Drosophila melanogaster genes for U¹ snRNA variants and their expression during development

Patrick C.H.Lo and Stephen M.Mount*

Department of Biological Sciences, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

Received August 9, 1990; Revised and Accepted October 25, 1990

EMBL accession nos X53541 - X53544

ABSTRACT

We have cloned and characterized ^a complete set of seven U1-related sequences from Drosophila melanogaster. These sequences are located at the three cytogenetic loci 21D, 82E, and 95C. Three of these sequences have been previously studied: one Ul gene at 21D which encodes the prototype U1 sequence (Ula), one Ul gene at 82E which encodes a Ul variant with a single nucleotide substitution (U1b), and a pseudogene at 82E. The four previously The four previously uncharacterized genes are another U1b gene at 82E, two additional U1a genes at 95C, and a U1 gene at 95C which encodes a new variant (U1c) with a distinct single nucleotide change relative to Ula. Three blocks of ⁵' flanking sequence similarity are common to all six full length genes. Using specific primer extension assays, we have observed that the U1b RNA is expressed in Drosophila K_c cells and is associated with snRNP proteins, suggesting that the U1b-containing snRNP particles are able to participate in the process of premRNA splicing. We have also examined the expression throughout Drosophila development of the two Ul variants relative to the prototype sequence. The Ulc variant is undetectable by our methods, while the Ul b variant exhibits a primarily embryonic pattern reminiscent of the expression of certain Ul variants in sea urchin, Xenopus, and mouse.

INTRODUCTION

The splicing of intervening sequences from pre-mRNAs is a two step process that occurs within the large, multi-component nuclear complex known as the spliceosome (for reviews see 1,2). Among the components of the spliceosome are small nuclear ribonucleoprotein particles, or snRNPs (for reviews see 3,4). Each snRNP particle consists of one or two different members of the U family of small nuclear RNAs (snRNAs) complexed with approximately ten proteins (5). One of these snRNPs, the Ul snRNP, is essential for the interaction of the spliceosome with the 5' splice site of introns $(6-8)$. This interaction is mediated by the basepairing of the highly conserved ⁵' end of Ul snRNA with the ⁵' splice site, as was demonstrated genetically by Zhuang and Weiner (9).

It is possible that in the process of alternative splicing the choice of splice sites is affected by heterogeneity in the Ul snRNP population. One such source of heterogeneity could be the Ul snRNA molecule. In higher eukaryotes, there is variation in the coding sequences of the true U¹ genes within particular species $(10-14)$. These sequence differences are relatively minor, and none occur in the highly conserved ⁵' end of the molecule that interacts with the ⁵' splice sites of primary transcripts.

Evidence that U¹ sequence variants may be functionally significant comes from observations that differential regulation of their expression occurs during development. This has been observed in sea urchins (15), Xenopus (11,16), and mice (17). In general, there seems to be two major groups of Ul snRNAs: an adult class of Ul sequences (referred to as Ula) and an embryonic class (referred to as Ulb). The Ula species predominates throughout development, especially during later stages, and it is almost exclusively expressed in various terminally differentiated cell lines. In contrast, the Ulb species is abundant only during the embryonic stages of development and is the major variant present in cell lines that possess the ability to differentiate.

The number of copies of true U1 genes per haploid genome varies anywhere from 10 in chickens, 30 in humans, 40 in mice, up to a high of 1,000 in Xenopus (for a review see 19). In addition, ^a significant proportion of U1-related sequences in most higher eukaryotic species are pseudogenes. By contrast, Drosophila melanogaster was known to have relatively few U1-related sequences, on the order of six to seven (18), making it a suitable organism in which to examine the differential regulation of U1 sequence variants.

Mount and Steitz (20) determined the sequence of a Ul RNA, isolated by immunoprecipitation with anti-(U1)RNP antibodies from the Drosophila K_c cell line, by direct RNA sequencing methods, and obtained a single genomic clone of what appeared to be the gene that coded for that Ul sequence. In situ hybridization showed that this clone was derived from the salivary gland chromosomal band 21D and that Drosophila has U1-related sequences at only three cytological locations: 21D, 82E, and 95C (M.L. Pardue, unpublished). This was consistent with results from hybridization with iodinated snRNAs (18). Alonso et al. (21) subsequently isolated and sequenced a U1 gene from 21D that was identical to the gene first isolated by Mount and Steitz (20). Southern analyses by all of these groups proved to be

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed

inconclusive, but generally indicated that the Drosophila genome has about seven U1-related sequences. Two additional U1 sequences from the 82E locus were isolated by Kejzlarova-Lepesant et al. (22). One of these appeared to be a pseudogene with the first 50 nucleotides of the Ul coding sequence deleted. The other was a sequence variant that possessed a full-length coding sequence with ^a single nucleotide change: ^a G to T transversion at position 134. In order to distinguish this sequence variant from the original prototype sequence identified in K_c cells, we have designated the prototype Ul sequence as Ula and the variant as Ulb.

Here we report on the isolation and characterization of what we believe to be a complete set of Ul-related sequences from Drosophila melanogaster. In particular, we have cloned the U 1-related sequences present at the 95C locus and discovered ^a new U1 sequence variant (Ulc). We have examined the abundance through development of these variants and found that one (Ulb) is an embryonic form while the other (Ulc) shows no detectable expression.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation of Ul probe

The plasmid pPL1 was generated by the insertion of the 119 bp EcoRI-HindIII fragment of pDmU1.4d (23) into EcoRI-HindIIIdigested pIBI24 (International Biotechnologies Inc.). The resulting plasmid contains nucleotides $50-140$ of U₁a flanked upstream by 24 basepairs (nucleotides $0-24$) and downstream by 5 basepairs (nucleotides $25-29$) of pBR322 sequence, oriented such that transcription from the T7 promoter of pIBI24 will generate an RNA with the Ul sequence in the sense orientation.

The probe for screening the genomic library was prepared from the T7 transcription of HindlIl-linearized pPL1 in a reaction volume of 20 μ l under the following conditions: 1.5 μ g template DNA, 40 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 20 mM MgCl₂ 10 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM each of ATP, GTP, and UTP, $12 \mu \text{M}$ CTP, 7 μ Ci/ μ l ³²P- α -CTP (6 μ M), and 40 units of T7 RNA polymerase (U.S. Biochemical) at 37° C for 30 minutes. The reaction was terminated by the addition of 1.6 μ l of 250 mM EDTA (pH 8.0) and 1.0 μ l of 10% SDS.

Screening of a genomic library

A genomic library of Sau3A partially-digested Oregon R Drosophila melanogaster DNA cloned into BamH1-digested XEMBL4 was ^a gift of Mike Goldberg. Duplicate sets of nitrocellulose filters representing 120,000 plaques each were screened according to Maniatis et al. (24) using as a probe the ³²P-labelled RNA described above, which was added directly to the hybridization solution.

Clones were assigned to specific loci based on Southern blot analysis using either the 21D-specific probe pDmU1.4b (23) or the 82E-specific probe pDm6B (22; ^a kind gift of Dr. Jean-Antoine Lepesant).

Subcloning of genomic fragments

The 3.4 kb $EcoRI$ fragment from λ D5-8 with the U1-82.3 gene was subcloned into EcoRI-cut pIBI24 to generate pPL2. The 8.9 kb $EcoRI$ fragment from λ D5-8 containing the U1-82.2 pseudogene and the U1-82.1 gene was subcloned into EcoRIcut pIBI24 to generate pPL3. The 2.3 kb BamHI fragment containing the U 1-82.2 pseudogene from the insert of pPL3 was then further subcloned into BamHI-digested pIBI24 to create pPL5, which is equivalent to the subclone pDm6Y of Kejzlarova-Lepesant et al. (22). The 2.5 kb BamHI fragment containing the U1-82.1 gene from the insert of pPL3 (which is actually the BamH1-EcoRI fragment of λ D5-8 with a BamH1 site from the pIBI24 polylinker) was subcloned into BamHI-digested pIBI24 to create pPL6, which is equivalent to the subclone pDm6A of Kejzlarova-Lepesant et al. (22).

The 2.6 kb $EcoRI$ fragment of λ D5-50 containing the U1-95.1 gene was subcloned into EcoRI-cut pIBI24 to generate pPL4. The 1.9 kb EcoRI fragment from λ D5-50 containing U1-95.2 and U1-95.3 was used for sequencing without prior subcloning.

In situ hybridizations

Salivary gland squashes and in situ hybridizations were carried out according to Ashburner (25), using specific genomic clones as probes.

Sequencing of Ul-related sequences

Sequencing was carried out by the dideoxynucleotide chain termination method (27) with modified T7 polymerase (Sequenase® , U.S. Biochemicals; 28), according to the strategy of Bankier and Barrell (26) and using Beckman Microgenie® software.

Genomic Southerns

Genomic DNA from the various strains was isolated as described by McGinnis et al. (29), with the omission of the RNase treatment. 3 μ g of genomic DNA from each strain was digested with the restriction enzymes indicated in Figure 4, electrophoresed on a 0.8% agarose gel, and Southern blotted according to Maniatis et al. (24). Separate blots were individually probed with various plasmid subclones labelled with 32p according to Feinberg and Vogelstein (30). The subclone pPLl was used to identify all restriction fragments with U1-related sequences. pDmU1.4b (20) was used to identify the fragments with the U1-21.1 gene, and pPL4 to identify those with the Ul-95.1 gene. For the Ulb genes, uniformly-labelled, singlestranded probes were synthesized as described in Maniatis et al. (24) from specific M1³ single-stranded clones obtained from shotgun sequencing. The probe for the 82.1 gene was synthesized from the M13 clone D41-053 and had sequences starting from $+311$ basepairs downstream of the gene to the ClaI site at $+601$. The probe for the 82.3 gene was derived from the M13 clone D35-130 and had sequences starting from $+82$ basepairs downstream to the *Hhal* site at $+282$.

The strains used included two wild type strains (Canton S and Oregon R, both obtained from the Rubin laboratory) and three strains carrying isogenic third chromosomes. Chromosomes were isogenized using the w^{1118} ; TM3/CxD strain (31). The complete genotypes of these three strains are 1) se^{EC4} , which carries a third chromosome extracted from wild flies collected in El Cerrito, California; 2) w^{1118} ; P[w^{aRsLTR}]21 (31) and 3) st^{85e} , a strain carrying a spontaneous allele of scarlet.

Preparation of T7-U1 RNA variants

Templates for the T7 transcription of RNAs encoding each of the three U1 sequence variants were synthesized by PCR. The oligonucleotides used were synthesized on an Applied Biosystems 280A DNA synthesizer. The sequence of the ⁵' oligonucleotide (OLIGO 6; 5'-ATTTAGGTGACACTATAATACTTACC-TGGCGT-3') consisted of the 17 nucleotide SP6 promoter joined to the first 15 nucleotides of the Drosophila Ul sequence. The

The T7 transcriptions were carried out as described before in a 100 μ l volume with 120 ng of a T7-U1 DNA template, 0.5 mM each of ATP, CTP, GTP, and UTP, ¹⁰⁰ units of RNasin (Promega Biotec), and ⁸⁵ units of T7 RNA polymerase. These were incubated at 37° C for 2 hours, after which 1 unit of RNasefree DNase (Promega Biotec) was added and the incubation continued for another 15 minutes. The reactions were phenolchloroform and chloroform extracted and ethanol precipitated.

Isolation of RNAs from Drosophila melanogaster

Total or poly A^- RNA from the indicated developmental stages of Drosophila melanogaster Oregon R flies were prepared basically as described by O'Hare et al. (33), using a Brinkmann 'polytron' homogenizer.

Immunoprecipitation of U1 snRNA from K_c nuclear extracts

Nuclear extracts from *Drosophila* K_c cells, prepared essentially as described in Dignam et al., (34), were the kind gift of Ming Guo. The immunoprecipitation of snRNPs from a 40 μ l aliquot of K_c nuclear extract were carried out with 10 μ l of human anti-Sm antisera (35; ^a kind gift of Zhen-Qiang Pan). The procedure is as described by Steitz (36) using protein A-Sepharose beads (Pharmacia) and IPP buffer [0.5 M NaCl, 0.1% NP-40, ¹⁰ mM Tris (pH 7.9)], with the addition of ^a proteinase K treatment step. Prior to the phenol-chloroform extractions of the samples, 400 μ l of proteinase K solution [1.7% SDS, 300 mM NaCl, 40 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 0.5 mg/ml proteinase K (Boehringer Mannheim)] was added to each sample and these were incubated at 30° C for 30 minutes. The remainder of the procedure is as described, and the resulting immunoprecipitated RNAs were resuspended in 20 μ l of double distilled water.

Primer extension assays for the Ul sequence variants

For the developmental assay of the Ulb variant relative to the non-Ulb (Ula and Ulc) sequences, $2 \mu g$ of poly A^- or total RNA from the indicated stages of Drosophila development was annealled to 120 fmol of a 32P-end labelled oligomer (OLIGO 4) complementary to the last 28 nucleotides of the Drosophila Ul molecule in the presence of ⁵⁰ mM Tris-Cl (pH 8.4), ⁶⁰ mM NaCl, and ¹⁰ mM dithiothreitol. For the same assay of the anti-Sm-precipitated Ul snRNAs, the annealling consisted of approximately 30 ng of the immunoprecipitated RNA. The samples were heated at 95° C and allowed to slowly cool to about room temperature. These were then extended with MMLV reverse transcriptase (Bethesda Research Laboratories) in the presence of 6 mM MgCl₂ and 375 μ M each of dATP, dGTP, $dTTP$, and ddCTP at 37 $^{\circ}$ C for 30 min. The reactions were stopped by the addition of a formamide loading buffer and electrophoresed on ^a 15% acrylamide/8.3 M urea sequencing gel. Dried gels were exposed to XAR-5 film with a Quanta HI intensifying screen.

The assay for the expression of the U1c variant relative to the non-Ulc (Ula & Ulb) sequences during development is identical to the above assay, except for the extension step, which was done with AMV reverse transcriptase (Boehringer Mannheim) in the presence of 6 mM MgCl₂, 375 μ M each of dATP, dCTP, dTTP, and 94 μ M of ddGTP at 42° C for 30 min.

RESULTS

Isolation and characterization of Drosophila Ul sequence variants

We screened at ^a high stringency approximately ten genome equivalents of ^a genomic library of Oregon R DNA with ^a probe from the Drosophila Ula coding region (see Materials and Methods). A total of ⁶¹ positives were isolated from the primary screen, and of these 25 were purified through a secondary screen. Ten of these latter clones were then chosen at random for detailed characterization by restriction digests and Southern analyses. Southern blots using probes that were specific to the Ul genes at either 21D (20) or 82E (22), or that contained only the Ul coding region allowed these clones to be divided into three groups of identical or overlapping clones. One group consisted of four phage from 21D, a second of three phage from 82E, and the third of three phage which did not hybridize to either of the locusspecific probes, which suggested that they contained the U 1-related sequences from the 95C locus. For both the 82E and putative 95C groups, we were able to identify for further study one phage that contained all the Ul-hybridizing sequences that could be found in that particular group of phage. Restriction maps of the representative clone from 82E (XD5-8) and that from 95C (XD5-50) are shown in Figure 1. A restriction map of ^a previously isolated genomic clone from the 21D locus (37) that contains the U1-21.1 gene (S.M. Mount, unpublished) is also presented in Figure 1.

In situ hybridizations to salivary gland chromosomes using XD5-50 as a probe verified that it originated from the 95C locus

Figure 1. Restriction maps of genomic clones. The restriction maps of the inserts from the genomic clones λ D5-8 and λ D5-50 are shown. The bars over the maps of these two clones indicate the restriction fragments that were sequenced. For completeness, a restriction map of a genomic clone from 21D (37) containing the UI-21.1 gene (S.M. Mount, unpublished) is also shown. Solid arrowheads indicate the position and orientation of Ul genes within a restriction fragment. The open arrowhead indicates the position and orientation of the pseudogene. The restriction sites are: $B =$ BamHI; $E =$ EcoRI; $H =$ HindIII; and $S =$ Sall. Two other previously isolated genomic clones from the 21D locus containing a copy of the U1-21. ¹ gene, U14 and U17 (21), extend approximately 9 kb further to the right of λ #9 and neither contains any other U1-related sequence. It should be noted that the restriction map of the phage Dm525 of Kejzlarova-Lepesant et al. (22) containing the U1-82.1 and U1-82.2 sequences differs from the restriction map of phage λ D5-8 which contains these two sequences and the U 1-82.3 sequence.

(data not shown). The clone λ D5-8 hybridized strongly to 82E, and less strongly to the chromocenter and to another band proximal to 82E (data not shown). This localization of the weaker hybridization suggests that the λ D5-8 clone from 82E contains a moderately repetitive element that is found in β heterochromatin.

Five restriction fragments containing U1-related sequences present in λ D5-8 and λ D5-50 were sequenced, and a total of six U¹ -related sequences were found. Each of the two representative phage contained three of these sequences (Figure 1). In order to systematize the designation of the U¹ -related sequences, ^a two part number was assigned to each sequence. The first number indicates the sequence's cytological location and the second distinguishes the various sequences at a particular cytological locus.

U1-82.1 and 82.2 correspond to Ul sequences previously isolated from the 82E locus and studied by Kejzlarova-Lepesant et al. (22). U1-82.3 is also derived from the 82E locus and possesses ^a Ulb coding region. Two of the genes from the 95C locus, U1-95.1 and U1-95.2, were found to contain U1a coding regions. The third gene at 95C, U1-95.3, represents a new Ul sequence variant, Ulc, consisting of ^a single T to C transition (relative to Ula) at position 123 of the Ul coding sequence (see Figure 2).

Flanking sequences of the Ul genes

An examination of upstream sequences of the six Drosophila U¹ genes shows three blocks of considerable homology (see Figure 3). Beck et al. (38) had first noted that the ⁵' flanking sequence of the single U1 gene that they had isolated from 21D (U1-21.1) shared two blocks of homology (at -61 to -41 and -32 to -25) with the 5' flanking sequences of the three *Drosophila* U2 genes. These two conserved sequence elements were later observed in the ⁵' flanking sequence of the full-length Ul sequence isolated from 82E (U1-82.1) by Kejzlarova-Lepesant et al. (22). Saba et al. (39) have also observed that these two blocks of homology are present in the upstream sequences of the two U4 genes of Drosophila that they isolated and characterized. The four additional U1-related sequences that we have characterized in this study also possess these two conserved ⁵' sequence elements. These twelve upstream sequences all have between 17 to 21 matches to the ²¹ nucleotide consensus TAATTCCCAA-CTGGTTCTRGC at -61 to -41 and between 6 to 8 matches to the 8 nucleotide consensus CATGGARA at -32 to -25 . Thus, all known RNA polymerase 11-transcribed U snRNA genes that have been sequenced in Drosophila possess these two highly conserved sequence elements in their ⁵' flanking sequence.

In addition, we have noticed that there is an absolutely conserved pentanucleotide, AAAGC, located immediately upstream of the presumed transcriptional start site of all the Drosophila Ul genes (Figure 3). This sequence element is unique to the Ul genes and is not present immediately upstream of the transcriptional start sites of any of the U2 or U4 genes of Drosophila.

Both full-length Ul genes at 82E have nearly identical flanking sequences

The sequences flanking the two full-length genes from 82E, U1-82.1 and U1-82.3, are identical for 375 nucleotides upstream of the gene and identical for 93 of 96 nucleotides downstream. Furthermore, there is a 237 bp region of near identity (92%) further downstream of both genes, beginning at $+303$ relative

Figure 2. Predicted RNA sequence variants. RNA sequence changes inferred from the DNA sequences of U1-82.1 (U1b), U1-82.3 (U1b), and U1-95.3 (U1c) are shown on the standard secondary structure of Drosophila U1a (20).

to U1-82. ¹ and + ¹⁷⁴ relative to U1-82.3. These regions of near identity in the flanking sequences of Ulb genes seem to suggest that one of these genes was derived from the other through a recent duplication event.

Copy number of Ul sequences in Drosophila melanogaster

We attempted to determine the copy number of U1-related sequences in *Drosophila melanogaster* by carrying out Southern analyses on two wild-type strains (Canton S and Oregon R) and three strains that had been isogenized for chromosome III. Genomic DNAs from these strains were restricted with *HpaI*, EcoRI, or BamHI and EcoRI, blotted, and probed with a sequence that corresponded to nucleotides $50-140$ of the Ula coding region. Since there is only one *HpaI* site (at position 23) in each of the full-length U1 sequences the number of HpaI bands which are detected should be equal to the number of full-length genes plus the one pseudogene, provided that the latter is either separated by a *Hpal* site or is upstream from the 5' end of an adjacent full-length sequence. In the case of the U1-82.2 pseudogene located on λ D5-8, it is separated by a *HpaI* site from either flanking full-length U1 gene (data not shown). An examination of the *HpaI* digests (Figure 4) reveals variation in the number of bands from three to seven between strains. However, the uppermost band (marked by the open arrowhead) probably results from partial digestion since its intensity relative to other bands varies between lanes and between repetitions of the same experiment (data not shown).

We also hybridized these replicate blots with probes that were specific for various U¹ genes (data not shown). A probe specific for the U1-95.1 gene detected a HpaI band from all strains except st^{85e} that comigrated with a band also seen with a probe specific for the U1-21.1 gene. Therefore, it is neccesary to add one to

5' Flanking sequences

³' flanking sequ

U1-21.1 CATCTGC-AATAAATTTTAAAAGTATCATTATTTTCATATATGTAGCCAGCCTTGCAACTACATTGATGGAATGAATAAATG +81 U1-82.1 U1-82.2 U1-82.3 U1-95. 1 CATTAAT-GATAAATATTCAAACTATTAAAAGGCATAGCAAAATGTTATAAACAACGAGTATAGTTGATATCGAATTATGGC U1-95.2 CATTTA-AAATAAATACCAATTCCAATTTAATATTATATTGCATGTAAGCTGGCACTTACAAAAGTTGATACACAAGATCTT U1-95.3 CATTTA-AAATAAATATTGAAAAGAAAACGACTCAACTTTAGTTAATAATTAGATTGATGAACAAGTTAGTGCGGAAGTTAA CATTCGTAAATAAATATGTACATATGCAAAGATAACTGTTTTAATCAGGCGTTTAGGGTAGTTGAAAACACGAAGTTTGACT CATTTATAAATAACTATGTAAAAA CATTCGTAAATAAATATGTACATATGCAAAGATAACTGTTTTAATCAGGCGTTTAGGGTAGTTGAAAACACGAAGTTTGTGA

Figure 3. U1 coding and flanking sequences. The coding and flanking sequences of the seven U1 genes of *Drosophila melanogaster* are shown aligned by the U1 coding region. In addition, the 5['] flanking sequences of the Drosophila melanogaster U2 (38) and U4 genes (39) are shown. Note the conserved regions of -61 to -41 , -32 to -25 , and -5 to -1 (denoted by outlining) shared among the 5' flanking sequences of all the U1 genes. The regions at -61 to -41 and -32 to -25 are also conserved in the U2 and U4 genes, while the region at -5 to -1 is absolutely conserved only among the U1 genes.

the number of HpaI bands in these lanes in order to obtain the correct number of Ul-related sequences for those strains. In the st^{85e} lane, the comigrating bands present in the other strains are resolved into a closely spaced doublet, with the upper band corresponding to the 21.1 gene and the lower band to the 95.1 gene. Probes specific for the Ulb genes from the 82E locus (82.1 and 82.3) were also used. These probes were derived from the ³' flanking sequences of either Ulb gene; both possessed sequences unique to each gene and different amounts of sequence from the 237 bp region of near identity in the ³' flank of these genes (see Materials and Methods). Blots hybridized with these probes showed that each strain contains at least one copy of a Ulb gene from the 82E locus. Despite some cross-hybridization, it was possible to specifically assign Ulb genes with HpaI fragments based on the differential levels of hybridization to the two probes. The other HpaI bands were assigned to the remaining Ul-related sequences based on their sizes, since these were the same as the sizes of the respective HpaI bands derived from the genomic clones (data not shown). It should be noted that a copy of the U1-82.1 gene comigrates with the U1-95.2 band in the Canton S lane.

Overall, it appears from these Southern analyses that the

number of Ul-related sequences varies between five in the EC4, Canton S, and st^{85e} strains and seven in the Oregon R and V21 strains, with the variation being in the number of sequences at 82E. Since we have isolated a total of seven Ul-related sequences from our screen of an Oregon R genomic library, we are confident that we have isolated all the possible Ul sequences from Drosophila melanogaster.

Developmental patterns of expression of the Ul variants

Having characterized what we believe to be a complete set of Drosophila Ul genes, we next examined the expression of the three different Ul sequences during development. A set of specialized primer extension assays were devised that could discriminate either variant (Ulb or Ulc) from the other two sequences (see Figure 5). Extension of a 28-mer complementary to the very ³' end of Drosophila Ul in the presence of dATP, dGTP, dTTP, and ddCTP results in a 32 nucleotide extension product from Ulb RNA and ^a shorter ³¹ nucleotide extension product from non-Ulb (Ula/Ulc) RNA. The assay for the Ulc variant is analogous, with the extension carried out in the presence of dATP, dCTP, dTTP, and ddGTP. The U1c RNA will generate ^a ⁴² nucleotide product while non-Ulc RNA will generate ^a 44

Figure 4. Genomic Southerns of various strains Genomic DNA from the indicated strains were digested with either HpaI, or BamHI and EcoRI. The blot was hybridized to the probe containing the Ula coding sequence from 50 to 140. The assignment of specific Ul-related sequences to HpaI bands is shown on the left of the figure (see text). The positions of size markers are indicated on the right side. The open arrowhead indicates the *HpaI* band that is the result of partial digestion. The strains are $EC4 = e^{EC4}$, $CS =$ Canton S, OreR $=$ Oregon R, $V21 = w^{1118}$; $P[w^{418}]/21$, and st^{85e} = st^{85e}.

nucleotide product. It should be noted that we have used these primer extensions to quantitate the ratios of either Ulb to non-Ulb RNAs or Ulc to non-Ulc RNAs, and not to measure the absolute amounts of the individual U¹ sequences. We also cannot determine by these assays which of the multi-copy Ul variant genes are actually being transcribed in vivo.

These assays were first tested on T7 RNAs transcribed from templates containing one of the three Drosophila U1 sequences (see Materials and Methods). In all cases, the expected extension products were observed and for the samples that contained a mixture of Ula with either Ulb or Ulc, the ratio of extension products was the same as the ratio of the different RNAs present in the sample being assayed (Figure 6A,D; data not shown).

We then used these assays to measure the relative amounts of the Ul variants relative to Ula in RNA samples from various stages of *Drosophila* development (Figure 6). Within the limits of detection of our assay, there is either an extremely low amount of Ulc (less than 5% of the level of Ula/Ulb) or none of this variant being expressed in vivo (Figure 6A). Since this is the case,

Figure 5. Primer extension assays for the Drosophila U1 variants. Using ^a DNA oligomer that is complementay to the 28 nucleotides at the ³' end of all Drosophila UI variants (OLIGO 4), it is possible to obtain differently sized extension products for each sequence variant if the appropriate mix of three dNTPs and one ddNTP is used with reverse transcriptase. Panel A summarizes the reaction conditions and expected products for the U1b assay used in Figure 6B, C, and D. Panel B summarizes the Ulc assay used in Figure 6A.

we can consider the non-U₁b class of RNAs to be composed almost totally of the Ula species.

Using our primer extension assay it was observed that the abundance of Ulb does vary relative to Ula during development. During early embryogenesis (see Figure 6C), the ratio of Ulb to U₁ is close to 1:1, but then this ratio decreases to about 1:2 by 12 hours. This ratio of Ulb to Ula is maintained until the 3rd instar larval stage, at which point at least a two-fold decrease in the ratio occurs (Figure 6B). This ratio remains constant throughout the remainder of development, except in late female adults, where a much higher ratio of Ulb to non-Ulb is again

Figure 6. Developmental expression patterns of the Ul variants. The primer extension assays described in the Figure 5 were used to assay the relative expression levels of the different U1 sequences during development in Drosophila melanogaster. A. Assay of the expression of the U Ic variant relative to the non-Ulc (Ula & Ulb) sequences throughout development. The developmental stages are: $0-1.5$ h = $0-1.5$ h embryos; $1.5-12$ h = $1.5-12$ h embryos; $12-24$ $h = 12-24$ h embryos; 1st inst. = 1st instar larvae; 2nd inst. = 2nd instar larvae; 3rd inst. =3rd instar larvae; e. pup. = early pupae; 1. pup. = late pupae; e. adults= early adults (less than 1 day post-eclosion); 1. adults = late adults; T7-U1a = T7-transcribed Ula sequence; T7-Ulc = T7-transcribed Ulc sequence. B. Assay of the expression of the Ulb variant relative to the non-Ulb (Ula & Ulc) sequences in poly A^- RNA throughout development. The samples are as described above, except for the embryonic samples, which are: e. emb. = early embryos $(0-12)$ hour); 1. emb. = late embryos $(12-24$ hour). The extremely dark band at the bottom of this panel and panels C and D is due to unextended primer. C. Assay of the expression of the Ulb variant relative to the non-Ulb (Ula & Ulc) sequences during embryogenesis. Lanes $1-3$ represent multiple, independently isolated samples of total RNA from the $0-1.5$ hour time period; lanes $4-5$ are independent samples from the $1.5-12$ hour time period; and lane 6 is a single sample from the $12-24$ hour time period. D. Assay of the expression of the Ulb variant relative to the non-U lb (Ula & Ulc) sequences in the total nuclear RNA and anti-Smimmunoprecipitate of nuclear extracts from $Drosophila$ K_c cells. The samples are: T7-Ula= T7-transcribed Ula sequence; T7-Ulb= T7-transcribed Ulb sequence; T7-U1a+T7-U1b= a 5:1 mixture, respectively, of the two
T7-transcribed U1 sequences; $pA^- RNA = 18.5$ and 1.9 μ g, respectively, of pA^- RNA from adult flies; K_c nRNA= 2.5 and 0.5 μ g, respectively, of total RNA extracted from K_c cell nuclei; anti-Sm immunoppt= 30 ngs of anti-Smprecipitated RNAs from K_c nuclear extracts.

seen. This higher ratio is presumably due to a maternal deposition of relatively more Ulb in the developing oocytes, consistent with the observation of relatively high Ulb levels in embryos less than 1.5 hours old.

Ulb is expressed in K_c cells and is anti-Sm-precipitable

Expression of the Ulb variant in flies left open the question of whether this class of U1 molecules is fully functional with respect to the role of the Ul snRNP in recognizing ⁵' splice sites. The U¹ snRNP normally contains Ul snRNA complexed with the common snRNP proteins and three Ul snRNP-specific proteins: A, C, and 70K. The Sm proteins common to all the spliceosomal

 $U_{12}C \rightarrow U_{13}C \rightarrow U_{23}C \rightarrow U_{14}C \rightarrow U_{15}C \rightarrow U_{16}C \rightarrow U_{17}C \rightarrow U_{18}C \rightarrow U_{18}C \rightarrow U_{19}C \rightarrow U_{18}C \rightarrow U_{19}C \rightarrow U_{19$ snRNPs (Ul, U2, U4/U6, and U5) are recognized by anti-Sm antisera that are derived from patients with certain autoimmune disorders. Ul snRNP-specific proteins are recognized by anti- (Ul)RNP antisera, which allows for the selective immunoprecipitation of Ul snRNP particles. We asked if the Ulb variant was present in Drosophila K_c cells and if it was in an anti-Sm-precipitable form. An association of the Ulb molecules with Sm proteins would indicate that this sequence variant was at least competent to form an RNP particle of some sort. Nuclei were prepared from Drosophila \overline{K}_c cells and total RNA was prepared from these nuclei. The primer extension assay for Ulb detected this variant in the K_c nuclear RNA at a level that was approximately one-tenth that of the Ula levels (Figure 6D), indicating that Ulb was being expressed as a minor species in this cell line. Nuclear extracts from K_c cells were then immunoprecipitated with a human anti-Sm antiserum and the RNA extracted from the immunoprecipitate was analyzed by the primer extension assay (Figure 6D). From this experiment, it was clear that the U1b variant was present in the Drosophila K_c cells in an anti-Sm-precipitable form and that it also represented about one-tenth of the amount of U¹ present in the

Direct RNA sequence analysis of K_c cell Ul RNA (20) immunoprecipitated with anti-(Ul)RNP serum had previously indicated only the Ula species. However, re-examination of the RNA fingerprints and secondary digests of the RNase TI oligonucleotides from that study confirmed that the Ulb variant was also present (data not shown). The original secondary (RNase A) digest of RNase TI spot # 11 (Figure 3 of reference 20) shows, in addition to the nucleotides expected from the oligonucleotides CUUG and UUCG, the dinucleotide AG as ^a minor product (data not shown). This dinucleotide would be a predicted product of the pancreatic RNase A digestion of the UUAG oligonucleotide obtained from the RNase TI digestion of Ulb, and its amount relative to the other expected nucleotides is roughly consistent with the level of Ulb that we have observed here (one-tenth that of Ula).

DISCUSSION

We have cloned and characterized what we believe to be the complete set of U¹ snRNA genes from Drosophila melanogaster. Four additional Ul genes not previously identified were isolated, including three from the 95C locus, and one of these was found to code for a previously undescribed Ul sequence variant (Ulc). In all, a total of seven U1-related sequences have been isolated to date from the Oregon R strain of Drosophila melanogaster, which is in good agreement with the copy number determined by Saluz et al. (18) and by ourselves. Based on in situ hybridizations to salivary gland polytene chromosomes carried out by M.L. Pardue (unpublished), Saluz et al. (18), and Kejzlarova-Lepesant et al. (22), these seven Ul-related sequences are located at only three cytological loci in the Drosophila genome: 21D, 82E, and 95C. We have found no evidence for the presence of other U1-related sequences at 11B or 61A, as initially reported by Alonso et al. (21) . As was pointed out by McClelland (40), the probe used by Alonso et al. (21) contained the LSP1- β gene that is adjacent to the U1 gene at 21D. This gene is similar to the LSP-1 α gene at 11A and the LSP1- γ gene at 61A, and it is these two loci that are detected by the probe utilized by Alonso et al. (21) for their in situ hybridizations. This erroneous assignment of Ul genes to llA and 61A has been

reported in some subsequent surveys (41,42) and it is our hope that further references to these incorrect sites will be avoided.

All six full-length *Drosophila* U1 genes possess two highly conserved blocks of sequence in their ⁵' flank that are also found in the upstream sequences of the Drosophila U2 (38) and U4 (39) genes. In addition, all of the U1 genes possess an absolutely conserved pentanucleotide, AAAGC, immediately preceding the presumed start of transcription, which is not seen in the U2 or U4 genes. This pentanucleotide is found at the corresponding position in the ⁵' flank of some Ul genes from P. polycephalum (43), mouse (44,45), rat (46), and chicken (47,48). However, it has not been found in the Ul genes analyzed to date from tomato (49), soybean (50), C. elegans (51), sea urchin (13,52), X. laevis $(53-55)$, and human $(56,57)$. The significance of these blocks of ⁵' sequence similarity is unclear since there have been no functional studies of the proximal sequence elements involved in U snRNA transcription in Drosophila. It is also not certain what relationship these conserved 5' sequence elements in these Drosophila U snRNA genes have with the functionally defined upstream sequence elements of vertebrate spliceosomal U snRNA genes (for reviews see 19,58), such as the snRNA TATA-like box (or proximal sequence element) and the snRNA enhancer sequence (or distal sequence element). However, two strongly conserved sequence elements at $-70/-80$ and -35 relative to the Arabidopsis thaliana U2 and U5 genes have been shown to be required for transcription (59,60), and the two *Drosophila* 5' sequence elements furthest upstream are located at roughly the same positions.

The seven U1-related sequences code for three possible U1 RNA sequences, of which two, Ula and Ulb, are expressed in vivo and in Drosophila K_c cells at levels detectable by our primer extension assays. The Ulc variant was not detected by our assay at any stage of development in Drosophila, but it remains a possibility that extremely low levels are expressed which are undetectable by our methods.

The sequence changes in U₁b and U_{1c} relative to U₁a are not expected to greatly affect the potential secondary structure of Drosophila Ul snRNA (see Figure 2). The U to C transition at position 123 of Ulc is located at the base of the closing stem of the U1 cloverleaf, replacing a $G \cdot U$ basepair with a stronger G-C basepair. This sequence change does not alter any of the highly conserved U1 sequence elements such as the ⁵' end.

A comparison of sequence changes associated with embryonic Ul's of the four species examined reveals no common base changes. The majority of changes detected in the mouse embryonic Ul molecules reside in stem-loop II, with some changes in loop ^I and stem-loop IV (17). In Xenopus, the sequence changes associated with the two known embryonic Ul's occur in loop I or stem II (53,55). The various sequence changes which occur in the embryonic class of U1 snRNAs from these two species may be functionally significant since it is known that the highly conserved loop ^I and II sequences are required for the binding of the 70K (61,62) and A (63,64) protein, respectively.

The change found in sea urchin embryonic U¹ is the only example from another species that may be related to the change in Drosophila Ulb. It is ^a U to C transition located immediately upstream of the metazoan consensus Sm binding site $(RAU_{3-4}NUGR;$ see 65) of the sea urchin U1 sequence. The G to U transversion in Drosophila U lb is located at the extreme 3' end of the *Drosophila* Sm consensus sequence ($RAU_{5-6}GG$), and changes the second G, which is invariant in all known Drosophila U RNAs except Ulb. We have derived this Drosophila consensus sequence from the Sm binding sites of Drosophila Ul (except for Ulb), U2 (66,67), U4 (39), and US (68). Therefore, both the Drosophila and sea urchin embryonic Ul variants are associated with a base change immediately adjacent to ^a border of the Sm binding site of those molecules.

Since Ul snRNPs containing the Ulb snRNA are immunoprecipitated by both anti-Sm and anti-(U1)RNP antisera, we conclude that there are no gross alterations in the structure of such particles. It is still possible that there are subtle structural changes in Ulb-containing snRNPs which are not detected by immunoprecipitation and yet can cause functional differences. The sequence change in Ulb could induce an allosteric alteration of the Ul snRNP. Another possibility is binding of variant, but antigenically related, Sm proteins to the Ulb RNA.

Jones and Guthrie (69) have analyzed the effects of various mutations in the Sm binding site of the yeast U5 molecule on cell viability. One mutation that they generated in the yeast US Sm binding site, from AUUUUUUGG to AUUUUUUGU, mimics the alteration found in Ulb. This mutation had a small effect on the viability of yeast cells in which it was expressed; a slight increase (1.2X) of cell doubling time was observed. This result suggests that while there is no drastic change in the function of the yeast U5 molecules which possess this variation in their Sm binding site, the *Drosophila* U1b sequence variant may create functionally distinct snRNP particles.

We have observed that there is one U1 sequence (U1a) which, while it is present throughout *Drosophila* development, is the predominant species during the later stages of development, i.e. pupation and adulthood. This pattern of abundance of U¹ is therefore like that of the adult class of U ^l's seen in the other eukaryotic species that have exhibited differential patterns of abundance of U¹ sequence variants. Similarly, the other U1 variant which is expressed, Ulb, shows a pattern of abundance similar to that of the embryonic class of U¹ sequences in previously described species since it shows its highest levels relative to U₁ a during early oogenesis and embryogenesis. It should be noted that while its relative amounts are significant, at no point does it appear that the level of Ulb ever exceeds that of U la in the whole organism.

One possible reason for the relatively higher abundance of a separate class of U¹ variants during early development is that the U1 snRNPs which contain these variants are somehow altered with regard to their function in the splicing of pre-mRNAs. This alteration of function could conceivably result in alternative patterns of splicing of various primary transcripts, which would be one possible mechanism for the control of gene expression during early stages of development in *Drosophila*. On the other hand, the sequence changes that have been observed in all the embryonic U1 snRNAs of various species may be neutral with respect to the function of the U1 molecule, and their differential pattern of expression during development may simply be a consequence of differential regulation of functionally identical genes at the transcriptional or post-transcriptional level.

It appears that of the species of higher eukaryotes that have exhibited differential expression of an embryonic variant of U 1, Drosophila is the best experimental organism in which to examine the functional significance of this phenomenon. It is the only one of the four species with differential regulation where all the U¹ variants involved have been isolated and sequenced. In addition, since we have a number of strains (EC4, Canton S, and st^{85e}) that possess only one copy of ^a U lb gene, it should be possible through either classical or molecular genetic means to determine the effect on Drosophila of deleting the sole Ulb gene. In this manner it should be possible to ascertain if these embryonic variants have any functional significance.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr. Jean-Antoine Lepesant for the subclones pDm6A, pDm6Y, and pDm6B, Mike Goldberg for the Oregon R genomic library, Zhen-Qiang Pan for the human anti-Sm serum, Ming Guo for the K_c nuclear extract, Ricardo Mancebo for poly A⁻ RNAs, and Elana Goltsman for performing the in situ hybridizations. We are also grateful to Carol Prives and Daniel Kalderon for critically reading this manuscript. We acknowledge Mary Lou Pardue and Joan Steitz for their contributions to unpublished results cited in this work.

This work was supported by Public Health Service grant GM 37991 from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences, by a National Science Foundation Presidential Young Investigator award to S.M.M., and by Basil O'Connor Starter Scholar research award $5-360$ from the March of Dimes Birth Defects Foundation. P.C.H.L. was supported by NIH predoctoral training grant T32 GM07216.

REFERENCES

- 1. Padgett, R.A., Grabowski, P.J., Konarska, M.M., Seiler, S., and Sharp, P.A. (1986) Ann. Rev. Biochem. 55, 1119-1150
- 2. Sharp, P.A. (1987) Science 235, 766-771
- 3. Maniatis, T. and Reed, R. (1987) Nature 325, 673-678
- 4. Steitz, J.A., Black, D.L., Gerke, V., Parker, K.A., Kramer, A., Frendewey, D., and Keller, W. (1988) In Birnstiel, M.L. (ed.), Structure and Function of Major and Minor Small Ribonucleoprotein Particles. Springer Verlag, Berlin, pp. 115-154
- 5. Luhrmann. R. (1988) In Birnstiel, M.L. (ed.), Structure and Function of Major and Minor Small Ribonucleoprotein Particles. Springer Verlag, Berlin, pp. 71-99
- 6. Mount, S.M., Pettersson, I., Hinterberger, M., Karmas, A., and Steitz, J.A. (1983) Cell 33, 509-518
- 7. Kramer, A., Keller, W., Appel, B., and Luhrmann, R. (1984) Cell 38, 299-307
- 8. Black, D.L., Chabot, B., and Steitz, J.A. (1985) Cell 42, 737-750
- 9. Zhuang, Y. and Weiner, A.M. (1986) Cell 46, 827-835
- 10. Lerner, M.R. and Steitz, J.A. (1979) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 76, 5495-5499 11. Forbes, D.J., Kirschner, M.W., Caput, D., Dahlberg, J.E., and Lund, E.
- (1984) Cell 38, 681-689 12. Kato, N. and Harada, F. (1985) J. Biol. Chem. 260, 7775-7782
- 13. Yu, J.-C., Nash, M.A., Santiago, C., and Marzluff, W.F. (1986) Nucleic Acids Res. 24, 9977-9988
- 14. Lund, E. (1988) Nucleic Acids Res. 16, 5813-5826
- 15. Santiago, C. and Marzluff, W.F. (1989) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 86, 2572-2576
- 16. Lund, E., and Dahlberg, J.E. (1987) Genes Dev. 1, 39-46
- 17. Lund, E., Kahan, B., and Dahlberg, J.E. (1985) Science 229, 1271-1274 18. Saluz, H.P., Schmidt, T., Dudler, R., Altwegg, M., Stumm-Zollinger, E.,
- Kubli, E., and Chen, P.S. (1983) Nucleic Acids Res. 11, 77-90
- 19. Dahlberg, J.E. and Lund, E. (1988) In Bimstiel, M.L. (ed.), Structure and Function of Major and Minor Snall Ribonucleoprotein Particles. Springer Verlag, Berlin, pp. 38-70
- 20. Mount, S.M., and Steitz, J.A. (1981) Nucleic Acids Res. 9, 6351-6368
- 21. Alonso, A., Jorcano, J.L., Beck, E., Hovemann, B., and Schmidt, T. (1984) J. Mol. Biol. 180, 825-836
- 22. Kejzlarova-Lepesant, J., Brock, H.W., Moreau, J., Dubertret, M.-L., Billault, A., and Lepesant, J.-A. (1984) Nucleic Acids Res. 12, 8835-8846
- 23. Mount, S.M. (1983) Ph.D. thesis. Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut. 24. Maniatis, T., Fritsch, E.F., and Sambrook, J. (1982) Molecular cloning:
- A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor.
- 25. Ashburner, M. (1989) Drosophila: A Laboratory Manual.. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, pp. 30, 44-49
- 26. Bankier, A.T. and Barrell, B.G. (1986) In Techniques in Nucleic Acid

Biochemistry, B508. Techniques in the Life Sciences B5. Elsevier Scientific Publishers Ireland, County Clare, pp. $1-34$

- Sanger, F., Nicklen, S., and Coulson, A.R. (1977) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 74, 5463-5467
-
- 28. Tabor, S. and Richardson, C.C. (1987) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 84, 4767-4771
29. McGinnis, W., Shermoen, A.W., and Beckendorf, S.K. (1983) Cell 34, McGinnis, W., Shermoen, A.W., and Beckendorf, S.K. (1983) Cell 34, $75 - 84$
- 30. Feinberg, A.P. and Vogelstein, B. (1983) Anal. Biochem. 132, 6-13
- 31. Mount, S. M., Green, M.M., and Rubin, G.M. (1988) Genetics 118, 221-234
- 32. Saiki, R.K., Gelfand, D.H., Stoffel, S., Scharf, S.J., Higuchi, R., Horn, G.T., Mullis, K.B., and Erlich, H.A. (1988) Science 239, 487-491
- 33. O'Hare, K., Levis, R., and Rubin, G.M. (1983) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 80, 6917-6921
- 34. Dignam, J.D., Lebovitz, R.M., and Roeder, R.G. (1983) Nucleic Acids Res. 11, 1475-1489
- 35. Fradin, A., Jove, R., Hemenway, C., Keiser, H.D., Manley, J.L., and Prives, C. (1984) Cell 37, 927-936
- 36. Steitz, J.A. (1989) Methods in Enzymology 180, 468-481
37. Lepesant, J.-A., Levine, M., Garen, A., Lepesant-Keizlarov
- Lepesant, J.-A., Levine, M., Garen, A., Lepesant-Kejzlarova, J., Rat, L., and Somme-Martin, G. (1982) J. Mol. Appl. Genet. 1, 371-383
- 38. Beck, E., Jorcano, J.L., and Alonso, A. (1984) J. Mol. Biol. 173, 539 542
39. Saba, J.A., Busch, H., Wright, D., and Reddy, R. (1986) J. Biol. Chem.
- Saba, J.A., Busch, H., Wright, D., and Reddy, R. (1986) J. Biol. Chem. 261, 8750-8753
- 40. MacClelland, A. (1985) J. Mol. Biol. 185, 649
- 41. Kubli, E. (1987) In O'Brien, S. J. (ed.), Genetic maps 1987. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, pp. 366-368
- Saluz, H.P., Dudler, R., Schmidt, T., and Kubli, E. (1988) Nucleic Acids Res. 16, 3582
- 43. Myslinski, E., Wilhelm, F.-X., and Branlant, C. (1989) Nucleic Acids Res. 17, 1019-1034
- 44. Marzluff, W.F., Brown, D.T., Lobo, S., and Wang, S.-S. (1983) Nucleic Acids Res. 11, 6255-6270
- 45. Howard, E.F., Michael, S.K., Dahlberg, J.E., and Lund, E. (1986) Nucleic Acids Res. 14, 9811-9825
- 46. Watanabe-Nagasu, N., Itoh, Y., Tani, T., Okano, K., Koga, N., Okada, N., and Ohshima, Y. (1983) Nucleic Acids Res. 11, 1791-1801
- 47. Roop, D.R., Kristo, P., Stumph, W.E., Tsai, M.J., and O'Malley, B.W. (1981) Cell 23, 671-680
- Earley, J.M., III, Roebuck, K.A., and Stumph, W.E. (1984) Nucleic Acids Res. 12, 7411-7421
- 49. Abel, S., Kiss, T., and Solymosy, F. (1989) Nucleic Acids Res. 17, 6319-6337
- 50. van Santen, V., Swain, W., and Spritz, R.A. (1988) Nucleic Acids Res. 16, 4176
- 51. Thomas, J., Lea, K., Zucker-Aprison, E., and Blumenthal, T. (1990) Nucleic Acids Res. 18, 2633-2642
- 52. Brown, D.T., Morris, G.F., Chodchoy, N., Sprecher, C., and Marzluff, W.F. (1985) Nucleic Acids Res. 13, 537-556
- 53. Zeller, R., Carri, M.-T., Mattaj, I.W., and De Robertis, E.M. (1984) EMBO $J. 3, 1075 - 1081$
- 54. Ciliberto, G., Buckland, R., Cortese, R., and Philipson, L. (1985) EMBO J. 4, 1537-1543
- 55. Krol, A., Lund, E., and Dahlberg, J.E. (1985) EMBO J. 4, 1529-1535
- 56. Manser, T. and Gesteland, R.F. (1982) Cell 29, 257-264
- 57. Bernstein, L.B., Manser, T., and Weiner, A.W. (1985) Mol. Cell. Biol. 5, 2159-2171
- 58. Parry, H.D., Scherly, D., and Mattaj, I.W. (1989) Trends in Biochem. Sci. $14, 15-19$
- 59. Vankan, P., Edoh, D., and Filipowicz, W. (1988) Nucleic Acids Res. 16, 10425-10440
- 60. Vankan, P. and Filipowicz, W. (1989) EMBO J. 8, 3875-3882
- 61. Query, C.C., Bentley, R.C., and Keene, J.D. (1989) Mol. Cell. Biol. 9, 4872-4881
- 62. Surowy, C.S., van Santen, V.L., Scheib-Wixted, S.M., and Spritz, R.A. (1989) Mol. Cell. Biol. 9, 4179-4186
- 63. Lutz-Freyermuth, C. and Keene, J. (1989) Mol. Cell. Biol. 9, 2975 -2982
- Scherly, D., Boelens, W., van Venrooij, W.J., Dathan, N.A., Hamm, J., and Mattaj, I.W. (1989) EMBO J. 8, 4163-4170
- 65. Guthrie, C. and Patterson, B. (1988) Ann. Rev. Genet. 22, 387-419
- Alonso, A., Jorcano, J.L., Beck, E., and Speiss, E. (1983) J. Mol. Biol. 169, $691-705$
- 67. Alonso, A., Beck, E., Jorcano, J.L., and Hovemann, B. (1984) Nucleic Acids Res. 12, 9543-9550
- 68. Myslinski, E., Branlant, C., Wieben, E.D., and Pederson, T. (1984) J. Mol. Biol. 180, 927-945
- 69. Jones, M.H. and Guthrie, C. (1990) EMBO J. 9, 2555-2561