

Table I. Statistical comparison of the best performing 12 groups and the NAÏVE_consensus method in QA1.1 mode (global quality estimates assessed on a per-target basis)

	312	359	371	000	407	2	386	426	369	319	397	78	490
<i>MUFOLD-WQA</i>	312	X	116	116	116	116	113	116	116	116	116	116	113
<i>MUFOLD-QA</i>	359	0.98	X	116	116	116	113	116	116	116	116	116	113
<i>QMEANclust</i>	371	0.86	0.70	X	116	116	113	116	116	116	116	116	113
<i>NAÏVE-consensus</i>	000	0.36	0.09	<0.01	X	116	113	116	116	116	116	116	113
<i>United3D</i>	407	0.50	0.33	0.13	0.74	X	116	113	116	116	116	116	113
<i>Multicom-cluster</i>	2	0.30	0.06	<0.01	0.44	0.61	X	113	116	116	116	116	113
<i>Mufold</i>	386	0.24	<0.01	<0.01	0.19	0.29	0.33	X	113	113	113	113	113
<i>MetaMQAPclust</i>	426	0.18	0.07	0.07	0.37	0.37	0.42	0.46	X	116	116	116	113
<i>MQAPmulti</i>	369	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.30	0.26	0.33	0.41	0.97	X	116	116	113
<i>Pcons</i>	319	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.22	0.18	X	116	113
<i>ModFOLDclust2</i>	397	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.22	X	113
<i>IntFOLD-QA</i>	78	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.15	<0.01	X
<i>MULTICOM</i>	490	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.14	0.42	0.53

Results of the two-tailed paired t-tests on Pearson’s correlation coefficients. The upper right part of the table contains the numbers of common targets predicted. The lower part displays the probability that the observed differences are due to chance. Shaded cells highlight pairs of statistically indistinguishable groups at the 10^{-2} significance level.