Utilization of magnetic affinity cell sorting for the isolation of stable transformants

Tony Giordano, Tazuko Howard and Bruce H.Howard

Laboratory of Molecular Biology, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA

Submitted July 27, 1989

Various techniques have been developed for the stable transformation of eukaryotic cells with exogenous DNA which confer drug resistance, including Eco-gpt (1) and neo (2). A critical problem associated with drug resistant markers is that many cell types are very dependent on cell to cell interactions for growth. Thus, if the transformation frequency is low, drug resistant cells may be inhibited from growing due to the low survival density. On the other hand, if the cell density is too high following transfection, nontransformants will escape selection, resulting in high background. A second problem is that the currently available procedures make it difficult to record the number of population doublings the cells have undergone; this becomes a dilemma with nonimmortalized cell populations, for e.g., when studying cellular senescence. We have utilized the system of magnetic affinity cell sorting (MACS), which we developed for the study of

Figure 1:Generation of Stable Populations of experiments with pSV2-neo and pSV2-gpt,
HeLa Cells Expressing IL-2 Receptor. MACS when one plasmid was used for selection and after stabilization, with one fraction sorted at \qquad IL2R and pRSV-neo by electroporation and each passage and the other sorted at passages selection by MACS, up to 50% of the IL2R each passage and the other sorted at passages selection by MACS, up to 50% of the IL2R
15 and 20.
monulation are also resistant to G-418 after.

transient transformants (3,4), to circumvent were transfected by electroporation with pRSV-
IL2R, treated with butyrate and sorted (4). The $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ in the positive cells were plated at a 4 X 10⁵ cell/75 cm2 flask, grown to subconfluence, and transiently transfected cells lost the ability to express IL2R prior to the stabilization of the culture at 4-6 passages. Thereafter, the percentage of cells expressing IL2R remained stable, both in the presence and absence of $\frac{1}{100}$ sorting, through 20 passages (Figure 1; losses $\frac{1}{100}$ during the sorting procedure prevent the Days after electroporation recovery of 100% of the stable population). It was previously reported that in cotransfection HeLa Cells Expressing IL-2 Receptor. MACS when one plasmid was used for selection and was performed as designated by the symbols for the other was nonselected, 25% of the resulting was performed as designated by the symbols for the other was nonselected, 25% of the resulting each of 3 populations. Closed symbols colonies were resistant to both antibiotics (2). each of 3 populations. Closed symbols colonies were resistant to both antibiotics (2).

represent a single population which was split We find that following cotransfection of pRS represent a single population which was split $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{We find that following contransfection of pRSV-} \\ \text{after stabilization, with one fraction sorted at} \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{IL2R and pRSV-neo by electromagnetic} \\ \text{L2R and pRSV-neo by electromagnetic} \\ \end{array}$ population are also resistant to $G-418$ after 20. passages. This method is unique in that:

i) selection is very rapid, allowing for the study of both transiently and stably transfected cells; ii) plating densities as well as cell passage number can be monitored; and iii) non-toxic negative selection is possible, allowing for the isolation of non-transfectants and revertants.

REFERENCES

1. Mulligan, R.C., and Berg, P. (1981) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78, 2072-2076. 2. Southern, P.J., and Berg, P. (1982) J. Molec. Appl. Genet. 1, 327-341. 3. Padmanabhan, R., Corsico, C.D., Howard, T.H., Holter, W., Fordis, C.M., Willingham, M., and Howard, B.H. (1989) Anal. Biochem. 170, 341-348. 4. Goldstein, S., Fordis, C.M., and Howard, B.H. (1989) Nucl. Acids Res. 17, 3959-3971.