## **Supplementary Figure and Table Captions**

**Table S1:** Overall statistics of species' sequences, SSRs, and indels across the genomes analyzed. Columns give the species abbreviation, name, number of fully-sequenced strains analyzed, the total length of homologous coding sequence that was analyzed (the total length of all alignments), the number of SSR loci identified, the total number of SSR-associated indels, and the number of indels per SSR locus.

**Figure S1:** Observed vs. expected SSR frequency statistics for different species and motif types. (A) Ratio of observed vs. expected SSR frequency estimated by global synonymous codon shuffling. Each square represents the value of the ratio for a given SSR type and species. Over- and under-represented types are shown respectively in yellow and blue shades. (B) Cumulative statistics for each SSR type. See Table 1 for the prokaryotic species names.

**Figure S2A and S2B:** Symmetric/anti-symmetric decomposition of SSR density. (A) Symmetric components of original SSR density (blue curves) and local synonymous codon-shuffled SSR density (red curves). (B) Anti-symmetric components of original SSR density (blue curves) and local synonymous codon-shuffled SSR density (red curves). Note that for each species, symmetric and anti-symmetric component curves are all normalized by average SSR frequency of local shuffled genome.

**Figure S3:** Positional dependence of SSR density, using stringent length cutoff (see Supplementary Methods). For each plot, the x-axis represents binned positions from N–>C termini, and the y-axis indicates the SSR density (# SSRs / kb). Blue curves: original SSR density. Green curves: SSR density in global synonymous codon shufflings. Red curves: SSR density in local synonymous codon shufflings. Error bars represent the standard error of the estimated SSR density observed in the shuffled sequences.

**Figure S4A and S4B:** Symmetric/anti-symmetric decomposition of SSR density, using stringent length cutoff (see Supplementary Methods). Same color scheme was used as in Figure S2.

**Figure S5:** Flow chart describing procedure to generate local and global codon shuffling of CDS, used as null models for testing selective pressures on SSR density.

## Table S1

		# of strains	homologous CDS	# of SSR	# of indel	indel events	
Abbr	. Species name	analyzed	length (bp)	loci	events	per SSR locus	Taxonomy
Ab	Acinetobacter baumannii	6	3583306	13512	208	0.0154	gamma-proteo.; Pseudomonadales
Ban	Bacillus anthracis	6	4765573	23521	297	0.0126	Firmicutes; Bacillales
Bc	Bacillus cereus	10	5585495	49981	972	0.0194	Firmicutes; Bacillales
BI	Bifidobacterium longum	4	2211423	7382	86	0.0116	Actinobacteria; Bifidobacteriales
Вар	Buchnera aphidicola	5	575267	14912	651	0.0437	gamma-proteo.; Enterobacteriales
Bcn	Burkhoderia cenocepacia	4	6776765	53827	424	0.0079	beta-proteo.; Burkholderiales
Bm	Burkhoderia mallei	4	5028909	47444	342	0.0072	beta-proteo.; Burkholderiales
Вр	Burkhoderia pseudomallei	5	6599508	63839	1042	0.0163	beta-proteo.; Burkholderiales
Cj	Campylobacter jejuni	5	1638518	15145	194	0.0128	epsion-proteo. ;Campylobacteria
CSm	Candidatus Sulcia muelleri	4	262508	7376	536	0.0727	Bacteroidetes; flavobacteria
Ct	Chlamydia trachomatis	6	946013	5949	39	0.0066	Chlamydiae
Cpn	Chlamydophia pneumoniae	4	1112526	5348	8	0.0015	Chlamydiae
Cbo	Clostridium botulinum	10	5113611	58030	877	0.0151	Firmicutes; Clostridiales
Cbu	Coxiella burnetii	5	1790675	9577	151	0.0158	gamma-proteo.; Lagionellales
СР	Cynothece PCC	5	5658602	41385	516	0.0125	Cyanobacteria
Ec	Escherichia coli	30	6736504	36432	2351	0.0645	gamma-proteo.; Enterobacteriales
Ft	Francisella tularensis	8	1643566	10461	180	0.0172	gamma-proteo.; Thiotrichales
Hi	Haemophilus influenzae	4	1649625	7616	154	0.0202	gamma-proteo.; Pateurellales
Нр	Helicobacter pylori	10	1662741	18056	683	0.0378	epsion-proteo. ;Campylobacteria
LI	Lactococcus lactis	4	2114417	13614	165	0.0121	Firmicutes; Lactobacillales
Lp	Legionella pneumophia	5	3242761	17885	108	0.0060	gamma-proteo.; Lagionellales
Lm	Listeria monocytogenes	6	2839101	16074	106	0.0066	Firmicutes; Bacillales

Мm	Methanococcus maripaludis	4	1507985	15206	132	0.0087	Archaea
Mt	Mycobacterium tuberculosis	5	4118934	13388	45	0.0034	Actinobacteria; Actinomycetales
Nm	Neisseria meningitidis	5	1843651	9445	133	0.0141	beta-proteo.; Nesseriales
Pm	Prochlorococcus marinus	12	3257772	42443	1067	0.0251	Cyanobacteria
Ра	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	4	5758814	28673	204	0.0071	gamma-proteo.; Pseudomonadales
Рр	Pseudomonas putida	4	5150324	25859	176	0.0068	gamma-proteo.; Pseudomonadales
Rs	Rhodobacter sphaeroides	4	4077709	30677	360	0.0117	alpha-proteo.; Rhodobacteriales
Rp	Rhodopseudomonas palustris	6	5470313	55145	2029	0.0368	alpha-proteo.; Rhizobiales
Se	Salmonella entericia	15	4995231	25638	338	0.0132	gamma-proteo.; Enterobacteriales
Sb	Shewanella baltica	4	4402143	13246	79	0.0060	gamma-proteo.; Alteromonadales
Sa	Staphylococcus aureus	15	2704147	14565	197	0.0135	Firmicutes; Bacillales
Spn	Streptococcus pneumoniae	14	2281593	10203	233	0.0228	Firmicutes; Lactobacillales
Spy	Streptococcus pyogenes	13	1901778	9242	193	0.0209	Firmicutes; Lactobacillales
Ss	Streptococcus suis	5	1869382	5824	25	0.0043	Firmicutes; Lactobacillales
Si	Sulfolobus islandicus	7	2545336	12544	169	0.0135	Archaea
Vc	Vibrio cholerae	4	3595367	11497	25	0.0022	gamma-proteo.; Vibrionales
Xc	Xanthomonas campestris	4	4486353	30687	334	0.0109	gamma-proteo.; Xanthomonadales
Xf	Xylella fastidiosa	4	2081769	8333	158	0.0190	gamma-proteo.; Xanthomonadales
Ype	Yersinia pestis	8	4032200	12564	125	0.0099	gamma-proteo.; Enterobacteriales
Yps	Yersinia pseudotuberculosis	4	3997610	13211	84	0.0064	gamma-proteo.; Enterobacteriales

## Supplemental Figure 1





Supplemental Figure 2B







Supplemental Figure 4B





Step II

For genomes of different strains in the same species, sum the SSR numbers of original, global-shuffled, and local-shuffled genomes SSR numbers respectively.

For each species, find total length of CDS in all strains. Use this to calculate SSR densities in original, global-shuffled, and local shuffled genomes, respectively.

For each species, compare original SSR density to global-shuffled SSR density and local-shuffled SSR density. Type-specific and N-to-C location specific comparisons are shown in Figures 5 and 6, respectively.