Paik & Jang, Appendix B
Supplementary Table 2

Males

Trial	Background (1)	Cross direction (F x M)	Group	N	Mean (d)	SE	Quartiles (2)					Log-Rank Test (3)	
							Median (d)	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	25% Failures	75% Failures	χ^2	P>χ^2
A	Honegger	S106-GS x UAS-ImpL2	RU (200 µM)	311	**76.87**	0.72	80	78	80	72	86		
			RU (0), control	311	**67.31**	0.72	70	68	72	64	76	**204.1103**	**<0.0001**
B	Honegger	S106-GS x UAS-ImpL2	RU (200 µM)	373	71.40	1.14	78	74	80	58	88		
			RU (0), control	342	71.46	1.08	76	72	80	58	88	1.3438	0.2464
B	Honegger	UAS-ImpL2 x S106-GS	RU (200 µM)	343	**78.08**	1.05	84	83	86	74	90		
			RU (0), control	319	**71.02**	0.87	72	70	74	64	82	**70.0803**	**<0.0001**
B	wDah	S106-GS x UAS-ImpL2	RU (200 µM)	295	**81.55**	0.92	88	86	88	80	90		
			RU (0), control	333	**68.94**	0.89	74	72	74	58	80	**156.7641**	**<0.0001**
B	wDah	UAS-ImpL2 x S106-GS	RU (200 µM)	258	**81.07**	0.86	86	84	86	78	88		
			RU (0), control	303	**75.53**	0.81	80	78	80	72	86	**52.1961**	**<0.0001**

Females

Trial	Background (1)	Cross direction (F x M)	Group	N	Mean (d)	SE	Quartiles (2)					Log-Rank Test (3)	
							Median (d)	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	25% Failures	75% Failures	χ^2	P>χ^2
A	Honegger	S106-GS x UAS-ImpL2	RU (200 µM)	316	**73.30**	0.74	74	74	78	68	82		
			RU (0), control	289	**68.13**	0.83	68	68	70	62	76	**23.5000**	**<0.0001**
B	Honegger	S106-GS x UAS-ImpL2	RU (200 µM)	213	**74.18**	1.05	76	74	78	70	82		
			RU (0), control	332	**68.23**	0.78	70	68	72	60	78	**30.6235**	**<0.0001**
B	Honegger	UAS-ImpL2 x S106-GS	RU (200 µM)	248	**74.93**	1.18	80	76	80	70	86		
			RU (0), control	198	**63.32**	1.18	66	62	68	52	74	**78.9889**	**<0.0001**
B	wDah	S106-GS x UAS-ImpL2	RU (200 µM)	207	66.31	0.82	68	68	68	64	74		
			RU (0), control	204	71.28	1.02	74	72	74	66	80	53.1604	<0.0001
B	wDah	UAS-ImpL2 x S106-GS	RU (200 µM)	278	**69.67**	0.70	72	70	74	64	78		
			RU (0), control	278	**63.10**	0.89	66	64	68	56	74	**34.0269**	**<0.0001**