Supporting Information for:

Silver-Mediated Trifluoromethylation of Arenes using TMSCF₃

Yingda Ye, Shin Hee Lee, and Melanie S. Sanford*

Department of Chemistry, University of Michigan, 930 North University Avenue, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

General Procedures

NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian Inova 400 (399.96 MHz for ¹H; 376.34 MHz for ¹⁹F; 100.57 MHz for ¹³C) or a MR400 (400.53 MHz for ¹H: 376.87 MHz for ¹⁹F; 100.71 MHz for ¹³C) spectrometer. ¹H and ¹³C chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to TMS, with the residual solvent peak used as an internal reference. ¹⁹F NMR spectra are referenced based on the internal standard 4-fluoroanisole, which appears at –125.00 ppm. ¹H and ¹⁹F multiplicities are reported as follows: singlet (s), doublet (d), quartet (q) and multiplet (m).

Materials and Methods

AgF, AgOTf, and 4-fluoroanisole were obtained from Matrix Scientific. Benzene and potassium fluoride were obtained from EMD. Rupert's reagent (TMSCF₃) was obtained from Oakwood Products. AgNO₃, 1,2-Dimethoxybenzene, 1,3-dimethoxybenzene, 1,4-dichlorobenzene, anisole, iodobenzene, and naphthalene were obtained from Sigma Aldrich. 1,4-Dimethoxybenzene and *o*-xylenes were obtained from TCI America. Trifluorotoluene and *m*-xylenes were obtained from Acros. 1,2-Dichloroethane, *p*-xylene, thiophene, *N*-methylpyrrole and mesitylene were obtained from Alfa Aesar. CDCl₃ was obtained from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories. Authentic samples of the aryl–CF₃ products were purchased from commercial sources unless otherwise stated. Dichloroethane, xylenes, and dimethoxybenzene derivatives were distilled from CaH₂. Benzene was distilled from Na and benzophenone. Anisole, *N*-methylpyrrole, mesitylene, and thiophene were distilled from Na. Other chemicals were used as received. All syntheses were conducted using standard Schlenk techniques or in a nitrogen atmosphere glovebox unless otherwise stated.

Experimental Details

Reaction of Ag-CF₃ with Phl



In a glovebox, AgF (10.3 mg, 0.081 mmol, 1 equiv) was weighed into a 4 mL vial and dissolved in MeCN (0.2 mL). TMSCF₃ (12 μ L, 0.081 mmol, 1 equiv) was added, and the reaction was stirred at 25 °C for 15 min.¹ lodobenzene (180 μ L, 1.62 mmol, 20 equiv) was added to the reaction mixture. The vial was sealed with a Teflon-lined cap and removed from the glovebox. The reaction was heated at 85 °C for 24 h with exclusion of light. The resulting dark brown mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with MeCN (1 mL). 4-Fluoroanisole (1 equiv) was added as an internal standard, and the reaction was analyzed by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy in MeCN, showing a combined 15% yield of the three isomeric products. The ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopic data matched that of authentic samples of all three isomers (*o*-isomer (Alfa Aesar): s, –62.7 ppm; *m*-isomer (Matrix Scientific): s, –62.9 ppm; *p*-isomer (Matrix Scientific), s, –63.0 ppm).





In a glovebox, AgF (10.3 mg, 0.081 mmol, 1 equiv) was weighed into a 4 mL vial and dissolved in MeCN (0.2 mL). TMSCF₃ (12 μ L, 0.081 mmol, 1 equiv) was added, and the reaction was stirred at 25 °C for 15 min.¹ Benzene (144 μ L, 1.62 mmol, 20 equiv) was added to the reaction mixture. The vial was sealed with a Teflon-lined cap and removed from the glovebox. The reaction was heated at 85 °C for 24 h with exclusion of light. The resulting dark brown mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with MeCN (1 mL). 4-Fluoroanisole (1 equiv) was added as an internal standard, and the reaction was analyzed by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy in

MeCN, showing 28% yield of trifluorotoluene. The 19 F NMR spectroscopic data matched that obtained of an authentic sample of trifluorotoluene (Acros, s, -63.3 ppm).

Trifluoromethylation of C₆H₆ Reaction Optimization (Variation of Equiv of AgOTf/KF)

In a glovebox, AgOTf (1-4 equiv, 0.081-0.324 mmol) and KF (1-4 equiv, 0.081-0.324 mmol) were weighed into a 4 mL vial and dissolved in DCE (0.2 mL). Benzene (144 μ L, 1.62 mmol, 20 equiv) and TMSCF₃ (12 μ L, 0.081 mmol, 1 equiv) were added. The vial was sealed with a Teflon-lined cap and removed from the glovebox. The reaction was heated at 85 °C for 24 h with exclusion of light. The resulting dark brown mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with DCE (1 mL). 4-Fluoroanisole (1 equiv) was added as an internal standard, and the reaction was analyzed by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy in DCE. In all cases, ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopic data matched that obtained for an authentic sample of trifluorotoluene in DCE. The results of these experiments are reported in **Table S1**.

			AgOTf (1-4 equiv) KF (1-4 equiv)			CF ₃
(20 equiv)	+ Me ₃ 5 (1 eo	quiv)	ا 85	DCE, N ₂ 5 °C, 24 h	-	(2)
-	entry	AgOTf/	KF (equiv)	Yield (%)	
-	1		1		22	
	2		2		68	
	3		3		74	
	4		4		87	

Table S1. Trifluoromethylation of C₆H₆ with Different Equivalent of AgOTf/KF

Trifluoromethylation of C₆H₆ Reaction Optimization (Variation of Equiv of Benzene)

In a glovebox, AgOTf (83.2 mg, 0.324 mmol, 4 equiv) and KF (18.8 mg, 0.324 mmol, 4 equiv) were weighed into a 4 mL vial and dissolved in DCE (0.2 mL). Benzene and TMSCF₃ (12 μ L, 0.081 mmol, 1 equiv) were added. The vial was sealed with a Teflon-lined cap and removed from the glovebox. The reaction was heated at 85 °C for 24 h with exclusion of light. The resulting dark brown mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with DCE (1 mL). 4-Fluoroanisole (1 equiv) was added as an internal standard, and the reaction was analyzed by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy in DCE. The ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopic data matched that obtained for an authentic sample of trifluorotoluene in DCE. The results of these experiments are reported in **Table S2**.

		4 equiv A 4 equiv	4 equiv AgOTf 4 equiv KF DCE, N ₂ 85 °C, 24 h	
(1-20 equiv)	(1 equiv)	3 DCE, 85 °C,		
	Entry	C ₆ H ₆ (equiv)	Yield (%)	
	1	1	17	-
	2	5	49	
	3	10	75	
	4	20	87	

Table S2. Trifluoromethylation of C₆H₆ with Different Equivalents of C₆H₆

This reaction was also conducted using benzene as the limiting reagent (12 μ L, 0.081 mmol, 1 equiv) and an excess of TMSCF₃ (60 μ L, 0.4 mmol, 5 equiv). Under these conditions, the yield of the reaction was 53%.

Trifluoromethylation of C₆H₆ Reaction Optimization (Variation of Solvent)

In a glovebox, AgOTf (83.2 mg, 0.324 mmol, 4 equiv) and KF (18.8 mg, 0.324 mmol, 4 equiv) were weighed into a 4 mL vial and dissolved in the appropriate solvent (0.2 mL). Benzene (72 μ L, 0.81 mmol, 10 equiv) and TMSCF₃ (12 μ L, 0.081 mmol, 1 equiv) were added. The vial was sealed with a Teflon-lined cap and removed from the glovebox. The reaction was heated at 85 °C for 24 h with exclusion of light. The resulting dark brown mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with DCE (1 mL). 4-Fluoroanisole (1 equiv) was added as an internal standard, and the reaction was analyzed by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy. The ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopic data matched that obtained for an authentic sample of trifluorotoluene. The results of these experiments are reported in **Table S3**.

		4 equiv A 4 equiv	4 equiv AgOTf 4 equiv KF 85 °C, 24 h, N ₂	
+		85 °C, 24		
10 equiv	1 equiv			(2)
	entry	solvent	yield (%)	_
	1	diglyme	3	
	2	pentane	4	
	3	NMP	7	
	4	DMA	10	
	5	MeCN	20	
	6	THF	27	
	7	Et ₂ O	35	
	8	dioxane	48	
	9	ethyl acetate	57	
	10	DCE	75	

Table S3. Trifluoromethylation of C₆H₆ with Different Solvents

General Procedure for Preparation of Authentic Samples of Previously Unreported Benzotrifluorides

The authentic samples were synthesized following a literature procedure.² In a glovebox, $[Cu(OTf)]_2 \cdot C_6H_6$ (60.4 mg, 0.12 mmol, 0.6 equiv), 1,10-phenanthroline (43 mg, 0.24 mmol, 1.2 equiv), K₃PO₄ (127 mg, 0.6 mmol, 3 equiv), KF (58.1 mg, 1.0 mmol, 5 equiv), Ag₂CO₃ (55.2 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 equiv), DMF (2.0 mL) and TMSCF₃ (0.15 mL, 0.1 mmol, 5 equiv) were added to a 20 mL reaction vial that was equipped with a stir bar. In a second vial, boronic acid (0.2 mmol, 1 equiv) was dissolved in DMF (2.0 mL). Both vials were sealed with rubber septa and removed from the glovebox. The first vial was heated to 45 °C, and the solution of boronic acid was then added to over 2 h by using a syringe pump under N₂ atmosphere. After addition of the boronic acid solution, the reaction mixture was heated at 45 °C for another 2 h. The reaction was cooled to 0 °C, and water (10 mL) was added. The resulting mixture was extracted with diethyl ether, and the combined organic extracts were washed with water (3 x 50 mL) and brine (1 x 50 mL) and then dried over magnesium sulfate. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, and the products were purified by column chromatography on silica gel using pentane as the eluent.



1,4-Dimethoxy-2-(trifluoromethyl)benzene

The general procedure was followed using 2,5-dimethoxyphenylboronic acid (36.4 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 equiv) as the substrate. The product was obtained as colorless liquid ($R_F = 0.13$ in pentanes). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ 7.12 (s, 1H), 7.02 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ 153.10, 151.67, 123.56 (q, *J* = 271.0 Hz), 119.54 (q, *J* = 30.8 Hz), 118.23, 113.72, 112.96 (q, *J* = 5.5 Hz), 56.71, 56.03. ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ –62.44 (s, 3F). HRMS EI (m/z): [M]⁺ calcd for C₉H₉F₃O₂, 206.0555; found, 206.0563.



1,3-Dimethoxy-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzene

The general procedure was followed using 2,4-dimethoxyphenylboronic acid (36.4 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 equiv) as the substrate. The product was obtained as colorless liquid ($R_F = 0.1$ in pentanes). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ 7.48 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (s, 1H), 6.49 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.84 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ 163.80, 159.01, 128.41 (q, J = 5.4 Hz), 124.12 (q, J = 270.6 Hz), 111.70 (q, J = 31.1 Hz), 103.84, 99.51, 55.96, 55.65. ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ –61.32 (s, 3F). HRMS EI (m/z): [M]⁺ calcd for C₉H₉F₃O₂, 206.0555; found, 206.0559.



1,3-Dimethoxy-2-(trifluoromethyl)benzene

The general procedure was followed using 2,6-dimethoxyphenylboronic acid (36.4 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 equiv) as the substrate. The product was obtained as a light yellow viscous solid ($R_F = 0.13$ in pentanes). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ 7.38 (t, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.61 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 3.86 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ 159.44, 133.11, 127.30, 124.22 (q, *J* = 275.5 Hz), 104.96, 56.50. ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ -54.97 (s, 3F). HRMS EI (m/z): [M]⁺ calcd for C₉H₉F₃O₂, 206.0555; found, 206.0555.



<u>1,3-Dimethoxy-5-(trifluoromethyl)benzene</u>

The general procedure was followed using 3,5-dimethoxyphenylboronic acid (36.4 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 equiv) as the substrate. The product was obtained as colorless liquid ($R_F = 0.23$ in pentanes). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ 6.74 (s, 2H), 6.60 (s, 1H), 3.82 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ 161.16, 132.53 (q, *J* = 32.3 Hz), 124.05 (q, *J* = 272.3 Hz), 103.76, 103.42 (q, *J* = 3.9 Hz), 55.67. ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ –62.96 (s, 3F). HRMS EI (m/z): [M]+ calcd for C₉H₉F₃O₂, 206.0555; found, 206.0554.



1,2-Dimethoxy-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzene

The general procedure was followed using 3,4-dimethoxyphenylboronic acid (36.4 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 equiv) as the substrate. The product was obtained as colorless liquid ($R_F = 0.1$ in pentanes). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ 7.18 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (s, 1H), 6.88 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.89 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ 151.66, 149.12, 124.40 (q, J = 271.5 Hz), 122.97 (q, J = 32.9 Hz), 118.40 (q, J = 3.6 Hz), 110.65, 108.06 (q, J = 3.6 Hz), 56.04, 56.02. ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ -61.67 (s, 3F). HRMS EI (m/z): [M]⁺ calcd for C₉H₉F₃O₂, 206.0555; found, 206.0559.



1,2-Dimethoxy-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzene

The general procedure was followed using 2,3-dimethoxyphenylboronic acid (36.4 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 equiv) as the substrate. The product was obtained as colorless liquid ($R_F = 0.12$ in pentanes). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ 7.17-7.08 (multiple peaks, 3H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.90 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ 153.62, 147.72 (q, *J* = 1.9 Hz), 124.72 (q, *J* = 30.4 Hz), 123.92, 123.68 (q, *J* = 273.6 Hz), 118.16 (q, *J* = 5.1 Hz), 116.24, 61.50, 56.15. ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ –61.36 (s, 3F). HRMS EI (m/z): [M]+ calcd for C₉H₉F₃O₂, 206.0555; found, 206.0559.

General Procedure for Trifluoromethylation of Arenes

In a glovebox, AgOTf (83.2 mg, 0.324 mmol, 4 equiv) and KF (18.8 mg, 0.324 mmol, 4 equiv) were weighed into a 4 mL vial and dissolved in DCE (0.2 mL). The aromatic substrate and TMSCF₃ (12 µL, 0.081 mmol, 1 equiv) were then added. The vial was sealed with a Teflon-lined cap and removed from the glovebox. The reaction was heated at 85 °C for 24 h with exclusion of light. The resulting dark brown mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with DCE (1 mL). 4-Fluoroanisole (1 equiv) was added as an internal standard, and the reaction was analyzed by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy in DCE to determine the yield. GCMS analyses were performed on a Shimadzu GCMS-QP5000 gas chromatograph mass spectrometer. The products were separated on a 30 m length×0.25 mm i.d., RESTEK XTI-5 column coated with a 0.25 µm film. The GC oven temperature program was as follows: 30 °C hold 10 min, ramp 20 °C/min to 250 °C, and hold for 3 min. Helium was employed as the carrier gas, with a constant column flow of 1.5 mL/min. The injector temperature was held constant at 250 °C.



The general procedure was followed using 20 equiv of benzene. The ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopic data matched that obtained for an authentic sample of trifluorotoluene (Acros, s, –63.3 ppm). Trifluorotoluene was formed in 87% yield as determined by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopic analysis of the crude reaction mixture.

This reaction was also conducted on 0.5 mmol and 1 mmol scale. For the 0.5 mmol scale reaction, AgOTf (514 mg, 2 mmol, 4 equiv), KF (116 mg, 2 mmol, 4 equiv), TMSCF₃ (73.8 μ L, 0.5 mmol, 1 equiv), benzene (0.89 mL, 10 mmol, 20 equiv) and DCE (1.25 mL) were used. The yield of the reaction was 84%. For the 1 mmol scale reaction, AgOTf (1028 mg, 4 mmol, 4 equiv), KF (232 mg, 4 mmol, 4 equiv), TMSCF₃ (147.7 μ L, 1 mmol, 1 equiv), benzene (1.78 mL, 20 mmol, 20 equiv) and DCE (2.5 mL) were used. The reaction was 87%.



The general procedure was followed using 10 equiv of toluene. The ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopic data matched that of authentic samples of all three isomers (*o*-isomer, (Matrix Scientific): s, – 62.7 ppm; *m*-isomer (Matrix Scientific): s, –62.4 ppm; *p*-isomer (Alfa Aesar), s, –61.7 ppm). The trifluoromethylated products were formed in 81% combined yield with an *o* : *m* : *p* ratio of 1.4 : 1 : 2.7 as determined by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopic analysis of the crude reaction mixture.



The general procedure was followed using 10 equiv of *p*-xylenes. ¹⁹F NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture showed that 1,4-dimethyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)benzene was formed in 76% yield. The product showed a ¹⁹F NMR signal at –61.6 ppm in DCE (lit. –61.6 ppm in CDCl₃).³ The identity of the product was further confirmed by GCMS analysis, where the product peak was observed at 11.8 min. The mass spectrum of the product is provided in the spectral data below.



The general procedure was followed using 10 equiv of *m*-xylenes. ¹⁹F NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture showed that the mono-trifluoromethylated product was formed in 76% yield as a mixture of 3 isomers. These products showed ¹⁹F NMR signals in DCE at –61.2 ppm (a isomer, lit. –61.2 ppm in CDCl₃),³ –54.1 ppm (b isomer, lit. –54.1 ppm in CDCl₃),³ and –62.6 ppm (c isomer, lit. –62.6 ppm in CDCl₃).³ The identity of the products was further confirmed by

GCMS analysis, where the product peaks were observed at 11.6 min, 11.9 min and 12.2 min. The mass spectra of the products are provided in the spectral data below.



The general procedure was followed using 10 equiv of *ortho*-xylenes. ¹⁹F NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture showed that the mono-trifluoromethylated product was formed was in 65% yield as a 1.4 : 1 mixture of the a and b isomers. These products showed ¹⁹F NMR signals in DCE at –60.4 ppm (a isomer, lit. –60.4 ppm in CDCl₃)³ and –62.3 ppm (b isomer). The ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopic data of b isomer matched that of an authentic sample (SynQuest Laboratories). The identity of the products was further confirmed by GCMS analysis, where the product peaks were observed at 12.3 min and 12.4 min. The mass spectra of the products are provided in the spectral data below.



The general procedure was followed using 10 equiv of mesitylene. ¹⁹F NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture showed that 1,3,5-trimethyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)benzene was formed in 78% yield. The product showed a ¹⁹F NMR signal at –53.7 ppm in DCE (lit. –55 ppm in CDCl₃).⁴ The identity of the product was further confirmed by GCMS analysis, where the product peak was observed at 14.0 min. The mass spectrum of the product is provided in the spectral data below.



The general procedure was followed using 10 equiv of anisole. The ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopic data matched that of authentic samples of all three isomers (*o*-isomer (Alfa Aesar): s, –62.5 ppm; *m*-isomer (Matrix Scientific): s, –62.8 ppm; *p*-isomer (SynQuest Laboratories), s, –61.5 ppm). The trifluoromethylated products were formed in 87% combined yield with an *o* : *m* : *p* ratio of 2.7 : 1 : 1.2 as determined by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopic analysis of the crude reaction mixture.



The general procedure was followed using 20 equiv of 1,4-dimethoxybenzene. The ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopic data matched that of the authentic samples of prepared above. 1,4-Dimethoxy-2-trifluoromethylbenzene was formed in 81% yield as determined by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopic analysis of the crude reaction mixture.



The general procedure was followed using 10 equiv of 1,3-dimethoxybenzene. The ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopic data matched that of the authentic samples of prepared above. The three isomeric mono-trifluoromethylated products were formed in 85% yield with an a : b : c ratio of 13 : 7.3 : 1 as determined by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopic analysis of the crude reaction mixture.



The general procedure was followed using 10 equiv of 1,2-dimethoxybenzene. The ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopic data matched that of the authentic samples of prepared above. The three isomeric mono-trifluoromethylated products were formed in 71% yield with an a : b ratio of 4 : 1 as determined by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopic analysis of the crude reaction mixture.



The general procedure was followed using 20 equiv of iodobenzene. The ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopic data matched that of authentic samples of all three isomers (*o*-isomer (Alfa Aesar): s, –62.7 ppm; *m*-isomer (Matrix Scientific): s, –62.9 ppm; *p*-isomer (Matrix Scientific), s, –63.0 ppm). The trifluoromethylated products were obtained in 46% combined yield with an *o* : *m* : *p* ratio of 1.7 : 1.2 : 1 as determined by ¹⁹F NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture.

$$\overset{\text{Me}}{\swarrow} + \text{TMSCF}_{3} \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c}4 \text{ equiv } \text{AgOTf}\\4 \text{ equiv } \text{KF}\end{array}}_{\text{DCE, N}_{2}} & \overset{\text{Me}}{\swarrow}_{b} \\ (44\%)\\a:b = >20:1\\(15a:15b)\end{array}$$

The general procedure was followed using 20 equiv of *N*-methylpyrrole. ¹⁹F NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture showed that the mono-trifluoromethylated product was formed in 44% total yield as a >20:1 mixture of the a and b isomers. These products showed ¹⁹F NMR signals in DCE at –58.8 ppm (a isomer, lit. –58.3 ppm in CDCl₃)⁵ and –56.8 ppm (b isomer, lit. –56.6 in CDCl₃).⁵ The identity of the products was further confirmed by GCMS analysis, where the

product peaks were observed at 3.7 min and 4.1 min. The mass spectra of the products are provided in the spectral data below.



The general procedure was followed using 10 equiv of thiophene. ¹⁹F NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture showed that the mono-trifluoromethylated product was formed in 72% total yield as an 8:1 mixture of the a and b isomers. These products showed ¹⁹F NMR signals in DCE at –55.1 ppm (a isomer, lit. –55.1 ppm in thiophene)⁶ and –59.4 ppm (b isomer, lit. –59.5 in thiophene).⁶ The identity of the products was further confirmed by GCMS analysis, where the product peaks were observed at 3.3 min and 3.5 min. The mass spectra of the products are provided in the spectral data below.



The general procedure was followed using 5 equiv of naphthalene. ¹⁹F NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture showed that the mono-trifluoromethylated product was formed in 70% total yield as an 4.8 : 1 mixture of the a and b isomers. These products showed ¹⁹F NMR signals in DCE at –59.9 ppm (a isomer, lit. –60.1 ppm in CDCl₃)⁷ and –62.4 ppm (b isomer, lit. –62.1 in CDCl₃).² The identity of the products was further confirmed by GCMS analysis, where the product peaks were observed at 15.7 min and 15.8 min. The mass spectra of the products are provided in the spectral data below.



The general procedure was followed using 5 equiv of caffeine. ¹⁹F NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture showed that the trifluoromethylated product was formed in 42% yield. The product showed ¹⁹F NMR signals in DCE at –62.5 ppm (lit. –62.7 ppm in CDCl₃).⁸ The identity of the product was further confirmed by GCMS analysis. The GC oven temperature program was as follows: start at 100 °C, ramp 15 °C/min to 250 °C, and hold for 10 min. The product peak was observed at 6.5 min. The mass spectra of the products are provided in the spectral data below.



In a glovebox, AgOTf (83.2 mg, 0.324 mmol, 4 equiv) and KF (18.8 mg, 0.324 mmol, 4 equiv) were weighed into a 4 mL vial and dissolved in DCE (0.2 mL). Benzene (144 µL, 1.62 mmol, 20 equiv) was added to the reaction mixture. The vial was removed from the glovebox. In the air, TMSC₃F₇ (16.4 µL, 0.081 mmol, 1 equiv) was added, and the vial was sealed with a Teflon-lined cap. The reaction was heated at 85 °C for 24 h with exclusion of light. The resulting dark brown mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with DCE (1 mL). 4-Fluoroanisole (1 equiv) was added as an internal standard, and the reaction was analyzed by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy in DCE to determine the yield. ¹⁹F NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture showed that heptafluoropropyl-benzene was formed in 60%. The product was identified by comparison to literature ¹⁹F NMR data: observed δ –80.4 ppm (t, *J* = 9.8 Hz, 3F), –111.8 ppm (q, *J* = 9.8 Hz, 2F), –126.6 ppm (s, 2F) in DCE; lit. –80.2 ppm (t, *J* = 9 Hz, 3F), –111.5 ppm (q, *J* = 9 Hz, 2F), – 126.5 ppm (s, 2F) in CDCl₃.⁹ The identity of the product was further confirmed by GCMS analysis, where the product peak was observed at 5.0 min. The mass spectrum of the product is provided in the spectral data below.



In a glovebox, AgOTf (83.2 mg, 0.324 mmol, 4 equiv) and KF (18.8 mg, 0.324 mmol, 4 equiv) were weighed into a 4 mL vial and dissolved in DCE (0.2 mL). Benzene (144 μ L, 1.62 mmol, 20 equiv) and TMSCF₃ (12 μ L, 0.081 mmol, 1 equiv) were added. The vial was sealed with a Teflon-lined cap and removed from the glovebox. A 26-watt fluorescent light source was placed 5 cm from the reaction, and the reaction was heated in a clear oil bath at 85 °C for 24 h. The resulting dark brown mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with DCE (1 mL). 4-Fluoroanisole (1 equiv) was added as an internal standard, and the reaction was analyzed by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy, showing 75% yield of trifluorotoluene.

Trifluoromethylation of C₆H₆ Reaction with Additives

In a glovebox, AgOTf (83.2 mg, 0.324 mmol, 4 equiv) and KF (18.8 mg, 0.324 mmol, 4 equiv) were weighed into a 4 mL vial and dissolved in DCE (0.2 mL). Benzene (144 μ L, 1.62 mmol, 20 equiv), additive (0.2 equiv or 1 equiv), and TMSCF₃ (12 μ L, 0.081 mmol, 1 equiv) were added. The vial was sealed with a Teflon-lined cap and removed from the glovebox. The reaction was heated at 85 °C for 24 h with exclusion of light. The resulting dark brown mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with DCE (1 mL). 4-Fluoroanisole (1 equiv) was added as an internal standard, and the reaction was analyzed by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy. The results of these experiments are reported in **Table S4**.

			4 equiv AgOTf 4 equiv KF ADDITIVE	_		
	+ Me ₃ SI-C	, г ₃ –	DCE, 85 °C, 24h		(2)	
_	entry		additive	yield	d (%)	
	1	20) mol % AIBN	7	77	
	2	1	equiv AIBN	5	57	
	3	1 equ	uiv nitrobenzene	8	35	
	4	1 €	equiv TEMPO	7	7	

Table S4. Trifluoromethylation of C₆H₆ with Additives

Radical Trifluoromethylation

The radical trifluoromethylation reactions were performed following a literature procedure.¹⁰ In air, anisole (109 μ L, 1.0 mmol) or veratrole (127 μ L, 1.0 mmol), DMSO (2.0 mL), a DMSO solution of H₂SO₄ (0.5 M, 2.0 mL), a DMSO solution of CF₃I (3.0 M, 1.0 mL) and an aqueous solution of FeSO₄ (1 M, 0.3 mL) were combined in a 20 mL vial. A 30% aqueous solution of H₂O₂ (0.2 mL) was added drop-wise at the rate of 0.04 mL/min using a syringe pump. After the addition of H₂O₂, the mixture was stirred at 45 °C for 20 min. After cooling to room temperature, 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (1 equiv) was added as an internal standard, and the reaction was analyzed by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy. The results of these experiments are reported in **Table S5**.

This reaction was also heated to 85° C for 20 min after the addition of H₂O₂. The result is shown at **Table S5**, entry 3.

	R <mark>II + CF₃I</mark> 3 equiv	0.3 equiv FeS 2 equiv H ₂ C 1 equiv H ₂ S(DMSO	BO_4 D_2 D_4 R^{II}_{U}	CF ₃
entry	substrate	major product	NMR yield (%)	isomer ration
1	ОМе	c CF ₃ OMe	26 ^a	: b : c = 7.5 : 1 : 5 (14a : 14b : 14c)
2	MeO MeO	MeO b CF MeO	-3 60	a : b = 9.8 : 1 (7 : 8)
3 ^[a]	MeO MeO	MeO b CF MeO	3 67	a : b = 10.2 : 1 (7 : 8)



^[a] Reaction was heated at 85 °C.



The radical trifluoromethylation reactions were performed following a modified literature procedure.¹⁰ In air, veratrole (127 μ L, 1.0 mmol), DCE (2.0 mL), a DCE solution of H₂SO₄ (0.5 M, 2.0 mL), a DMSO solution of CF₃I (3.0 M, 1.0 mL) and an aqueous solution of FeSO₄ (1 M, 0.3 mL) were combined in a 20 mL vial. A 30% aqueous solution of H₂O₂ (0.2 mL) was added drop-wise at the rate of 0.04 mL/min using a syringe pump. After the addition of H₂O₂, the mixture was stirred at 45 °C for 20 min. After cooling to room temperature, 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (1 equiv) was added as an internal standard, and the reaction was analyzed by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy.

References:

¹ Tyrra, W. E.; Naumann, D. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2004**, *125*, 823.

⁸ Ji, Y.; Brueckl, T.; Baxter, R. D.; Fujiwara, Y.; Seiple, I. B.; Su, S.; Blackmond, D. G.; Baran, P. S. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **2011**, *108*, 14411.

⁹ Gerus, I. I.; Yagupol'skii, Yu. L.; Yagupol'skii, L. M. *Journal of Organic Chemistry USSR (English Translation)*, **1985**, *21*, 1694.

¹⁰ Kino, T.; Nagase, Y.; Ohtsuka, Y.; Yamamoto, K.; Uraguchi, D.; Tokuhisa, K.; Yamakawa, T. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2010**, *131*, 98.

² Chu, L.; Qing, F.-L. Org. Lett. **2010**, *12*, 5060.

³ DeCosta, D.; Pincock, J. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 9484.

⁴ Stavber, S.; Zupan, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1983**, *48*, 2223.

⁵ Chen, Q.-Y.; Li, Z.-T. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 **1993**, 645.

⁶ Naumann, D.; Kischkewitz, J. J. Fluorine Chem. **1990**, 46, 265.

⁷ Cho, E. J.; Senecal, T. D.; Kinzel, T.; Zhang, Y.; Watson, D. A.; Buchwald, S. L. *Science* **2010**, *328*, 1679.































GCMS Data for Trifluoromethylation Reactions



















150.0

125.0

170

175.0

218

225.0

250.0

200.0

0.0-

531

75.0

50.0

S39



