

Appendix 2: Citations for studies included in a systematic review¹ of imaging modalities for detecting common bile duct stones

Transabdominal ultrasonography (US)^{2–5}
Endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS)^{4,6–14}
Intraductal ultrasonography (IDUS)^{2,8,15}
Laparoscopic intraoperative ultrasonography (LIU)^{16–21}
Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP)^{22,23}
Intraoperative cholangiography (IOC)²⁴
Helical computed tomography cholangiography (hCTC)^{25–29}
Intravenous cholangiography (IVC)³⁰
Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP)^{2,8,31–36}

References

1. Tse F, Barkun JS, Barkun AN. The elective evaluation of patients with suspected choledocholithiasis undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *GastrointestEndosc* 2004;60:437-48.
2. Moon JH, Cho YD, Cha SW, et al. The detection of bile duct stones in suspected biliary pancreatitis: comparison of MRCP, ERCP, and intraductal US. *AmJGastroenterol* 2005;100:1051-7.
3. Khan AL, Miller SS. Intravenous cholangiography and operative cholangiography: implications for laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *JRCollSurgEdinb* 1995;40:233-6.
4. Sugiyama M, Atomi Y. Endoscopic ultrasonography for diagnosing choledocholithiasis: a prospective comparative study with ultrasonography and computed tomography. *GastrointestEndosc* 1997;45:143-6.
5. Amouyal P, Amouyal G, Levy P, et al. Diagnosis of choledocholithiasis by endoscopic ultrasonography. *Gastroenterology* 1994;106:1062-7.
6. Scheiman JM, Carlos RC, Barnett JL, et al. Can endoscopic ultrasound or magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography replace ERCP in patients with suspected biliary disease? A prospective trial and cost analysis. *AmJGastroenterol* 2001;96:2900-4.
7. Canto MI, Chak A, Stellato T, Sivak MV, Jr. Endoscopic ultrasonography versus cholangiography for the diagnosis of choledocholithiasis. *GastrointestEndosc* 1998;47:439-48.
8. Kondo S, Isayama H, Akahane M, et al. Detection of common bile duct stones: comparison between endoscopic ultrasonography, magnetic resonance cholangiography, and helical-computed-tomographic cholangiography. *EurJRadiol* 2005;54:271-5.
9. Tse F, Liu L, Barkun AN, Armstrong D, Moayyedi P. EUS: a meta-analysis of test performance in suspected choledocholithiasis. *GastrointestEndosc* 2008;67:235-44.
10. Garrow D, Miller S, Sinha D, et al. Endoscopic ultrasound: a meta-analysis of test performance in suspected biliary obstruction. *ClinGastroenterolHepatol* 2007;5:616-23.
11. Deprez P. Approach of suspected common bile duct stones: endoscopic ultrasonography. *Acta GastroenterolBelg* 2000;63:295-8.
12. Karakan T, Cindoruk M, Alagozlu H, Ergun M, Dumlu S, Unal S. EUS versus endoscopic retrograde cholangiography for patients with intermediate probability of bile duct stones: a prospective randomized trial. *GastrointestEndosc* 2008.
13. Lee YT, Chan FK, Leung WK, et al. Comparison of EUS and ERCP in the investigation with suspected biliary obstruction caused by choledocholithiasis: a randomized study. *GastrointestEndosc* 2008;67:660-8.
14. Polkowski M, Regula J, Tilszer A, Butruk E. Endoscopic ultrasound versus endoscopic retrograde cholangiography for patients with intermediate probability of bile duct stones: a randomized trial comparing two management strategies. *Endoscopy* 2007;39:296-303.
15. Catanzaro A, Pfau P, Isenberg GA, Wong RC, Sivak MV, Jr., Chak A. Clinical utility of intraductal US for evaluation of choledocholithiasis. *GastrointestEndosc* 2003;57:648-52.
16. Birth M, Ehlers KU, Delinikolas K, Weiser HF. Prospective randomized comparison of laparoscopic ultrasonography using a flexible-tip ultrasound probe and intraoperative dynamic cholangiography during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *SurgEndosc* 1998;12:30-6.

17. Barteau JA, Castro D, Arregui ME, Tetik C. A comparison of intraoperative ultrasound versus cholangiography in the evaluation of the common bile duct during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *SurgEndosc* 1995;9:490-6.
18. Catheline J, Rizk N, Champault G. A comparison of laparoscopic ultrasound versus cholangiography in the evaluation of the biliary tree during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *EurJUltrasound* 1999;10:1-9.
19. Wu JS, Dunnegan DL, Soper NJ. The utility of intracorporeal ultrasonography for screening of the bile duct during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *JGastrointestSurg* 1998;2:50-60.
20. Stiegmann GV, Soper NJ, Filipi CJ, McIntyre RC, Callery MP, Cordova JF. Laparoscopic ultrasonography as compared with static or dynamic cholangiography at laparoscopic cholecystectomy. A prospective multicenter trial. *SurgEndosc* 1995;9:1269-73.
21. Teeffey SA, Soper NJ, Middleton WD, et al. Imaging of the common bile duct during laparoscopic cholecystectomy: sonography versus videofluoroscopic cholangiography. *AJR AmJRoentgenol* 1995;165:847-51.
22. Prat F, Amouyal G, Amouyal P, et al. Prospective controlled study of endoscopic ultrasonography and endoscopic retrograde cholangiography in patients with suspected common-bileduct lithiasis. *Lancet* 1996;347:75-9.
23. Frey CF, Burbige EJ, Meinke WB, et al. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography. *AmJSurg* 1982;144:109-14.
24. Videhult P, Sandblom G, Rasmussen IC. How reliable is intraoperative cholangiography as a method for detecting common bile duct stones? : A prospective population-based study on 1171 patients. *SurgEndosc* 2009;23:304-12.
25. Park SJ, Han JK, Kim TK, Choi BI. Three-dimensional spiral CT cholangiography with minimum intensity projection in patients with suspected obstructive biliary disease: comparison with percutaneous transhepatic cholangiography. *AbdomImaging* 2001;26:281-6.
26. Soto JA, Alvarez O, Munera F, Velez SM, Valencia J, Ramirez N. Diagnosing bile duct stones: comparison of unenhanced helical CT, oral contrast-enhanced CT cholangiography, and MR cholangiography. *AJR AmJRoentgenol* 2000;175:1127-34.
27. Maniatis P, Triantopoulou C, Sofianou E, et al. Virtual CT cholangiography in patients with choledocholithiasis. *AbdomImaging* 2003;28:536-44.
28. Lee JK, Kim TK, Byun JH, et al. Diagnosis of intrahepatic and common duct stones: combined unenhanced and contrast-enhanced helical CT in 1090 patients. *AbdomImaging* 2006;31:425-32.
29. Stockberger SM, Wass JL, Sherman S, Lehman GA, Kopecky KK. Intravenous cholangiography with helical CT: comparison with endoscopic retrograde cholangiography. *Radiology* 1994;192:675-80.
30. Patel JC, McInnes GC, Bagley JS, Needham G, Krukowski ZH. The role of intravenous cholangiography in pre-operative assessment for laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *BrJRadiol* 1993;66:1125-7.
31. Romagnuolo J, Bardou M, Rahme E, Joseph L, Reinhold C, Barkun AN. Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography: a meta-analysis of test performance in suspected biliary disease. *AnnInternMed* 2003;139:547-57.
32. Kaltenbacher E, Vergel YB, Chilcott J, et al. A systematic review and economic evaluation of magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography compared with diagnostic endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography. *Health TechnolAssess* 2004;8:iii, 1-iii, 89.
33. Reinhold C, Taourel P, Bret PM, et al. Choledocholithiasis: evaluation of MR cholangiography for diagnosis. *Radiology* 1998;209:435-42.
34. Zidi SH, Prat F, Le Guen O, et al. Use of magnetic resonance cholangiography in the diagnosis of choledocholithiasis: prospective comparison with a reference imaging method. *Gut* 1999;44:118-22.
35. Calvo MM, Bujanda L, Calderon A, et al. Role of magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography in patients with suspected choledocholithiasis. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2002;77:422-8.
36. Nazarian S, Roguin A, Zviman MM, et al. Clinical utility and safety of a protocol for noncardiac and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging of patients with permanent pacemakers and implantable-cardioverter defibrillators at 1.5 tesla. *Circulation* 2006; 2006;114:1277-84.