## **Supporting Information for:**

## Synthesis of a fluorogenic cyclooctyne activated by Cu-free click chemistry

John C. Jewett and Carolyn R. Bertozzi\*

Departments of Chemistry and Molecular and Cell Biology and Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720.

## **General Synthetic Procedures.**

All chemical reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Acros and TCI chemicals and used without purification unless noted otherwise. Anhydrous DMF and MeOH were purchased from Aldrich or Acros in sealed bottles; all other solvents were purified as described by Pangborn *et al.*<sup>1</sup> In all cases, magnesium sulfate was used as a drying agent and solvent was removed by reduced pressure with a Buchi Rotovapor R-114 equipped with a Welch self-cleaning dry vacuum. Products were further dried by reduced pressure with an Edwards RV5 high vacuum. Thin layer chromatography was performed EMD Silica Gel 60 F<sub>254</sub> plates. Unless otherwise specified, R<sub>f</sub> values are reported in the solvent system the reaction was monitored in. Flash chromatography was performed using Silicycle SiliaFlash<sup>®</sup> P60 230-400 mesh silica.

All <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra are reported in ppm and referenced to solvent peaks. Spectra were obtain on Bruker AVQ-400<sup>®</sup>, AVB-400<sup>®</sup>, DRX-500<sup>®</sup>, AV-500<sup>®</sup>, or AV-600<sup>®</sup> instruments. High resolution electrospray ionization (ESI) mass spectra were obtained from the UC Berkeley Mass Spectrometry Facility.

## Synthesis of Compounds



To a slurry of 4-methoxyphenylhydrazine•HCl (7.63 g, 43.7 mmol) and 1-indanone (5.79 g, 43.7 mmol) in ethanol (175 mL) was added acetic acid (0.15 mL). The solution was fitted with a reflux condenser and heated to 85 °C with stirring for 14 hours. The solution became clear and red/orange after ~10 minutes, but at ~1 h precipitate was observed to be forming. After the 14 hours was complete the solution was cooled in an ice bath and subsequently vacuum filtered and dried under vacuum. The light tan solid (9.10 g, 38.7 mmol, 88%) was pure by NMR and TLC and was consistent with literature precedent.<sup>2</sup> It was used without further purification. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.64 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 5.5 Hz, 2H), 7.02 (s, 1H), 6.78 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (s, 3H), 3.65 (s, 2H). HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>14</sub>ON [M+H]<sup>+</sup>: 236.1070); found: 236.1070.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pangborn, A.B.; Giardello, M.A.; Grubbs, R.H.; Rosen, R.K.; Timmers, F.J. *Organometallics* **1996**, *15*, 1518.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Brown, D. W.; Graupner, P. R.; Sainsbury, M.; Shertzer, H. G. *Tetrahedron* **1991**, *47*, 4383-4408.



To a solution of 8 (2.70 g, 11.5 mmol) in THF (100 mL) was added *n*-BuLi (14.3 mmol, 1.6 M in hexanes, 23 mmol) over 40 min via syringe pump at 0 °C. After an additional 30 minutes TBSCl (1.76 g, 11.7 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was added over 5 minutes to the red solution. The solution was allowed to warm up to room temperature and stir for 30 minutes at room temperature (at which point the red color dissipated) and subsequently cooled back to 0 °C for 30 minutes. MeI (1.5 mL, excess) was added to the solution and it was allowed to warm to room temperature and stir overnight. The following morning the solution was concentrated to an orange oil which was purified by silica gel column chromatography (20:1 to 10:1 hexanes: ethyl acetate, product  $R_f \sim 0.45$  in 10:1) to give the desired compound, 9, as an off-white solid (2.23 g, 6.14 mmol, 53%). The desired compound is not completely stable to silica gel column chromatography (decomposition is noted on 2D TLC plates). Note, the non-silvlated compound is located just below the desired compound. Additionally, if care is not taken to ensure red color is completely quenched by the TBSCl, methylation of the benzylic position can occur. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta 7.64 \text{ (d, } I = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 7.56 \text{ (d, } I = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 7.30 \text{ (t, } I = 7.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$ 1H), 7.21-7.16 (m, 3H), 6.90 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.56 (s, 1H), 0.48 (s, 9H), 0.33 (s, 3H), 0.31 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 153.63, 150.68, 143.71, 137.41, 133.80, 125.20, 125.12, 124.00, 123.49, 123.42, 117.62, 110.37, 110.05, 102.74, 55.83, 35.67, 31.02, 27.00, 18.34, -3.54, -3.63. HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>30</sub>ONSi [M+H]<sup>+</sup>: 364.2091; found: 364.2092



To a solution of **9** (680. mg, 1.87 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (20 mL) was added ethylbenzoylacetate (0.64 mL, 3.8 mmol) followed by TsOH (0.66 mL, 7.5 mmol) at room temperature. Upon addition of the acid the solution became dark brown. After 5 days the reaction was diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (30 mL) and neutralized with NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (20 mL, sat. aq.). The organic layer was separated and dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and to the solution was added silica gel. The slurry was concentrated in vacuo and loaded onto the top of a silica gel column (loaded with 6:1 hexanes : ethyl acetate). A gradient column 6:1 to 4:1 hexanes : ethyl acetate was used to isolate starting material **9** (255 mg, 38% recovered,  $R_f \sim 0.7$  in 2:1 H:EA), and the desired product, **10**, as a yellow solid (385 mg, 43%, 69% based on recovered sm,  $R_f \sim 0.3$  in 2:1 H:EA, highly fluorescent yellow on TLC with 350nm lamp). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.70 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.60-7.49 (m, 7H), 7.33 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (s, 1H), 7.26 (dd, *J* = 7.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.27 (s, 1H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 3.56 (s, 1H), 0.42 (s, 9H), 0.28 (s, 3H), 0.25 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 162.14, 156.61, 151.67, 148.38, 148.05, 139.35, 136.46, 132.55, 129.47, 128.90, 128.59, 126.18, 125.49, 125.22, 123.34, 118.72, 113.43, 112.23, 106.76, 106.32, 35.89, 31.43, 26.88, 18.32, - 3.63, -3.75. HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>2</sub>NSi [M+1]<sup>+</sup>: 478.2197; found: 478.2198



To a 0 °C solution of **10** (330. mg, 0.69 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (30 mL) and NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (7 mL, sat. aq.) was added *m*CPBA (400 mg, 75%, 1.7 mmol) portionwise over 3 minutes. The solution was stirred at 0 °C for 30 minutes and then warmed to room temperature for an additional 30 minutes of stirring. The yellow solution lost some of its vellow color as the reaction progressed. The reaction was diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$ (20 mL) and worked up with 1 N NaOH (25 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated to yield an off white solid that was pure enough for the next step (300. mg, 85%). This compound is stable to silica gel column chromatography and can be purified using hexanes and ethyl acetate ( $R_f \sim 0.65$  in 2:1 hexanes : ethyl acetate) on the silica gel column if so desired. The yield of the following step was not affected by using the unpurified compound provided it was pure by NMR. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.57-7.51 (m, 3H), 7.33-7.30 (m, 3H), 7.23-7.18 (m, 1H), 7.16-7.13 (m, 3H), 6.91 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.36 (s, 1H), 3.95 (s, 1H), 3.27 (s, 3H), 1.00 (s, 9H), 0.20 (s, 3H), -0.27 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 204.78, 170.53, 159.70, 154.27, 153.43, 142.97, 136.46, 136.39, 136.25, 134.35, 130.57, 130.54, 130.38, 129.39, 128.92, 128.38, 128.32, 127.07, 124.09, 120.77, 116.76, 115.33, 54.03, 37.48, 27.41, 26.93, 18.29, -5.46, -5.87. HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>4</sub>NSi [m+1]<sup>+</sup>: 510.2095; found: 510.2096.



To a -78 °C solution of **11** (300. mg, 0.60 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was added KHMDS (0.5 M in toluene, 1.32 mL, 0.66 mmol) dropwise. After stirring for 30 minutes at -78 °C Tf<sub>2</sub>O (0.11 mL, 0.63 mmol) was added dropwise to the red/orange solution. The color went away upon addition and after an additional 30 minutes of stirring at low temperature the reaction was diluted with  $Et_2O$  (40 mL) and quenched with NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (sat. aq., 10 mL) as it warmed to room temperature. The organic layer was separated from the aqueous and the aqueous layer was further extracted with a 1:1 mixture of hexanes:ethyl acetate (40 mL). The organic layers were combined and

dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The compound was purified using silica gel column chromatography with a gradient of 4:1  $\rightarrow$  3:1 hexanes:ethyl acetate to yield an off-white solid (R<sub>f</sub> ~ 0.55 in 2:1 hexanes:ethyl acetate) which was a 9:1 mixture of desired product to starting ketone (178 mg total, therefore 165 mg desired product by NMR ratio, 0.26 mmol, 43%). These two compounds were seemingly inseparable by TLC and silica gel chromatography. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.55-7.51 (m, 3H), 7.35-7.33 (m, 2H), 7.24-7.17 (m, 4H), 7.16-7.12 (m, 2H), 6.90 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.37 (s, 1H), 3.37 (s, 3H), 1.00 (s, 9H), 0.31 (s, 3H), 0.12 (s, 3H). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (565 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -74.55. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  170.25, 159.43, 154.08, 151.84, 148.07, 140.52, 138.39, 135.86, 135.39, 134.51, 134.17, 130.39, 129.34, 128.88, 128.33, 127.73, 127.36, 123.73, 121.17, 117.17, 60.44, 37.60, 27.36, 18.70, -3.48, -3.61.



To a 0 °C solution of **12** (120. mg, 0.19 mmol) in THF (10. mL) was added TBAF (1.0 M in THF) dropwise. The solution went dark red upon addition of the fluoride source. After 10 minutes the solution was warmed to room temperature and stirred for an additional 30 minutes at room temperature. The solution was diluted with ethyl acetate (30 mL) and washed with NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (sat. aq., 15 mL). The organic layer was separated, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude yellow oil was purified by silica gel column chromatography with a gradient of 3:1  $\rightarrow$  2:1 hexanes : ethyl acetate to yield **3** as an off-white solid (20.4 mg, 0.054 mmol, 28%, R<sub>f</sub> = 0.50 in 1:1 hexanes : ethyl acetate, just above the non-silylated ketone). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.71 (s, 1H), 7.59-7.54 (m, 4H), 7.50-7.46 (m, 4H), 7.43-7.39 (m, 2H), 6.43 (s, 1H), 2.70 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  176.65, 160.12, 155.12, 153.46, 151.82, 149.53, 134.76, 130.14, 129.84, 129.78, 129.27, 128.58, 127.28, 127.00, 126.11, 125.83, 121.50, 119.86, 116.34, 116.04, 113.29, 107.07, 39.01. HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>3</sub>N [m+1]<sup>+</sup>: 378.1125; found: 378.1128.



Upon mixing coumBARAC (**3**, 2 mg, 5  $\mu$ mol) with 2-azidoethanol (50  $\mu$ L, 1 M solution in H<sub>2</sub>O) in MeOH / H<sub>2</sub>O (1 mL, 1:1) two new, more polar spots were observed by TLC. After 15 minutes the solvent was removed in vacuo and product was purified by silica gel column chromatograph two give the triazole products (1.1 mg, higher R<sub>f</sub> spot, 0.5 in pure ethyl acetate, and 1.3 mg of the lower R<sub>f</sub> spot). These

ratios nearly matched the 43:57 ratio observed by NMR integration of the crude reaction mixture. *Less polar triazole*: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.62-7.56 (m, 3H), 7.52 (s, 1H), 7.51-7.47 (m, 2H), 7.43 (dd, *J* = 10.6, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (s, 1H), 6.43 (s, 1H), 4.71 (ddd, *J* = 14.1, 5.1, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (ddd, *J* = 14.1, 7.8, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 4.19-4.13 (m, 1H), 4.13-4.08 (m, 1H), 3.14 (s, 3H), 2.76 (t, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 1H). *More polar triazole*: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.62-7.55 (m, 5H), 7.47-7.44 (m, 3H), 7.42-7.39 (m, 2H), 7.34-7.29 (m, 1H), 6.41 (s, 3H), 4.64 (ddd, *J* = 14.2, 7.7, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (ddd, *J* = 14.1, 5.5, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 4.32-4.23 (m, 2H), 3.18 (s, 3H), 2.58-2.53 (br t, 1H). HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>21</sub>O<sub>4</sub>N<sub>4</sub> [m+1]<sup>+</sup>: 465.1557; found: 465.1560.

**Spectroscopic Materials and Methods.** All spectroscopic measurements of coumBARAC and the triazole products were performed in a mixture of 1% DMSO in PBS buffer, pH 7.4. Fluorescence spectra were recorded on a Photon Technology International Quanta Master 4 L-format scanning spectrofluorometer equipped with an LPS-220B 75-W xenon lamp and power supply, A-1010B lamp housing with an integrated igniter, switchable 814 photon counting/analog photomultiplier detection unit, and MD5020 motor driver. Samples for emission measurements were contained in a 1-cm x 0.1-cm quartz cuvette. Absorption spectra were recorded using an Agilent 8453 spectrophotometer.

Quantum yield was determined using a reference sample of quinine sulfate (QS, 1 mM solution in 0.1 M  $H_2SO_4$  from Invitrogen) diluted into 0.5 M  $H_2SO_4$ . For coumBARAC, triazole products and QS, the absorbance spectra were measured within an absorbance range of 0.01 to 0.1. The quantum yield was calculated as an average of 5 points according to the equation:

 $\Phi_{\text{sample}} = \Phi_{\text{QS}} (A_{\text{QS}} / A_{\text{sample}}) (F_{\text{sample}} / F_{\text{QS}}) (\eta_{\text{sample}} / \eta_{\text{standard}})^2;$ 

where  $\Phi$  is the quantum yield,  $\Phi_{QS} = 0.55$  in 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, "A" is the absorbance at the excitation frequency, "F" is the area under the emission curve , and  $\eta$  is the refractive index of the solvent.<sup>3</sup> The refractive indexes of the solutions were assumed to be equal.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Fery-Forgues, S.; Lavabre, D. J. Chem. Ed. **1999**, 76, 1260-1264.

~3.91 ~3.86 -3.56 ~0.48 ~0.33 TBS MeO 9 м́е 7.7 7.6 7.5 7.4 7.3 7.2 7.1 7.0 6.9 6.8 f1 (ppm) 00.1 9.37 3.01 3.08 3.12-3.22-3.08-1.08-0.96-0 6.5 6.0 5.5 5.0 4.5 4.0 3.5 f1 (ppm) 1.0 0.5 0.0 3.0 2.5 9.5 7.5 7.0 2.0 1.5 -0.5 -1 9.0 8.5 8.0  $\begin{array}{c} -153.63\\ -150.68\\ -143.71\\ -133.40\\ -133.80\\ -133.80\\ -133.80\\ -133.80\\ -133.80\\ -133.40\\ -133.40\\ -102.74\\ -102.74\end{array}$  $\underbrace{\{77.41\}_{77.16}$ ~35.67 31.02 27.00  $< \frac{3.54}{-3.63}$ 126 125 124 123 11 (ppm) TBS MeO 9 Me 230 220 210 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 fl (ppm)

20.28 20.28 20.25 TBS Me Ρh 10 7.7 7.6 7.5 7.4 f1 (ppm) 7.3 7.2 2.98 <del>-</del> 0.96 -9.86 3.01 ¥ 3.22 ¥ 2.47 2.47 1.16 1.16 0.87-5.0 4.5 f1 (ppm) 4.0 3.5 0.5 9.5 9.0 8.5 8.0 7.5 7.0 6.5 6.0 5.5 3.0 2.5 2.0 1.5 1.0 0.0 -0.5 182.14 183.66 194.83 194.93 19 ∼35.89 −31.43 ∽26.88 −18.32  $<^{-3.63}_{-3.75}$ TBS 0 Me Ρh 10 DCM ner and we we make we have been and a stand with the standard of the second and the second stand and the second an an in the har of the second and the second s in a china a c 220 210 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 -10 -20 50 40 30 20 10 ò

--0.20 --3.27 -1.00 TBS Me 0 Ρh 7,3 7.2 7.1 7.0 6.9 f1 (ppm) 7.6 7.5 7.4 EA ΕA ΕA 3.12 3.12 1.08 2.98 1.00 4 3.04⊣ 3.02H 0.97 1.00-≖ 3.05⊣± 5.0 4.5 4.0 f1 (ppm) 9.5 9.0 8.5 8.0 7.5 7.0 6.5 6.0 5.5 3.5 3.0 2.5 2.0 1.5 1.0 0.5 0.0 -0.5 -1. 142.37 136.46 136.46 136.46 136.55 145.55 15 ~159.70 ∠154.27 ∠153.43 -204.78 ---54.03 <27.41 <26.93 --18.29 < -5.46< -5.87TBS Me Ne Ρh ő 11 DCM withinkkowania a shaka l da kulu indulta da kulua da kulu da ku kan di Mandalah na matan kana ang kananan kaning kata han bina bina ing katang ka 210 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 f1 (ppm) 80 30 20 -10 70 60 50 40 10 ò







