## The inferred HIM-5 amino acid sequence

MSRIRSNNDN IIILTDEQRK TVGRIAGRSQ NRNTSKKIAD GPYFLPRYRI RDNAERSVGA RFKSLPQKEQ DEVVNEAFSN LREYLKKREP FYAKLRKANS KYSSKPKERE KSVDSNDEAD RRNKGNKKTQ KNASKNCQIE KSSNNSGILK KSGSGISVAS KPKKSVAFAP GVYEDLSTDD DLEFLNSVIV NSDRPTSQCD NPARRMCGRP PTKHRDTEQS QEITGSKKQK IFPTPHEKPA WWSFRIPKKR AQ

## Results from using LALIGN to compare him-5 with hsp83 from Drosophila melanogaster.

Watern	nan-Eggei	rt sco	re: 72;	21.6 bi	ts; E(1)	< 0.05	54	
24.0%	identity	y (53.	8% simil	ar) in 10	04 aa ov	erlap (4	8-151:158-2	55)
	50	60	70	80	90	100	110 1	20
him-5	YRIRDNAERS	VGARFKS	LPQKEQDEVV	NEAFSNLREY	LKKREPFYAR	(LRKANSKYS)	SKPKEREKSVDSNDE	ADRRNKGNK
HSP83	: FTVRADNSEF 160	.: : LGRGTKI 170	VLYIKEDQTD 180	:: YLEESKIKEI 190	VNKHSQFIGY 200	: . /PIKLLVE 210	KEREKEV-SDDE 220	ADDEKKEGD 230
bim_5	130	140	150					
nin-2	KIQKNASKNC		INSGITYK					
HSP83	EKKEMETDEP 240	KIEDVGE 2	DEDADKK 50					

**Figure S1** The inferred amino acid sequence of HIM-5 is highly basic and novel. The region of optimal alignment with HSP83 from Drosophila melanogaster is highlighted in red and the alignment is shown at the bottom. The E value is 0.05, as shown. The sequence KEREKxVxSxxDEAD is not identical in the HSP83 proteins from other species so its function is not known.



**Figure S2** Pairing is normal in him-5 mutants. Shown is a him-5(ok1896) germline (top) and a zoomed in region of mid-pachytene (below). Anti-HIM-8 (magenta) staining indicates that full pairing is achieved between X chromosomes.





**Figure S3** him-5 mutants have a desynapsed X chromosome at pachytene. A. The SC is fully established in early pachytene as shown by the complete coincidence of SYP staining (magenta) with DNA (green). B. A mid-to-late pachytene nucleus stained for DNA (green), SYP-1 (magenta), and HIM-8 (cyan in wild type; yellow in him-5) is shown. In wild type, all chromosomes are fully synapsed. As seen in the overlay on the bottom row, one chromosome is desynapsed and lacks SYP-1 staining in him-5(ok1896) mutants. The desynapsed chromosome stains with an antibody against the X chromosome pairing center binding protein, HIM-8 indicating that this is the X chromosome. Note that a single HIM-8 focus is seen indicating that the X chromosomes remain paired after desynapsis.



**Figure S4** A *him-5* deletion lacks HIM-5 but retains localized XND-1. Germlines from *him-5(ok1896)* were stained for DNA (top), HIM-5, XND-1, and the nuclear pore, as indicated. The *him-5* mutants lack HIM-5 staining, as expected for the deletion. Conversely, XND-1 stains normally, indication that the wild type activity of *him-5* is not needed for XND-1 localization.



**Figure S5** him-5 does not affect H2AK5 acetylation. H2AK5Ac is indicated by magenta. A germline (left) and midpachytene nuclei (right) are shown from wild type and him-5 (ok1896). No consistent differences between wild type and him-5 are observed. These data reveal consistent lack of H2AK5Ac on a single chromosome, which we infer from DAPI intensity to be the X chromosome.



**Figure S6** RAD-51 dynamics differs in him-5 and xnd-1. DNA (grey) and RAD-51 (white foci) are shown as maximum projections from confocal stacks through wild type (top), him-5(ok1896) (middle), and xnd-1(ok709) (bottom) germlines. The germlines were divided into seven equal sized regions from the transition zone (zygotene) to the pachytene- diplotene border and number of RAD-51 foci/ nucleus was quantified (see Figure 7B). The white foci in wild type in regions 5 and 6 are due to background staining with the anti-RAD-51 antibody and can be discerned in the rachis in 3D projections (not shown). In him-5, arrows point to small RAD-51 foci in the distal region. Breaks in this region can readily be observed in this region in Figure 7A.

dsRNAª	# P0 ines with males <sup>b</sup>	Frequency	# F1 lines with males	Frequency
D1086.4 5'	2/16 <sup>&amp;</sup>	2-5%	1/40	~5%
D1086.4 3'	4/22 <sup>#</sup>	2-10%	3/40 <sup>c</sup>	5-20%
D1086.5	0/18	N.A.	0/20	N.A.

## Table S1 RNA interference of D1086.4 gives male progeny

<sup>a</sup>dsRNAs were injected into one day old adult wild type (N2) worms and allowed to lay on fresh plates each day for 3 days. <sup>b</sup>Males were only observed on plates from the 48-72 time period post-injection.

<sup>c</sup>Frequency of males were 5% and 20%, the latter having a parent that gave 10% males.

Interval (Mb)	0.17 -1.91	1.91-4.59	4.59-10.72	10.72-12.05	12.05-14.68	N
wť <sup>a</sup>	8.9 ( <b>21</b> )	10.6 ( <b>25</b> )	0.0 ( <b>0</b> )	5.5 ( <b>13</b> )	26.3 ( <b>62</b> )	236
him-5	5.4* ( <b>17</b> )	11.1 ( <b>35</b> )	16.2** <b>(51</b> )	7.3 ( <b>23</b> )	10.5** ( <b>33</b> )	320

Table S2 Crossover distribution on chromosome I from oocytes

Values are map units for each interval (number of COs per interval)

The change in crossover distribution between N2 and him-5 is statistically significant:  $\chi^2(4,N=122)=1471$ , p< .0001 \*' \*\*Significant difference in map size of the interval between wild type and him-5 (\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.005) a Data is the same as in WAGNER *et al.* 2010.

Interval (Mb)	0.17 -1.91	1.91-4.59	4.59-10.72	10.72-12.05	12.05-14.68	N
wť	14.0 ( <b>46</b> )	12.8 ( <b>42</b> )	6.1 ( <b>20</b> )	4.3 ( <b>14</b> )	13.4 ( <b>44</b> )	328
him-5	7.9* ( <b>22</b> )	13.0 ( <b>36</b> )	17.3** ( <b>48</b> )	3.6 ( <b>10</b> )	6.1** ( <b>17</b> )	282

Table S3 Crossover distribution on chromosome I from sperm

Values are map units for each interval (number of COs per interval)

The change in crossover distribution between N2 and him-5 is statistically significant:  $c^2$  (4, N=133)=79, p< .0001

\*' \*\*Significant difference in map size of the interval between wild type and him-5 (\*p<0.05; \*\*p<0.005)

<sup>a</sup>Data is the same as in WAGNER *et al.* 2010.

	0-12hr	Ν	12-24	Ν	24-36	Ν	36-48	Ν
N2 control	0	268	0	656	0	591	0	333
N2 IR	0	609	0	1375	0	1074	0	662
him-5 control	35	172	30.8	466	33.4	416	35.3	222
him-5 IR	45	496	8.4	1279	11.0	1065	16.1	430

Table S4 Percentage of males post-irradiation

Data represents the compilation of two independent experiments.

Values represent the percentage of males in the viable progeny and were calculated a (total number of males)/ (total wild type hermaphrodites + males) for each time point after exposure to 20Gy radiation. Since XXX Dpy progeny are sub-viable, they were excluded from these analyses.

Table S5	Hatching rates	post-irradiation
----------	----------------	------------------

	0-12hr	Ν	12-24hr	Ν	24-36hr	Ν	36-48hr	Ν
N2 control	100	268	100	656	100	591	100	334
N2 IR	88	692	100	1375	99.0	1074	98.2	674
him-5 control	72	239	75.7*	616	78.6	448	69.6	316
him-5 IR	77	631	92.8	1411	88.1	1142	78.4	548

Data represents the compilation of two independent experiments.

Values represent the percentage of the total viable progeny/total # eggs laid (N) for each time point after exposure to 20Gy radiation.

\* The change in hatching rates between *him-5* and *him-5* post-IR is statistically significant:  $\chi^2(1,N=616)=17.280$ , *p*< .0001

# Apoptotic													
Nuclei/Gonad	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Average	N	mean	St. Dev	SE Mean
N2	0	4	3	8	4	1	1	0	2.90	21	2.905	1.3381	0.292
him-5(e1490)	2	4	7	5	1	4	0	1	2.78	25	2.76	1.7861	0.357

Table S6 Apoptosis analysis with acridine orange

Student t-test DF:43 T-value 0.3143 P-value=0.7548

Breaks per Nucleus	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	Avg	N	Mean	St. Dev	SE Mean
N2 him-5	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	3	10	12	5	14.1	36	14.1	1.4	0.23
(ok1896)	1	2	8	16	11	5	10	2	1	0	0	9.9	56	9.9	1.7	0.23

 Table S7
 Total number of meiotic breaks analyzed by RAD-51 foci after rad-54(RNAi)

Student t-test DF: 84 T-value 13.0838 P-value = <0.00001