

Does *trans* size matter in Huntington disease?

Owen A. Ross, PhD
Andrew B. Singleton,
PhD

Correspondence & reprint
requests to Dr. Ross:
ross.owen@mayo.edu

Neurology® 2012;78:686–687

Huntington disease (HD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder resulting in involuntary movements with psychiatric and cognitive dysfunction. HD is caused by a polyglutamine repeat within the HTT protein and is inherited in an autosomal dominant pattern.^{1,2} Although generally considered an archetypal monogenic disorder, there remains a high degree of phenotypic heterogeneity among patients with HD, particularly with respect to age at onset of motor manifestations. The length of the expanded repeat allele affects presentation and correlates with age at onset of motor manifestations, although this alone does not explain the variance that is observed.^{3,4} Therefore studies are ongoing to identify other genetic disease modifiers that may provide alternative therapeutic targets.

In this issue of *Neurology*®, the study of Lee et al.⁵ attempts to address the question of whether the size of the *HTT* repeat on the *trans* allele affects the age at onset of motor symptoms. This study represents a response to the study by Aziz et al.,⁶ published in the October 20, 2009, issue of *Neurology*, which suggested that the mutant and normal alleles interact with both repeat lengths determining age at onset and therefore apparent penetrance. The earlier study examined age at onset in 921 patients with HD, demonstrating a significant effect on age at onset, with longer normal repeat alleles appearing to lower age at onset in patients with a smaller range mutant repeat allele (36–40 repeats). In contrast, in patients with a longer mutant allele (60–64), the longer normal allele was associated with a later age at onset. Notably, the investigators in Aziz et al. did not report a significant effect of the normal allele in isolation (table e-1 in their article); rather, they reported only a significant interactive effect when the normal and mutant alleles are both taken into account. The authors postulated the observed effect may be due to a direct interaction between the

polyglutamine tracts of the mutant and normal HTT protein.

Initially, the study of Lee et al., examining 4,068 patients with HD, appeared to support the findings of Aziz et al., with the length of the normal allele showing an interaction with the mutant allele on age at onset of motor symptoms. However, if this association reflected a true functional effect it would likely be observed consistently across the series. As the authors plotted the expanded allele data against age at onset they noticed a single outlier was driving the association and they removed this subject from the analysis.

Lee et al. then employed a series of alternate analytical approaches including removal of patients carrying rare alleles and refocusing the analysis on the bulk of individuals who fall under the center of the bell curve of a “normal distribution.” No interaction between the mutant and normal allele lengths was observed with respect to age at onset. Subsequent studies on outliers and comparison of the two extremes, short and long normal repeat lengths, also did not show any significant interaction. Additional support for a purely dominant effect for HD symptomatic presentation is garnered from a small series of patients (n = 10) who are homozygous for mutant alleles. These patients present with age at onset that would be predicted based on the longer of the mutant alleles suggesting that disease penetrance is driven by the longest allele and not affected by the *trans* allele, expanded or not.

Lack of replication is a phenomenon that has plagued the field of clinical genetics and has been a major obstacle in the identification of disease-related genes and the subsequent development of therapeutics. When considering known gene disorders with heterogeneous presentation it is likely there will be multiple modifiers acting in unison which will add to the complexity of the analysis. It is remarkable that in

See page 690

From the Department of Neuroscience (O.A.R.), Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, FL; and Laboratory of Neurogenetics (A.B.S.), National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD.

Study funding: Dr. Singleton is supported in part by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services; project Z01 AG000957–09. Dr. Ross is supported in part by the NIH/NINDS P50 #NS072187 and 1RC2NS070276.

Disclosure: Author disclosures are provided at the end of the editorial.

the study of Lee et al. a single outlier data point could have such a dramatic influence over the outcome and raises concerns for future genetic modifier studies in expanded repeat disorders. Alternate analytical approaches will be needed to assess the influence of genetic modifiers on disease presentation. To identify true modifiers, sample sizes will likely need to be larger than has been necessary for gene identification. Daunting hurdles need to be overcome regarding age at onset analysis, due in part to the fact that the vast majority of patients will fall into a small window of variation flanking the mean. Confounding factors including other genetic and environmental determinants will only add to this analytical burden. In the case of expanded repeat disorders, particularly if the length of the mutated allele is modifying symptomatic presentation such as in HD, there is a need to optimize the study design. As proposed by Lee et al., removing notable outliers and rarer alleles may help, keeping in mind the caveat that allelic extremes may in fact act as rare phenotypic modifiers.

It has been over 25 years since the CAG repeat in the *HTT* gene was found to be the underlying genetic cause of HD and as yet no therapy is forthcoming.^{1,7} Given the phenotypic heterogeneity, targeting disease modifiers is an attractive alternative approach to developing HTT-related drugs. However, the two studies highlighted here show the inherent challenges in identifying genetic modifiers of disease even under the ideal conditions of a true “monogenic” disorder. Optimizing analytical methodologies within this context will be crucial before they are applied to more heterogeneous polygenic neurodegenerative disorders like multiple sclerosis, Alzheimer disease, and Parkinson disease.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Dr. Ross drafted the manuscript, analyzed and acquired data, and obtained funding. Dr. Singleton drafted the manuscript and reviewed the literature.

DISCLOSURE

Dr. Ross serves on the editorial boards of *Open Longevity Science* and *PLoS ONE* and receives research support from the NIH. Dr. Singleton serves on the editorial boards of *Lancet Neurology*, *Neurogenetics*, *Neurodegenerative Diseases*, and *Brain*; has a patent pending for panel of markers to diagnose stroke; and receives research support from the NIH Intramural funding Department of Defense, W81XWH-09-2-0128.

REFERENCES

1. The Huntington’s Disease Collaborative Research Group. A novel gene containing a trinucleotide repeat that is expanded and unstable on Huntington’s disease chromosomes. *Cell* 1993;72:971–983.
2. Cattaneo E, Zuccato C, Tartari M. Normal huntingtin function: an alternative approach to Huntington’s disease. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2005;6:919–930.
3. Langbehn DR, Brinkman RR, Falush D, Paulsen JS, Hayden MR. A new model for prediction of the age of onset and penetrance for Huntington’s disease based on CAG length. *Clin Genet* 2004;65:267–277.
4. Aziz NA, van der Burg JM, Landwehrmeyer GB, Brundin P, Stijnen T, Roos RA. Weight loss in Huntington disease increases with higher CAG repeat number. *Neurology* 2008;71:1506–1513.
5. Lee J-M, Ramos EM, Lee J-H, et al. CAG repeat expansion in Huntington disease determines age at onset in a fully dominant fashion. *Neurology* 2012;78:690–695.
6. Aziz NA, Jurgens CK, Landwehrmeyer GB, et al. Normal and mutant HTT interact to affect clinical severity and progression in Huntington disease. *Neurology* 2009;73:1280–1285.
7. Ross CA, Tabrizi SJ. Huntington’s disease: from molecular pathogenesis to clinical treatment. *Lancet Neurol* 2011;10:83–98.