SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS

Figure S1. The 1D proton NMR spectra of HDAC6 ZnF-UBP and HDAC6 ZnF-UBP RY mutant.

Figure S2. Gel filtration elution profiles of HDAC6 ZnF-UBP, ubiquitin and ubiquitin mutants.

Figure S3. The mass spectrometry of the commercial ubiquitin-AFC sample.

Figure S4. CFTR-ΔF508 protein aggregates contain unanchored ubiquitin generated by deubiquitinase ataxin-3.

Figure S5. Characterization of siRNA-mediated ataxin-3 knockdown in culture.

Figure S6. Ataxin-3 knockdown did not affect the total levels of CFTR-ΔF508 expression.

Figure S7. Human Ataxin1-82Q-GFP forms aggresomes in the presence of Ataxin-3.

Figure S8. DUB proteins USP5 or USP13 did not localize to the aggresome.

Table S1. Summary of the gel filtration and dynamic light scattering (dls) results.

Supplementary Figure Legends

FIGURE S1. The 1D proton NMR spectra of HDAC6 ZnF-UBP and HDAC6 ZnF-UBP RY mutant. The HDAC6 ZnF-UBP RY mutant proton spectrum (B) showed similar dispersed signals as wild type HDAC6 ZnF-UBP proton spectrum (A), indicating that that RY mutation did not interfere with the proper folding and this mutant is a folded protein.

FIGURE S2. Gel filtration elution profiles of HDAC6 ZnF-UBP, ubiquitin and ubiquitin mutants. (A) Comparison of gel filtration profiles of HDAC6 ZnF-UBP in mixture of wild-type ubiquitin and ubiquitin Ub75 mutant. A 1:1 mixture of HDAC6 ZnF-UBP and wild-type ubiquitin migrated at an apparent mass of 15.8 kDa indicating a complex formation, while the mixture of HDAC6 and Ub75 migrated at the mass as Ub75 or HDAC6 ZnF-UBP alone. (B) Comparison of gel filtration profiles of HDAC6 ZnF-UBP alone formation. C-terminal mutants. Only the

mixture of wild-type ubiquitin and HDAC6 Zn-UBP showed a lower elution volume, indicating interactions between the two proteins. None of the ubiquitin mutants interacted with HDAC6 ZnF-UBP. **FIGURE S3.** The mass spectrometry of the commercial ubiquitin-AFC sample. The peak of 8776 Da is ubiquitin-AFC, and the peak of 8565 is unmodified ubiquitin.

FIGURE S4. CFTR-ΔF508 protein aggregates contain unanchored ubiquitin generated by deubiquitinase ataxin-3. Unanchored ubiquitin was co-localized with ataxin-3 in CFTR-ΔF508 aggregates. 293T cells un-transfected or transfected with GFP-CFTR-ΔF508 were treated with either DMSO (A1-5, C1-5) or MG132 (B1-5, D1-5). Cells were labeled for GFP (green), C-terminal ubiquitin (red), and DAPI (blue). In un-transfected cells, unanchored ubiquitin was well dispersed throughout the cells (A2). When GFP- CFTR-ΔF508 was expressed at high levels (arrows in C1-5) or low levels (arrowheads in C1-5), ubiquitin was recruited together with CFTR protein. Upon MG132 treatment (D1-5), CFTR aggregates were observed in perinuclear locations, indicating aggresome formation. Unanchored ubiquitin was co-localized with ataxin-3 in CFTR-ΔF508 aggregates (arrows in D1'-D5') or non-CFTR aggregates (arrowheads in D1'-D5'). When cells are transfected with ataxin-3 siRNA, GFP-CFTR-ΔF508 showed a diffuse signal (arrows in E1 and F1), indicating that aggresome formation was hindered. Scale bars in D1 for A-D, D1' for D', F1 for E-F: 10 μm.

FIGURE S5. Characterization of siRNA-mediated ataxin-3 knockdown in culture. Densitometric quantification of ataxin-3 levels in A549 cells transfected with scramble or ataxin-3 specific siRNA, using Image-J software. Raw intensities of ataxin-3 were averaged for triplicates of each condition and normalized to Actin levels.

FIGURE S6. Ataxin-3 knockdown did not affect the total levels of CFTR- Δ F508 expression. The level of GFP-CFTR Δ F508 were measured in cells that transfected with either scrambled or ataxin-3 RNAi and treated with either DMSO or MG132. Actin was used as a loading control. Knockdown of Ataxin 3 did not affect the total level of GFP-CFTRF508 in a cell treated with either DMSO or MG132.

FIGURE S7. Human Ataxin1-82Q-GFP forms aggresomes in the presence of Ataxin-3. Cultured 293T cells were transfected with human Ataxin1-82Q-GFP and either scramble (Lanes 1-6) or Ataxin3 siRNA (Lanes 7-12), and treated with either DMSO (Lanes 1-3, 7-9) or MG132 (lanes 4-6, 10-12). Cell lysates were collected 2 days after transfection. Ataxin1-82Q-GFP aggregates were immunoprecipitated with GFP antibody and resolved by SDS-PAGE and probed for N-terminal Ubiquitin (*A*), C-terminal Ubiquitin (*B*), GFP (C), HDAC6 (*D*) and ataxin-3 (E) and antibody. As shown in *E* (lanes 7-12), ataxin-3 is not completely knocked-down with siRNA, but its reduced levels are enough to significantly reduce the recruitment of HDAC6 (*D*; lanes 7-12) and mono-ubiquitin with exposed C-terminal (*B*; lanes 7-12) into the aggresome.

FIGURE S8. Other DUB proteins USP5 or USP13 did not localize to the aggresome. A549 cells were transfected with GFP-CFTR Δ F508 and treated with MG132 to enhance aggresome formation. Cells were labeled for GFP (Green), USP5 (Red, A2), USP13 (Red, B2) and C-terminal Ubiquitin (Magenta). Neither USP5 nor USP13 colocalized with the aggresome.

Supplementary Table

	Gel Filtration		Dynamic Light Scattering		Interaction
-	Elution Volume	SEC MW	Stokes Radius	DLS MW	with
	(ml)	(kDa)	(nm)	(kDa)	HDAC6 ZnF- UBP
HDAC6 ZnF-UBP	14.48	6.2	1.4	8	n.a.
Ubiquitin and Mutants	14.30	6.4	1.3	6	n.a.
wt Ub + ZnF-UBP	12.73	15.8	2.1	19	Yes
Ub75 + ZnF-UBP	14.48	6.2	1.5	9	No
Ub74 + ZnF-UBP	14.48	6.2	1.4	8	No
Ub G76A + ZnF-UBP	14.27	6.9	1.6	11	No
Ub G75A,G76A + ZnF-					
UBP	14.48	6.2	1.5	9	No

Table S1. Summary of the Gel Filtration and Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) Results







FIGURE S4









