									Uppm 0.37	0 ppm 1.3			Uppm 0.1	Limits 0 ppm 1.47	Limits 1000 /s 2400 /s	
Label *	C(Me) SAS In Donut (Å <sup>2</sup> )	C(Me) SAS In protomer (Å <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>c</sup>	Buried / Exposed	Mid- protomer / Interface	Methyl direction	Structure group	Sources of $\Delta \delta_{_{\!M}}$ and $\Delta \omega_{_{\!M}}$ <sup>r</sup>	Sources of $\Delta \delta_c$ and $\Delta \omega_c$ °	Δδ <sub>H</sub> <sup>L1</sup>	Δδ <sub>c</sub> <sup>s, i</sup>	Average apo structure in ms time window (hypothesis)	Label	Δω <sub>μ</sub> ει	Δωc <sup>t</sup>	k <sub>ex</sub> <sup>k, i</sup>	Apo dynamics in µs-ms time window (hypothesis)
Info source			TRAP+Trp+RN	IA crystal 1C9S	4.5		TRAP+Trp+RNA	crystal 1C9S * 5 d *	±Trp CSP	±Trp CSF	TRAP+Trp+RNA structure and $\Delta\delta$ for removal of Trp	Info source	Apo MRD	Apo MRD	Apo MRD	TRAP+Trp+RNA structure and MRD $\Delta \omega$ , $k_{_{ex}}$
Val 10 <sub>Y1</sub>	0.0	11.8	Buried	Interface	To interface	Interface (I)	Phe9(Nbr) 5.6Å Phe48(Self) 4.4Å	lle45 $\gamma_2(Nbr)$ , Thr65 $\gamma_2(Self)$	3%	10%	<ul> <li>Small ΔΔ<sub>μ</sub> (* small ΔΔ<sub>0</sub>) → Phe9 and Phe48 too far and/or same orientation as in holo</li> <li>Small ΔΔ<sub>0</sub> → this apo interface is like holo</li> </ul>	Val 10 <sub>Y1</sub>	?	?	> 0	• Non-zero $k_{\rm sc} \rightarrow$ some structural freedom at this interface
Val 10 <sub>72</sub>	0.0	1.6	(Same as above)	Mid-protomer	To core	Core (C)	Phe48(Self) 6.1Å	lle12 $\delta_{\rm s}$ , lle55 $\delta_{\rm s}$	7%	41%	• Small $\Delta \delta_u \to$ Phe 48 too far and/or same orientation as in holo TRAP • Modest $\Delta \delta_c \to$ this apo core is uniquely packed	Val 10 <sub>Y2</sub>	?	?	40%	Moderate $k_{u} \rightarrow$ moderate rate of motion at this interface
Val 11 <sub>Y1</sub>	0.0	15.6	Buried	Interface	To interface	Interface (I)	Phe9(Self) 6.2Å Tyr62(Self) 6.4Å	$Val43\gamma_{i}, lle45\gamma_{i}, lle70\gamma_{2}, \delta_{i}$	6%	5%	$\bullet$ Small $\Delta \delta_{_{\rm H}}$ and $\Delta \delta_{_{\rm C}} \to$ this apo interface is like holo	Val 11 <sub>Y1</sub>	No Disp.	No Disp.	No Disp.	<ul> <li>No dispersion — rigidity at this interface</li> </ul>
Val 11 <sub>Y2</sub>	0.0	15.8	(Same as above)	(Same as above)	(Same as above)	Interface (I)	Phe9(Self) 3.8Å His67(Nbr) 3.8Å	Phe9, Ile45 <sub>72°</sub> His67(Nbr)	20%	9%	• Modest $\Delta\delta_{_{\rm H}}(+$ small $\Delta\delta_{_{\rm C}})\to$ Phe9 and/or Tyr62 unique apo orientation • Small $\Delta\delta_{_{\rm C}}\to$ this apo interface is like holo	Val 11 <sub>Y2</sub>	?	?	> 0	Non-zero $\mathbf{k}_{u} \rightarrow$ some structural freedom at this interface
lie 128,	0.0	0.0	Buried	Mid-protomer (Center!)	To core	Core (C)	Trp ring 7A	Val10, Val21, Val57, Ile63	2%	56%	• Small $\Delta \delta_{i_1} \to {\rm Trp}$ too far to alter $\delta_{i_1}$ • Modest $\Delta \delta_c \to$ center of apo core uniquely packed	lle 128,	?	?	54%	Moderale $k_{\rm sc} \rightarrow$ moderale rate of motion in the core
Leu 15ð,	10.3	10.3	Exposed	Mid-protomer (at base)	To surface (from base)	Surface (S)	Solvent renders local field uniform (no $\Delta \delta_{\mu}$ nor $\Delta \omega_{\mu}$ )	Lys13, Lys40, Lys60, Tyr62, Glu71 all on self	4%	5%	• Small $\Delta\delta_{\mu} \to$ consistent with solvent exposure • Small $\Delta\delta_{c} \to$ surface of apo base is like holo	Leu 15ð,	No Disp.	No Disp.	No Disp.	• No dispersion rigid or flexible with constant solvent exposure
Leu 158 <sub>2</sub>	24.1	24.6	(Same as above)	(Same as above)	(Same as above)	Surface (S)	(Same as above)	(Same as above)	1%	3%	(Same as above)	Leu 158 <sub>2</sub>	No Disp.	No Disp.	No Disp.	(Same as above)
Val 19y,	0.0	0.0	Buried	Mid-protomer	To center	Core (C)	No apparent sources of $\Delta \delta_{\scriptscriptstyle H}$ nor $\Delta \omega_{\scriptscriptstyle H}$	lle12, Val21, Leu38, Ala61	2%	10%	• Small $\Delta \delta_n \to$ consistent with lack of $\Delta \delta_n$ sources • Small $\Delta \delta_c \to$ this apo core is like holo	Val 19 <sub>71</sub>	No Disp.	No Disp.	No Disp.	• No dispersion $\rightarrow$ core is likely rigid here since little/no adjustment required upon Trp-binding (small $\Delta\delta$ )
Val 19 <sub>72</sub>	0.0	0.0	(Same as above)	(Same as above)	To surface	Core (C)	No apparent sources of $\varDelta \!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!$	Ala14, Glu16, Gly59	0%	8%	(Same as above)	Val 19 <sub>72</sub>	No Disp.	No Disp.	No Disp.	(Same as above)
Val 21 <sub>Y1</sub>	6.1	6.1	Buried	Center (near interface)	To core (Trp site)	Accessible Core (AC)	His33(Self) 9.3Å His34(Self) 7.3Å Trp ring 4.4Å	Val21, lle12, lle55, Val57 $\gamma_2$	6%	10%	• Small $\Delta \Delta_{\mu}$ and $\Delta \Delta_{-}$ whis apo accessible core is like holo (near Trp!) • Unexpected: small $\Delta \Delta_{\mu}$ despite proximity to Trp ligand • Small $\Delta \Delta_{\mu}$ perhaps His 33/34 occupies Trp site in similar orientation as Trp to yield comparable ring shift	Val 21 <sub>Y1</sub>	?	?	42%	• Moderate $k_m \to Moderate rate of motion at Trp site  • Structural location links Trp-site \leftrightarrow RNA-site$
Val 21 <sub>72</sub>	0.0	0.0	(Same as above)	(Same as above)	To core edge	Core (C)	Glu368(Self) 7.3Å (too far?) His34(Self) 8.4Å Trp ring 7.3Å	lle 12 $\delta_{_1},$ Leu 38 $\delta_{_1},$ Leu 44 $\delta_{_2}$	1%	28%	Modest $\Delta \delta_c \to$ this apo core is unique (note: $\gamma,$ reports holo-like core near Trp)	$Val~21\gamma_2$	?	?	> 0	Same as v, except rate is unknown     Structural location links Trp-site → RNA-site
lie 228,	5.5	5.5	Buried (protomer edge)	Mid-protomer (near interface)	To interface	Accessible Core (AC)	Phe32(Self) 3.9Å (covers)	Arg58(Self)	70%	7%	• Large $\Delta D_{a_i} \to$ Phe32 alternate orientation in apo • Small $\Delta D_{c_i} \to$ edge of apo core is like holo	lie 228,	0%	100%	20%	Small Au,
Leu 24ð,	0.0	0.0	Buried	Mid-protomer	To core	Core (C)	Phe32(Self) 4.1Å	lle22 $\gamma_{2^*}$ Phe32, Lys56	46%	69%	• Large $\Delta \delta_{\alpha} \rightarrow$ this apo core has unique orientation to Phe32 • Large $\Delta \delta_c \rightarrow$ this apo core is unique • Difference less pronounced toward interface (smaller $\Delta \delta_c$ for $\delta_c$ )	Leu 24ð,	100%	68%	9%	Large Qu <sub>n→</sub> − methy samples unique orientation to Pho32 Large Qu <sub>n→</sub> − methy samples down at this core 5 small k <sub>n→</sub> − rate of exchange is slow 1 instrates is very mobile here, at a slow rate (Q <sub>i</sub> coroborates)
Leu 24ð <sub>2</sub>	2.3	27.0	(Same as above)	(Same as above)	To interface Closer to Trp than δ <sub>1</sub>	Interface (I)	Phe32(Self) 4.8Å His34(Nbr) 4.8Å	$Thr 30\gamma_2, \ Phe 32$	47%	31%	• Large $\Delta \delta_{\mu} \to$ this interface unique orientation to Phe32 and/or His34 • Modest $\Delta \delta_c \to$ this apo interface is unique • Difference more pronounced towards core (larger $\Delta \delta_c$ for $\delta_i$ )	Leu 24ð <sub>2</sub>	0%	68%	0%	= Zero $\Delta u_n \to$ unexpected despite proximity to Phe32 / His34 = $\Delta u_\infty$ k $_m \to$ corroborate hypotheses of 8, methyl
lle 28ð,	72.7	75.0	Exposed	Interface	To solvent (?)	Trp-Loop (L)	His51(Nbr) 3.9Å Trp 9.7Å (quite far)	Exposed (not packed)	41%	100%	• Modest $\Delta\delta_n \to$ this apo loop has unique orientation to His51 • Large $\Delta\delta_c \to$ this apo loop has unique packing (closes over empty Trp site?)	lle 288,	0%	93%	100%	<ul> <li>Small Au,</li></ul>
Leu 38ð,	0.0	0.0	Buried (protomer edge)	Mid-protomer	To core	Core (C)	Glu36(Self) 7.0Å (too far/indirect)	$Val19\gamma_1, Val21\gamma_2, Leu44\beta$	16%	6%	• Unexpected: small/modest $\Delta\delta_\mu$ despite lack of sources of $\Delta\delta_\mu$ . Small $\Delta\delta_c \to$ this apo core is like holo	Leu 38ð,	No Disp.	No Disp.	No Disp.	• No dispersion $\rightarrow$ core is likely rigid here since little/no adjustment required upon Trp-binding (small $\Delta\delta$ )
Leu 388 <sub>2</sub>	0.0	9.4	(Same as above)	Interface	To Interface	Interface (I)	Lys56(Nbr) 4.5Å Glu36(Self) 5.0Å	Glu36, Leu44 $\delta_{_{2}}\delta_{_{2}}$ , Lys56	14%	11%	• Small $\Delta\Delta_{\mu}^{} \to$ this apo interface is uniquely orientated to Lys56 and/or Glu36 • Small $\Delta\delta_{c}^{} \to$ this apo interface is like holo	Leu 388 <sub>2</sub>	?	?	28%	$\bullet$ Small $\mathbf{k}_{n}$ — this interface has slow rate or motion
Val 43y,	0.0	8.1	Very buried	Interface (deeper than Y <sub>2</sub> )	To interface	Interface (I)	No apparent sources of $\Delta \delta_{_{\!H}}$ nor $\Delta \omega_{_{\!H}}$	$Val11\gamma_{1}, lie45\gamma_{1}$	9%	9%	• Small $\Delta\delta_n\to$ consistent with lack of sources of $\Delta\delta_n$ • Small $\Delta\delta_c\to$ this apo interface is like holo	Val 43 <sub>Y1</sub>	No Disp.	No Disp.	No Disp.	<ul> <li>No dispersion — Interface likely rigid here since little/no adjustment required upon Trp-binding (small Δ0)</li> </ul>
Val 43 <sub>Y2</sub>	0.0	29.4	(Same as above)	Interface	To interface	Interface (I)	(Same as above)	Lys15, lle708,(Nbr)	2%	6%	(Same as above)	Val 43 <sub>Y2</sub>	No Disp.	No Disp.	No Disp.	<ul> <li>No dispension — Interface likely rigid here since little/no adjustment required upon Trp-binding (small Δ0)</li> </ul>
Leu 44ð,	0.0	42.6	Buried	Interface	To interface	Interface (I)	His 34(Self) 7.2Å Trp ring 7.0Å	Lys56β(Nbr), Glu38, Ala54(Nbr), Leu24δ <sub>2</sub> (Nbr)	55%	53%	• Modest $\Delta\delta_{i_1} \to \mbox{Tp}$ ligand ring current • Modest $\Delta\delta_c \to \mbox{ths}$ apointerface is unique • Adjacent Trp-site methyl is less altered (smaller $\Delta\delta_c$ for $\delta_s)$	Leu 44ð,	?	?	35%	$\bullet$ Small $k_{\rm m} \rightarrow$ slow exchange rate at this interface
Leu 44ð <sub>2</sub>	4.1	5.4	(Same as above)	(Same as above)	To core (Trp site)	Accessible Core (AC)	His 34(Self) 7.0Å Trp ring 5.8Å	Val21y,, Glu38, Ala46	100%	18%	• Large $\Delta \Delta_{i_1} \rightarrow Trp$ ligand ring current • Small/modest $\Delta \delta_c \rightarrow$ this apo Trp-pocket only slightly different than holo • Adjacent interface methyl is more significantly altered (larger $\Delta \delta_c$ for $\delta_i$ )	Leu 44ð <sub>2</sub>	?	?	> 0	• Same as 8, except unknown k_
lie 458,	0.0	65.3	Very buried	Interface	To interface	Interface (I)	No apparent sources of $\varDelta \delta_{_{\!H}}$ nor $\varDelta \omega_{_{\!H}}$	$Val59\gamma_2, lie63\gamma_1, \gamma_2  all \text{ on Nbr}$	1%	14%	• Small $\Delta\delta_{\mu} \to consistent with lack of sources of \Delta\delta_{\mu} • Small/modest \Delta\delta_c \to this apo interface is slightly different than holo$	lle 458,	0%	67%	47%	= Zero Au <sub>1</sub> — consistent with lack of sources of Au <sub>1</sub> = Large Au <sub>2</sub> and models $k_m \to$ significant mobility at this interface
lie 558,	1.6	1.6	Very buried	Mid-protomer	To core	Core (C)	Phe48 4.3Å Trp ring 3.8Å	$Val10\gamma_1, Trp \ ligand$	19%	27%	• Small/modest $\Delta\delta_{\mu} \to Trp$ ligand ring current • Modest $\Delta\delta_{C} \to$ minor adjustment to pack against Trp ring	lle 558,	?	?	79%	<ul> <li>Large k<sub>i</sub> — center of core undergoing rapid exchange</li> <li>Structural location links Trp-alte → RNA-site</li> </ul>
Val 57 <sub>71</sub>	0.0	0.0	Buried	Mid-protomer	To core	Core (C)	No apparent sources of $\varDelta \delta_{_{\!H}}$ nor $\varDelta \omega_{_{\!H}}$	lie12 $\gamma_2,$ Val19 $\gamma_1,$ Ala61 $\beta$	0%	16%	• Small $\Delta\delta_n \to consistent with lack of sources of \Delta\delta_n • Small \Delta\delta_c \to this apo core is like holo$	Val 57 <sub>Y1</sub>	No Disp.	No Disp.	No Disp.	No dispersion — core is rigid here
Val 57 <sub>72</sub>	0.0	7.9	(Same as above)	Interface	(Same as above)	Interface (I)	No apparent sources of $\Delta \delta_{\rm H}$ nor $\Delta \omega_{\rm H}$	$lle12\delta_1, lle45\delta_1, lle55\gamma_2$	1%	11%	(Same as above)	Val 57 <sub>Y2</sub>	No Disp.	No Disp.	No Disp.	No dispersion — core is rigid here
lie 638,	0.0	10.3	Buried	Interface	To Interface	Interface (I)	No apparent sources of $\varDelta \delta_{_{\!H}}$ nor $\varDelta \omega_{_{\!H}}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Val45}\gamma_{\text{2}^{*}} \text{ lle455}_{\text{1}}, \text{ Val57}\gamma_{\text{2}^{*}} \\ \text{Ser72}\beta \end{array}$	0%	4%	• Small $\Delta\delta_{\mu} \to consistent with lack of sources of \Delta\delta_{\mu} • Small \Delta\delta_c \to this apo interface is like holo$	lle 63ð,	?	?	61%	Modest $k_{\rm m} \rightarrow$ modest structural freedom at this interface (near base)
Val 69y,	21.4	24.4	Exposed	Mid-protomer (base at back)	Along surface	Surface (S)	Tyr62 4.2Å	Tyr62, Gln64 Relatively exposed	8%	2%	• Small $\Delta\delta_a\to$ apo base slightly adjusted relative to Tyr62 • Small $\Delta\delta_c\to$ surface of apo base is like holo	Val 69 <sub>Y1</sub>	No Disp.	No Disp.	No Disp.	No dispersion — flexible with constant solvent exposure (or rigid, which is less likely)
Val 69 <sub>72</sub>	51.6	51.6	(Same as above)	(Same as above)	To surface (unlike γ1)	Surface (S)	Tyr62 4.5Å	Exposed (not packed)	8%	0%	(Same as above)	Val 69 <sub>72</sub>	?	?	47%	Moderate $k_{_{\rm M}} \to$ base mobility about the holo conformation (small $\Delta\delta)$
lie 708,	0.0	72.4	Buried near surface (at base)	Interface	To Interface	Interface (I)	Tyr62(Nbr) 3.4Å	Lys13, Tyr62(Nbr) May be exposed in apo	4%	5%	• Small $\Delta \delta_n \to apo base slightly adjusted relative to Tyr62• Small \Delta \delta_c \to surface of apo base is like holo$	lle 708,	?	?	> 0	• Non-zero $k_{_{\rm M}} \to$ base mobility about the holo conformation (small $\Delta \delta)$