## *Supporting Information*

*for*

## Potentiometric Sensors Based on Fluorous Membranes Doped with Highly Selective Ionophores for  $CO_3^2$

*Li D Chen1 , Debaprasad Mandal2 , Gianluca Pozzi3 , John A Gladysz2 , Philippe Bühlmann\*,1*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Minnesota, 207 Pleasant St. SE, Minneapolis MN 55455,

USA, <sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, Texas A&M University, PO Box 30012, College Station, Texas 77842,

3 CNR-Istituto di Scienze Tecnologie Molecolari, via Golgi 19, 20133, Milano, Italy.

Email Address: buhlmann@umn.edu



**Figure S1**. MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of fluorophilic salt **1**.

**Table S1.**  $CO_3^2$  Selectivities ( $log K_{co_3^2, J}^{pot}$ ) of Conventional Ionophore-

Doped ISEs and Fluorous Ionophore-doped ISEs with Perfluoroperhydrophenanthrene as Membrane Matrix





*a* Ionophore: heptyl 4-trifluoroacetylbenzoate<sup>1</sup>; <sup>b</sup> fluorophilic ionophore **Mn-1** (1.5 mM) and cationic sites, **1** (1.0 mM); *<sup>c</sup>* fluorophilic ionophore **Mn-2** (4.0 mM) and anionic sites, **2** (1.0 mM)

**Table S2.**  $BPh_4^+$  Selectivities ( $log K_{Bph_3,J}^{pot}$ ) of Fluorous Ionophore-Free Ion-Exchanger Membranes and Fluorous Ionophore-Doped Membranes with Perfluoroperhydrophenanthrene as Membrane Matrix.



When determined with respect to a noncomplexing ion such as tetraphenylborate, the selectivity of an ionophore-based ISE membrane for an ion that forms a complex with the ionophore can be used to determine the binding constant of the ion–ionophore complex. The relevant theory has been reported in the literature.<sup>2-6</sup> Here, binding constants are derived considering a rather complicated case in which the ionophores can function as both positively and negatively charged ionophores.

According to the phase boundary potential model, the selectivity coefficient for an ionophore-free ion-exchanger membrane  $K_{JI}^{pot}(I E)$  is given by<sup>7</sup>

$$
K_{J}^{pot}(I E) = \frac{[J^-(J)]^z}{K_{JI}[I^{z-}(I)]}
$$
\n(S1)

where  $I^{z}$  is the anion of charge z that the ionophore can bind (in our case,  $CO_3^{2}$ ), and  $J^{-}$  is the reference ion that we assume not to interact with the ionophore  $(BPh_4)$ .  $[I^{z-}(I)]$  and  $[J^{-}(J)]$  refer to free ion concentrations in the membrane phase when there is only one kind of the two anions,  $I^{z-}$  or  $J^{-}$ , present in the membrane, and  $K_{IJ}$  is the equilibrium constant for the exchange of  $I^{z}$  and  $J^{-}$  between the membrane and the sample phase. Since there is no ionophore in the membrane,  $[J<sup>-</sup>(J)]$  equals  $R_T$ , the total concentration of ionic site in the ion exchanger membrane, while  $[I^{z+}(I)]$  equals  $R_T / z$ .

$$
K_{JI}^{pot}(IE) = \frac{zR_{I}^{z}}{K_{JI}R_{I}}
$$
\n(S2)

In the same way, we can get the selectivity coefficient of the equivalent membrane doped with positively charged ionophore, which is given by

$$
K_{JI}^{pot}(L) = \frac{(L_{tot}^+ + z_R [R_I^{z_R}])^z}{K_{JI}[I^{z-}(I)]}
$$
\n(S3)

where  $L_{tot}^+$  is the total concentration of ionophore and  $[R_T^{z_R}]$  is the total concentration of ionic sites with a charge sign of  $z<sub>n</sub>$  in the membrane. Assuming that  $J<sup>-</sup>$  does not bind to the ionophore,  $[J<sup>-</sup>(J)]$  is equal to  $L_{tot}^+$  +  $z_R[R_T^{z_R}]$ . Combining equations S2 and S3 gives

$$
[I^{z-}(I)] = \frac{(L_{tot}^+ + z_R[R_T^{z_R}])^z}{zR_T} \frac{K_{JI}^{pot}(IE)}{K_{JI}^{pot}(L)}
$$
(S4)

Since more specific situations are of particular interest here ,  $I^{2-}$  (representing  $CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup>$ ) will be used for following discussion. Panel A in Figure 4 of the associated full paper shows that in the membrane with an anionic site-to-ionophore ratio of 1:4, the dominant complex is  $L_2^{\dagger}I^{2-}$ . Thus, the cumulative binding constant,  $\beta_{II_2}$ , is given by

$$
\beta_{IL_2} = K_{L^+ - I^{z-}} K_{L^+ I^{z-} - L^+} = \frac{[L_2^+ I^{z-}]}{[L^+]^2 [I^{z-}]}
$$
\n(S4)

where  $[L^{\dagger}]$  is the concentration of free ionophore in the membrane.

!<br>! Since there is a large excess of  $L^+$  in an ideally selective membrane, the concentration of 1:1 stoichiometry complex of ionophore and the anion,  $L^{\dagger}I^{2-}$ , resulting from dissociation of  $L^{\dagger}I^{2-}$ , is very low. Thus, we can assume that  $[L^{\dagger} I^{2-}]$  is  $\approx 0$  M. From the mass and charge balance in the membrane,  $[L_2^{\dagger} I^{2-}]$  and  $[L^{\dagger}]$  can be expressed by

$$
[L_2^{\dagger}I^{\zeta-}] = [L_{tot}^{\dagger}] - [R_T^-] - 2[I^{2-}]
$$
\n(S5)

and

$$
[L^+] = 2[I^{2-}] + [R_T^-]
$$
 (S6)

Combining equations S4, S7, and S8 gives

$$
\beta_{IL_2} = \frac{[L_{tot}^+] - [R_T^-] - 2[I^{2-}]}{(2[I^{2-}] + [R_T^-])^2[I^{z-}]}
$$
\n(S7)

Substituting  $[I^{z+}]$  as calculated from Equation S4 into Equation S7, the binding constant  $\beta_{II_2}$  is obtained.

In the ionophore-based membranes with a cationic site-to-ionophore ratio of 2:3, complexes of the

type  $L_2^2 I^{2-}$  and  $L^2 I^{2-}$  coexist. Using  $\beta_{IL_2}$  and the mass and charge balance in the membrane to solve for  $[L^{\dagger}]$  gives

$$
[L^+] = \frac{-2 + \sqrt{4 - 4\beta_{H_2}[I^{2-}]([R_T^+] - [L_{tot}^+] - 2[I^{2-}])}}{2\beta_{H_2}[I^{2-}]}
$$
(S8)

Expressions for  $[L_1^*I^{2-}]$  and  $[L^*I^{2-}]$  can now be obtained by insert of  $[I^{2+}]$  from equation S4 and  $[L^*]$ from equation S8 into the following two equatons:

$$
[L_2^{\dagger}I^{2-}] = [L_{tot}^+] - [R_T^+] + 2[I^{2-}] - 2[L^+]
$$
\n(S9)

$$
[L^{\dagger}I^{2-}] = [L^{\dagger}] - [R_T^{\dagger}] - 2[I^{2-}] \tag{S10}
$$

Note that the resulting expressions for  $[L_2^T I^{2-}]$  and  $[L^T I^{2-}]$  are very lengthy, and are therefore not reproduced here. The same applies for several other expressions shown in the following.

With expressions for  $[L^+]$ ,  $[I^{2+}]$ ,  $[L^+I^{2-}]$  and  $[L_2^+I^{2-}]$  known,  $K_{L^+I^{2-}}$  and  $K_{L^+I^{2-}-L^+}$  can be calculated from

$$
K_{L^+\!-\!I^{2-}} = \frac{[L^+I^{2-}]}{[L^+][I^{2-}]}
$$
\n(S11)

and

$$
K_{L^+L^{2-}-L^+} = \frac{[L_2^+L^{2-}]}{[L^+][L^+L^{2-}]} \tag{S12}
$$

Complexes of the type  $L^{\dagger} I_2^{2-}$  (i.e., one ionophore bound to two  $CO_3^2$ ) are formed in the membranes with 4:3 cationic site-to-ionophore ratio. The binding constant,  $K_{L^2}I^{2-1/2-1}$ , is given by

$$
K_{L^{\dagger}I^{2-}-I^{2-}} = \frac{[L^{\dagger}L_2^{2-}]}{[I^{2-}][L^{\dagger}L^{2-}]} \tag{S13}
$$

In membranes with this ionic site-to-ionophore ratio (see panel A, Figure 4, of the associated full paper),  $[L^+I_2^{2-}]$  and  $[L^+I^{2-}]$  are the dominant species and one may assume that  $[L^+]$  is equal to  $\approx 0$  M. Therefore, the mass balance and charge balance in the membrane can be used to give  $[L^{\dagger}I_2^{2-}]$  as

$$
[L^+I_2^{2-}] = \frac{[R^+_r] - [L^+_{tot}] - 2[I^{2-}]}{2}
$$
\n(S14)

and  $[L^{\dagger} I^{2-}]$  is given by

$$
[L^+I^{2-}] = \frac{3[L^+_{tot}] - [R^+_r] + 2[I^{2-}]}{2}
$$
\n(S15)

Substituting equations S4, S14 and S15 into equation S13 gives the binding constant  $K_{L^+L^2-I^2}$ .

The mechanism of the super-Nernstian responses exhibited by the membranes with a 1:4 ratio of anionic sites and ionophore was further confirmed by the fact that at low pH, these electrodes exhibited nearly Nernstian responses of about 50 mV/decade for SCN at high concentrations from  $10^{-1}$  to  $10^{-3}$ (where a majority of the ionophore forms [LSCN] complexes) and slopes of -87 mV/decade and -71 mV/decade for **Mn-1** and **Mn-2** for SCN concentrations in the range from  $10^{-3.5}$  to  $10^{-4.5}$  M (where the ionophore forms 1:2 and 1:1 or  $[L_n(OH)_{n-1}]^+$  complexes and no free ionophore is left in the membranes.



Figure S2. Potentiometric SCN<sup>-</sup> response of an ISE based on a liquid membrane with perfluoroperhydrophenanthrene doped with: (1) 4.0 mM ionophore **Mn-1** and 1.0 mM anionic sites, **2**;(2) 4.0 mM ionophore **Mn-2** and 1.0 mM anionic sites, **2** at (A) pH=6.20 and (B) pH=3.50.

## *References*

- 1. Behringer, C.; Lehmann, B.; Haug, J.-P.; Seiler, K.; Morf, W. E.; Hartman, K.; Simon, W. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1990**, *233*.
- 2. Bakker, E.; Pretsch, E. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1997**, *144*, L125.
- 3. Bakker, E.; Pretsch, E. *Anal. Chem.* **1998**, *70*, 295.
- 4. Ceresa, A.; Pretsch, E. *Anal. Chim. Acta.* **1999**, *395*, 41.
- 5. Boswell, P. G.; Szıjjarto , C.; Jurisch, M.; Gladysz, J. A.; Rabai, J. *Anal. Chem.* **2008**, *80*, 2084- 2090.
- 6. Lai, C.-Z.; Reardon, M. E.; Boswell, P. G.; Bühlmann, P. *J. Fluor. Chem.* **2010**, *131*, 42-46.
- 7. Bühlmann, P.; Pretsch, E.; Bakker, E. *Chem. Rev.* **1998**, *98*, 1593-1687.