Supporting Information for

Reengineering an Azaphilone Biosynthesis Pathway in *Aspergillus nidulans* to create Lipoxygenase Inhibitors

Amber D. Somoza, Kuan-Han Lee, Yi-Ming Chiang, Berl R. Oakley, and Clay C.C. Wang

General Information	S1 - S2	
Supplemental Methods	S2	
Table S1. A. nidulans strain used in the study	S3	
Figure S1. Optimization of Induction Time	S 3	
Figure S2. Optimization of Culture Time (post-induction)	S3	
Experimental Procedures	S4 - S8	
Supplemental References	S9	
¹ H NMR and ¹³ C NMR spectra for compounds 4-14	S10 - S20	
Scheme 1. Lipoxgyenase-1 Assay	S21	
Soybean Lipoxygenase-1 Assay Procedure	S21 - 22	
Figures S3a-S16a. LOX-1 enzyme kinetics	S23 - S27	
Figures S3b-S16b. Initial velocity (V _o) vs. inhibitor concentration	S23 - S27	

Table of Contents

General Information

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Mercury Plus 400 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to CDCl₃ (¹H, δ 7.26; ¹³C, δ 77.0) or acetone-d₆ (¹H, δ 2.05; ¹³C, δ 30.0, 206.0). ¹H NMR data is reported as: chemical shift, multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet), coupling constant, and integration. Infrared spectra were recorded on a JASCO FTIR-4100. Infrared frequencies are reported in reciprocal centimeters. High resolution electrospray ionization mass was obtained on an Agilent 6210 time of flight LC-MS. Reactions were monitored by analytical thin-layer chromatography on EMD silica gel-60^{F254} plates. Flash chromatography was performed on EMD silica gel 60, 70-230 mesh. All reagents were used without further purification unless otherwise noted. Reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, and Alfa Aesar. Diethyl ether (anhydrous) and 1,2-dichloroethane (anhydrous) were used directly from the bottle. Water sensitive reactions were performed

under a nitrogen atmosphere with oven-dried glassware. Kinetic experiments for lipoxygenase inhibition were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-2401PC at 26°C. Materials for the lipoxygenase assay such as linoleic acid, 15-Lipoxygenase (soybean P_1), and (+)sclerotiorin were purchased from Cayman Chemicals.

Supplemental Methods

Optimization of Cyclopentanone Inducing Time. Five flasks inoculated with *alcA*(p)*afoA*, *afoD* Δ *A*. *nidulans* containing 1 x 10⁶ spores per mL in a liquid lactose minimal medium (lactose 15g, NaNO₃ 6g, KCl 0.52g, MgSO₄•7H₂O 0.52g, KH₂PO₄ 1.52g, H₂O 1 L supplemented with 1 mL of trace elements and adjusted to a pH of 6.5) were incubated at 37°C in a Barnstead/Lab-Line MaxO 4000 rotary shaker at a speed of 180 rpm. After incubation for the times shown, the chemical inducer, cyclopentanone was added in a single dose to a final concentration of 30 mM. After induction, the strain was cultured for three days under the same conditions.

Extraction and isolation. The mycelium was collected by filtration and then immersed in 50 mL of acetone The mycelium-acetone mixture was subjected to stirring for 0.5 h and filtered to isolated the organic solution. The solvent was removed in vacuo to leave a light yellow solid residue analyzed by LC-MS and ¹H NMR to be compound 5.

Optimization of Culture Time. The *A. nidulans* strain, alcA(p)-afoA, $afoD\Delta$, at a concentration of 1 x 10⁶ spores per mL was incubated 37°C in 25 mL of liquid lactose minimal medium (lactose 15g, NaNO₃ 6g, KCl 0.52g, MgSO₄•7H₂O 0.52g, KH₂PO₄ 1.52g, H₂O 1 L supplemented with 1 mL of trace elements and adjusted to a pH of 6.5) at a speed of 180 rpm. For induction, cyclopentanone at a final concentration of 30 mM was introduced to the medium at 30 h of incubation. The strain was cultured for several days, and the mycelia were collected from day 3 to day 7 and analyzed for production of 5.

Table S1. A. nidulans	strain used in this study ^{51,52}
strain	genotype
LO2955	pyrG89; pyroA4, nkuA::argB; riboB2, stcJ::riboB; afoA:: AfmyrG-alcA(n)-afoA: afoD:: AfmyroA

S1 S2

afoA::AfpyrG-alcA(p)-afoA is a replacement of the endogenous promoter of afoA with the alcA promoter and the *a. fumigatus pyrG* gene (*AfpyrG*). *AfpyroA* is the *A. fumigatus pyroA* gene.



Figures S1. Optimization of Induction Time



Figure S2. Optimization of Culture Time (post-induction)

Compound 7



To 2,4-dihydroxy-6-(5,7dimethyl-2-oxo-*trans*-3*trans*-5-nonadienyl)-3-methylbenzaldehyde **5** (151 mg, 0.48 mmol) in 60 mL of acetic acid at room temperature was added in one portion p-TsOH·H₂O (940 mg, 4.94 mmol). The reaction was warmed in

an oil bath to 100°C and stirred for 2 hours. Then the reaction was cooled to room temperature and purged with nitrogen for 1.5 hours. It was further cooled to 18°C and then treated with lead tetraacetate (276 mg, 0.621 mmol) in three portions over a 15-minute period. The solution stirred for 1 hour, and was quenched with 200 mL of ice water. The reaction mixture was extracted with dichloromethane 3x, and the combined organic layers were dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (15% EtOAc/DCM) to afford 50.3 mg of compound 4. Isolated yield: 30%

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.91 (s, 1H), 6.98 (d, J = 16 Hz, 1H), 6.17 (s, 1H), 5.96 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 5.65 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 5.57 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 2.47 (m, 1H), 2.18 (s, 3H), 1.82 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 3H), 1.55 (s, 3H), 1.43 (m, 1H), 1.30 (m, 1H), 1.01 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 3H), 0.86 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 193.3, 192.5, 170.1, 156.5, 153.5, 147.9, 142.8, 141.6, 131.8, 115.6, 114.8, 109.2, 107.3, 84.3, 35.0, 30.1, 22.4, 20.25 20.18, 12.3, 11.9; IR [acetone solution, v_{max} cm⁻¹] 3079, 2965, 2933, 2877, 1746, 1717, 1639, 1247; HRMS (EIS) *m/z* calculated for C₂₁H₂₅O₅ 357.1697, experimental 357.1696 [M+H]⁺

Compound 11



To 2,4-dihydroxy-6-(5,7-dimethyl-2-oxo-*trans*-3*trans*-5-nonadienyl)-3-methylbenzaldehyde **5** (200 mg, 0.635 mmol) in 2.5 mL of acetic acid at room temperature was added p-TsOH (425 mg, 2.47 mmol). The suspension stirred for 1.5 hours under nitrogen leaving an orange precipitate, 2-

benzopyrilium salt (6). The acetic acid was removed and the precipitate redissolved in 1,2-dichloroethane (5mL) and then treated with freshly prepared 2-iodoxybenzoic acid $(IBX)^{S3}$ (182 mg, 0.65 mmol), which was followed by the addition of tert-n-butyl ammonium iodide (23 mg, 0.062 mmol). The solution stirred for six hour and quenched with saturated NaS₂O₃ and ethyl acetate. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate 4x, and the combined organic layers were washed with saturated NaHCO₃, water and brine. It was subsequently dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (60% EtOAc/n-hexanes) to afford 79.4 mg of compound **11**. Isolated yield: 40%

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.91 (s, 1H), 7.01 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 6.19 (s, 1H), 5.97 (d, J = 16 Hz, 1H), 5.67 (d, J = 10 Hz, 1H), 5.57 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 3.94 (br 1, 1H), 2.46 (m, 1H), 1.82 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 3H), 1.56 (s, 3H), 1.43 (m, 1H), 1.30 (m, 1H), 1.01 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.85 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 196.0, 195.7, 156.9,

152.5, 148.3, 144.2, 142.1, 131.8, 117.9, 115.5, 108.8, 105.5, 83.3, 35.1, 30.1, 28.7, 20.2, 12.3, 11.9; IR [acetone solution, v_{max} cm⁻¹] 3431, 2965, 2930, 2874, 1715, 1624, 1236; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C₁₉H₂₃O₄ 315.1591, experimental 315.1595 [M+H]⁺

Compound 8



To a stirring solution of compound 7 (28.4 mg, 0.078 mmol) in acetic acid (0.93 mL) was added N-chlorosuccinimide (14 mg, 0.105 mmol) at room temperature. The solution stirred for 3 hours and then quenched with saturated $Na_2S_2O_3$ aqueous solution and diluted with ethyl acetate. The

resulting mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate and the combined organic layers washed with NaHCO₃, water, and brine. It was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (25 % EtOAc/n-hexanes) to afford 16 mg of compound 8. Isolated yield: 52%

The diastereomers of **8** were separated by analytical chiral HPLC (Diacel CHIRALCEL R OD column 0.46 x 25 cm, 10% EtOH-hexanes, 1.0 mL/min, 360 nm, t_R = 7.5 min 7*epi*-sclerotiorin, 9.5 min (+)-sclerotiorin compared with commercial available (+)sclerotiorin.

Compound 8 (diastereomeric mixture): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.93 (s, 1H), 7.06 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (s, 1H), 6.08 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 5.70 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 2.47 (m, 1H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 1.85 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 3H), 1.57 (s, 3H), 1.42 (m, 1H), 1.32 (m, 1H), 1.01 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.86 (t, J = 0.8 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 191.8 185.9, 170.1, 158.1, 152.6, 148.8, 142.8, 138.6, 131.9, 115.6, 114.5, 110.8, 106.4, 84.5, 35.1, 30.0, 22.5, 20.2, 20.1, 12.3, 11.9; IR [acetone solution, v_{max} cm⁻¹] 2961, 2927, 2872, 1740, 1719, 1634, 1527, 1246, 1131, 1089; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C₂₁H₂₄ClO₅ 391.1307, experimental 391.131 [M+H]⁺

Compound 9



To a stirring solution of compound 7 (13.9 mg, 0.39 mmol) in acetonitrile (0.650 mL) was added N-bromosuccinimide (10 mg, 0.056 mmol) at room temperature. The solution stirred for 4 hours after which it was quenched with water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layers

were combined, washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (20% EtOAc/n-hexanes) to afford 68.9 mg of compound 9. Isolated yield: 41%

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.91 (s, 1H), 7.07 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 6.68 (s, 1H), 6.08 (d, J = 15.6 Hz), 5.71 (d, J = 10 Hz, 1H), 2.48 (m, 1H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 1.85 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 3H), 1.57 (s, 3H), 1.42 (m, 1H), 1.32 (m, 1H), 1.03 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.86 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 191.9, 186.0 170.0, 158.4, 152.7, 148.9, 142.9, 140.9, 132.0, 115.6, 115.2, 109.1, 103.1, 84.4, 35.1, 30.0, 22.6, 20.2, 20.1, 12.3, 11.9; IR

[acetone solution, v_{max} cm⁻¹] 2962, 2928, 1735, 1718, 1633, 1520, 1245, 1126; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C₂₁H₂₄BrO₅ 435.0802, experimental 435.0807 [M+H]⁺

Compound 10



To a stirring solution of compound 7 (19.1 mg, 0.054 mmol) in acetonitrile (1.3 mL) was added N- iodosuccinimide (14 mg, 0.059 mmol) at room temperature. The solution stirred for 30 minutes and quenched with water. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate; combined organic

layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Crude extract was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (20% EtOAc/n-hexanes) to afford 7.2 mg of compound 10. Isolated yield: 28%

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.81 (s, 1H), 7.07 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 6.67 (s, 1H), 6.10 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 5.71 (d, J = 10 Hz, 1H), 2.49 (m, 1H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 1.86 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 3H), 1.56 (s, 3H), 1.42 (m, 1H), 1.31 (m, 1H), 1.01 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.86 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 192.4, 187.5, 170.0, 158.8, 152.7, 149.9, 144.9, 142.9, 132.0, 115.9, 115.6, 114.2, 83.5, 83.4, 35.1, 30.0, 22.7, 20.2, 20.1, 12.4, 11.9; IR [acetone solution, v_{max} cm⁻¹] 2961, 2927, 2872, 1739, 1718, 1630, 1509, 1245; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C₂₁H₂₄IO₅ 483.0663, experimental 483.0667 [M+H]⁺

Compound 12



To a stirring solution of compound 11 (59.3 mg, 0.188 mmol) in 3.0 mL of acetonitrile at room temperature was added N-chlorosuccinimide (27 mg, 0.202 mmol). The solution stirred for 48 hours and quenched with water then extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were washed

with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Crude extract was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (45% EtOAc/n-hexanes) to afford 29.3 mg of compound 12. Isolated yield: 45%

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.94 (s, 1H), 7.09 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 6.62 (s, 1H), 6.08 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 5.72 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (br s, 1H), 2.48 (m, 1H), 1.85 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 3H), 1.59 (s, 3H), 1.42 (m, 1H), 1.32 (m, 1H), 1.01 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.86 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 194.0, 189.6, 158.6, 151.7, 149.4, 143.4, 140.0, 132.0, 115.6, 115.1, 108.9, 105.9, 83.9, 35.2, 30.1, 28.8, 20.2, 12.4, 12.0; IR [acetone solution, v_{max} cm⁻¹] 3444, 3085, 3065, 3039, 2871, 1724, 1635, 1527, 1178, 670; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C₁₉H₂₂ClO₄ 349.1201, experimental 349.1205 [M+H]⁺

Compound 13



To a stirring solution of compound 11 (122.09 mg, 0.39 mmol) in acetonitrile (6.5 mL) was added N-bromosuccinimide (77.0 mg, 0.43 mmol) at room temperature. The solution stirred for 45 minutes and was then quenched with water. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate and the combined

organic layers were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under

reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (20% EtOAc/n-hexanes) to afford 71 mg of compound 13. Isolated yield: 46%

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.92 (s, 1H), 7.11 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (s, 1H), 6.10 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 5.74 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 3.92 (s, 1H), 2.49 (m, 1H), 1.86 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 3H), 1.56 (s, 3H), 1.43 (m, 1H), 1.32 (m, 1H), 1.02 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.87 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 194.1, 190.0, 158.9, 151.7, 149.4, 143.5, 142.2, 132.0, 115.9, 115.6, 108.6, 100.5, 83.9, 35.2, 30.0, 28.8, 20.2, 12.4, 12.0; IR [acetone solution, v_{max} cm⁻¹] 3451, 3081, 2964, 2931, 2875, 1719, 1633, 1518, 1172, 1144; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C₁₉H₂₂BrO₄ 393.0696, experimental 393.0700 [M+H]⁺

Compound 14



To a stirring solution of compound 11 (33.2 mg, 0.106 mmol) in acetonitrile (2.1 mL) was added N-iodosuccinimide (28 mg, 0.124 mmol) at room temperature. The solution stirred for 1 hour and quenched with water. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate; organic layers were combined and

washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (35% EtOAc/n-hexanes) to afford 23.7 mg of compound 14. Isolated yield: 51%

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.81 (s, 1H), 7.10 (d, J = 16 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (s, 1H), 6.10 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 5.74 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 3.94 (s, 1H), 2.49 (m, 1H), 1.86 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 3H), 1.57 (s, 3H), 1.42 (m, 1H), 1.32 (m, 1H), 1.02 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.86 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 194.4, 191.6, 159.3, 151. 6, 149.4, 146.2, 143.5, 132.0, 116.7, 115.4, 113.5, 83.2, 79.3, 35.2, 30.0, 28.9, 20.2, 12.4, 11.9; IR [acetone solution, v_{max} cm⁻¹] 3458, 3070, 2963, 2928, 2873, 1721, 1633, 1504, 1166; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C₁₉H₂₂IO₄ 441.0557, experimental 441.056 [M+H]⁺

Compound 15



To a stirring solution of compound 11 (55 mg, 0.175 mmol) in anhydrous diethyl ether (6 mL) was added Wittig reagent, Ph_3 =CHCO₂Et, (68 mg, 0.193 mmol). The solution stirred for 7 hours at room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and crude extract purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (15% acetone/n-hexanes) to afford 11 mg of compound 15. Isolated yield: 17%

¹H NMR (acetone- d_6 , 400 MHz) δ 8.13 (s, 1H), 7.07 (d, J = 16 Hz, 1H), 6.47 (s, 1H), 6.27 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 5.71 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 5.38 (s, 1H), 4.6 (s, 1H), 4.18 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.53 (m, 1H), 1.87 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 3H), 1.49 (m, 1H), 1.37 (s, 3H), 1.33 (m, 1H), 1.25 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.01 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.86 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (acetone- d_6 , 400 MHz) δ 197.1, 166.5, 157.0, 150.8, 147.2, 147.1, 141.4, 133.4, 117.9, 115.1, 110.0, 109.3, 104.6, 77.7, 61.0, 35.7, 31.0, 29.2, 20.8, 14.6, 12.7, 12.4; IR [acetone

solution, v_{max} cm⁻¹] 3441, 2967, 2955, 2877, 1716, 1623, 1543, 1179; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C₂₃H₂₉O₅ 385.201, experimental 385.2014 [M+H]⁺

Compound 16



To a stirring solution of compound 13 (20.5 mg, 0.052 mmol) in anhydrous diethyl ether (2.6 mL) was added $Ph_3=CHCO_2Et$, (23 mg, 0.066 mmol). The solution stirred for 6 hours at room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and crude extract purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (15% acetone/n-hexanes) to afford 16.3 mg of compound 16. Isolated yield: 68%

¹H NMR (acetone- d_6 , 400 MHz) δ 8.12 (s, 1H), 7.18 (d, J = 16 Hz, 1H), 6.78 (s, 1H), 6.51 (d, J = 16 Hz, 1H), 6.50 (s, 1H), 5.78 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (s, 1H), 4.18 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.55 (m, 1H), 1.91 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 3H), 1.45 (m, 1H), 1.40 (s, 3H), 1.33 (m, 1H), 1.24 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.02 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.86 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (acetone- d_6 , 400 MHz) δ 190.9, 166.3, 159.4, 150.0, 148.3, 145.7, 144.9, 142.9, 133.6, 118.0, 116.1, 109.4, 108.6, 99.2, 78.6, 61.2, 35.9, 30.9, 28.8, 20.7, 14.6, 12.7, 12.4; IR [acetone solution, v_{max} cm⁻¹] 3464, 2961, 2933, 2874, 1717, 1627, 1554, 1515, 1174, 669; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C₂₃H₂₈BrO₅ 463.1115, experimental 463.1118 [M+H]⁺

Compound 17



To a stirring solution of compound 14 (48 mg, 0.109 mmol) in diethyl ether (5.0 mL) was added Ph_3 =CHCO₂Et, (46 mg, 0.132 mmol). The solution stirred for 6 hours at room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and crude extract purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (15% acetone/n-hexanes) to afford 31.8 mg of compound 17. Isolated yield: 57%

¹H NMR (acetone- d_6 , 400 MHz) δ 8.01 (s, 1H), 7.18 (d, J = 16 HZ, 1H), 6.76 (s, 1H), 6.53 (d, J = 16 Hz, 1H), 6.50 (s, 1H), 5.78 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 4.82 (s, 1H), 4.18 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.55 (m, 1H), 1.97 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 3H), 1.44 (m, 1H), 1.40 (s, 3H), 1.32 (m, 1H), 1.24 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.02 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 3H), 0.87 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (acetone- d_6 , 400 MHz) δ 192.8, 166.3, 159.7, 149.9, 149.0, 148.3, 142.9, 133.6, 118.0, 115.9, 114.3, 113.6, 105.8, 78.0, 61.2, 35.9, 30.9, 28.9, 20.7, 14.6, 12.7, 12.4; IR [acetone solution, v_{max} cm⁻¹] 3456, 2965, 2930, 2873, 1717, 1624, 1555, 1502, 1176, 530; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C₂₃H₂₈IO₅ 511.0976, experimental 511.0977 [M+H]⁺

- (1) Chiang, Y.-M.; Szewczyk, E.; Oakley, B. R.; Wang, C. C. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2009**, *131*, 2965.
- (2) Szewczyk, E.; Nayak, T.; Oakley, C. E.; Edgerton, H.; Xiong, Y.; Taheri-Talesh, N.; Osmani, S. A.; Oakley, B. R. Nat. Protoc. 2006, 1, 3111–3120.
- (3) Frigerio, M.; Santagostino, M.; Sputore, S. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 4537.
- (4) Axelrod, B.; Cheesbrough, T.M.; Laakso, S. Meth. Enzymol. 1981, 71, 441.





Automation directory: /home/walkup/auto_2011.01.21 Sample id : s_20100203_004

Pulse Sequence: s2pul Solvent: cdCl3 Temp. 0.0 C / 273.1 K Operator: walkup File: Carbon_01 Mercury-400BB "MVX"

Refractory-duebs -RXA" Relax.delay 1.800 sec Pulse 45.0 degrees Acq.time 1.300 sec Vidith 24154.6 Hz 8000 repetitions Power 36 dB continuously on VALT2-16 sodulated Difference of the Continuously on VALT2-16 sodulated Difference of the Total time 7 hr, 21 min, 50 sec





77.325 77.208 77.010 76.687





















Scheme 1. Soybean Lipoxgyenase-1 Assay

Soybean Lipoxygenase-1 Assay. The enzyme assay was performed according to Axelrod *et. al.* ^{S4} Each solution was prepared and measured at room temperature. Enzyme kinetics was measured on a Shimadzu UV-2401PC at a wavelength of 234 nm in a quartz cuvette. For the assay, the linoleic acid substrate was prepared by mixing 20 μ L linoleic acid (Cayman Chemical #760716) with 20 μ L KOH (Cayman Chemical #760713) and 40 μ L double distilled water. Lipoxygenase-1 (Cayman Chemical #60712) was diluted from original stock concentration to a concentration of 100 U/mL in the cuvette. The semisynthetic azaphilones were prepared as stock concentrations in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) from 20 μ M to 10 mM; 5 μ L was added to the assay mixture to give the desired final concentration of the inhibitor with 1% of DMSO in the assay.

Lipoxygenase-1 Inhibition Assay: The spectrophotometric assay is based on monitoring the reaction, catalyzed by LOX-1 to convert linoleic acid (L) to the hydroperoxide (LOOH), at 234 nm. The substrate L does not absorb at the indicated wavelength, so that any absorption is due to the generation of LOOH. The reaction is initiated when the non-

heme iron of LOX-1 in the ferric state is reduced by the substrate L. While in the enzyme-substrate complex, L is oxidized and converted to the peroxide free radical (LOO•), and subsequently released from the enzyme as LOOH. Inhibitors can interfere in the process in several different ways to prevent the generation of LOOH by the chelation of iron, removal of oxygen or prevention of free radical formation.

Procedure: Prior to measurements, a sample with buffer was used as a blank. In a quartz cuvette, each sample was prepared with 482.5 μ L 0.2 M borate buffer (at a pH of 9.0) mixed with 5 μ L inhibitor and 5 μ L substrate by pipetting the solution. The cuvette was placed in the spectrophotometer and measured for 120 seconds and then rapidly 7.5 μ L lipoxygenase-1 was added and mixed to initiate the reaction. Measurements were recorded every 30 seconds for 7.5 minutes. All measurements were carried out in triplicate.

 IC_{50} calculation: Inhibition of an enzyme at 50 % can be experimentally measured by monitoring enzyme kinetics. A kinetic study generates a curve where the slope provides the initial velocity (V_o) of the enzyme. For each sample with different inhibitor concentrations the initial velocity was derived from a linear segment between 210-300 nm. The absorbance was used directly and not converted into concentration units. These data points (V_o, [Inhibitor]) were fitted to a curve using the software Curve Expert Professional 1.5.0 to provide an equation for the curve; the best mathematical model for the data was the MMF model. The concentration of inhibitor at which 50% inhibition occurred (as measured by change in V_o) was reported as the IC₅₀.



Figure S3a. LOX-1 enzyme kinetics with varying concentrations of (+)-sclerotiorin measured at $\lambda = 234$ nm.



Figure S4a. LOX-1 enzyme kinetics with varying concentrations of compound 4 measured at $\lambda = 234$ nm.



Figure S3b. Initial Velocity (V_o) of LOX-1 vs. concentration of inhibitor, where V_o of enzyme incubated in the absence of inhibitor designated a value of 1.



Figure S4b. Initial Velocity (V_o) of LOX-1 vs. concentration of inhibitor, where V_o of enzyme incubated in the absence of inhibitor designated a value of 1.



Figure S5a. LOX-1 enzyme kinetics with varying concentrations of compound 5 measured at $\lambda = 234$ nm.

Figure S5b. Initial Velocity (V_o) of LOX-1 vs. concentration of inhibitor, where V_o of enzyme incubated in the absence of inhibitor designated a value of 1.

100

80

120



Figure S6a. LOX-1 enzyme kinetics with varying concentrations of compound 7 measured at $\lambda = 234$ nm.

Figure S6b. Initial Velocity (V_o) of LOX-1 vs. concentration of inhibitor, where V_o of enzyme incubated in the absence of inhibitor designated a value of 1.



Figure S7a. LOX-1 enzyme kinetics with varying concentrations of compound 8 measured at $\lambda = 234$ nm.





Figure S8a. LOX-1 enzyme kinetics with varying concentrations of compound 9 measured at $\lambda = 234$ nm.

Figure S8b. Initial Velocity (V_o) of LOX-1 vs. concentration of inhibitor, where V_o of enzyme incubated in the absence of inhibitor designated a value of 1.



Figure S9a. LOX-1 enzyme kinetics with varying concentrations of compound 10 measured at $\lambda = 234$ nm.

Figure S9b. Initial Velocity (V_o) of LOX-1 vs. concentration of inhibitor, where V_o of enzyme incubated in the absence of inhibitor designated a value of 1.



Figure S10a. LOX-1 enzyme kinetics with varying concentrations of compound 11 measured at $\lambda = 234$ nm.

Figure S10b. Initial Velocity (V_o) of LOX-1 vs. concentration of inhibitor, where V_o of enzyme incubated in the absence of inhibitor designated a value of 1.





Figure S11a. LOX-1 enzyme kinetics with varying concentrations of compound 12 measured at $\lambda = 234$ nm

Figure S11b. Initial Velocity (V_o) of LOX-1 vs. concentration of inhibitor, where V_o of enzyme incubated in the absence of inhibitor designated a value of 1.



Figure S12a. LOX-1 enzyme kinetics with varying concentrations of compound 13 measured at $\lambda = 234$ nm.

Figure S12b. Initial Velocity (V_o) of LOX-1 vs. concentration of inhibitor, where V_o of enzyme incubated in the absence of inhibitor designated a value of 1.



Figure S13a. LOX-1 enzyme kinetics with varying concentrations of compound 14 measured at $\lambda = 234$ nm.

Figure S13b. Initial Velocity (V_o) of LOX-1 vs. concentration of inhibitor, where V_o of enzyme incubated in the absence of inhibitor designated a value of 1.



Figure S14a. LOX-1 enzyme kinetics with varying concentrations of compound 15 measured at $\lambda = 234$ nm

Figure S14b. Initial Velocity (V_o) of LOX-1 vs. concentration of inhibitor, where V_o of enzyme incubated in the absence of inhibitor designated a value of 1.



Figure S15a. LOX-1 enzyme kinetics with varying concentrations of compound 16 measured at $\lambda = 234$ nm.

Figure S15b. Initial Velocity (V_o) of LOX-1 vs. concentration of inhibitor, where V_o of enzyme incubated in the absence of inhibitor designated a value of 1.



Figure S16a. LOX-1 enzyme kinetics with varying concentrations of compound 17 measured at $\lambda = 234$ nm.

Figure S16b. Initial Velocity (V_o) of LOX-1 vs. concentration of inhibitor, where V_o of enzyme incubated in the absence of inhibitor designated a value of 1.