1 Appendix A: mathematical model of growth of two species of Lactobacillus in

2 the mouse forestomach.

3 A mathematical model is presented that describes competition between two strains 4 (100-23 and 100-33) in the presence of two substrates (maltose and glucose). For 5 simplicity it is assumed that the mouse forestomach acts as a continuous fermentor, 6 so that explicit expressions for the steady state concentrations of substrate and 7 bacteria can be obtained. These are then be used to derive conditions for which the 8 two strains can co-exist.

9 Concentrations of substrate and bacteria in the vessel are denoted by C and 10 B, respectively (mg/mL). The turn-over rate is denoted by k (h⁻¹). Substrate is 11 assumed to enter the forestomach continuously at a rate of k C_{in} where C_{in} denotes 12 the substrate concentration (mg/mL) in the medium entering the vessel. Absorption 13 of water and substrates is assumed to be absent. Gain in bacterial mass is modelled 14 as the product of the observed fractional growth rate (G_{obs}, h^{-1}) multiplied by B. The 15 substrate required to achieve this growth is given by $G_{obs} B/E$, where E (mg 16 biomass/mg substrate) is the efficiency of converting substrate into biomass $(E \text{ is } 1)$ 17 assumed less than 1). Bacterial death is assumed negligible with loss of biomass 18 occurring only via the outlet (modelled as $k B$). Superscripts 'malt' and 'gluc' refer to 19 maltose and glucose respectively, and subscripts '1' and '2' refer to strains 100-23 20 and 100-33. The change in substrate concentration is given by inflow minus outflow 21 minus use for bacterial growth. The change in bacteria is given by bacterial growth 22 on maltose and glucose minus outflow:

$$
\frac{dC^{malt}}{dt} = k C^{malt}_{in} - k C^{malt} - B_1 G^{malt}_{1obs} / E^{malt}_1 - B_2 G^{malt}_{2obs} / E^{malt}_2 \qquad (1)
$$

$$
\frac{dC^{gluc}}{dt} = k C_{in}^{gluc} - k C^{gluc} - B_1 G_{1obs}^{gluc} / E_1^{gluc} - B_2 G_{2obs}^{gluc} / E_2^{gluc}
$$
 (2)

$$
\frac{dB_1}{dt} = B_1 G_{1obs}^{malt} + B_1 G_{1obs}^{gluc} - k B_1 \tag{3}
$$

$$
\frac{dB_2}{dt} = B_2 G_{2obs}^{malt} + B_2 G_{2obs}^{gluc} - k B_2 \tag{4}
$$

23 The observed fractional growth rates on maltose and glucose for strain 1 are

24 modelled as (Ballyk & Wolkowics, 1993)

$$
G_{1obs}^{malt} = G_1^{malt} \frac{C^{malt}/M_1^{malt}}{1 + C^{malt}/M_1^{malt} + Cgluc/M_1^{gluc}}
$$
(5)

$$
G_{1obs}^{gluc} = G_1^{gluc} \frac{C^{gluc}/M_1^{gluc}}{1 + C^{malt}/M_1^{malt} + C^{gluc}/M_1^{gluc}}
$$
(6)

25 where G_1^{malt} (h⁻¹) is the maximum fractional growth rate on maltose and M_1^{malt} 26 (mg/mL) the half saturation constant (concentration at which half the maximum 27 growth rate is achieved). G_1^{gluc} and M_1^{gluc} are similarly defined for growth on 28 glucose. This model formulation assumes that strain 1 is able to feed on both 29 substrates simultaneously. The concentrations of each of the substrates with respect 30 to the values of M determine whether feeding will occur mainly on maltose (when 31 $C^{malt}/M_1^{malt} > C^{gluc}/M_1^{gluc}$) or glucose (when $C^{malt}/M_1^{malt} < C^{gluc}/M_1^{gluc}$). Note 32 that when one of the substrates is absent, glucose say, growth on maltose simplifies 33 to the standard Monod model: $G_{1obs}^{malt} = G_1^{malt} C^{malt} / (M_1^{malt} + C^{malt})$. Growth of 34 species 2 on maltose and glucose is defined analogously to that of species 1:

$$
G_{2obs}^{malt} = G_2^{malt} \frac{C^{malt}/M_2^{malt}}{1 + C^{malt}/M_2^{malt} + C^{gluc}/M_2^{gluc}}
$$
(7)

$$
G_{2obs}^{gluc} = G_2^{gluc} \frac{C^{gluc}/M_2^{gluc}}{1 + C^{malt}/M_2^{malt} + C^{gluc}/M_2^{gluc}}
$$
(8)

35

36 Requirements for co-existence of two species

37 In steady state the concentrations of substrates and bacteria are constant, so that 38 equations (1)-(4) will be equal to zero. It can be shown that for B_1 and B_2 to co-exist 39 in steady state, the following two requirements need to be met (derivations given in 40 Appendix C):

$$
\frac{G_1^{malt} - k}{M_1^{malt}} > \frac{G_2^{malt} - k}{M_2^{malt}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{G_2^{gluc} - k}{M_2^{gluc}} > \frac{G_1^{gluc} - k}{M_1^{gluc}} \tag{9}
$$

and

$$
\frac{G_1^{gluc}/M_1^{gluc}}{G_1^{malt}/M_1^{malt}} \frac{E_1^{malt}}{E_1^{gluc}} < \frac{(C_{in}^{gluc} - C^{gluc})/C^{gluc}}{(C_{in}^{malt} - C^{malt})/C^{malt}} < \frac{G_2^{gluc}/M_2^{gluc}}{G_2^{malt}/M_2^{malt}} \frac{E_2^{malt}}{E_2^{gluc}}
$$
(10)

41 Equation (9) states that species 1 should have a relative advantage on maltose 42 compared to species 2 (i.e. G_1^{malt} relatively large and M_1^{malt} relatively small 43 compared to G_2^{malt} and M_2^{malt} , respectively), whilst at the same time species 2 44 should have a relative advantage on glucose compared to species 1. The right hand 45 side of Equation (10) implies that if species 2 has an advantage on glucose 46 – compared to maltose $(G_2^{gluc}/M_2^{gluc} > G_2^{malt}/M_2^{malt})$, then, for the two species to co-47 exist, this relative advantage should not be too large (as species 2 would 48 — outcompete species 1), i.e. the efficiency E_2^{gluc} should not be too large compared to 49 E_2^{malt} . Similar reasoning holds for the left hand side, namely that for species 1 the 50 relative advantage on maltose (compared to glucose) should not be too large, i.e. the 51 efficiency E_1^{malt} should not be too large compared to E_1^{gluc} . In addition, the middle

52 section of equation (10) implies that the glucose input should not be too small 53 compared to the maltose input (as otherwise species 2 will go extinct), and vice 54 versa.

55

56 Appendix B: Forestomach parameter values

57 Assume a mouse of 20 g, and let the forestomach be 2% of BW = 0.4 ml. Let water 58 intake be 10% of body weight (BW) per day, so that $k = 2/0.4$ d⁻¹ = 0.2/h. Let dry 59 matter intake be 1% of BW/d, of which 1% is assumed to be as glucose and 1% as 60 maltose. Then glucose and maltose intakes are 2 mg/d each, and combined with 61 water intake of 2 mL/d, the substrate concentration C_{in} in the medium entering the 62 vessel is 1 mg/mL for both maltose and glucose. The maximum growth rates are set 63 equal to LN(2)/doubling time, with doubling times as reported in the Results 64 section, so that G_1^{malt} = 0.87/h, G_1^{gluc} = 0.47/h, G_2^{malt} = 0.78/h and G_2^{gluc} = 1.09/h. The 65 affinities (1/M) are set such that 100-33 shows a very strong affinity for glucose and 66 100-23 shows a strong affinity for maltose, whilst its affinity for glucose is assumed 67 half that of maltose. The affinity of 100-33 for maltose is assumed weakest. The 68 values used are $M_2^{gluc} = 0.01$, $M_1^{malt} = 0.1$, $M_1^{gluc} = 0.2$ and $M_2^{malt} = 0.4$ mg/mL, and 69 loosely agree with the observed transport rates into the cell (Figure 5). The 70 efficiency E of converting substrate into biomass was set to 0.5 g biomass/g 71 substrate for both species and both substrates. This is an arbitrary choice due to 72 lack of data.

73 With these parameter settings the requirements for co-existence have been 74 met; namely equation (9) becomes $6.7 > 1.5$ and $89 > 1.4$, whilst equation (10)

75 becomes
$$
0.3 < 17.2 < 55.9
$$
. The substrate concentrations in steady state are 0.03

- 76 mg/mL for maltose and 0.002mg/mL for glucose. The biomass concentrations for
- 77 100-23 and 100-33 are 0.34 and 0.64 mg/mL respectively, indicating that under the
- 78 above conditions the two species co-exist in numbers of similar magnitude. For 100-
- 79 23 98% of its biomass gain is derived from maltose (with the remaining 2% from
- 80 glucose), whilst for 100-33 77% of its growth is derived from glucose (with the
- 81 remaining 23% from maltose).
- 82

83 Appendix C: Steady state solution

84 Without loss of generality it has been assumed that species 1 has a relative 85 advantage on maltose. In steady state, equations (1)-(4) are equal to zero. Then

$$
k = G_{1obs}^{malt} + G_{1obs}^{gluc} \tag{11}
$$

$$
k = G_{2obs}^{malt} + G_{2obs}^{gluc} \tag{12}
$$

86 Substituting equations (5)-(6) into (11) and (7)-(8) into (12) gives:

$$
k = \frac{\frac{G_1^{malt}}{M_1^{malt}} C^{malt} + \frac{G_1^{gluc}}{M_1^{gluc}} C^{gluc}}{1 + \frac{1}{M_1^{malt}} C^{malt} + \frac{1}{M_1^{gluc}} C^{gluc}}
$$

$$
k = \frac{\frac{G_2^{malt}}{M_2^{malt}} C^{malt} + \frac{G_2^{gluc}}{M_2^{gluc}} C^{gluc}}{1 + \frac{1}{M_2^{malt}} C^{malt} + \frac{1}{M_2^{gluc}} C^{gluc}}
$$

87 Write $a = G_1^{malt}/M_1^{malt}$, $b = G_1^{gluc}/M_1^{gluc}$, $c = 1/M_1^{malt}$, $d = 1/M_1^{gluc}$, $e =$

88 G_2^{malt}/M_2^{malt} , $f = G_2^{gluc}/M_2^{gluc}$, $g = 1/M_2^{malt}$, $h = 1/M_2^{gluc}$.

$$
k = \frac{a C^{malt} + b C^{gluc}}{1 + c C^{malt} + d C^{gluc}}
$$

$$
k = \frac{e C^{malt} + f C^{gluc}}{1 + g C^{malt} + h C^{gluc}}
$$

89 These can be rewritten into

$$
k = (a - kc)C^{malt} + (b - kd)C^{gluc}
$$

$$
k = (e - kg)C^{malt} + (f - kh)C^{gluc}
$$

90 These solve for C^{malt} and C^{gluc} as

$$
C^{malt} = \frac{\left[(f - kh) - (b - kd)\right]k}{(a - kc)(f - kh) - (b - kd)(e - kg)}
$$

$$
C^{gluc} = \frac{\left[(a - kc) - (e - kg)\right]k}{(a - kc)(f - kh) - (b - kd)(e - kg)}
$$

91 For a valid steady state solution (i.e. $C^{malt} > 0$ and $C^{gluc} > 0$) we require

92
$$
(a - kc) > (e - kg)
$$
 and $(f - kh) > (b - kd)$, i.e.:

$$
\frac{G_1^{malt} - k}{M_1^{malt}} > \frac{G_2^{malt} - k}{M_2^{malt}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{G_2^{gluc} - k}{M_2^{gluc}} > \frac{G_1^{gluc} - k}{M_1^{gluc}}
$$

93 In steady state, equations (1)-(2) are also equal to zero and this gives

$$
B_1 G_{1obs}^{malt} / E_1^{malt} + B_2 G_{2obs}^{malt} / E_2^{malt} = k(C_{in}^{malt} - C^{malt})
$$

$$
B_1 G_{1obs}^{gluc} / E_1^{gluc} + B_2 G_{2obs}^{gluc} / E_2^{gluc} = k(C_{in}^{gluc} - C^{gluc})
$$

94 Writing $l = G_{1obs}^{malt}/E_1^{malt}$, $m = G_{2obs}^{malt}/E_2^{malt}$, $n = k(C_{in}^{malt} - C^{malt})$, $p =$

95
$$
G_{1obs}^{gluc} / E_1^{gluc}
$$
, $q = G_{2obs}^{gluc} / E_2^{gluc}$, and $r = k(C_{in}^{gluc} - C^{gluc})$:
\n $l B_1 + m B_2 = n$
\n $p B_1 + q B_2 = r$

96 which gives
$$
B_1 = \frac{(nq - mr)}{(lq - mp)}
$$
 and $B_2 = \frac{(lr - np)}{(lq - mp)}$. For $B_1 > 0$

97 and
$$
B_2 > 0
$$
 we need $(nq - mr) > 0$ and $(lq - mp) > 0$ and $(lr - np) > 0$. This can

98 be rearranged into $p/l < r/n < q/m$, i.e.

$$
\frac{G_{1obs}^{gluc} E_1^{malt}}{G_{1obs}^{malt} E_1^{gluc}} < \frac{C_{in}^{gluc} - C^{gluc}}{C_{in}^{malt} - C^{malt}} < \frac{G_{2obs}^{gluc} E_2^{malt}}{G_{2obs}^{malt} E_2^{gluc}}
$$

99 Replacing G_{1obs}^{gluc} , G_{1obs}^{malt} , G_{2obs}^{gluc} and G_{2obs}^{malt} by equations (5) –(8), and multiplication by

100 C^{malt}/C^{gluc} gives:

$$
\frac{G_1^{gluc}/M_1^{gluc}}{G_1^{malt}/M_1^{malt}}\ \frac{E_1^{malt}}{E_1^{gluc}} < \frac{\left(C_{in}^{gluc} - C^{gluc}\right)/\,C^{gluc}}{\left(C_{in}^{malt} - C^{malt}\right)/\,C^{malt}} < \frac{G_2^{gluc}/M_2^{gluc}}{G_2^{malt}/M_2^{malt}}\ \frac{E_2^{malt}}{E_2^{gluc}}
$$

101