#### ONLINE SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Multiple imputation (MI) was used as a sensitivity analysis to supplement the intent-to-2 treat with last observation carried forward (ITT-LOCF) approach (Supplemental Tables 3 1 and 2). MI was applied to all enrolled subjects, thereby adhering strictly to the ITT 4 principle while accommodating missing data. Five imputed data sets were used per 5 6 analysis. We used a 2-step imputation process involving treatment assignment, gender, and all measurements of the efficacy variable under study. First, sufficient imputations 7 were done to achieve a monotone missing data pattern using a Markov Chain Monte 8 9 Carlo algorithm. Next, all remaining missing data were imputed under the assumption of a monotone missing data pattern via Rubin's regression method (1). The 5 complete 10 imputed datasets were analyzed with analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) including terms 11 for treatment assignment, sex, and baseline as fixed effect covariates. Finally, using 12 methods described by Schafer (2), the separate results from each of the 5 imputed data 13 sets were pooled into single estimates and tested. 14 15 Analysis of subjects who completed the study while actively taking study drug was also 16 performed to understand the effect of treatment following 108 weeks of exposure 17 (Supplemental Tables 3 and 4). Similar to the LOCF analyses, treatment comparisons 18 were performed using an ANCOVA with fixed effects for treatment, gender, and diabetic 19 status and baseline as a covariate. 20

1

- 1 Conclusions of statistical significance and the order of magnitude of effect estimates
- were consistent for the MI approach and completer analyses when compared to the
- 3 ITT-LOCF approach for all variables.

4

#### 5 **REFERENCES**:

- 1. Rubin DB. Multiple imputation for non-response in surveys. Wiley series in probability
- 7 and mathematical statistics. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1987.
- 8 2. Schafer JL. Analysis of incomplete multivariate data (monographs on statistics and
- 9 applied probability 72). London: Chapman & Hall/CRC, 1997.

10

## Supplemental Table 1. Least-Squares Mean (95% CI) Estimates and Treatment Comparisons From Multiple Imputation at Week 108

	Standardized Lifestyle Intervention Across All Treatment Groups								
	Placebo	Phentermine/	hentermine/ Pvs Phentermine/		P vs	P vs Phentermine/			
_	(n = 227)	Topiramate CR	Placebo	Topiramate CR	Placebo	Topiramate CR			
Parameter		7.5/46		15/92		7.5/46			
		(n = 154)		(n = 295)					
Percent weight loss	-2.5 (-3.4,	-10.3 (-11.6, -	< 0.0001	-11.2 (-12.2, -	< 0.0001	< 0.1693			
	-1.6)	9.0)		10.3)					
Waist circumference,	-4.2 (-5.2,	-10.4 (-11.7, -	< 0.0001	-11.1 (-12.0, -	< 0.0001	0.4156			
cm	-3.1)	9.1)		10.2)					
Systolic blood	-3.5 (-5.2,	-4.6 (-6.6, -2.5)	0.4202	-4.4 (-5.9, -2.9)	0.4053	0.9155			
pressure, mm Hg	-1.9)								
Diastolic blood	-3.6 (-4.7,	-3.6 (-5.0, -2.1)	0.9403	-3.1 (-4.2, -2.1)	0.5236	0.6545			
pressure, mm Hg	-2.5)								
Triglycerides, %	-0.5 (-5.6,	-13.6 (-20.0, -	0.0014	-15.0 (-19.8, -	< 0.0001	0.7379			

change	4.7)	7.3)		10.1)		
HDL-C, % change	4.9 (2.4,	7.8 (4.6, 11.0)	0.1659	12.6 (10.3, 14.9)	< 0.0001	0.0137
	7.5)					
LDL-C, % change	-10.8 (-	-4.6 (-8.2, -1.1)	0.0080	-5.8 (-8.4, -3.2)	0.0110	0.5934
	13.7, -7.9)					
Non-HDL-C (%	-9.5 (-	-8.7 (-11.8, -5.6)	0.6762	-9.5 (-11.7, -7.2)	0.9838	0.6784
change)	12.0, -7.0)					

Data are LS mean change (95% CI) or LS mean percent change (95% CI). Standardized lifestyle intervention was used across all treatment groups. CI, confidence interval; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol

### Supplemental Table 2. Percentage of Subjects Achieving Weight Loss Threshold and Treatment Comparisons From Multiple Imputation at Week 108

	Standardized Lifestyle Intervention Across All Treatment Groups									
	Placebo	Phentermine/	P vs	Phentermine/	P vs	Pvs				
Parameter	(n = 227)	Topiramate CR	Placebo	Topiramate CR	Placebo	Phentermine/				
		7.5/46		15/92		Topiramate CR				
		(n = 154)		(n = 295)		7.5/46				
≥ 5% weight loss	28.9%	74.3%	< 0.0001	79.7%	< 0.0001	< 0.0001				
≥ 10% weight loss	11.6%	50.6%	0.0002	53.0%	< 0.0001	< 0.0001				
≥ 15% weight loss	6.9%	23.8%	0.0028	31.6%	0.0009	< 0.0001				
≥ 20% weight loss	2.1%	9.4%	0.0817	14.9%	0.0571	0.0030				

Data represent percentage of subjects. Standardized lifestyle intervention was used across all treatment groups.

# Supplemental Table 3. Least-Squares Mean (95% CI) Estimates and Treatment Comparisons for Completers on Study Drug at Week 108

		Standardized Lifestyle Intervention Across All Treatment Groups									
	n	Placebo	n	Phentermine/	Pvs	n	Phentermine/	P vs	P vs		
Parameter				Topiramate CR	Placebo		Topiramate CR	Placebo	Phentermine/		
Parameter				7.5/46			15/92		Topiramate		
									CR 7.5/46		
Percent weight	196	-2.2 (-3.3,	125	-9.3 (-10.7, -7.8)	< 0.0001	240	-10.7 (-11.8, -9.7)	< 0.0001	0.0879		
loss		-1.0)									
Waist	196	-4.1 (-5.3,	125	-10.1 (-11.6, -	< 0.0001	240	-10.8 (-11.9, -9.7)	< 0.0001	0.4062		
circumference, cm		-2.9)		8.6)							
Systolic blood	196	-3.1 (-4.9,	125	-4.0 (-6.2, -1.8)	0.5130	240	-4.0 (-5.7, -2.4)	0.4101	0.9683		
pressure, mm Hg		-1.3)									
Diastolic blood	196	-3.7 (-4.9,	125	-3.6 (-5.1, -2.1)	0.9104	240	-3.2 (-4.3, -2.1)	0.4890	0.6267		
pressure, mm Hg		-2.5)									
Triglycerides, %	194	-1.8 (-7.2,	125	-12.4 (-19.4,	0.0113	240	-15.3 (-20.5,	0.0001	0.4687		

change		3.7)		-5.5)			-10.2)		
HDL-C, % change	194	5.4 (2.5,	125	7.6 (3.9, 11.3)	0.3347	240	12.6 (9.9, 15.3)	0.0002	0.0203
		8.4)							
LDL-C, % change	193	-11.0 (-	123	-3.9 (-8.0, 0.2)	0.0046	238	-6.3 (-9.4, -3.3)	0.0272	0.3016
		14.2, -7.7)							
Non-HDL-C, %	194	-10.2 (-	125	-8.5 (-12.0, -5.1)	0.4263	240	-9.9 (-12.5, -7.4)	0.8696	0.4932
change		13.0, -7.5)							

Data are LS mean change (95% CI) or LS mean percent change (95% CI). Standardized lifestyle intervention was used across all treatment groups. CI, confidence interval, HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol

# Supplemental Table 4. Percentage of Subjects Achieving Weight Loss Threshold and Treatment Comparisons for Completers on Study Drug at Week 108

	Standardized Lifestyle Intervention Across All Treatment Groups									
	Placebo	Phentermine/	Pvs	Phentermine/	P vs	P vs				
Parameter	(n = 196)	Topiramate CR	Placebo	Topiramate CR	Placebo	Phentermine/				
		7.5/46		15/92		Topiramate CR				
		(n = 125)		(n = 240)		7.5/46				
≥ 5% weight loss	30.1%	73.6%	< 0.0001	81.3%	< 0.0001	0.0552				
≥ 10% weight loss	12.2%	49.6%	< 0.0001	54.2%	< 0.0001	0.2921				
≥ 15% weight loss	7.1%	24.0%	0.0001	32.5%	< 0.0001	0.0530				
≥ 20% weight loss	2.0%	9.6%	0.0083	15.4%	< 0.0001	0.0846				

Data represent percentage of subjects. Standardized lifestyle intervention was used across all treatment groups.

- **Supplemental Figure 1. Weight loss by baseline BMI category.**
- 2 LS mean percent loss (95% CI) from baseline (Week 0) to Week 108. Standardized lifestyle intervention was used across
- all treatment groups. \*P = 0.0061 vs placebo;  $^{\dagger}P = 0.0057$  vs placebo;  $^{\ddagger}P < 0.0001$  vs placebo;  $^{\S}P = 0.0001$  vs placebo.
- 4 BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; LS, least-squares; PHEN/TPM CR, controlled-release
- 5 phentermine/topiramate.