

**Table 1: Prevalence and duration of kidney organ failure ('Standard exposure' group vs. 'High exposure' group)**

	<b>'Standard exposure' group (N=596)</b>	<b>'High exposure' group (N=604)</b>	<b>p-value</b>
<b>EstimatedGFR*:</b>			
N. days (% of days from day 1 to 28 with values):			
Moderately-severely impaired: (eGFR: $\leq 60$ mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	3016 (43.4%)	3672 (48.1%)	<0.0001
Severely impaired: (eGFR $\leq 30$ mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	1445 (20.8%)	1910 (25.0%)	<0.0001
Severely impaired: (eGFR $\leq 30$ mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> ), days from day 1 to 14	984 (20.0%)	1253 (23.5%)	<0.0001
<b>'RIFLE' criteria, N patients (%) within day 1 to 28</b>			
'R' reached	170 (28.5%)	209 (34.6%)	0.02
'I' reached	75 (12.6%)	92 (15.2%)	0.19
'F' reached	121 (20.3%)	150 (24.8%)	0.06
'R' or death	298 (50.0%)	327 (54.1%)	0.15
'I' or death	234 (39.3%)	252 (41.7%)	0.39
'F' or death	270 (45.3%)	287 (47.5%)	0.44
<b>Urea</b>			
Patients with a urea level ever $\geq 20$ mmol/L (day 1-28); N (%)	217 (37.4%)	253 (43.4%)	0.04

\*eGFR was assessed using the Cockcroft and Gault method [Ref: Cockcroft DW, Gault MH.: Prediction of creatinine clearance from serum creatinine. Nephron 1976;16:31-41]. Actual measured creatinin values were used. If using the 'last observation carried forward' approach regarding creatinin measurement to take into account that patients who died in renal failure should be counted as such, did not change the signal or the statistics of these analyses. 'R':Risk, 'I': Injury, 'F': Failure. Presence of renal failure according to 'RIFLE' was assessed using the guidelines developed by the acute dialysis quality initiative ([www.adqi.net](http://www.adqi.net))

**Table 2: Prevalence of kidney organ failure on the last day of follow-up ('Standard exposure' group vs. 'High exposure' group)**

	<b>'Standard exposure' group</b>	<b>'High exposure' group</b>	<b>p-value</b>
<b>Survivors and patients who had last creatinine measured &gt;24 h before death:</b>	<b>(N=432)</b>	<b>(N=438)</b>	
Renal failure (eGFR: $\leq 60$ mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	119 (27.6%)	137 (31.3%)	0.23
<b>Patients who died (with last creatinine measured within 24 h before death):</b>	<b>(N=150)</b>	<b>(N=145)</b>	
Renal failure (eGFR: $\leq 60$ mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	105 (70.0%)	99 (68.3%)	0.83
<b>All patients with creatinine measurements</b>	<b>(N=582)</b>	<b>(N=583)</b>	
Renal failure (eGFR: $\leq 60$ mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	224 (38.5)	236 (40.5)	0.51

\*eGFR was assessed using the Cockcroft and Gault method [Ref: Cockcroft DW, Gault MH.: Prediction of creatinine clearance from serum creatinine. Nephron 1976;16:31-41]. Actual measured creatinin values were used.





**Table 3. Multiple effects models investigating estimated GFR changes after starting and stopping beta-lactam antibiotics**

		Unadjusted analysis		Multivariable analysis	
		Regression coefficient (95% CI)	P-value	Regression coefficient (95% CI)	P-value
<b>After starting the drug</b>					
Piperacillin/tazobactam	Per day more on piperacillin/tazobactam	1.39 (1.17, 1.60)	<0.0001	0.99 (0.71, 1.27)	<0.0001
Meropenem	Per day more on meropenem	2.74 (2.39, 3.09)	<0.0001	2.86 (2.45, 3.28)	<0.0001
Cefuroxim	Per day more on cefuroxim	1.91 (1.67, 2.16)	<0.0001	1.27 (0.90, 1.64)	<0.0001
<b>After stopping the drug</b>					
Piperacillin/tazobactam	Per day after stopping piperacillin/tazobactam	2.79 (2.35, 3.24)	<0.0001	2.70 (2.26, 3.14)	<0.0001
Meropenem	Per day after stopping meropenem	0.20 (-0.51, 0.91)	0.59	0.17 (-0.52, 0.86)	0.63
Cefuroxim	Per day after stopping cefuroxim	0.13 (-0.25, 0.50)	0.51	0.01 (-0.35, 0.37)	0.96

All multivariable analyses were adjusted for: treatment arm ('low exposure' vs. 'high exposure'), gender, age ( $\geq 65$  vs.  $< 65$  years), APACHE II score ( $\geq 20$  vs.  $< 20$ ), Clinically judged infection (severe sepsis/septic shock vs. milder or no infection), patient category (surgical vs. medical) and eGFR level at administration of the antibiotic, (1:  $< 30$  ml/min/1,73 m<sup>2</sup>, 2: 31-60 ml/min/1,73 m<sup>2</sup>, 3:  $> 60$  ml/min/1,73 m<sup>2</sup>).

**Table 4. Multivariable logistic regression: beta-lactam antibiotics and other risk variables vs. binary endpoint eGFR<60 ml/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup> on day 7.**

Variable	Unadjusted analysis		Multivariable analysis	
	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P-value	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P-value
<b>Other variables</b>				
Age (≥65 vs. <65 years)	2.36 (1.86, 3.00)	<0.0001	1.85 (1.31, 2.60)	<0.0001
APACHE II score (≥20 vs. <20)	2.49 (1.90, 3.25)	<0.0001	1.64 (1.12, 2.41)	0.01
Severe sepsis/septic shock vs. milder or no infection	2.02 (1.59, 2.56)	<0.0001	1.16 (0.82, 1.66)	0.40
Auto-immune disease (Y vs. N)	1.31 (0.73, 2.33)	0.36	NI	-
Cancer (Y vs. N)	1.26 (0.88, 1.79)	0.21	NI	-
Charlson score (≥2 vs. <2)	1.72 (1.35, 2.18)	<0.0001	1.70 (1.21, 2.40)	0.002
Surgical (Y vs. N)	1.16 (0.90, 1.50)	0.24	NI	-
Body Mass Index (≥25 vs. <25)	1.57 (1.17, 2.12)	0.003	1.19 (0.78, 1.82)	0.41
Gender (Male vs. Female)	1.25 (0.99, 1.57)	0.06	1.28 (0.92, 1.78)	0.14
eGFR level at baseline				
>60 ml/min/1,73 m <sup>2</sup>	Ref	-	Ref	-
31-60 ml/min/1,73 m <sup>2</sup>	14.6 (10.2, 21.0)	<0.0001	11.7 (8.0, 17.0)	<0.0001
<30 ml/min/1,73 m <sup>2</sup>	81.1 (51.2, 128.5)	<0.0001	65.9 (40.7, 106.6)	<0.0001
<b>Beta-lactam antibiotics</b>				
Piperacillin/tazobactam (≥3 vs. <3 days)*	2.32 (1.82, 2.96)	<0.0001	1.70 (1.18, 2.43)	0.004
Meropenem (≥3 vs. <3 days)*	0.99 (0.71, 1.37)	0.94	NI	-
Cefuroxim (≥3 vs. <3 days)*	0.73 (0.57, 0.94)	0.01	1.24 (0.85, 1.80)	0.26

All variables entered in the multivariable analysis were adjusted for the other variables in this model. \*All beta-lactam drug exposures are (≥3 vs. <3 days within the first 7 days in the study). All variables with a p-value <0.2 were included in the multivariable model. NI: Not Included.

