661) vs. ttrA eutC mutant (PT106).



Fig. S3. The eut gene cluster confers a growth advantage during anaerobic growth in ethanolamine broth (A) and in the mouse colitis model (B). (A) Competitive indices of the following S. Typhimurium strains are shown (from left to right): restored eutC (PT238) vs. eutC mutant (PT100); phoN mutant (AJB715) vs. ΔeutC::FRT mutant (TT26355); phoN hisG eutC (F′ 606) mutant (PT251) vs. hisG eutC (F′ pPT5) mutant (TT26358); wild-type (IR715) vs. phoN mutant (AJB715). Bacterial numbers were determined 24 h after anaerobic growth with ethanolamine as the sole carbon source in the presence (black bars) or absence (white bars) of tetrathionate (S<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub><sup>2-</sup>). Data represent the geometric mean  $\pm$  SE. (B) Competitive indices of S. Typhimurium strains recovered from the colon content of streptomycin-pretreated mice (C57BL/6) 4 d after infection (mouse colitis model).



Fig. S4. Polarity of eutC::pPT5 and ∆eutC::FRT mutations on expression of downstream genes. Expression levels of the eutLKR genes, which are located downstream of the eutC gene, were determined by quantitative real-time PCR with primers listed in Table S2. Each experiment was repeated three times independently. Data represent geometric mean  $\pm$  SE of mRNA levels detected for eutL (black bars), eutK (gray bars), and eutR (open bars) relative to mRNA levels detected for these genes in the S. Typhimurium wild-type strain (IR715), which were set to 100%. A strain lacking the entire eut operon (TT26354) was used as a negative control.



Fig. S5. Ethanolamine utilization genes confer a growth advantage in streptomycin-pretreated genetically resistant (129/svJ) mice. Competitive indices of S. Typhimurium strains recovered from the fecal pellets (days 6–12) and colon contents (day 15). Data represent the geometric mean  $\pm$  SE.



Fig. S6. Quantification of ethanolamine in colonic extracts by LC-MS/MS. (A) Structural formula of fragments of derivatized ethanolamine ([N-dansyl]ethanolamine). (B) Representative single-ion monitoring scan spectra of the parent ions depicted in A. (C) Representative elution profile of transition 295/280; [N-dansyl]ethanolamine breakdown product.





1. Stojiljkovic I, Bäumler AJ, Heffron F (1995) Ethanolamine utilization in Salmonella typhimurium: Nucleotide sequence, protein expression, and mutational analysis of the cchA cchB eutE eutJ eutG eutH gene cluster. J Bacteriol 177:1357-1366.

2. Raffatellu M, et al. (2009) Lipocalin-2 resistance confers an advantage to Salmonella enterica serotype typhimurium for growth and survival in the inflamed intestine. Cell Host Microbe 5:476-486.

3. Winter SE, et al. (2010) Gut inflammation provides a respiratory electron acceptor for Salmonella. Nature 467:426-429.

4. Kingsley RA, et al. (2003) Molecular and phenotypic analysis of the CS54 island of Salmonella enterica serotype typhimurium: Identification of intestinal colonization and persistence determinants. Infect Immun 71:629-640.

5. Kofoid E, Rappleye C, Stojiljkovic I, Roth J (1999) The 17-gene ethanolamine (eut) operon of Salmonella typhimurium encodes five homologues of carboxysome shell proteins. J Bacteriol 181:5317-5329.

6. Penrod JT, Roth JR (2006) Conserving a volatile metabolite: A role for carboxysome-like organelles in Salmonella enterica. J Bacteriol 188:2865-2874. 7. Kinder SA, Badger JL, Bryant GO, Pepe JC, Miller VL (1993) Cloning of the YenI restriction endonuclease and methyltransferase from Yersinia enterocolitica serotype O8 and construction of a transformable R-M+ mutant. Gene 136:271-275.

8. Roof DM, Roth JR (1992) Autogenous regulation of ethanolamine utilization by a transcriptional activator of the eut operon in Salmonella typhimurium. J Bacteriol 174:6634-6643.

## Table S2. Primers used in this study

ANA PNAS

