QM/MM STUDIES OF HAIRPIN RIBOZYME SELF-CLEAVAGE SUGGEST THE FEASIBILITY OF MULTIPLE COMPETING REACTION MECHANISMS

Vojtěch Mlýnský, Pavel Banáš, Nils G. Walter, Jiří Šponer, and Michal Otyepka

1) Parameters of reaction intermediates

Two pentahedral phosphorane intermediates (IN-pro-S_PH, IN-pro-R_PH) were used as nonstandard residues in molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. The partial atomic charges for adenine/guanine (A/G) pentahedral intermediates protonated on pro-S_P or pro-R_P nonbridging oxygens were obtained by the restrained electrostatic potential (RESP) fit procedure at HF/6-31G(d) level of theory according to the scheme of Cornell et al.^{1,2} The model compound contained A/G pentahedral intermediate capped by 5'-methylphosphate and 3'methylphosphate termini (Figure S1). The geometry of the A/G RNA strand carrying the pentahedral phosphorane was taken from our preliminary QM/MM calculations. This model was protonated on pro-S_P and pro-R_P nonbridging oxygens, respectively, and all hydrogen atoms were geometrically optimized at HF/6-31G(d) level of theory using the Gaussian03 program.³ All heavy atoms were fixed in their original positions. Subsequently, the partial atomic charges were determined using RESP procedure at HF/6-31G(d) level of theory. New residue was defined for A-1 and G+1 connected by pentahedral phosphorane. The obtained partial charges were used for atoms of sugar-phosphate backbone between A(C2', C3') and G(C4') carrying the pentahedral phosphorane, while the parm99 charges were used for the remaining atoms. The residual fractional charge was spread equally to all atoms of A/G intermediate residue. Non-zero van der Waals parameters ($r_0=0.6$ Å, $\sigma=0.0157$ kcal/mol) of hydrogen atom (HX) bound to non-bridging oxygen of the scissile phosphate together with P-OH-HX angle parameters ($k_{\theta} = 100 \text{ kcal/mol}\text{Å}^2$, $\theta_0 = 108.5^\circ$) were used to retain a reasonable conformational behavior of protonated nonbridging oxygen in phosphorane intermediates. The other missing bonding parameters were derived by analogy from parm99 force field.



Figure S1: Left panel shows the structure of the non-standard residue and right panel the model, used for calculation of RESP charges. Whereas the green inset on the left panel illustrates atoms with default parm99 charges, the red inset shows atoms, which partial charges were derived from the RESP procedure.

a) AMBER prep file for phosphorane intermediate with protonated pro- S_P (O1P) oxygen (IN-pro- S_PH)

0	0	2						
This	isaı	remar	k lin	е				
molec	ule.re	es						
IN1	XYZ	0						
CHANG	Е	OMIT	DU	BEG				
0.0	000							
1	DUMM	DU	М		999.000	999.0	-999.0	.00000
2	DUMM	DU	М		999.000	-999.0	999.0	.00000
3	DUMM	DU	М		-999.000	999.0	999.0	.00000
4	P1	P	М		12.609000	1.116000	1.757000	1.15830
5	01P	02	Ε		12.953000	2.380000	2.497000	-0.78390
б	O2P	02	E		11.729000	0.078000	2.381000	-0.78390
7	05 '	OS	М		14.020000	0.387000	1.294000	-0.50680
8	C5 '	СТ	М		14.082000	-0.919000	-0.052000	0.04790
9	H5'1	Hl	Е		1.129000	-2.609000	-1.006000	0.06000
10	Н5 ' 2	Hl	E		2.668000	-2.564000	-1.854000	0.06000
11	C4 '	СТ	М		2.766000	-1.848000	0.163000	0.09860
12	H4 '	Hl	E		2.549000	-2.226000	1.153000	0.10950
13	04 '	OS	S		4.209000	-1.769000	0.007000	-0.36270
14	C1'	СТ	В		17.346000	-0.004000	-0.468000	0.03150
15	H1'	H2	E		17.904000	-0.122000	-1.397000	0.19280
16	N9	N*	S		17.639000	1.363000	0.062000	-0.03300
17	C8	CK	В		16.831000	2.254000	0.786000	0.19270
18	Н8	Н5	E		15.778000	2.054000	0.918000	0.14740
19	N7	NB	S		17.499000	3.303000	1.171000	-0.61520
20	C5	CB	S		18.779000	3.188000	0.605000	0.04360
21	CG	CA	В		20.023000	3.874000	0.619000	0.69300

22	Nб	N2	В	20.319000	5.031000	1.153000	-0.90980
23	H61	Η	Е	21.182000	5.472000	0.869000	0.40360
24	Н62	Η	Е	19.663000	5.604000	1.665000	0.40360
25	Nl	NC	S	21.098000	3.367000	-0.036000	-0.76940
26	C2	CQ	В	21.019000	2.136000	-0.589000	0.57960
27	Н2	H5	Е	21.933000	1.880000	-1.103000	0.03940
28	N3	NC	S	19.855000	1.490000	-0.817000	-0.70760
29	C4	СВ	Е	18.818000	2.063000	-0.172000	0.29740
30	C3 '	СТ	М	2.237000	-0.397000	0.038000	0.01573
31	НЗ'	H1	Е	1.932000	-0.180000	-0.976000	0.04001
32	C2 '	СТ	В	3.424000	0.490000	0.527000	0.30115
33	Н2'1	H1	Е	3.586000	1.355000	-0.106000	0.03906
34	02 '	OX	Е	3.104000	0.896000	1.844000	-0.61791
35	03'	OS	М	1.075000	-0.183000	0.856000	-0.24735
36	P2	Ρ	М	1.298000	0.403000	2.441000	0.94910
37	01P2	OH	S	1.941000	-0.613000	3.508000	-0.59197
38	H1P2	HX	E	1.215000	-0.919000	4.043000	0.43897
39	02P2	02	E	0.903000	1.853000	2.824000	-0.67713
40	05x	OX	М	-0.540000	-0.205000	2.776000	-0.57352
41	C5x	СТ	M	-1.068000	-1.504000	2.449000	-0.09649
42	H5x1	н1	E	-0.965000	-2.166000	3.310000	0.06973
43	H5x2	н1	Ē	-0.548000	-1.964000	1.617000	0.06973
44	C4x	СТ	M	-2.587000	-1.429000	2.075000	0.41309
45	н4х	н1	E.	-3 084000	-2 285000	2 519000	0 10950
46	04x	05	S	-3 261000	-0 264000	2 559000	-0 36270
47	C1x	СТ	B	-3 993000	0 354000	1 501000	0 01120
48	H1x	н2	E	-4 958000	0 648000	1 885000	0 19270
49	N9h	N*	S	-3 293000	1 576000	1 010000	0 04130
50	C8b	CK	B	-2 203000	2 213000	1 550000	0 12950
51	н8р	н5	E	-1 662000	1 780000	2 366000	0.15610
52	N7b	NB	S	-1 760000	3 217000	0 837000	-0 57880
53	C5b	CB	d Q	-2 745000	3 381000	-0 143000	0 16650
54	C6b	C	B	-2 899000	4 363000	-1 188000	0 46910
55	06b	0	E	-2.155000	5 293000	-1 522000	-0 56760
56	N1b	NΔ	B	-4 077000	4 224000	-1 888000	-0 48660
57	нıр H1р	H	E	-4 237000	4 929000	-2 574000	0.33450
58	C2h	CA	B	-5 001000	3 270000	-1 637000	0.55150
59	N2h	N2	B	-6 074000	3 300000	-2 377000	-0 97510
60	н21b	112 법	г Г		3 653000	-3 305000	0.42850
61	н22b	н	E	-6 653000	2 494000	-2 287000	0 42850
62	N3h	NC	g	-4 884000	2 321000	-0 711000	-0 64020
63	C4b	CB	с г	-3 732000	2.321000	0.018000	0.01020
64	Clo	Ст	M	-2 823000	-1 502000	0.010000	0.19430
65	н3х Н3х	сı ц1	F F	-2 028000	-1 007000	0.003000	0.15150
66	C2v	CTL CTL	R	-4 130000		0 419000	0 05910
67	С2л H2v1	ст н1	г Г	-4 252000	-0 287000	-0 562000	0 08030
68	112A⊥ 02√	<u>О</u> П	c L	-5 264000	_1 520000	0.302000	-0 62190
60	U⊿⊼ ⊔∩∵?	Un UO	्र म	-J.204000 _A QQ2000	_2 422000	0.717000	0.02100
70	03~	00	M	-2 022000	-2 853000	0.001000	-0 53250
10	UJX	05	1*1	-2.932000	-2.033000	0.110000	-0.03230

LOOP

C1' C2' C5 C4 C4 N9 O2' P2 C1x C2x C4b C5b

C4b N9b

IMPROPER

C8 C4 N9 C1'

C6	H61	Nб	H62
N7	N9	C8	Н8
Nl	N3	C2	Н2
C5	Nl	CG	Νб
C8b	C4b	N9b	Clx
C5b	N1b	C6b	06b
C6b	C2b	N1b	H1b
C2b	H21b	N2b	H22b
N7b	N9b	C8b	H8b
N1b	N3b	C2b	N2b
DONE			

STOP

b) AMBER prep file for phosphorane intermediate with protonated pro- R_P (O2P) oxygen (IN-pro- R_PH)

0 0 2

This is a remark line

molecule.res

IN2 XYZ 0 CHANGE OMIT DU BEG

0.0	000						
1	DUMM	DU	М	999.000	999.0	-999.0	.00000
2	DUMM	DU	М	999.000	-999.0	999.0	.00000
3	DUMM	DU	М	-999.000	999.0	999.0	.00000
4	P1	Ρ	М	12.609000	1.116000	1.757000	1.15809
5	01P	02	Е	12.953000	2.380000	2.497000	-0.78411
6	02P	02	E	11.729000	0.078000	2.381000	-0.78411
7	05 '	OS	М	14.020000	0.387000	1.294000	-0.50701
8	C5 '	СТ	М	14.082000	-0.919000	-0.052000	0.04769
9	Н5'1	Hl	E	1.129000	-2.609000	-1.006000	0.05979
10	Н5'2	Hl	E	2.668000	-2.564000	-1.854000	0.05979
11	C4'	СТ	М	2.766000	-1.848000	0.163000	0.09839
12	H4'	Hl	Е	2.549000	-2.226000	1.153000	0.10929
13	04 '	OS	S	4.209000	-1.769000	0.007000	-0.36291
14	C1'	СТ	В	17.346000	-0.004000	-0.468000	0.03129
15	H1'	H2	Е	17.904000	-0.122000	-1.397000	0.19259
16	N9	N*	S	17.639000	1.363000	0.062000	-0.03321
17	C8	CK	В	16.831000	2.254000	0.786000	0.19249
18	Н8	Н5	Е	15.778000	2.054000	0.918000	0.14719
19	N7	NB	S	17.499000	3.303000	1.171000	-0.61541
20	C5	СВ	S	18.779000	3.188000	0.605000	0.04339
21	C6	CA	В	20.023000	3.874000	0.619000	0.69279
22	NG	N2	В	20.319000	5.031000	1.153000	-0.91001
23	H61	Η	Е	21.182000	5.472000	0.869000	0.40339
24	H62	Η	Е	19.663000	5.604000	1.665000	0.40339
25	Nl	NC	S	21.098000	3.367000	-0.036000	-0.76961
26	C2	CQ	В	21.019000	2.136000	-0.589000	0.57939
27	Н2	Н5	E	21.933000	1.880000	-1.103000	0.03919
28	N3	NC	S	19.855000	1.490000	-0.817000	-0.70781
29	C4	СВ	Е	18.818000	2.063000	-0.172000	0.29719
30	C3 '	СТ	М	2.240000	-0.405000	0.047000	0.02332
31	НЗ'	Hl	E	1.938000	-0.196000	-0.971000	0.02685
32	C2 '	СТ	В	3.426000	0.487000	0.527000	0.32830
33	H2'1	Н1	Е	3.593000	1.339000	-0.126000	0.01660
34	02 '	OX	Е	3.106000	0.906000	1.839000	-0.62827
35	03 '	OS	М	1.077000	-0.182000	0.861000	-0.26326
36	P2	Ρ	М	1.299000	0.420000	2.440000	1.00501

37	01P2	02	Е	1.941000	C	-0.585000	3.518000	-0.74579
38	02P2	OH	S	0.904000	3	1.875000	2.807000	-0.56120
39	H2P2	нх	ू स	0 086000	7	1 827000	3 292000	0 45277
40	05x	0X	M	-0 540000	_ л	-0 184000	2 779000	-0 62624
41	C5v	CT	M	-1 068000	л	-1 486000	2 465000	-0 10012
4.2	С5л Ц5у1	сı u1	ייו די	-0 947000	י. ק	-2 120000	3 337000	0.10012
43	н5х1 н5х2	и1	ы Т	-0 541000	2	-1 945000	1 640000	0.10200
44	C4v		M	-2 586000	Л	-1 415000	2 089000	0.10200
15		С1 11	1.1 17	2.300000	יי ק	2 265000	2.000000	0.10020
45	04x		Е С	-3.084000	<u>م</u>	-2.203000	2.543000	0.10929
40	04X	05	с П	-3.200000		-0.244000	2.301000	-0.30291
4/	UIX II1		B	-3.991000	5 7	0.363000	1.495000	0.01099
40	MOD	н∠ N*	ь с	-4.955000	5 7	1 570000	1.0/4000	0.19249
49	devi	N "	2	-3.290000		1.579000	1 507000	0.04109
50		CK TT	В	-2.201000	3	2.221000	1.52/000	0.12929
51	H8D	H5	E	-1.703000	<u>.</u>	1.766000	2.350000	0.15589
5Z		NB	S	-1./56000		3.218000	0.804000	-0.5/901
53	C5D	Св	S	-2.741000	5	3.3/2000	-0.178000	0.16629
54	C6D	C	В	-2.894000	3	4.343000	-1.234000	0.46889
55	06b	0	E	-2.149000	5	5.270000	-1.577000	-0.56781
56	NID	NA	В	-4.071000	3	4.198000	-1.933000	-0.48681
57	Hlb	H	E	-4.226000	6	4.892000	-2.631000	0.33429
58	C2b	CA	В	-4.995000	3	3.246000	-1.673000	0.75759
59	N2b	N2	В	-6.068000	3	3.269000	-2.415000	-0.97531
60	H21b	Η	E	-6.011000	C	3.636000	-3.338000	0.42829
61	H22b	H	E	-6.651000	C	2.466000	-2.321000	0.42829
62	N3b	NC	S	-4.879000	3	2.306000	-0.738000	-0.64041
63	C4b	CB	Ε	-3.729000	3	2.422000	-0.009000	0.11409
64	C3x	СТ	М	-2.820000	1	-1.503000	0.578000	0.19409
65	H3x	Hl	Ε	-2.024000	3	-1.016000	0.034000	0.05339
66	C2x	СТ	В	-4.127000	3	-0.720000	0.424000	0.05889
67	H2x1	Hl	Ε	-4.250000	3	-0.299000	-0.562000	0.08909
68	02x	OH	S	-5.261000	3	-1.519000	0.730000	-0.62201
69	HOx2	HO	Ε	-4.985000	2	-2.424000	0.634000	0.41049
70	03x	OS	М	-2.930000	4	-2.859000	0.145000	-0.53271
LOOP								
C1'	C2 '							
C5	C4							
C4	N9							
02 '	P2							
Clx	C2x							
C4b	C5b							
C4b	N9b							
IMPRO	PER							
C8	C4	N9	C1'		1			
C6	H61	Nб	H62		2			
N7	N9	C8	Н8					
Nl	N3	C2	Н2					

NL	N3	C2	H2
C5	Nl	C6	Νб
C8b	C4b	N9b	Clx
C5b	N1b	C6b	06b
C6b	C2b	N1b	H1b
C2b	H21b	N2b	H22b
N7b	N9b	C8b	H8b
N1b	N3b	C2b	N2b

DONE

STOP

c) AMBER parm file with non-standard parameters

# force f	ield mod	ification	n for RMA, RGN	RGT, RAP, IN-p	$ro-R_{p}H$, IN-pro-S _P H, and L25 residues
OX	16 00	0 465	based on OS	her and ester o	waan
HX	1 008	0.405	based on HO l	droxyl group	худен
1125	1.000	0.135	basea on no i	Jarokyr group	
BOND					
CT-OX	320.0	1.410	based on CT-0	5 JCC,7,(1986),2	30; NUCLEIC ACIDS
OX-P	230.0	1.965	based on P-O	JCC,7,(1986),23	0; NA PHOSPHATES with changed distance
нх-он	553.0	0.960	based on HO-0	JCC,7,(1986),2	30; SUGARS, SER, TYR
CQ-NA	502.0	1.324	based on CQ-1	C JCC,7,(1986),2	30; ADE
ANGUE					
H1-CT-OX	50 0	109 50	based on H1-(-OS changed bas	ed on NMA nmodes
CT-CT-OX	50.0	109.50	based on CT-(r-OS	ed on why hillodeb
CT-OX-P	100 0	120 50	based on CT-(2-D	
OX - P = OX	45 0	180 00	based on OS-1	-09 with 180 de	aree instead of 45
OS-D -OX	45 0	90.00	based on OS-1	-OS with 90 dec	ree instead of 45
$O_2 - P = O_X$	45.0	90.00	based on 02-1	-OS with 90 deg	ree instead of 45
$O_{\rm L}^{\rm P} = O_{\rm X}$	45.0	90.00	based on OU-1	-OS with 90 deg	ree instead of 45
UN-P -OX	100 0	109 50	based on UO-	-05 with force	constant 100kgal/molA2
CR-CA-NA	70.0	117 20	based on CP-(N-NC	constant rookcar/moraz
NC-CO-NA	70.0	120 10	based on NC-(
NC-CQ-NA	70.0	115 45	based on NC-	2-INC	
H5-CQ-NA	50.0	110.45	based on H5-0		
CA-NA-CQ	70.0	110.00	based on CA-I	L-CV N II abargod baga	d on NMA nmodod
CQ-NA-H	50.0	110.00	based on CA-I	A-H Changed base	a on MMA ninodes
DIHEDRAL					
H1-CT-OX-	P 3	1.15	0.0	3. based	l on X-CT-OS-X JCC,7,(1986),230
CT-CT-OX-	P 3	1.15	0.0	3. based	l on X-CT-OS-X JCC, 7, (1986), 230
02-P -OX-	CT 1	0.25	0.0	-3. based	l on OS-P-OS-CT JCC, 7, (1986), 230
02-P -0X-	CT 1	1.20	0.0	2. based	l on OS-P-OS-CT gg> ene.631g*/mp2
OH-P -OX-	CT 1	0.25	0.0	-3. based	l on OS-P-OS-CT JCC,7,(1986),230
OH-P -OX-	CT 1	1.20	0.0	2. based	l on OS-P-OS-CT gg> ene.631g*/mp2
OS-P -OX-	CT 1	0.25	0.0	-3. based	on OS-P-OS-CT JCC.7.(1986).230
0S-P -0X-	ст 1	1.20	0.0	2. based	on OS-P-OS-CT gg> ene.631g*/mp2
0X-P -0X-	ст 1	0.00	0.0	1. this	torsion should be zero in sp3d
0X-P -0S-	ст 1	0.25	0.0	-3. based	n = 0S - P - 0S - CT + 1CC + 7 + (1986) + 230
0X-P -0S-	ст 1	1,20	0.0	2. based	$1 \text{ on } 0\text{S}-\text{P}-0\text{S}-\text{CT} \text{ gg>} \text{ ene}.631\text{g}^*/\text{mp}2$
OX-CT-CT-	05 1	0 144	0.0	-3 based	1 on OS = CT = CT = OS parm98 TC PC PAK
OX-CT-CT-	05 1	1 175	0.0	2 hased	on OS-CT-CT-OS Pictr et al
UN CI CI	OY 1	0 25	0.0	1 based	on H1_CT_CT_OS Junmei et al 1999
OX-CT-CT-	이지 1 이내 1	0.23	0.0	-3 based	on OS-CT-CT-OH parm98 TC DC DAK
OX-CT-CT-	이미 I 이미 1	1 175	0.0	2 based	on OS-CT-CT-OH parm98 TC DC DAK
X -NA-CO-	v 4	9 60	80.0	2. Dasec	ton os-er-er-on parmos, re,re,rak
77 - IVA - CQ -	23 T	2.00	00.0	4.	
NONBON					
OX	1.68	37 0.1	700	based on OS OP	LS ether
HX	0.60	00 0.0	157	based on HS W.	Cornell CH3SH> CH3OH FEP

2) Behavior of Reaction Intermediates

We performed four 50 ns-long MD simulations of all possible combinations of protonation state of the reaction intermediate and A38 adenine (protonated *pro*- R_PH or *pro*- S_PH nonbridging oxygen of phosphorane group with either canonical A38 or protonated A38H⁺ form). We used the same protocol for setting up MD's as in our previous MD study.⁴ MD simulations containing the canonical A38 are not further described as the A38 left the active site within the first ~4 ns of each MD simulation and exhibited the same behavior as reported recently in our MD paper.⁴ As a consequence, only two simulations with protonated A38H⁺ form are discussed below and were further used for preparation of the starting geometries for the following hybrid quantum mechanical/ molecular mechanical QM/MM study. We would like to note, that the bonding force field parameters for pentahedral phosphorane intermediate

residues were not thoroughly tested and therefore the results should be interpreted with care considering potential limitation of the force field used.

$G+1(pro-S_PH)$ intermediate with $A38H^+$ (IN-pro-S_PH/G8/A38H⁺)

The G8 nucleobase established the 4BPh (base-phosphate) contact with G+1 pentahedral phosphorane within first part of MD simulation (Figure S2C). This contact was weakened just for a limited time (for ~6 ns in overall) by reorientation of G8 within the active site and formation of transient G+1(*pro*-S_PH)...G8(O6) H-bond around ~33 and ~46 ns. The A9 nucleobase formed bifurcated A9(N6H)...A-1(O2')/G+1(*pro*-R_P) H-bond, temporary substituted (from ~38 to 46 ns) by the formation of the A10(N6H)...A-1(O2') H-bond by the neighboring A10 nucleobase (Figures S2A, S2C). The protonated A38H⁺ nucleobase established two stable A38H⁺(N6H)...G+1(*pro*-R_P) and A38H⁺(N1H)...G+1(O5') H-bonds to the pentahedral phosphorane (Figure S2C).

$G+1(pro-R_PH)$ intermediate with $A38H^+$ (IN-pro- $R_PH/G8/A38H^+$)

The A-1(O2') oxygen lost immediately its interaction with G8 and established a new A10(N6H)...A-1(O2') H-bond within the first ns of MD simulation (Figure S2C). Thereby, G8 formed temporary bifurcated G8(N1H/N2H)...G+1(*pro*-S_P) H-bond. The protonated G+1(*pro*-R_PH) group established also bifurcated A38H⁺(N6H)...G+1(*pro*-R_P)/A9(N6H)...G+1(*pro*-R_P) H-bond (Figure S2C). Interestingly, we observed significant H-bond reorientation and a renewal of G8(N1H)...A-1(O2') and G8(N2H)...G+1(*pro*-R_P) H-bonds in the second part of MD simulation (Figures S2B, S2C).

The hydrogen on protonated G+1(*pro*-R_PH) group was not involved as a proton donor in any H-bond with surrounding bases and pointed either in the direction to the A-1 sugar (~75%), or the G+1 sugar (~25%). We did not observe any water mediated H-bond between G+1(O5') and G+1(*pro*-R_PH). In contrast to the previous IN-*pro*-R_PH/G8/A38H⁺ MD simulation, the A38H⁺(N6H)...G+1(*pro*-R_P) H-bond was significantly weakened (after ~25 ns) due to the reorientation of non-bridging oxygens of the pentahedral phosphorane and subsequent formation of the G8(N1H)...A-1(O2') and G8(N2H)...G+1(*pro*-R_P) H-bonds. Nevertheless, an average structure taken at the end of this simulation showed the best agreement with the X-ray structures (Figure S2B) of vanadate transition states analogs of the hairpin ribozyme (PDB code 1M5O, 2P7E).^{5,6}



Figure S2: Last one ns average structures of the active site of IN-pro- $R_PH/G8/A38H^+$ (A) and IN-pro- $S_PH/G8/A38H^+$ (B) MD simulations (in sticks) of the hairpin ribozyme are superimposed with two crystal structures of vanadate TS analogs (1M5O in cyan, 2P7E in magenta lines). (A) The protonated pro- S_P oxygen (pro- S_PH) causes reorientation of G8 (black arrow), A9 and A10 nucleobases in IN-pro- $R_PH/G8/A38H^+$ MD simulation. (B) On the other hand, the MD simulation of IN-pro- $S_PH/G8/A38H^+$ phosphorane intermediate shows the best agreement with the X-ray structures of vanadate TS analogs. (C) Time evolution of interactions of the G+1(O2') oxygen and protonated pro- $R_P/pro-S_P$ oxygens with groups of neighboring nucleobases (IN1 and IN2 denote IN-pro- S_PH and IN-pro- R_PH , respectively).

3) Starting structures for QM/MM calculations

Snapshots from both IN-*pro*-R_PH/G8/A38H⁺ and IN-*pro*-S_PH/G8/A38H⁺ MD simulations were chosen based on geometrical arrangement of the protonated phosphorane with maximalized base-phosphate interaction (BPh)⁷ towards canonical G8 and protonated A38H⁺ residues. Further we reselected only those snapshots, where the protonated G+1(*pro*-R_PH) or G+1(*pro*-S_PH) non-bridging oxygen achieved the best orientation in the direction either of the A-1(O2') or the G+1(O5') oxygen. Finally, we considered 4 different MD snapshots (two with protonated G+1(*pro*-R_P) and other two with protonated G+1(*pro*-S_P) oxygen) that were subsequently used to prepare four starting structures for QM/MM calculations (Figure S3).



Figure S3: (A) Starting structure for QM/MM calculations taken from IN-pro- $S_PH/G8/A38H^+$ MD simulation of the hairpin ribozyme. The protonated pentahedral phosphorane and key nucleobases within the active site are highlighted in sticks. Water molecules and counter ions are not shown for clarity. (**B**,**C**,**D**) Detailed view into the active site shows additional conformations of the pentahedral phosphorane and neighboring nucleobases, which were also considered as starting points for the subsequent QM/MM study.

Supporting tables:

Table S1: Summarized extrapolated $CBS(T)^a$ energies (in kcal/mol, related to the reactant state), MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p) solvation energies, Gibbs Energy corrections and total Gibbs energies at the extrapolated CBS(T) level of the endo/exo-3'-(1'-amino-4'-methylribose)-5'-methylphosphodiester cleavage model. All geometries were optimized at CPCM ($\varepsilon_r = 78.4$)/MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p) level (see Methods in main text for details). The solvation term was calculated as the difference between $CPCM(\varepsilon_r = 78.4)/MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p)$ and gas phase MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p) SCF energies. The corrections to Gibbs energies were calculated at the $CPCM(\varepsilon_r = 78.4)/MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p)$ level.

endo (<i>pro</i> -R _P)	R	TS_1	IN ₁	TS_2	IN ₂	TS_3	Р	P'
CBS(T)	0.0	28.9	27.1	36.8	30.0	37.4	4.1	19.0
MPW1K solvation energy	0.0	-1.2	-4.5	-4.6	-5.2	-0.6	-1.4	-9.4
MPW1K Gibbs Energy correction	0.0	0.3	1.2	0.4	0.5	-2.2	-4.1	-12.9
CBS(T) Gibbs Energy in water	0.0	28.0	23.8	32.5	25.3	34.6	-1.4	-3.2
(0)		=		-				
$exo (pro-S_P)$	R	TS_1	IN_1	TS_2	IN_2	TS_3	Р	P'
cbs(T)	R 0.0	TS ₁ 27.1	IN ₁ 21.7	TS ₂ 32.4	IN ₂ 26.6	TS ₃ 37.9	P 4.1	P' 19.0
CBS(T) MPW1K solvation energy	R 0.0 0.0	TS ₁ 27.1 0.1	IN ₁ 21.7 -1.6	TS ₂ 32.4 -4.1	IN ₂ 26.6 -3.4	TS ₃ 37.9 -1.0	P 4.1 -1.4	P' 19.0 -9.4
exo (pro-S _P) CBS(T) MPW1K solvation energy MPW1K Gibbs Energy correction	R 0.0 0.0 0.0	TS ₁ 27.1 0.1 0.3	IN ₁ 21.7 -1.6 1.9	TS ₂ 32.4 -4.1 0.2	IN ₂ 26.6 -3.4 0.9	TS ₃ 37.9 -1.0 -2.2	P 4.1 -1.4 -4.1	P' 19.0 -9.4 -12.9

^a MP2/CBS energies corrected to higher-order correlation effects using CCSD(T) energies (see Methods section).

Table S2: List of the specific reaction mechanisms studied here and protonation states of key reaction participants G8, A38 and phosphate/phosphorane/cyclic phosphate, along respective reaction pathways (for names see Table 2 in main text). G8t stands for G8 enol tautomer, Ph for phosphate, Phr for phosphorane, cPh for cyclic phosphate.

Nama	Concrel base	Conoral agid	R				IN/TS			Р		
Name	General base	General acid	G8	A38	Ph	G8	A38	Phr	G8	A38	cPh	
G ⁻ /A ⁺ /G8 ⁻ /A38H ⁺	G8 ⁻	$A38H^+$	G8 ⁻	A38H ⁺	Ph⁻	G8	$A38H^+$	Phr ²⁻	G8	A38	cPh⁻	
$pro-R_P/pro-R_P/G8/A38H^+$	$G+1(pro-R_P)$	$G+1(pro-R_P)$	G8	$A38H^+$	Ph⁻	G8	$A38H^+$	Phr	G8	$A38H^+$	cPh	
$\textit{pro-R}_{P}/\textit{pro-R}_{P}/\textit{G8t}/\textit{A38H}^{+}$	$G+1(pro-R_P)$	$G+1(pro-R_P)$	G8t	$A38H^{+}$	Ph⁻	G8t	$A38H^+$	Phr⁻	G8t	$A38H^+$	cPh⁻	
pro-R _P /pro-R _P /G8/A38	$G+1(pro-R_P)$	$G+1(pro-R_P)$	G8	A38	Ph	G8	A38	Phr	G8	A38	cPh⁻	
$pro-R_P/A^+/G8/A38H^+$	$G+1(pro-R_P)$	$A38H^{+}$	G8	$A38H^{+}$	Ph⁻	G8	$A38H^+$	Phr	G8	A38	cPh	
$\textit{pro-S}_{P}/\textit{pro-S}_{P}/G8/A38H^{+}$	$G+1(pro-S_P)$	$G+1(pro-S_P)$	G8	$A38H^+$	Ph⁻	G8	$A38H^+$	Phr	G8	$A38H^+$	cPh⁻	
$\textit{pro-S}_{P}/\textit{pro-S}_{P}/\textit{G8t}/\textit{A38H}^{+}$	$G+1(pro-S_P)$	$G+1(pro-S_P)$	G8t	$A38H^+$	Ph⁻	G8t	$A38H^+$	Phr	G8t	$A38H^+$	cPh⁻	
pro-S _P /pro-S _P /G8/A38	$G+1(pro-S_P)$	$G+1(pro-S_P)$	G8	A38	Ph⁻	G8	A38	Phr	G8	A38	cPh⁻	

Table S3a: The MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p) gas phase energies, solvation and Gibbs Energy corrections (calculated at CPCM(ε_r =78.4)/MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p) level), and total Gibbs Energy profiles of the self-cleavage reaction of the endo/exo-3'-(1'-amino-4'-methylribose)-5'-methylphosphodiester sugar-phosphate backbone model extended by N9-methyl guanine and protonated N9-methyladenine. The proton of 2'-OH hydroxyl was shuttled via pro-R_P (endo path) or pro-S_P (exo path) non-bridging oxygen. All energies and energy corrections are in kcal/mol and are related to reactant state. No pK_a correction (see Methods section) for N1-protonated-N9-methyladenine was included in total Gibbs energies.

endo (<i>pro</i> -R _P)	R	TS_1	IN ₁	TS_2	IN ₂	TS ₃	Р
MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p)	0.0	25.6	14.4	26.8	19.4	24.3	-9.1
Solvation energy	0.0	-2.2	2.1	-1.2	0.6	5.0	5.6
Gibbs Energy correction	0.0	0.0	-0.8	-1.5	-0.7	-1.9	-4.2
MPW1K Gibbs Energy in water	0.0	23.4	15.7	24.1	19.3	27.3	-7.7
exo (<i>pro-S</i> _P)	R	TS_1	IN ₁	TS_2	IN ₂	TS_3	Р
exo (<i>pro</i> -S _P) MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p)	R 0.0	TS ₁ 23.7	IN ₁ 3.4	TS ₂ 13.5	IN ₂ 10.5	TS ₃ 16.4	P -9.1
exo (<i>pro-S</i> _P) MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p) Solvation energy	R 0.0 0.0	TS ₁ 23.7 -3.8	IN ₁ 3.4 6.6	TS ₂ 13.5 3.2	IN ₂ 10.5 1.8	TS ₃ 16.4 6.7	P -9.1 3.7
exo (<i>pro-S</i> _P) MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p) Solvation energy Gibbs Energy correction	R 0.0 0.0 0.0	TS ₁ 23.7 -3.8 -0.8	IN ₁ 3.4 6.6 1.2	TS ₂ 13.5 3.2 0.0	IN ₂ 10.5 1.8 0.5	TS ₃ 16.4 6.7 -2.4	P -9.1 3.7 -3.0
exo (<i>pro-S</i> _P) MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p) Solvation energy Gibbs Energy correction MPW1K Gibbs Energy in water	R 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	TS ₁ 23.7 -3.8 -0.8 19.1	IN ₁ 3.4 6.6 1.2 11.2	TS ₂ 13.5 3.2 0.0 16.7	IN ₂ 10.5 1.8 0.5 12.8	TS ₃ 16.4 6.7 -2.4 20.7	P -9.1 3.7 -3.0 -8.4

Table S3b: The MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p) gas phase energies, solvation and Gibbs Energy corrections (calculated at CPCM(ε_r =78.4)/MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p) level), and total Gibbs Energy profiles of the self-cleavage reaction of the endo/exo-3'-(1'-amino-4'-methylribose)-5'-methylphosphodiester sugar-phosphate backbone model extended by N1-deprotonated-N9-methyl guanine and N1-protonated-N9-methyladenine. The deprotonated guanine acts as a general base, while the protonated adenine acts as a general acid. All energies and energy corrections are in kcal/mol and are related to reactant state. No pK_a corrections for N1-deprotonated-N9-methyl guanine and N1-protonated-N9-methyladenine were included in total Gibbs energies.

	R	TS	Р
MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p)	0.0	0.2	-41.4
Solvation energy	0.0	13.5	33.8
Gibbs Energy correction	0.0	-0.1	-4.0
MPW1K Gibbs Energy in water	0.0	13.6	-11.6

Table S4a: The MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p) reaction barriers (in kcal/mol, related to the reactant state) obtained for geometries along various paths representing the proton shuttling and $G8^{-}$ general base reaction mechanisms (for names see Table 2 in main text). No pK_a corrections (for deprotonated $G8^{-}$ and protonated A38H⁺) and Gibbs energy corrections (see Methods section) were applied in this Table.

	R	TS_1	IN_1	TS_2	IN ₂	TS_3	Р
$pro-R_P/pro-R_P/G8/A38H^+$	0.0	18.9	2.0	9.0	3.3	4.3	-14.8
$pro-R_P/A^+/G8/A38H^+$	0.0	18.9	2.0	9.0	3.3	3.6	-5.3
$pro-R_P/pro-R_P/G8t/A38H^+$	0.0	23.6	2.6	10.2	4.3	5.9	-11.1
pro-R _P /pro-R _P /G8/A38	0.0	20.5	4.8	14.4	5.2	14.1	-8.6
$pro-S_P/pro-S_P/G8/A38H^+$	0.0	21.7	8.0	12.8	3.0	6.6	-10.7
$pro-S_P/pro-S_P/G8t/A38H^+$	0.0	29.0	11.1	14.4	5.6	5.6	-7.6
pro-S _P /pro-S _P /G8/A38	0.0	24.0	14.9	18.1	8.3	13.9	-5.1
G ⁻ /A ⁺ /G8 ⁻ /A38H ⁺	0.0	15.0					-15.4

Table S4b: The BLYP/6-31G(d) energies (in kcal/mol, related to the reactant state) obtained from QM/MM calculations representing the proton shuttling and $G8^{-}$ general base reaction mechanisms (for names see Table 2 in main text). No pK_a corrections (for deprotonated bG8⁻ and protonated A38H⁺) and Gibbs energy corrections (see Methods section) were applied in this Table.

	R	TS_1	IN ₁	TS_2	IN ₂	TS ₃	Р
$pro-R_P/pro-R_P/G8/A38H^+$	0.0	15.9	1.8	8.2	2.5	3.1	-12.8
$pro-R_P/A^+/G8/A38H^+$	0.0	15.9	1.8	8.2	2.5	2.6	-4.9
$pro-R_P/pro-R_P/G8t/A38H^+$	0.0	20.7	1.9	9.0	3.6	3.7	-10.4
pro-R _P /pro-R _P /G8/A38	0.0	17.8	4.2	11.7	4.6	10.2	-8.0
$pro-S_P/pro-S_P/G8/A38H^+$	0.0	18.3	7.4	11.2	2.4	4.1	-10.4
$pro-S_P/pro-S_P/G8t/A38H^+$	0.0	25.7	10.3	12.9	4.7	4.9	-7.3
pro-S _P /pro-S _P /G8/A38	0.0	20.1	12.0	15.6	7.9	10.6	-4.1
G ⁻ /A ⁺ /G8 ⁻ /A38H ⁺	0.0	9.7					-15.2

Table S5a: The MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p) gas phase and extrapolated CBS(T) energies, MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p) solvation energies, Gibbs Energy corrections and total Gibbs energies at the extrapolated CBS(T) level of the endo/exo-3'-(1'-amino-4'-methylribose)-5'methylphosphodiester cleavage model, where the endo (pro- R_P) non-bridging oxygen was thio-substituted. The proton of 2'-OH hydroxyl was shuttled via pro- R_P sulphur atom (endo path) or pro- S_P non-bridging oxygen (exo path). All energies and energy corrections are in kcal/mol and are related to reactant state. The solvation term was calculated as the difference between CPCM(ε_r =78.4)/MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p) and gas phase MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p) SCF energies. The corrections to Gibbs energies were calculated at the CPCM (ε_r = 78.4)/MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p) level.

endo (pro-R _P)	R	TS_1	IN ₁	TS_2	IN ₂	TS ₃	Р	P'
MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p)	0.0	43.6	33.1	41.1	32.7	44.6	1.3	15.0
CBS(T)	0.0	40.7	30.4	38.2	30.2	44.1	3.5	17.6
Solvation energy	0.0	-2.7	-4.5	-4.0	-4.2	-1.0	-1.5	-9.0
Gibbs Energy correction	0.0	-2.6	-0.1	-1.1	-0.6	-3.3	-4.1	-12.5
CBS(T) Gibbs Energy in water	0.0	35.4	25.8	33.2	25.4	39.8	-2.1	-3.8
$exo (pro-S_P)$	R	TS_1	IN_1	TS_2	IN_2	TS_3	Р	P'
MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p)	0.0	27.5	19.2	29.4	23.9	36.8	1.3	15.0
MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p) CBS(T)	0.0 0.0	27.5 27.5	19.2 20.0	29.4 30.2	23.9 24.8	36.8 38.2	1.3 3.5	15.0 17.6
MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p) CBS(T) Solvation energy	0.0 0.0 0.0	27.5 27.5 -0.1	19.2 20.0 -2.6	29.4 30.2 -5.1	23.9 24.8 -4.7	36.8 38.2 -0.8	1.3 3.5 -1.5	15.0 17.6 -9.0
MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p) CBS(T) Solvation energy Gibbs Energy correction	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	27.5 27.5 -0.1 0.6	19.2 20.0 -2.6 1.8	29.4 30.2 -5.1 1.4	23.9 24.8 -4.7 2.1	36.8 38.2 -0.8 -1.9	1.3 3.5 -1.5 -4.1	15.0 17.6 -9.0 -12.5

Table S5b: The computed energies and corrections of the endo/exo-3'-(1'-amino-4'methylribose)-5'-methylphosphodiester cleavage model, where the pro- S_P non-bridging oxygen was thio-substituted. The proton of 2'-OH hydroxyl was shuttled via pro- R_P nonbridging oxygen (exo path) or pro- S_P sulphur atom (exo path). The energies and corrections were computed by the same methodology as in Table S5a.

endo (<i>pro</i> -R _P)	R	TS_1	IN ₁	TS_2	IN ₂	TS ₃	Р	P'
MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p)	0.0	31.8	25.5	33.9	28.7	38.3	5.7	15.4
CBS(T)	0.0	31.5	26.3	33.6	29.2	39.4	6.9	17.6
Solvation energy	0.0	-1.8	-5.5	-4.9	-6.2	-0.8	-2.3	-8.5
Gibbs Energy correction	0.0	-0.5	1.4	0.2	0.8	-2.9	-5.7	-13.3

CBS(T) Gibbs Energy in water	0.0	29.2	22.2	28.9	23.8	35.8	-1.1	-4.2
exo (<i>pro-S</i> _P)	R	TS_1	IN ₁	TS_2	IN ₂	TS ₃	Р	P'
MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p)	0.0	42.0	28.2	37.9	32.8	46.0	5.7	15.4
CBS(T)	0.0	39.2	25.6	34.6	30.3	44.8	6.9	17.6
Solvation energy	0.0	-1.2	-1.4	-4.6	-3.5	-1.0	-2.3	-8.5
Gibbs Energy correction	0.0	-3.3	-0.8	-2.7	-1.7	-4.0	-5.7	-13.3
CBS(T) Gibbs Energy in water	0.0	34.7	23.4	27.3	25.1	39.8	-1.1	-4.2

Table S5c: The computed energies and corrections of the endo/exo-3'-(1'-amino-4'methylribose)-5'-methylphosphodiester cleavage model, where both pro- R_P and pro- S_P nonbridging oxygens were thio-substituted. The proton of 2'-OH hydroxyl was thereby shuttled via pro- R_P (exo path) or pro- S_P (exo path) sulphur atoms. The energies and corrections were computed by the same methodology as in Table S5a.

endo (pro-R _P)	R	TS_1	IN ₁	TS_2	IN ₂	TS ₃	Р	P'
MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p)	0.0	45.0	31.4	39.3	31.3	43.7	4.3	13.3
CBS(T)	0.0	43.0	29.4	37.0	29.3	43.6	5.9	16.3
Solvation energy	0.0	-4.6	-5.3	-4.6	-5.2	-1.8	-1.9	-7.7
Gibbs Energy correction	0.0	-2.3	0.0	-0.9	-0.7	-2.8	-4.5	-13.0
CBS(T) Gibbs Energy in water	0.0	36.1	24.0	31.6	23.5	39.1	-0.5	-4.4
$exo (pro-S_p)$	R	ЪЪ	IN	тς	IN	тς	D	р,
	ĸ	151	1111	132	11 N ₂	133	Г	r
MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p)	0.0	41.4	25.1	34.4	30.1	46.8	г 4.3	r 13.3
MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p) CBS(T)	0.0 0.0	41.4 39.4	25.1 23.5	34.4 31.6	30.1 28.3	46.8 46.2	4.3 5.9	r 13.3 16.3
MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p) CBS(T) Solvation energy	0.0 0.0 0.0	41.4 39.4 -2.5	25.1 23.5 -2.1	34.4 31.6 -3.8	30.1 28.3 -4.4	46.8 46.2 -3.5	4.3 5.9 -1.9	13.3 16.3 -7.7
MPW1K/6-31+G(d,p) CBS(T) Solvation energy Gibbs Energy correction	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	41.4 39.4 -2.5 -2.4	25.1 23.5 -2.1 -0.1	34.4 31.6 -3.8 -1.3	30.1 28.3 -4.4 -1.1	46.8 46.2 -3.5 -2.9	4.3 5.9 -1.9 -4.5	13.3 16.3 -7.7 -13.0



Figure S4: The structures along the reaction path of the endo/exo-3'-(1'-amino-4'-methylribose)-5'-methylphosphodiester self-cleavage reaction.

Supporting figures:



Figure S5: The Gibbs Energy profile of the $\text{pro-}R_P/A^+/G8/A38H^+$ mechanism with $A38H^+$ acting as a general acid. Structures in boxes show detailed view into the active site (QM core is highlighted in sticks) of the reactant, transition and product states (R, TS₃ and P) along the reaction pathway. All energies are relative to the R' state that represents the reactant with the dominant protonation states of G8 and A38 at pH ~7, i.e. both nucleobases in canonical forms.

References:

(1) Cornell, W. D.; Cieplak, P.; Bayly, C. I.; Kollman, P. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1993**, *115*, 9620-9631.

(2) Cornell, W. D.; Cieplak, P.; Bayly, C. I.; Gould, I. R.; Merz, K. M.; Ferguson, D. M.; Spellmeyer, D. C.; Fox, T.; Caldwell, J. W.; Kollman, P. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 5179-5197.

(3) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Montgomery, Jr., J. A.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, K. N.; Burant, J. C. et al. Gaussian 03; Gaussian, Inc.: Pittsburgh, 2003.

(4) Mlynsky, V.; Banas, P.; Hollas, D.; Reblova, K.; Walter, N. G.; Sponer, J.; Otyepka, M. J. Phys. Chem. B **2010**, 114, 6642-6652.

(5) Rupert, P. B.; Massey, A. P.; Sigurdsson, S. T.; Ferre-D'Amare, A. R. *Science* **2002**, *298*, 1421-1424.

(6) Torelli, A. T.; Krucinska, J.; Wedekind, J. E. *RNA* **2007**, *13*, 1052-1070.

(7) Zirbel, C. L.; Sponer, J. E.; Sponer, J.; Stombaugh, J.; Leontis, N. B. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2009**, *37*, 4898-4918.