## Umpolung Amide Synthesis: Discovery and Characterization of Anaerobic and Aerobic Pathways to Amide, Introducing an Opportunity for Straightforward <sup>18</sup>O-Amide Synthesis

Jessica P. Shackleford, Bo Shen and Jeffrey N. Johnston\*

Department of Chemistry & Vanderbilt Institute of Chemical Biology Vanderbilt University 2301 Vanderbilt Place, Nashville, TN 37235-1822

### SI-I-X

General Experimental Details	
<sup>18</sup> O Percentage Mass Spectrometry Calculation	
Preparation of <sup>18</sup> O <sub>2</sub> -Labeled Sodium Nitrite	
<sup>18</sup> O-(2-Nitroethyl)benzene	
<sup>18</sup> O-(2-Bromo-2-nitroethyl)benzene ( <sup>18</sup> O-Labeled 1)	
Amide 4a Prepared Using >99% $H_2^{18}O$ (Table 1, Entry 1)	
Amide 4a Prepared Using 82% <sup>18</sup> O-Labeled $\alpha$ -Bromonitroalkane (Table 1, Entry 2)	
Amide 4a Prepared Using <sup>18</sup> O-Labeled $\alpha$ -Bromonitroalkane and Excess Amine (Table 1, Entry 3)	
Amide 4a Prepared Using <sup>18</sup> O-Labeled $\alpha$ -Bromonitroalkane/H <sub>2</sub> <sup>18</sup> O and Excess Amine (Table 1, Entry 4)	
Amide 4a Prepared Using <sup>18</sup> O-Labeled α-Bromonitroalkane, Excess Amine, and Degassed Solvent (Table 1, Entry 5)	
Amide 4a Prepared Using Degassing and <sup>18</sup> O <sub>2</sub> Gas (Table 1, Entry 6)	
General Procedure: Amide Synthesis Using an Ammonium Salt	
Procedure for Optimized Amide <sup>18</sup> O-Labelling using a 1 mL Vial	
<sup>18</sup> O-Labeled-2-Phenyl- <i>N</i> -(1-phenylethyl)acetamide (11a)	
<sup>18</sup> O-Labeled- <i>N</i> -(1-Phenylethyl)cyclohexanecarboxamide (11b)	
<sup>18</sup> O-Labeled <i>N</i> -Cyclohexyl-2-phenylacetamide (11c)	
<sup>18</sup> O-Labeled <i>N</i> -Boc-4-Cl-Phenylglycine-Ala-Phe-OMe (11d)12	
<i>N</i> -Boc-4-OMe-Phenylglycine-Val-OMe (Unlabeled 11e)15	
<sup>18</sup> O-Labeled- <i>N</i> -Boc-4-OMe-Phenylglycine-Val-OMe (11e)	
N-Boc-4-MeO-Phenylglycine-Ala-Phe-OMe (Unlabeled 11f)16	
<sup>18</sup> O-Labeled- <i>N</i> -Boc-4-OMe-Phenylglycine-Ala-Phe-OMe (11f)	

#### **General Experimental Details**

All reagents and solvents were commercial grade and purified prior to use when necessary. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was dried by passage through a column of activated alumina as described by Grubbs.<sup>1</sup> This was done to accurately quantitate the amount of water in each reaction. NIS was recrystallized from dioxane/CCl<sub>4</sub>.

Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using glass-backed silica gel (250 µm) plates, and flash chromatography utilized 230-400 mesh silica gel from Scientific Adsorbents. Products were visualized by UV light, iodine, and/or the use of ninhydrin solution.

IR spectra were recorded on a Thermo Nicolet IR100 spectrophotometer and are reported in wavenumbers (cm<sup>-1</sup>). Compounds were analyzed as neat films on a NaCl plate (transmission). Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra (NMR) were acquired on a Bruker DRX-400 (400 MHz) or a Bruker AVIII-600 (600 MHz) spectrometer. Chemical shifts are measured relative to residual solvent peaks as an internal standard set to 7.26 and 77.1 for CDCl<sub>3</sub>. Mass spectra were recorded on a Thermo Electron Corporation MAT 95XP-Trap mass spectrometer by use of chemical ionization (CI), electron impact ionization (EI) or electrospray ionization (ESI) by the Indiana University Mass Spectrometry Facility, or on a Synapt hybrid quadrupole/oa-TOF mass spectrometer equipped with a dual chemical ionization/electrospray (ESCI) source by Vanderbilt University Mass Spectrometry Facility. A post-acquisition gain correction was applied using sodium formate or sodium iodide as the lock mass. Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin Elmer-341 polarimeter.

#### <sup>18</sup>O Percentage Mass Spectrometry Calculation

Contributions to the [M+2] mass peak include  $M(^{18}O)$  and the natural abundance of  $M(^{13}C_2)$  and  $M(^{15}N)$ . Their contribution is removed from the final  $^{18}O$  percentage by the following calculation:

(<sup>16</sup>O ion intensity) x (predicted <sup>18</sup>O ion natural abundance in the unlabeled compound)/ $100 = {}^{18}$ O ion intensity expected in the unlabeled compound

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Pangborn, A. B.; Giardello, M. A.; Grubbs, R. H.; Rosen, R. K.; Timmers, F. J. Organometallics 1996, 15, 1518-1520.

 $(^{18}\text{O ion intensity}) - (^{18}\text{O ion intensity expected in the unlabeled compound}) = \text{corrected} \ ^{18}\text{O}$  ion intensity

(Corrected <sup>18</sup>O ion intensity) / (Corrected <sup>18</sup>O ion intensity + <sup>16</sup>O ion intensity) x 100 = XX%<sup>18</sup>O

$$O_{\rm A}^{\rm N} O_{\rm A}^{\rm T} Na^{\rm T} H \xrightarrow{180}_{\rm H} \frac{DOWEX-50 \times 4}{48 \text{ h, rt}} \xrightarrow{180}_{\rm 180}^{\rm N} Na^{\rm T} \xrightarrow{180}_{\rm 180}^{\rm N} Na^{\rm T} \xrightarrow{180}_{\rm 160}^{\rm N} Na^{\rm T} \xrightarrow{160}_{\rm 16$$

## Preparation of <sup>18</sup>O<sub>2</sub>-Labeled Sodium Nitrite

Following the procedure developed by Yang and Goldberg,<sup>2</sup> a round bottomed flask was charged with  $H_2^{18}O$  (0.5 mL, 27.8 mmol) via syringe and cooled to 0 °C. Sodium nitrite (109 mg, 1.58 mmol) and dry, activated Dowex-50X4 (30 mg) were added, and the flask was sealed with a glass stopper and parafilm. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 48 h, using pH paper to confirm reaction completion (pH less than 4). The reaction mixture was filtered to remove Dowex-50X4 and brought to pH 11.8 with NaOH powder. H<sub>2</sub>O was removed via short path distillation under reduced pressure to give<sup>18</sup>O<sub>2</sub>-labeled sodium nitrite as a solid (79.5 mg, 69%). The <sup>18</sup>O enrichment in NaN<sup>18</sup>O<sub>2</sub> was not determined.



#### <sup>18</sup>O-(2-Nitroethyl)benzene

NaN<sup>18</sup>O<sub>2</sub> (110 mg, 1.51 mmol) was added to a solution of the bromide (155 mg, 837 µmol) in DMSO (2.8 ml, 0.3 M), followed by phloroglucinol (116 mg, 921 µmol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 d. The resulting solution was poured into ice water and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O. The organic layer was dried, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (5% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give the nitroalkane as a colorless oil (82.7mg, 64%).  $R_f$ = 0.40 (20% EtOAc/hexanes); IR (neat) 3065, 3032, 2920, 1527, 1340 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.35-7.31 (m, 2H), 7.30-7.27 (m, 1H), 7.22-7.20 (m, 2H), 4.62 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 3.33 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, 100 MHz).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Yang, C. C.; Goldberg, I. H. J. Labelled Compd. Radiopharm. 1989, 27, 423.

CDCl<sub>3</sub>) ppm 135.7, 128.9, 128.6, 127.4, 76.2, 33.4; HRMS (CI): Exact mass calcd for  $C_8H_{13}N_2^{16}O_2 [M+NH_4]^+$  169.0976, found 169.0964: 3.1%;  $C_8H_{13}N_2^{16}O^{18}O [M+NH_4]^+$  171.1014, found 171.1017: 26.9%;  $C_8H_{13}N_2^{18}O_2 [M+NH_4]^+$  173.1056, found 173.1051: 70.1%. Overall 83.6% <sup>18</sup>O incorporation (=1/2(26.9)+70.1).



## <sup>18</sup>O-(2-Bromo-2-nitroethyl)benzene (<sup>18</sup>O-Labeled 1)

The nitroalkane (78.8 mg, 508 µmol) was added to a solution of KOH (28.5 mg, 508 µmol) in 25% MeOH:H<sub>2</sub>O (1.2 mL) and allowed to stir until the nitroalkane completely dissolved. The reaction was cooled to -22 °C and transferred to a separatory funnel. A solution of bromine (81.1 mg, 508 µmol) in DCM (2.5 mL) was cooled to -78 °C and quickly added to the separatory funnel, which was shaken vigorously until the orange color disappeared. The reaction mixture was extracted with DCM, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated.<sup>3</sup> The residue was purified via flash column chromatography (2-3% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to afford the  $\alpha$ -bromonitroalkane as a colorless oil (95.0 mg, 80%). IR (neat) 3066, 3032, 2927, 1538, 1323 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.37-7.32 (m, 3H), 7.22-7.19 (m, 2H), 6.04 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (dd, *J* = 14.6, 8.2 Hz, 1H), 3.51 (dd, *J* = 14.6, 6.1 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) ppm 133.3, 129.2, 129.1, 128.4, 79.2, 43.5; HRMS (CI): Exact mass calcd for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>12</sub>BrN<sub>2</sub><sup>16</sup>O<sub>2</sub> [M+NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> 247.0082, found 247.0322, 3.3%; C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>12</sub>BrN<sub>2</sub><sup>16</sup>O<sup>18</sup>O [M+NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> 249.0125, found 249.0195, 29.1%; C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>12</sub>BrN<sub>2</sub><sup>18</sup>O<sub>2</sub> [M+NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> 251.0167, found 251.0220, 67.7%. Overall 82.2% <sup>18</sup>O incorporation (=1/2(29.1)+67.7).<sup>4</sup>



## Amide 4a Prepared Using >99% H<sub>2</sub><sup>18</sup>O (Table 1, Entry 1)

A 15 mL round-bottom was charged with a solution of  $\alpha$ -bromonitroalkane (30.8 mg, 134  $\mu$ mol) dissolved in THF (0.67 mL). (S)- $\alpha$ -Methylbenzylamine (20.7  $\mu$ L, 161  $\mu$ mol) was added

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Procedure adapted from Erickson, A. S.; Kornblum, N. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 3764.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Only the nominal masses match with the calculated values. The NH<sub>3</sub> data are good for the relatives ratios. They are not internally calibrated since NH<sub>3</sub> gas will not ionize perfluorokerosene. CH<sub>4</sub> is too aggressive to make a stable  $M^+$  ion for nitro compounds. HNO<sub>2</sub> comes off as a neutral loss easily.

via microsyringe, and the reaction was cooled to 0°C. Solid potassium carbonate (37.0 mg, 267  $\mu$ mol) and NIS (30.1 mg, 134  $\mu$ mol) were added, followed by H<sub>2</sub><sup>18</sup>O (13.4  $\mu$ L, 669  $\mu$ mol). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 48 h at 0°C. Anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub> was added, and the reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified via flash column chromatography (20% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to afford the amide as a yellow solid (24.5 mg, 76%). R<sub>f</sub>=0.35 (40% EtOAc/hexanes); spectroscopic data (IR, <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR) was in complete accord with that previously reported.<sup>5</sup> HRMS (ES): Exact mass calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>18</sub>NO [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 240.1388, found 240.1389. The labeled product was not observed by mass spectrometry, indicating <1% <sup>18</sup>O incorporation.



## Amide 4a Prepared Using 82% <sup>18</sup>O-Labeled α-Bromonitroalkane (Table 1, Entry 2)

A 15 mL round bottom was charged with a solution of <sup>18</sup>O-labeled  $\alpha$ -bromonitroalkane (20.0 mg, 85 µmol) dissolved in THF (430 µL). (*S*)- $\alpha$ -Methylbenzylamine (13.2 µL, 103 µmol) was added via microsyringe, and the reaction was cooled to 0°C. Solid potassium carbonate (23.6 mg, 171 µmol) and NIS (19.2 mg, 85 µmol) were added, followed by distilled H<sub>2</sub>O (7.7 µL, 427 µmol). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 48 h at 0°C. Anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub> was added, and the reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified via flash column chromatography (20% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to afford the amide as a yellow solid (15.8 mg, 76%). R<sub>f</sub>=0.35 (40% EtOAc/hexanes); spectroscopic data (IR, <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR) was in complete accord with that previously reported for <sup>16</sup>O-amide,<sup>5</sup> but two IR carbonyl peaks are present at 1644 and 1629 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR also showed the presence of <sup>16</sup>O and <sup>18</sup>O carbonyl peaks with the <sup>18</sup>O peak shifted upfield approximately 0.03 ppm. Integration of these two peaks indicated around a 22% <sup>18</sup>O incorporation. HRMS (CI): Exact mass calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>18</sub>NO [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 240.1383 and C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sup>18</sup>O [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 242.1425, found 240.1605 and 242.1664. The relative intensities of these two peaks and their natural abundances were used to determine a 17% <sup>18</sup>O incorporation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Nordstrøm, L. U.; Vogt, H.; Madsen, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2008**, 130, 17672.

<sup>18</sup>O Percentage Mass Spectrometry Calculation:

(834168 X 1.62) / 100 = 13514

179346 - 13514 = 165832

 $(165832/(165832 + 834168)) \times 100 = 17\%^{18}O$ 



# Amide 4a Prepared Using <sup>18</sup>O-Labeled $\alpha$ -Bromonitroalkane and Excess Amine (Table 1, Entry 3)

A 15 mL round bottomed flask was charged with a solution of <sup>18</sup>O-labeled  $\alpha$ bromonitroalkane (20 mg, 85 µmol) dissolved in THF (430 µL). (*S*)- $\alpha$ -Methylbenzylamine (55 µL, 427 µmol) was added via microsyringe, and the reaction was cooled to 0°C. NIS (19.2 mg, 85 µmol) was added, followed by distilled H<sub>2</sub>O (7.7 µL, 427 µmol). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 48 h at 0°C. Anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub> was added, and the reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified via flash column chromatography (20% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to afford the amide as a yellow solid (14.2 mg, 70%). R<sub>f</sub>=0.35 (40% EtOAc/hexanes); spectroscopic data (IR, <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR) was in complete accord with that previously reported, <sup>5</sup> but two IR carbonyl peaks are present at 1648 and 1627 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR also showed the presence of <sup>16</sup>O and <sup>18</sup>O carbonyl peaks with the <sup>18</sup>O peak shifted upfield approximately 0.03 ppm. Integration of these two peaks indicated a 49% <sup>18</sup>O incorporation. HRMS (CI): Exact mass calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>18</sub>NO [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 240.1383 and C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sup>18</sup>O [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 242.1425, found 240.1592 and 242.1637. The relative intensities of these two peaks and their natural abundances were used to determine a 49% <sup>18</sup>O incorporation.

<sup>18</sup>O Percentage Mass Spectrometry Calculation:

(510360 X 1.62) / 100 = 8268

497908 - 8268 = 489640

 $(489640/(489640 + 510360)) \times 100 = 49\%^{-18}O$ 



# Amide 4a Prepared Using <sup>18</sup>O-Labeled $\alpha$ -Bromonitroalkane/H<sub>2</sub><sup>18</sup>O and Excess Amine (Table 1, Entry 4)

A 15 mL round bottom was charged with a solution of <sup>18</sup>O-labeled  $\alpha$ -bromonitroalkane (20 mg, 85 µmol) dissolved in THF (430 µL). (*S*)- $\alpha$ -Methylbenzylamine (55 µL, 427 µmol) was added via microsyringe, and the reaction was cooled to 0°C. NIS (19.2 mg, 85 µmol) was added, followed by H<sub>2</sub><sup>18</sup>O (7.7 µL, 427 µmol). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 48 h at 0°C. Anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub> was added, and the reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified via flash column chromatography (20% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to afford the amide as a yellow solid (14.2 mg, 70%). R<sub>*j*</sub>=0.35 (40% EtOAc/hexanes); spectroscopic data (IR, <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR) was in complete accord with that previously reported, <sup>5</sup> but two IR carbonyl peaks are present at 1649 and 1629 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR also showed the presence of <sup>16</sup>O and <sup>18</sup>O carbonyl peaks with the <sup>18</sup>O peak shifted upfield approximately 0.03 ppm. Integration of these two peaks indicated around a 46% <sup>18</sup>O incorporation. HRMS (CI): Exact mass calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>18</sub>NO [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 240.1383 and C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sup>18</sup>O [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 242.1425, found 240.1592 and 242.1637. The relative intensities of these two peaks and their natural abundances were used to determine a 49% <sup>18</sup>O incorporation.

<sup>18</sup>O Percentage Mass Spectrometry Calculation:

(510334 X 1.62) / 100 = 8267

497933 - 8267 = 489666

 $(489666/(489666 + 510334)) \times 100 = 49\%^{18}O$ 

# Amide 4a Prepared Using <sup>18</sup>O-Labeled $\alpha$ -Bromonitroalkane, Excess Amine, and Degassed Solvent (Table 1, Entry 5)

A 15 mL round bottom was charged with a solution of <sup>18</sup>O-labeled  $\alpha$ -bromonitroalkane (9 mg, 39  $\mu$ mol) dissolved in degassed THF (190  $\mu$ L). (*S*)- $\alpha$ -Methylbenzylamine (24.8  $\mu$ L, 192  $\mu$ mol)

was added via microsyringe, and the reaction was cooled to 0°C. NIS (8.7 mg, 39 µmol) was added, followed by distilled H<sub>2</sub>O (3.5 µL, 192 µmol). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir under argon for 48 h at 0°C. Anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub> was added, and the reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified via flash column chromatography (20% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to afford the amide as a yellow solid (6.9 mg, 70%).  $R_f$ =0.35 (40% EtOAc/hexanes); spectroscopic data (IR, <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR) was in complete accord with that previously reported, <sup>5</sup> but two IR carbonyl peaks are present at 1654 and 1629 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR also showed the presence of <sup>16</sup>O and <sup>18</sup>O carbonyl peaks with the <sup>18</sup>O peak shifted upfield approximately 0.03 ppm. Integration of these two peaks indicated around a 58% <sup>18</sup>O incorporation. HRMS (EI): Exact mass calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO [M]<sup>+</sup> 239.1310 and C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sup>18</sup>O [M]<sup>+</sup> 241.1353, found 239.1287 and 241.1328. The relative intensities of these two peaks and their natural abundances were used to determine a 66% <sup>18</sup>O incorporation.

<sup>18</sup>O Percentage Mass Spectrometry Calculation:

(10660544 X 1.62) / 100 = 172701

20970944 - 172701 = 20798243

 $(20798243 / (20798243 + 10660544)) \times 100 = 66\%^{18}O$ 

$$Ph \underbrace{\bigvee_{Br}^{18}O_2 \quad H_2N}_{H_2} Ph \underbrace{K_2CO_3, H_2O}_{THF, 0 \ ^\circ C, 2 \ d} Ph \underbrace{\bigvee_{18O}^{H}}_{H_2} Ph$$

## Amide 4a Prepared Using Degassing and <sup>18</sup>O<sub>2</sub> Gas (Table 1, Entry 6)

The  $\alpha$ -bromonitroalkane (28.5 mg, 124  $\mu$ mol) and amine (19  $\mu$ l, 149  $\mu$ mol) were added to a 10 mL round-bottomed flask (flask A) and sealed with a septum wrapped with parafilm. K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (34.3 mg, 124  $\mu$ mol), NIS (27.9 mg, 124  $\mu$ mol), and H<sub>2</sub>O (11.2  $\mu$ l, 620  $\mu$ mol) were added to a second 10 mL round-bottomed flask (flask B) in a solution of THF (620  $\mu$ L), which was subsequently sealed with a septum and wrapped with parafilm. Both flasks were degassed using three 80 minute freeze-pump-thaw cycles. A balloon (that had been evacuated and purged 3 times with nitrogen) was added to flask B, and <sup>18</sup>O<sub>2</sub> gas was added directly to flask B through the septum until the balloon was fully inflated. The contents of flask A were transferred to flask B via dry microsyringe in one portion. The reaction was allowed to stir at 0 °C for 16 h. Anhydrous

MgSO<sub>4</sub> was added, and the reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified via flash column chromatography (20% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to afford the amide as a yellow solid (20.1 mg, 68%).  $R_f$ =0.35 (40% EtOAc/hexanes); spectroscopic data (IR, <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR) was in complete accord with that previously reported,<sup>5</sup> but two IR carbonyl peaks are present at 1654 and 1624 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR also showed the presence of <sup>16</sup>O and <sup>18</sup>O carbonyl peaks with the <sup>18</sup>O peak shifted upfield approximately 0.03 ppm. Integration of these two peaks indicated around a 79% <sup>18</sup>O incorporation. LRMS (EI): Exact mass calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO [M]<sup>+</sup> 239.1305 and C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sup>18</sup>O [M]<sup>+</sup> 241.1347, found 239.20 and 241.20. The relative intensities of these two peaks and their natural abundances were used to determine a 83% <sup>18</sup>O incorporation.

<sup>18</sup>O Percentage Mass Spectrometry Calculation:

(180492 X 1.62) / 100 = 2924

904618 - 2924 = 901694

 $(901694 / (901694 + 180492)) \times 100 = 83\%^{-18}O$ 

#### General Procedure: Amide Synthesis Using an Ammonium Salt

 $K_2CO_3$  (3.2 equiv) was added to the suspension of the ammonium salt (1.2 equiv) and the  $\alpha$ bromonitroalkane (1.0 equiv, 0.2 M) in THF and H<sub>2</sub>O (5.0 equiv) at 0 °C, followed by NIS (1.0 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 2 d. The resulting mixture was diluted with dichloromethane and filtered to remove  $K_2CO_3$ . The filtrate was concentrated and subjected to purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel.

## Procedure for Optimized Amide <sup>18</sup>O-Labelling using a 1 mL Vial

The  $\alpha$ -bromonitroalkane (1 equiv) and amine (1.2 equiv) were added to a 1 mL glass screw cap HPLC vial (vial A), followed by addition of H<sub>2</sub>O (5 equiv) and K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (2.0 equiv with free amines and 3.2 equiv with ammonium salts). THF (200 µL) was added to the vial, which was subsequently sealed with the screw cap (containing a silicone septum) and parafilm. NIS (1 equiv) in THF (200 µL) was added to a second 1 mL glass screw cap HPLC vial (vial B) and sealed with the silicone septum screw cap and parafilm. Both flasks were degassed using three 80 minute freeze-pump-thaw cycles (Figure 1). Once degassing was complete, vial A was



**Figure 1.** <sup>18</sup>O<sub>2</sub> Gas Addition Experimental Setup: Freeze-Pump-Thaw Cycles for Substrates and NIS Solution (left), and Back-Fill with <sup>18</sup>O<sub>2</sub> Following Final Thaw Cycle

refrozen in liquid nitrogen. The NIS solution in vial B was transferred to vial A via dry microsyringe in one portion. Once the transferred solution had frozen, the <sup>18</sup>O<sub>2</sub> regulator needle was inserted through the septum, and the entire system was placed under high vacuum (0.3 Torr). The vacuum was turned off, and the <sup>18</sup>O<sub>2</sub> gas regulator was opened to allow its entry to the system under static vacuum, and until the regulator pressure gauge remained steady. The reaction was warmed to 0 °C and allowed to stir overnight. If the pressure gauge needle dropped while the reaction was warming, additional <sup>18</sup>O<sub>2</sub> gas was added to allow the needle to return to its previously stable state. Following a 16 to 44 h reaction time, anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub> was added. The reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified via flash column chromatography.



### <sup>18</sup>O-Labeled-2-Phenyl-*N*-(1-phenylethyl)acetamide (11a)

Following the procedure, the  $\alpha$ -bromonitroalkane (26.1 mg, 113 µmol) and amine (17.3 µl, 136 µmol) provided the amide after flash column chromatography (20% ethyl acetate in hexanes) as a white solid (21.7 mg, 79%). R<sub>f</sub>=0.35 (40% EtOAc/hexanes); spectroscopic data (IR, <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR) was in complete accord with that previously reported,<sup>5</sup> but a shift in the IR carbonyl peak from 1645 to 1624 cm<sup>-1</sup> was observed. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR also showed the presence of <sup>16</sup>O and <sup>18</sup>O carbonyl peak with the <sup>18</sup>O peak shifted upfield approximately 0.03 ppm. Integration of these two peaks indicated around a 71% <sup>18</sup>O incorporation. LRMS (EI):

Exact mass calcd for  $C_{16}H_{17}NO [M]^+ 239.13$  and  $C_{16}H_{17}N^{18}O [M]^+ 241.13$ , found 239.20 and 241.20. The relative intensities of these two peaks and their natural abundances were used to determine a 79% <sup>18</sup>O incorporation.

<sup>18</sup>O Percentage Mass Spectrometry Calculation:

(489962 X 1.62) / 100 = 7937

1807239 - 7937 = 1799302

 $(1799302 / (1799302 + 489962)) \times 100 = 79\%^{18}O$ 



### <sup>18</sup>O-Labeled-*N*-(1-Phenylethyl)cyclohexanecarboxamide (11b)

Following the procedure, the  $\alpha$ -bromonitroalkane (28.7 mg, 129 µmol) and amine (19.7 µl, 155 µmol) provided the amide after flash column chromatography (10% ethyl acetate in hexanes) as an off-white solid (24.5 mg, 81%). R<sub>f</sub>=0.25 (20% EtOAc/hexanes); spectroscopic data (<sup>1</sup>H NMR) was in complete accord with that previously reported.<sup>6</sup> A shift in the IR carbonyl peak from 1644 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 1623 cm<sup>-1</sup> was observed. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR also showed the presence of <sup>16</sup>O and <sup>18</sup>O carbonyl peak with the <sup>18</sup>O peak shifted upfield approximately 0.03 ppm. Integration of these two peaks indicated around a 75% <sup>18</sup>O incorporation. LRMS (EI): Exact mass calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO [M]<sup>+</sup> 231.16 and C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sup>18</sup>O [M]<sup>+</sup> 233.17, found 231.25 and 233.30. The relative intensities of these two peaks and their natural abundances were used to determine a 65% <sup>18</sup>O incorporation.

<sup>18</sup>O Percentage Mass Spectrometry Calculation:

(95132 X 1.44) / 100 = 1370

180814 - 1370 = 179444

 $(179444 / (179444 + 95132)) \times 100 = 65\%^{18}O$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Vora, H. U.; Rovis, T.J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 13796.



## <sup>18</sup>O-Labeled *N*-Cyclohexyl-2-phenylacetamide (11c)

Following the procedure, the  $\alpha$ -bromonitroalkane (30.1 mg, 131 µmol) and amine (18 µl, 157 µmol) provided the amide after flash column chromatography (20% ethyl acetate in hexanes) as a white solid (22.5 mg, 78%).  $R_f = 0.11$  (20% EtOAc/hexanes); spectroscopic data (<sup>1</sup>H NMR) was in complete accord with that previously reported.<sup>7</sup> A shift in the IR carbonyl peak from 1638 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 1620 cm<sup>-1</sup> was observed. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR also showed the presence of <sup>16</sup>O and <sup>18</sup>O carbonyl peaks with the <sup>18</sup>O peak shifted upfield approximately 0.03 ppm. Integration of these two peaks indicated approximately 72% <sup>18</sup>O incorporation. LRMS (EI): Exact mass calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NO [M]<sup>+</sup> 217.15 and C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sup>18</sup>O [M]<sup>+</sup> 219.15, found 217.20 and 219.20. The relative intensities of these two peaks and their natural abundances were used to determine a 79% <sup>18</sup>O incorporation.

<sup>18</sup>O Percentage Mass Spectrometry Calculation:

 $(163531 \times 1.28) / 100 = 2093$  601428 - 2093 = 599335 $(599335 / (599335 + 163531)) \times 100 = 79\%^{-18}O$ 



#### <sup>18</sup>O-Labeled *N*-Boc-4-Cl-Phenylglycine-Ala-Phe-OMe (11d)

Following the procedure, with an additional base wash (satd aq  $K_2CO_3$ ) after chromatography, the  $\alpha$ -bromonitroalkane (39.9 mg, 105  $\mu$ mol) and ammonium salt (36.2 mg, 126  $\mu$ mol) provided the amide after flash column chromatography (30-40% ethyl acetate in hexanes) as a white solid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Chan, W.-K.; Ho, C.-M.; Wong, M.-K.; Che, C.-M.J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 14796.

(31.4 mg, 58%).  $R_f = 0.16$  (40% EtOAc/hexanes); spectroscopic data (<sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, and IR) was in complete accord with that previously reported.<sup>8</sup> A shift in the IR carbonyl peak from 1643 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 1625 cm<sup>-1</sup> was observed. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR <sup>16</sup>O and <sup>18</sup>O carbonyl peaks were too close together to approximate the <sup>18</sup>O incorporation. HMBC NMR analysis confirmed the identity of the <sup>18</sup>O-labeled carbonyl at 169.5 ppm. HRMS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>32</sub>ClN<sub>3</sub>NaO<sub>6</sub> [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 540.1877 and C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>32</sub>ClN<sub>3</sub>NaO<sub>5</sub><sup>18</sup>O [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 542.1862, found 540.1837 and 542.1928. The relative intensities of these two peaks and their natural abundances were used to determine a 93% <sup>18</sup>O incorporation.

<sup>18</sup>O Percentage Mass Spectrometry Calculation:

(12293 X 32.27) / 100 = 3967

156806 - 3967 = 152839

 $(152839 / (152839 + 12293)) \times 100 = 93\%^{18}O$ 

HMBC Analysis (Carbonyl Assignments):

Shown in Figure 2, C8 correlates to H6 and H9 indicating it is the ester carbonyl. C5 correlates to H3 and H4 indicating it is likely the unlabeled amide carbonyl, as the labeled amide carbonyl should not correlate to H4. C2 is likely to be the labeled amide carbonyl peak as it weakly correlates to H3 but not H4. As shown in Figure 3, the carbamate carbonyl of the Boc group only shows one potential correlation to H1 when the spetrum is highly magnified. This weak signal is likely due to the broad nature of both the carbon and proton shifts. A correlation between the labeled amide carbonyl (C2) and H1 cannot be clearly identified, which is also likely due to the broad nature of both the carbon and proton shifts. The shift of the carbamate carbonyl of the Boc group is also much further upfield than the ester and amide carbonyl peaks, which is to be expected.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Shen, B.; Makley, D. ; Johnston, J. N. *Nature* **2010**, 465, 1027.



Figure 2. HMBC Expansion for Key Carbonyl Region



Figure 3. HMBC Magnification Containing the Boc Group Carbonyl



#### *N*-Boc-4-OMe-Phenylglycine-Val-OMe (Unlabeled 11e)

Following the General Procedure, the α-bromonitroalkane (23 mg, 61 µmol) and the ammonium salt of valine (12.3 mg, 73.2µmol) provided the dipeptide (single diastereomer) after flash column chromatography (20% ethyl acetate in hexanes) as a viscous oil (17.8 mg, 74%).  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ -37 (*c* 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>);  $R_f$ =0.32 (30% EtOAc/hexanes); IR (film) 3321, 2965, 2930, 2362, 1740, 1664, 1612, 1511 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.30 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.30 (br s, 1H), 5.67 (br s, 1H), 5.13 (br s, 1H), 4.54 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 2.06 (qqd, *J* = 6.8, 6.8, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 1.41 (s, 9H), 0.76 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.71 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) ppm 172.1, 170.2, 159.5, 128.4, 114.3, 58.0, 56.93, 55.2, 52.2, 31.3, 31.1, 29.6, 28.2, 18.7, 17.3; HRMS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>2</sub>NaO<sub>6</sub> [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>417.2002, found 417.2001.



#### <sup>18</sup>O-Labeled-*N*-Boc-4-OMe-Phenylglycine-Val-OMe (11e)

Following the procedure, the  $\alpha$ -bromonitroalkane (40.6 mg, 108 µmol) and ammonium salt of valine (21.7 mg, 130 µmol) provided the dipeptide (single diastereomer) after flash column chromatography (20% ethyl acetate in hexanes) as a viscous oil (27.6 mg, 65%). R<sub>f</sub>=0.32 (30% EtOAc/hexanes); spectroscopic data (<sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, and IR) was in complete accord with that previously reported. A shift in the IR carbonyl peak from 1664 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 1645 cm<sup>-1</sup> was observed. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR <sup>16</sup>O and <sup>18</sup>O carbonyl peaks were too close to approximate the <sup>18</sup>O incorporation. HRMS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>2</sub>NaO<sub>6</sub> [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 417.2002 and C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>2</sub>NaO<sub>5</sub><sup>18</sup>O [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 419.2059, found 417.1995and 419.2036. The relative intensities of these two peaks and their natural abundances were used to determine a 76% <sup>18</sup>O incorporation.

<sup>18</sup>O Percentage Mass Spectrometry Calculation:

(46161 X 3.62) / 100 = 1671.03

145922 - 1671 = 144251

 $(144251 / (144251 + 46161)) \times 100 = 76\%^{-18}O$ 



#### N-Boc-4-MeO-Phenylglycine-Ala-Phe-OMe (Unlabeled 11f)

Following the General Procedure, the  $\alpha$ -bromonitroalkane (20.0 mg, 50.0 µmol) and the ammonium salt of the Ala-Phe dipeptide (18.0 mg, 60.0 µmol) provided the tripeptide (single diastereomer), after flash column chromatography (40% ethyl acetate in hexanes), as a white solid (19.7 mg, 72%). [ $\alpha$ ]  $_{D}^{20}$  -38.1 (*c* 2.2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); R<sub>f</sub>=0.17 (40% EtOAc/hexanes); mp =179-180 °C; IR (film) 3282, 2927, 1651, 1512, 1247, 1169 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.25 (m, 5H), 7.09 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.60 (br s, 1H), 6.36 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 5.66 (br s, 1H), 5.06 (br s, 1H), 4.80 (ddd, *J* = 6.6, 6.6, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (dq, *J* = 6.6, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 3.12 (dd, *J* = 13.8, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.03 (dd, *J* = 13.8, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 1.42 (s, 9H), 1.22 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) ppm 171.6, 171.4, 170.2, 159.6, 155.1, 135.7, 130.0, 129.2, 128.6, 128.5, 127.2, 114.4, 80.1, 58.2, 55.3, 53.3, 52.3, 48.8, 37.7, 28.3, 17.9; HRMS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>36</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub> [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 514.2548, found 514.2552.



#### <sup>18</sup>O-Labeled-*N*-Boc-4-OMe-Phenylglycine-Ala-Phe-OMe (11f)

Following the procedure, with an additional base wash (satd aq  $K_2CO_3$ ) after chromatography, the  $\alpha$ -bromonitroalkane (41.6 mg, 111 µmol) and ammonium salt (38.2 mg, 133 µmol) provided

the amide after flash column chromatography (40% ethyl acetate in hexanes) as a white solid (27.7 mg, 49%).  $R_f=0.17$  (40% EtOAc/hexanes); spectroscopic data (<sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, and IR) was in complete accord with that previously reported. A shift in the IR carbonyl peak from 1647 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 1630 cm<sup>-1</sup> was observed. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR <sup>16</sup>O and <sup>18</sup>O carbonyl peaks were too close to approximate the <sup>18</sup>O incorporation. HMBC NMR analysis confirmed the identity of the <sup>18</sup>O-labeled carbonyl at 170.2 ppm. HRMS (ESI): Exact mass calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>35</sub>N<sub>3</sub>NaO<sub>7</sub> [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 536.2372 and C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>35</sub>N<sub>3</sub>NaO<sub>6</sub><sup>18</sup>O [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 538.2432, found 536.2352 and 538.2407. The relative intensities of these two peaks and their natural abundances were used to determine a 88% <sup>18</sup>O incorporation.

<sup>18</sup>O Percentage Mass Spectrometry Calculation:

(6168 X 5.99) / 100 = 370

45097 - 370 = 44728

 $(44728 / (44728 + 6168)) \times 100 = 88\%^{18}O$ 

HMBC Analysis (Carbonyl Assignments):

Shown in Figure 4, C8 correlates to H6 and H9 indicating it is the ester carbonyl. C5 correlates to H3 and H4 indicating it is likely the unlabeled amide carbonyl, as the labeled amide carbonyl should not correlate to H4. C2 and the carbamate carbonyl shift of the Boc group (not shown) show no correlations, which is likely due to the broad nature of the carbon and proton shifts. C2 is likely to be the labeled amide carbonyl due to the other carbonyl shift being much higher upfield (155 ppm), which would be expected for the carbamate carbonyl of the Boc group and not the amide.

Johnston et al.



Figure 4. HMBC Expansion for Key Carbonyl Region

## Umpolung Amide Synthesis: Discovery and Characterization of Anaerobic and Aerobic Pathways to Amide, Introducing an Opportunity for Straightforward <sup>18</sup>O-Amide Synthesis

Jessica P. Shackleford, Bo Shen and Jeffrey N. Johnston\*

Department of Chemistry & Vanderbilt Institute of Chemical Biology Vanderbilt University 2301 Vanderbilt Place, Nashville, TN 37235-1822

Figure S1. <sup>13</sup> C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) of <sup>18</sup> O-(2-Nitroethyl)benzene	3
Figure S2. IR of <sup>18</sup> O-(2-Nitroethyl)benzene	4
Figure S3. <sup>13</sup> C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) of <sup>18</sup> O-Labeled 1	5
Figure S4. IR of <sup>8</sup> O-Labeled 1	6
Figure S5. <sup>13</sup> C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) of 4a, Table 1, Entry 1	7
Figure S6. IR of 4a, Table 1, Entry 1	8
Figure S7. <sup>13</sup> C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) of 4a, Table 1, Entry 2	9
Figure S8. IR of 4a, Table 1, Entry 2	
Figure S9. <sup>13</sup> C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) of 4a, Table 1, Entry 3	
Figure S10. IR of 4a, Table 1, Entry 3	
Figure S11. <sup>13</sup> C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) of 4a, Table 1, Entry 4	
Figure S12. IR of 4a, Table 1, Entry 4	
Figure S13. <sup>13</sup> C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) of 4a, Table 1, Entry 5	
Figure S14. IR of 4a, Table 1, Entry 5	
Figure S15. <sup>13</sup> C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) of 4a, Table 1, Entry 6	
Figure S16. IR of 4a, Table 1, Entry 6	
Figure S17. <sup>13</sup> C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) of 11a	
Figure S18. IR of 11a compared to its non- <sup>18</sup> O-labeled form	
Figure S19. <sup>13</sup> C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) of 11b	
Figure S20. IR of 11b compared to its non- <sup>18</sup> O-labeled form	
Figure S21. <sup>13</sup> C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) of 11c	
Figure S22. IR of 11c compared to its non- <sup>18</sup> O-labeled form	
Figure S23. <sup>13</sup> C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) of 11d	
Figure S24.HSQC NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) of 11d.	
Figure S25.HMBC NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) of 11d	
Figure S26. IR of 11d compared to its non- <sup>18</sup> O-labeled form	
Figure S27. <sup>13</sup> C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Comparison of 11d at various levels of <sup>18</sup> O Enrichment	
Figure S28. <sup>1</sup> H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) of 11e	
Figure S29. <sup>13</sup> C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) of 11e	
Figure S30. IR of 11e compared to its non- <sup>18</sup> O-labeled form	
Figure S31. <sup>13</sup> C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Comparison of 11e at various levels of <sup>18</sup> O Enrichment	
Figure S32. <sup>1</sup> H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) of 11f	
Figure S33. <sup>13</sup> C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) of 11f	
Figure S34.HSQC NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) of 11f	

Figure S35.HMBC NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) of 11f	
Figure S36. IR of 11f compared to its non- <sup>18</sup> O-labeled form	
Figure S37. <sup>13</sup> C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Comparison of 11f at various levels of <sup>18</sup> O Enrichment	39

















Figure S7. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of 4a, Table 1, Entry 2





Figure S9. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of 4a, Table 1, Entry 3





Figure S11. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of 4a, Table 1, Entry 4





Figure S13. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of 4a, Table 1, Entry 5





Figure S15. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of 4a, Table 1, Entry 6





Ие <sup>18</sup>0



Figure S18. IR of 11a compared to its non-<sup>18</sup>O-labeled form





Figure S20. IR of 11b compared to its non-<sup>18</sup>O-labeled form



°



Figure S22. IR of 11c compared to its non-<sup>18</sup>O-labeled form





## Figure S24.HSQC NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of 11d





## Figure S25.HMBC NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of 11d



Figure S26. IR of 11d compared to its non-<sup>18</sup>O-labeled form





Figure S27. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Comparison of 11d at various levels of <sup>18</sup>O Enrichment



0



Figure S30. IR of 11e compared to its non-<sup>18</sup>O-labeled form





Figure S31. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Comparison of 11e at various levels of <sup>18</sup>O Enrichment





Figure S34.HSQC NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of 11f



## Figure S35.HMBC NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of 11f



37

Figure S36. IR of 11f compared to its non-<sup>18</sup>O-labeled form





Figure S37. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Comparison of **11f** at various levels of <sup>18</sup>O Enrichment