## Supporting Information<br>Alegret et al. 10.1073/pnas.1110601109

## Alegret et al. 10.1073/pnas.1110601109 SI Materials and Methods

Age Models and Map. For age models for Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) sites 1210 and 1262 we used orbitally tuned age models (1) to determine sedimentation rates, and placed the Cretaceous/ Paleogene (K∕Pg) boundary at 65.5 Ma. For site 1210, we used the revised composite depth (2). Ages were estimated for samples from Deep Sea Drilling Project (DSDP) site 465 using the correlation of the carbon isotope record of benthic foraminifera, which shows the same pattern at all sites (3), to the orbitally tuned age model for site 1210. We used these benthic isotopes also to modify the age model in ref. 4, and correlate the record for site 690 more precisely to that at the other sites (Fig. 2). The map (Fig. 1) was made using ref. 5.

Benthic Foraminiferal Data. The consistency of our dataset is unprecedented (6): The same authors used the same procedures, studied the same size fraction  $(>63 \mu m)$  and used the same taxonomic concepts (7) for all sites. To construct Fig. 1 in the text, we used our published data from North-West Atlantic Blake Nose ODP site 1049 (8, 9); Gulf of Mexico sections La Lajilla, El Mulato, Coxquihui, and La Ceiba (8, 10–13); Caribbean section Loma Capiro (Cuba) (14); North-East Atlantic sections Bidart and Loya Bay (France) (15, 16); Tethyan sections Agost (Spain) (17); and Aïn Settara and El Kef (Tunisia) (8, 18).

As a proxy for diversity of the benthic foraminiferal assemblages, we calculated the Fisher- $\alpha$  diversity index (19) ([Table S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1110601109/-/DCSupplemental/ST01.doc), [Table S2\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1110601109/-/DCSupplemental/ST02.doc). As proxies for trophic conditions at the sea floor (20), we calculated the benthic foraminiferal accumulation rates (BFARs), and changes in relative abundance of habitat-related benthic foraminiferal morphogroups (infaunal vs. epifaunal) across the boundary [\(Table S1,](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1110601109/-/DCSupplemental/ST01.doc) [Table S2\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1110601109/-/DCSupplemental/ST02.doc). There is a relationship between test morphology and microhabitat (20, 21), and despite significant exceptions, this relation appears to be accurate about 75% of the time (22). Based on this assumption, variations in the proportion of habitat-related benthic foraminiferal morphogroups (infaunal vs. epifaunal) can be considered as proxies for the oxygenation and trophic conditions at the sea floor (20), with epifaunal morphogroups supposedly flourishing in more oligotrophic environments. We allocated all specimens to morphogroups (23–25), with extinct taxa assigned following ref. 3. Variations in the percentage of infaunal taxa across the K∕Pg boundary are shown in Fig. 4, Fig. S1, and in [Table S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1110601109/-/DCSupplemental/ST01.doc) and [Table S2](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1110601109/-/DCSupplemental/ST02.doc).

The benthic foraminiferal data for sites 1262, 1210, and 465 have been published  $(3, 10, 26)$ , but we checked all assignments to infaunal or epifaunal taxa to ensure consistency between sites, and recalculated all BFAR to ensure the use of the same age model for all sites. To calculate BFAR, we weighed the sample split from which benthic foraminifera were picked and obtained the number of benthic foraminifera per gram of sample in the size fraction larger than 63 μm. We then calculated the number of benthic foraminifera per gram bulk sediment, using the weight percent of dry sample material larger than 63 μm, as obtained during sample processing. We used sedimentation rates as determined from the orbitally tuned age models, number of foraminifera per gram dry bulk sediment, and density of sediment to calculate benthic foraminiferal accumulation rates (number of foraminifera per square cm per 1,000 y). Bulk density of the sediment is after ref. 27 for site 1262, ref. 28 for site 465, ref. 29 for site 1210, and ref. 30 for site 690.

Low-resolution benthic foraminiferal data but not BFAR across the K∕Pg transition of Southern Ocean Maud Rise ODP site 690 were published (31), but we provide higher resolution data. Calcareous chalks and oozes were deposited at upper abyssal to lower bathyal depths (∼1;900 m paleodepth). Benthic foraminiferal assemblages were quantitatively analyzed in 31 samples from sections 690C-24H-7 to 24H-1, comprising the upper 4.6 m of the Maastrichtian (planktic foraminiferal Abathomphalus mayaroensis zone and calcareous nannofossil Cribrosphaerella daniae zone) and the lower 9.8 m of the Danian (up to planktic foraminiferal zone AP1b and calcareous nannofossil zone CP2) (4). Samples were spaced at few centimeters directly below and above the K∕Pg boundary, with decreasing resolution (50 cm to ∼1 m) further away from the boundary. Sediments were dried, then soaked in warm water with detergent, and wet-sieved over a 63-μm sieve. Approximately 300 specimens of benthic foraminifera larger than 63 μm were picked and identified per sample ([Table S2\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1110601109/-/DCSupplemental/ST02.doc).

BFAR and percentage infaunal taxa are shown for each site compared to the carbon isotope record in Figs. 3 and 4, and for all sites combined in Figs. S1 and S2.

Isotope Analyses. Isotope analyses were performed at the University of Santa Cruz, Yale University, and the University of Michigan. All results are reported in per million  $(\%_0)$  relative to the Vienna Peedee belemnite (VPDB) standard ([Table S3\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1110601109/-/DCSupplemental/ST03.doc).

Stable isotopes for bulk samples of site 1262 were published in ref. 32. Stable isotope analyses on benthic foraminifera (Nuttallides truempyi) were performed at the University of Michigan on a Thermo MAT 253 coupled to a Kiel IV carbonate device. Samples were reacted at 70 °C and measured enrichments were converted to VPDB utilizing a normalization based on the analysis of National Bureau of Standards (NBS)-18, NBS-19, and Atlantis II, an isotopic standard whose composition closely approaches the benthic values. Analytical precision is maintained better than 0.1‰ for both  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta^{15}$ C, based upon routine replication of standard materials.

Stable isotope data for site 690 include data published in ref. 33, and additional data on bulk samples, benthic foraminifera (N. truempyi, Stensioeina beccariiformis) and calcareous dinocysts collected at the Earth Systems Center for Stable Isotopic Studies at Yale University, using a Thermo Gasbench II interfaced to a DeltaXP Stable Isotope Ratio mass spectrometer with a CTC Analytics GC-PAL autosampler, with analytical precision averages 0.07% for  $\delta^{18}$ O and 0.04% for  $\delta^{13}$ C. Precision was monitored by analysis of NBS-19 and NBS-18 every 10 samples. Benthic foraminiferal (N. truempyi) and bulk isotope data for site 1210 were also collected at the Yale facility.

Stable isotopes on benthic foraminifera (S. beccariiformis) and bulk samples for site 465 were generated at an Autocarb coupled to a PRISM mass spectrometer at the University of California at Santa Cruz Stable Isotope Laboratory facilities. All values are reported relative to the VPDB standard. Analytical precision based on replicate analyses of in-house standard Carrara marble and NBS-19 averages 0.06% for  $\delta^{18}$ O and 0.03% for  $\delta^{13}$ C (1 $\sigma$ ).

We used bulk fraction stable isotopes as recorder of the paleoenvironmental signal in waters relatively close to the ocean surface, i.e., within the mixed layer down to the lower thermocline, with dominant production of living coccolithophores occurring in the middle photic zone (∼50–100 m depth) (34), see refs. 32 and 35. The persistent offset between carbon isotope

values for bulk and benthic foraminifera during the Cretaceous and after the disturbance due to the extinction indicates that

- 1. Westerhold T, et al. (2008) Astronomical calibration of Paleocene time. Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol 257:377–403.
- 2. Westerhold T, Röhl U (2006) Revised composite depth records for Shatsky rise sites 1209, 1210, and 1211. Proc ODP Sci Results, eds Bralower TJ, Premoli-Silva I, Malone MJ (Ocean Drilling Program, College Station, TX), Vol 198.
- 3. Alegret L, Thomas E (2009) Food supply to the sea floor in the Pacific Ocean after the Cretaceous/Paleogene boundary event. Mar Micropaleontol 73:105–116.
- 4. Thomas E, et al. (1990) Upper Cretaceous-Paleogene stratigraphy of sites 689 and 690, Maud Rise (Antarctica). Proc ODP Sci Results 113:901–914.
- 5. Scotese V, Denham CR (1988) Terra mobilis: Plate tectonics for the Macintosh (Earth in Motion Technologies, Austin, TX).
- 6. Culver SJ (2003) Benthic foraminifera across the Cretaceous/Tertiary (K∕T) boundary: A review. Mar Micropaleontol 47:177–226.
- 7. Alegret L, Thomas E (2001) Upper Cretaceous and lower Paleogene benthic foraminifera from northeastern Mexico. Micropaleontology 47:269–316.
- 8. Alegret L (2003) Sedimentology and Micropaleontology (Benthic Foraminifera) of the Cretaceous-Tertiary Transition: Correlation Between the Gulf of Mexico and Tethys (Bell Howell, Zaragoza), p 476 (in Spanish).
- 9. Alegret L, Thomas E (2004) Benthic foraminifera and environmental turnover across the Cretaceous/Paleogene boundary at Blake Nose (ODP Hole 1049C, Northwestern Atlantic). Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol 208:59–83.
- 10. Alegret L, Thomas E (2005) Cretaceous/Paleogene boundary bathyal paleo-environments in the central North Pacific (DSDP Site 465), the Northwestern Atlantic (ODP Site 1049), the Gulf of Mexico and the Tethys: The benthic foraminiferal record. Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol 224:53–82.
- 11. Alegret L, Molina E, Thomas E (2001) Benthic foraminifera at the Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary around the Gulf of Mexico. Geology 29:891–894.
- 12. Alegret L, et al. (2002) The Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary impact event: Sedimentology and micropaleontology at El Mulato section, NE Mexico. Terra Nova 14:330–336.
- 13. Alegret L, Arenillas I, Arz JA, Molina E (2002) Environmental changes triggered by the K/T impact event at Coxquihui (Mexico) based on foraminifera. Neues Jahrb Geol Paläontol Monatshefte 2002:295–309.
- 14. Alegret L, et al. (2005) Cretaceous/Paleogene boundary deposits at Loma Capiro, central Cuba: Evidence for the Chicxulub impact. Geology 33:721–724.
- 15. Alegret L, Kaminski MA, Molina E (2004) Paleoenvironmental recovery after the Cretaceous/Paleogene boundary crisis: Evidence from the marine Bidart section (SW France). Palaios 19:574–586.
- 16. Alegret L (2007) Recovery of the deep-sea floor after the Cretaceous/Paleogene boundary event: The benthic foraminiferal record in the Basque-Cantabrian basin and in South-eastern Spain. Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol 255:181–194.

the two signals indeed reflect bottom waters and waters closer to the surface, with bulk values more positive.

- 17. Alegret L, Molina E, Thomas E (2003) Benthic foraminiferal turnover across the Cretaceous/Paleogene boundary at Agost (southeastern Spain): Paleoenvironmental inferences. Mar Micropaleontol 48:251–279.
- 18. Peryt D, Alegret L, Molina, E (2002) The Cretaceous/Paleogene (K∕P) boundary at Aïn Settara, Tunisia: Restructuring of benthic foraminiferal assemblages. Terra Nova 14:101–107.
- 19. Murray JW (1991) Ecology and Paleoecology of Benthic Foraminifera (Elsevier, Amsterdam), p 397.
- 20. Jorissen FJ, Fontanier C, Thomas E (2007) in Proxies in Late Cenozoic Paleoceanography, eds Hillaire-Marcel C, de Vernal A (Elsevier, Amsterdam), pp 263–326.
- 21. Jorissen FJ, de Stigter HC, Widmark JGV (1995) A conceptual model explaining benthic foraminiferal microhabitats. Mar Micropaleontol 26:3–15.
- 22. Buzas MA, Culver SJ, Jorissen FJ (1993) A statistical evaluation of the microhabitats of living (stained) infaunal benthic foraminifera. Mar Micropaleontol 29:73–76.
- 23. Corliss BH (1985) Microhabitats of benthic foraminifera within deep-sea sediments. Nature 314:435–438.
- 24. Jones RW, Charnock MA (1985) Morphogroups of agglutinated foraminifera. Their life positions and feeding habits and potential applicability in (Paleo) ecological studies. Rev Paléobiol 4:311–320.
- 25. Corliss BH, Chen C (1988) Morphotype patterns of Norwegian Sea deep-sea benthic foraminifera and ecological implications. Geology 16:716–719.
- 26. Alegret L, Thomas E (2007) Deep-Sea environments across the Cretaceous/Paleogene boundary in the eastern South Atlantic Ocean (ODP Leg 208, Walvis Ridge). Mar Micropaleontol 64:1–17.
- 27. Zachos JA, et al. (2004) Proc ODP Init Repts, (College Station, TX, Ocean Drilling Program), Vol 208.
- 28. Thiede J, et al. (1981) Init Repts DSDP, (US Government Printing Office, Washington, DC), Vol 199.
- 29. Bralower TJ, et al. (2002) Proc ODP Init Repts, (Ocean Drilling Program, College Station, TX), Vol 198.
- 30. Barker PF, et al. (1988) Proc ODP Init Repts, (Ocean Drilling Program, College Station, TX), Vol 113.
- 31. Thomas E (1990) Late Cretaceous through Neogene deep-sea benthic foraminifers (Maud Rise, Weddell Sea, Antarctica). Proc ODP Sci Results 113:571–594.
- 32. Kroon D, et al. (2007) Proc ODP Sci Results, Vol 208.
- 33. Stott LD, Kennett JP (1990) Proc ODP Sci Results 113:829.
- 34. Cortes MY, Bollmann J, Thierstein HR (2001) Coccolithophore ecology at the HOT station ALOHA, Hawaii. Deep-Sea Research II 48:1957–1981.
- 35. Hsü KJ, McKenzie J (1985) The Carbon Cycle and Atmospheric CO*2*: Natural Variations, Archean to Present, AGU Geophysical Monographs, 32, eds Broecker WS, Sundquist ET, pp 487–492.



Fig. S1. Relative abundance of infaunal species across the K∕Pg boundary at sites in the Pacific, Southeast Atlantic, and Southern Ocean. See Fig. 1 for location.



Fig. S2. BFAR across the K∕Pg boundary at sites in the Pacific, Southeast Atlantic, and Southern Ocean. See Fig. 1 for location.

## Other Supporting Information Files [Table S1 \(DOC\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1110601109/-/DCSupplemental/ST01.doc)

[Table S2 \(DOC\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1110601109/-/DCSupplemental/ST02.doc) [Table S3 \(DOC\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1110601109/-/DCSupplemental/ST03.doc)

AS

N

 $\Delta$  C