Supporting Information

For the

Total Syntheses of Cyanthiwigins B, F, and G

John A. Enquist Jr., Brian M. Stoltz*, and Scott C. Virgil

The Arnold and Mabel Beckman Laboratories of Chemical Synthesis, Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, USA

Table of Contents

Materials and Methods	S2
Experimental Procedures	S 3
Experimental Spectra	S26
References	S76
X-ray Crystallography Data	S77

Materials and Methods

All reactions were performed at ambient temperature (22 °C) unless otherwise noted. Reactions requiring external heat were modulated to the specified temperatures indicated by using an IKAmag temperature controller. All reactions were performed in glassware flame dried under vacuum and allowed to cool under nitrogen or argon. Solvents were dried by passage over a column of activated alumina with an overpressure of argon gas. Tetrahydrofuran was distilled directly over benzophenone and sodium, or else was dried by passage over a column of activated alumina with an overpressure of argon gas. Grubbs' ruthenium catalysts 52 and 55 were donated by Materia Inc. and used without further purification. (S)-t-BuPHOX (43), 1,2 enol stannane 50,3 4-iodo-2-methyl-1-butene (54),4 and vinyl boronate ester 56⁵ were prepared according to known methods. All other chemicals and reagents were used as received. Compounds purified by flash chromatography utilized ICN silica gel (particle size 0.032-0.063 mm) or SiliCycle[®] SiliaFlash[®] P60 Academic Silica Gel (particle size 40-63 µm; pore diameter 60 Å). Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using E. Merck silica gel 60 F254 pre-coated plates (0.25 mm) and visualized by UV, p-anisaldehyde, or alkaline permanganate staining. NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Mercury 300 (at 300 MHz for ¹H NMR and 75 MHz for ¹³C NMR), Varian Inova 500 (at 500 MHz for ¹H NMR and 125 MHz for ¹³C NMR), or Varian Inova 600 (at 600 MHz for ¹H NMR only) instrument, and are reported relative to residual CHCl₃ (δ 7.26 for ¹H NMR, δ 77.16 for ¹³C NMR) or C₆H₆ (δ 7.16 for ¹H NMR, δ 128.06 for ¹³C NMR). The following format is used for the reporting of ¹H NMR data: chemical shift (δ ppm), multiplicity, coupling constant (Hz), and integration. Data for ¹³C NMR spectra are reported in terms of chemical shift. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer Spectrum Paragon 1000 spectrometer, and data are reported in frequency of absorption (cm⁻¹). High-resolution mass spectra were obtained from the Caltech Mass Spectral Facility, or else were acquired using an Agilent 6200 Series TOF mass spectrometer with an Agilent G1978A Multimode source in ESI, APCI, or MM (ESI/APCI) ionization mode. Analytical chiral gas chromatography was performed with an Agilent 6850 GC using a G-TA (30 m x 0.25 mm) column (1.0 mL/min carrier gas flow). Analytical achiral gas chromatography was performed with an Agilent 6850 GC using a DB-WAX (30 x 0.25 mm) column (1.0 mL/min carrier gas flow). Preparatory reverse-phase HPLC was performed on a Waters HPLC with Waters Delta-Pak 2 x 100 mm, 15 µm column equipped with a guard, employing a flow rate of 1 mL/min and a variable gradient of acetonitrile and water as eluent. HPLC visualization was performed by collecting 1 mL fractions after initial

injection and analyzing each fraction via TLC. Optical rotations were measured with a Jasco P-1010 polarimeter at 589 nm using a 100 mm path-length cell.

Experimental Procedures

Diallyl succinate (37). To a solution of succinic acid (38, 40.0 g, 338.7 mmol) in benzene (300 mL) was added TsOH • H₂O (0.21 g, 1.2 mmol, 0.003 equiv). After brief mixing, allyl alcohol (70 mL, 1.01 mol, 3.00 equiv) was added to the reaction, and the flask was fitted with a Dean–Stark trap and reflux condenser under nitrogen. The reaction was heated to 105 °C and allowed to reflux over 12 h. After collection of 13 mL H₂O from the Dean–Stark trap, the reaction was allowed to cool to room temperature and was quenched by slow treatment with saturated NaHCO_{3(aq)} until gas evolution halted. The phases were separated, and the organic layer was washed with saturated NaHCO_{3(aq)} (2 x 40 mL) and brine (2 x 30 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and solvent was removed in vacuo. The resulting colorless oil was dried under high vacuum to afford diallyl succinate (37, 59.8 g, 89% yield). This material was carried into the next step without further purification: R_f = 0.35 (10:90 Et₂O/pentane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.90 (ddt, J = 17.3, 10.5, 5.6 Hz, 2H), 5.31 (ddt, J = 17.0, 1.6, 1.3 Hz, 2H), 5.23 (ddt, J = 10.4, 1.3, 1.1 Hz, 2H), 4.60 (ddd, J = 5.9, 1.3, 1.3 Hz, 4H), 2.67 (s, 4H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.0, 132.1, 118.5, 65.5, 29.2; IR (Neat film, NaCl) 3086, 2942, 1738, 1649, 1413, 1377, 1271, 1157, 990, 932 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for C₁₀H₁₄O₄ [M]⁺: 198.0892, found 198.0888.

Diallyl succinylsuccinate (39).⁶ To a flame dried flask under argon was added NaH (60% in mineral oil, 25.0 g, 630.6 mmol, 2.50 equiv) and toluene (125 mL). To this was added, dropwise, neat allyl alcohol (4.14 mL, 70.6 mmol, 0.28 equiv) with vigorous stirring. After gas evolution had ceased, neat diallyl succinate (37, 50.0 g, 252.2 mmol, 1.00 equiv) was added dropwise, and the reaction was heated

to 95 °C. The reaction flask was fitted with a reflux condenser, and reaction was allowed to proceed over 10 h. After ca. 15 min, an additional portion of toluene (125.0 mL) was added to the reaction to ensure fluidity of the mixture. Once the reaction had completed by TLC, the flask was cooled to room temperature, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude solid was immediately suspended in CH₂Cl₂, and then acidified by addition of 2 N HCl_(aq) (350 mL). The biphasic mixture was allowed to stir over 2 h, after which time all solids had dissolved. The phases were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 x 50 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and solvent was removed in vacuo to yield a crude orange solid. The crude residue was recrystallized twice from a mixture of petroleum ether and acetone to afford diallyl succinylsuccinate (39) as a flaky white solid (26.9 g, 76% yield): $R_f = 0.6$ (15:85 ethyl acetate/hexane) ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 12.11 (s, 2H), 5.95 (dddd, J = 17.1, 10.7, 5.7, 5.7 Hz, 2H), 5.35 (ddt, J = 17.3, 1.6, 1.3 Hz, 2H), 5.27 (ddt, J = 10.4, 1.3, 1.3 Hz, 2H), 4.69 (ddd, J = 5.3, 1.3, 1.3 Hz, 4H), 3.22 (s, 4H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.8, 168.8, 131.7, 118.4, 93.1, 65.2, 28.5; IR (Neat film, NaCl) 1666, 1647, 1684, 1451, 1389, 1329, 1219, 1204, 1133, 1061, 961, 843, 783 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for $C_{14}H_{16}O_6[M]^*$: 280.0947, found 280.0948.

Bis(β-ketoester) 36. Prior to use in the reaction, acetone was dried by stirring it over anhydrous calcium sulfate, and then was passing the solvent over a short plug of silica. Potassium carbonate (5.80 g, 43.9 mmol, 4.10 equiv) and diallyl succinylsuccinate (39, 3.00 g, 10.7 mmol, 1.00 equiv) were suspended in acetone (21.3 mL). After addition of solvent to the solids, the reaction mixture was fitted with a reflux condenser and then was heated to 50 °C. To this mixture was added methyl iodide (3.40 mL, 54.5 mmol, 5.10 equiv). The reaction was stirred vigorously to ensure completion. (Note: If reaction is not stirred, or if stirring is not efficient, potassium carbonate will collect into a solid aggregate and the reaction will halt. Breaking up these solid collections with a spatula is typically enough to reinitiate reaction, though in some cases additional methyl iodide may be required.) After 6 h, the reaction was allowed to cool and then was passed through filter paper. The remaining solids were washed with additional CH₂Cl₂ to ensure complete solvation of any precipitated product trapped within

the potassium carbonate. The collected organic layers were combined and concentrated to yield an amorphous semi-solid, which was purified over silica gel using $15\% \rightarrow 20\%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes as eluent. Compound 36 was afforded as two diastereomers in a 1:1 ratio. The less polar diastereomer (by TLC analysis with 20% ethyl acetate in hexane) was obtained as a white, fluffy solid, and the more polar diastereomer was obtained as a thick, yellow oil (1.4 g for each diastereomer, 2.8 g for combined diastereomers, 85% yield). Diastereomer A: $R_f = 0.30$ (20:80 ethyl acetate/hexane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.84 (dddd, J = 17.3, 10.4, 5.8, 5.8 Hz, 2H), 5.30 (app dq, J = 17.3, 1.3 Hz, 2H), δ 5.26 (app dq, J = 10.4, 1.3 Hz, 2H), δ 4.60 (app ddd, J = 5.9, 1.3, 1.3 Hz, 4H), δ 3.14 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 2H), δ 2.80 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 2H), δ 1.43 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 201.8, 170.6, 131.0, 119.7, 66.8, 57.6, 48.1, 20.8; IR (Neat film, NaCl) 2988, 2940, 1749, 1708, 1420, 1375, 1281, 1227, 1132, 1076, 911, 809, 744 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for $C_{16}H_{20}O_6$ [M⁺]: 308.1260, found 308.1263. **Diastereomer B**: $R_f = 0.20$ (20:80 ethyl acetate/hexane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.88 $(dddd, J = 17.1, 10.4, 5.7, 5.7 \text{ Hz}, 2H), \delta 5.31 \text{ (app dq}, J = 17.2, 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 2H), \delta 5.27 \text{ (app dq}, J = 10.3, 10.4)$ 1.5, 2H), δ 4.62 (app ddd, J = 5.4, 1.5, 1.5 Hz, 4H), δ 3.47 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 2H), δ 2.63 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, 2H), δ 1.46 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 202.5, 169.9, 131.1, 119.1, 66.7, 56.6, 47.1, 21.5; IR (Neat film, NaCl) 3088, 2984, 2940, 1747, 1722, 1649, 1454, 1422, 1381, 1275, 1233, 1196, 1110, 984, 934 cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for $C_{16}H_{20}O_6$ [M⁺]: 308.1260, found 308.1263.

Alternative preparation of bis(β-ketoester) 36.⁷ A flame dried round bottom flask was charged with NaH (60% in mineral oil, 4.44 g, 111.0 mmol, 2.2 equiv). The flask was briefly vacuum purged, and then was backfilled with argon. The solid NaH was then suspended in freshly distilled (or freshly dispensed) THF (40 mL). The resulting suspension was cooled to 0 °C in an ice water bath. After cooling, the NaH slurry was treated with a THF solution (20 mL) of diallyl succinate (37, 10.0 g, 50.4 mmol) added via cannula. The reaction was allowed to gradually warm to room temperature overnight (12 h). The next morning the reaction was heated to 40 °C to encourage completion of the Claisen condensation/Dieckmann cyclization process. After 24 h at this temperature, TLC analysis revealed total consumption of diallyl succinate (37). The reaction was cooled to 35 °C, and then a single portion

of MeI (8.16 mL, 131.2 mmol, 2.6 equiv) was introduced via syringe. After an additional 12 h at 35 °C, the reaction was quenched with saturated NH₄Cl_(aq) (40 mL). The organic layer was separated from the aqueous layer, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 40 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (40 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and filtered. The crude material obtained upon removal of solvent in vacuo was further purified via column chromatography over silica using $15\% \rightarrow 20\%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes as eluent. Compound 36 was afforded as two diastereomers in a 1 : 1 ratio, again as both a white solid and a clear oil (2.1 g for each diastereomer, 4.2 g for combined diastereomers, 54% yield). All spectroscopic data was identical to that reported above.

A flame dried round bottom flask cooled under argon was charged with bis(3,5dimethoxydibenzylideneacetone)palladium(0) (Pd(dmdba)₂, 0.268 g, 0.330 mmol, 0.05 equiv) and (S)-t-BuPHOX (193) (0.140 g, 0.362 mmol, 0.055 equiv). The flask was purged under vacuum briefly, and then backfilled with argon. The solids were dissolved in Et₂O (500 mL), and the resulting solution was stirred at 25 °C for 30 min. After precomplexation, neat 36 (2.00 g, 6.59 mmol, 1.00 equiv) was added to the reaction. The solution was stirred vigorously at 25 °C for 10 h (Note: continual stirring is necessary due to the apparent low solubility of Pd(dmdba)₂ in Et₂O.), after which time the solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude oil was purified over silica gel using 3% ethyl acetate in hexanes as eluent to afford **35** as a colorless oil (1.07 g, 78% yield, 4.4 : 1 dr, 99% ee): $R_f = 0.7$ (15:85 ethyl acetate/hexane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.68 (dddd, J = 18.3, 10.2, 6.9, 6.9 Hz, 2H), 5.17–5.09 (comp. m, 3H), 5.07–5.04 (m, 1H), 2.82 (d, J = 14.7 Hz, 2H), 2.38 (d, J = 15 Hz, 2H), 2.34 (app ddt, J = 15 Hz, 2H), 2.35 (d, J = 15 Hz, 2H), 2.36 (app ddt, J = 15 Hz, 2H), 2.36 (app ddt, J = 15 Hz, 2H), 2.37 (app ddt, J = 15 Hz, 2H), 2.38 (d, J = 15 Hz, 2H), 2.39 (d, J == 13.2, 6.9, 1.0 Hz, 2H), 2.09 (app ddt, J = 13.5, 7.8, 0.9 Hz, 2H), 1.10 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.8, 132.4, 120.0, 49.4, 48.4, 43.8, 24.3; IR (Neat film, NaCl) 3078, 2978, 1712, 1640, 1458, 1378, 1252, 1129, 1101, 998, 921 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for $C_{14}H_{20}O_2$ [M]⁺: 220.1463, found 220.1466; $\left[\alpha\right]^{25}_{D}$ –163.1 (c 0.52, $CH_{2}Cl_{2}$). Chiral GC assay (GTA column): 100 °C isothermal method over 90 min. Retention times: 67.7 min (Major enantiomer, C_2 diastereomer, 81.7%), 74.1 min (Minor enantiomer, C_2 diastereomer, 0.6%), 77.4 min (*meso* diastereomer, 17.6%). Achiral GC assay (DB-Wax column): 100 °C isotherm over 2.0 min, ramp 5 °C/min to 190 °C, then 190 °C isotherm for 10.0 min. Retention times: 18.5 min (C_2 diastereomer, 81.0%), 18.7 min (*meso* diastereomer, 19.0%).

Triflate 44. A flask was charged with potassium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide (1.49 g, 7.49 mmol, 1.10 equiv) in the glovebox, and then was transferred to a manifold line outside of the glovebox under argon. The solids were dissolved in THF (180 mL), and the resulting solution was stirred while being cooled to -78 °C. To this alkaline solution was added, dropwise, neat diketone 35 (1.50 g, 6.80 mmol, 1.00 equiv). The solution immediately turned yellow, and viscosity increased. Deprotonation was allowed over 30 min, after which time the anionic solution was transferred by cannula into a solution of Nphenyl bis(trifluoromethane)sulfonimide (2.91 g, 8.17 mmol, 1.20 equiv) in THF (60 mL) at -78 °C. Reaction was allowed to proceed at this temperature over 6 h, after which time the mixture was brought to room temperature. The anionic reaction was quenched with brine (100 mL). The phases were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (3 x 100 mL) and ethyl acetate (1 x 100 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (2 x 50 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude oil obtained was loaded onto a silica gel column and eluted with 2% Et₂O in pentane. This afforded triflate 44 as a colorless oil (1.75 g, 73%) yield). $R_f = 0.40$ (5:95 ethyl acetate/hexane); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.77–5.58 (comp. m, 2H), 5.63 (s, 1H), 5.22–5.03 (comp. m, 4H), 2.71 (d, J = 14.3 Hz, 1H), 2.40 (d, J = 14.4 Hz, 1H), 2.49– 2.30 (comp. m, 2H), 2.24 (app ddt, J = 13.5, 6.9, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 2.09 (app ddt, J = 13.8, 8.24, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 1.22 (s, 3H), 1.19 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 209.6, 152.0, 132.6, 132.1, 122.9, 120.6, 119.7, 49.2, 48.9, 43.8, 43.0, 42.1, 25.2, 24.6; IR (Neat film, NaCl) 3081, 2980, 2934, 1721, 1673, 1641, 1457, 1416, 1214, 1141, 1010, 923.6, 895.2, 836.2 cm⁻¹; HRMS m/z calc'd for $C_{15}H_{19}O_4SF_3$ [M⁺]: 352.0956, found 352.0949; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ -6.5 (c 1.15, CH₂Cl₂).

Enoate 45. A flame dried round bottom flask was charged with Pd(OAc)₂ (0.089 g, 0.397 mmol, 0.07 equiv) and dppf (0.315 g, 0.568 mmol, 0.10 equiv). The solids were briefly vacuum purged, and then the flask was backfilled with nitrogen. To the palladium and ligand were added, in sequence, DMF (67 ml), MeOH (4.6 mL), triflate 44 (2.01 g, 5.68 mmol, 1.00 equiv), and triethyl amine (2.37 mL, 17.0 mmol, 3.00 equiv). The resulting solution was sparged for 10 min with an overpressure of carbon monoxide. After this time had elapsed, the reaction was fitted with a double-walled balloon of carbon monoxide to preserve gas overpressure, and then was heated to 65 °C for 10 h. Once the reaction had completed by TLC analysis, a majority of the DMF solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude residue obtained was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) and was washed with brine (30 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was thereafter extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (4 x 30 mL). Combined organics were washed with brine (50 mL), then were dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. The crude product was purified via chromatography over silica gel, using $2\% \rightarrow 3\% \rightarrow 4\% \rightarrow 5\%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes, followed by 100% diethyl ether, as eluent. This afforded enoate 45 (848 mg, 54% yield) and dienone 46 (17.0 mg, 1% yield), both as clear oils: Data for enoate 45 was observed as follows: $R_f = 0.30$ (5:95 ethyl acetate/hexane); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.57 (s, 1H), 5.70–5.58 (m, 2H), 5.09–4.99 (m, 4H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 2.69 (dd, J = 13.9, 6.3 Hz, 2H), 2.36 (app ddt, J = 13.6, 8.1, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 2.26 (app ddt, $J = 13.7, 6.9, 1.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 2.15 \text{ (dd}, <math>J = 13.9, 7.3 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 1.23 \text{ (s, 3H)}, 1.16, \text{ (s, 3H)}; {}^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.5, 167.1, 144.8, 136.4, 134.1, 132.9, 119.1, 119.0, 51.8, 50.1, 49.0, 44.2, 43.9, 41.9, 27.5, 23.3; IR (Neat film, NaCl) 3077, 29.54, 2871, 1717, 1639, 1436, 1326, 1244, 1063, 995, 920 cm⁻¹; HRMS m/z calc'd for $C_{16}H_{22}O_3$ [M+H]: 263.1642, found 263.1649; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –85.9 (c 0.62, CH_2Cl_2).

Dieneone 46. A flame dried round bottom flask was charged with Pd(OAc)₂ (10.0 mg, 45.0 μmol, 0.07 equiv) and dppf (31.0 mg, 56.0 μmol, 0.10 equiv). The solids were briefly vacuum purged, and then the

flask was backfilled with nitrogen. To the palladium and ligand were added, in sequence, DMF (5.5 ml), triflate 44 (200 mg, 0.568 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and triethyl amine (237 μL, 1.70 mmol, 3.0 equiv). The resulting solution was sparged for 15 min with an overpressure of carbon monoxide. After this time had elapsed, the reaction was fitted with a double-walled balloon of carbon monoxide to preserve gas overpressure, and then was heated to 65 °C for 3 h. Once the reaction had completed by TLC analysis, a majority of the DMF solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude residue obtained was dissolved in ethyl acetate (50 mL) and was washed with brine (30 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was thereafter extracted with ethyl acetate (4 x 30 mL). The combined organics were washed with brine (30 mL), then were dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. The crude product was purified via chromatography over silica gel, using $2\% \rightarrow 3\%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes, followed by 100% diethyl ether, as eluent. This afforded dieneone **46** as a clear oil (67 mg, 55% yield): $R_f = 0.30$ (5:95 ethyl acetate/hexane); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.66 (s, 1H), 6.19 (ddd, J = 3.4, 1.6, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 5.62 (dddd, J = 16.8, 10.1, 8.5, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 5.52–5.49 (m, 1H), 5.05 (dddd, J = 16.9, 4.5, 2.3, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 2.69 (app dt, J = 15.8, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 2.64 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 2.57 (app dt, J = 15.9, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 2.46 (dd, J = 13.8, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 2.37 (app ddt, J = 13.5, 8.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 2.27 (app ddt, J = 13.5, 6.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 1.24 (s, 3H), 1.06 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.2, 192.9, 144.9, 144.3, 137.9, 132.7, 120.3, 119.4, 52.4, 48.8, 45.6, 43.1, 39.7, 27.9, 23.2; IR (Neat film, NaCl) 2958, 2929, 1711, 1657, 1639, 1454, 1437, 1399, 1378, 1259, 1156, 1131, 929 cm⁻¹; HRMS m/z calc'd for $C_{15}H_{18}O_2$ [M⁺]: 230.1307, found 230.1313; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ -130.8 (c 0.50, CH₂Cl₂).

Enyne 47. To a flame dried flask was added $PdCl_2(PPh_3)_2$ (59 mg, 85.0 μmol, 0.1 equiv) and CuI (10 mg, 52.0 μmol, 0.05 equiv). These solids were briefly vacuum purged, and then the flask was backfilled with nitrogen. The metal salts were thereafter dissolved in DMF (5.1 mL), and treated with triethyl amine (356 μL, 2.55 mmol, 3.00 equiv), triflate 44 (300 mg, 0.851 mmol, 1.00 equiv), and (trimethylsilyl)acetylene (241 μL, 1.70 mmol, 2.0 equiv). The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 4 h, after which time the reaction had completed. The reaction was quenched by the

addition of brine (10 mL), followed by extraction with diethyl ether (4 x 20 mL). The combined organics were washed with brine (10 mL), then were dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. The crude material isolated was purified silica gel chromatography using 2% ethyl acetate in hexanes as eluent. Enyne **47** was isolated as a clear oil (218 mg, 85% yield): $R_f = 0.50$ (5:95 ethyl acetate/hexane); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.94 (s, 1H), 5.80 (dddd, J = 16.6, 10.1, 8.4, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 5.66 (dddd, J = 17.0, 10.2, 8.1, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 5.14–5.01 (comp. m, 4H), 2.60 (d, J = 13.9 Hz, 1H), 2.43 (app ddt, J = 13.7, 6.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 2.31 (app ddt, J = 13.7, 8.1, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 2.20 (app ddt, J = 13.6, 6.9, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 2.19 (d, J = 13.9 Hz, 1H), 2.03 (app ddt, J = 13.7, 8.4, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 1.12 (s, 3H), 1.10 (s, 3H), 0.21 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.7, 141.2, 134.3, 133.3, 128.5, 118.9, 118.8, 103.2, 95.8, 49.3, 48.5, 45.3, 44.5, 42.5, 27.5, 23.6, 0.1; IR (Neat film, NaCl) 3077, 2962, 2144, 1717, 1638, 1457, 1375, 1250, 1147, 996, 843 cm⁻¹; HRMS m/z cale'd for $C_{19}H_{28}OSi$ [M+H]: 301.1982, found 301.1980; [α]²⁵_D -88.3 (c 0.33, CH₂Cl₂).

Enone 49. To a flame dried round bottom flask under argon was added Pd(dppf)Cl₂ (116 mg, 0.142 mmol, 0.10 equiv), CuI (27 mg, 0.142 mmol, 0.10 equiv), and LiCl (319 mg, 7.52 mmol, 5.3 equiv). These solids were briefly vacuum purged before being backfilled with argon, and then were dissolved in DMF (20 mL). The resulting solution was then treated with triflate 44 (500 mg, 1.42 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and tributylethoxyvinyl stannane (48, 623 μ L, 1.84 mmol, 1.3 equiv). Subsequent to the addition of all reagents, the reaction was freeze-pump-thawed, and then was heated to 40 °C. After 9 h at 40 °C, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and diluted with brine (40 mL). The resulting solution was extracted with diethyl ether (3 x 40 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed with additional brine (2 x 30 mL). The combined aqueous layers were thereafter back-extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 x 20 mL). The combined organic layers from all extractions were dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude material obtained after removal of solvent was then redissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (40 mL) and stirred with 2 N HCl_(aq) (20 mL) for 2 h. The phases were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 x 15 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material obtained was purified via silica gel chromatography using 2% \rightarrow 3% ethyl acetate in hexanes as eluent. This afforded enone 49 as a clear

oil (255 mg, 73%): $R_f = 0.50$ (5:95 ethyl acetate/hexane); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.40 (s, 1H), 5.68 (dddd, J = 16.9, 10.1, 8.2, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 5.57 (app dt, J = 17.5, 10.2, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 5.13–4.96 (m, 4H), 2.75 (app ddt, J = 13.5, 7.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 2.69 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 2.39 (app ddt, J = 13.6, 8.2, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 2.29 (app ddt, J = 13.5, 6.8, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 2.12 (d, J = 13.9 Hz, 1H), 2.03 (app ddt, J = 13.8, 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 1.22 (s, 3H), 1.19 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.4, 199.8, 145.1, 145.0, 134.3, 132.8, 119.3, 118.9, 50.5, 49.1, 44.5, 43.4, 42.7, 28.1, 27.7, 23.2; IR (Neat film, NaCl) 3077, 2977, 2930, 2869, 1717, 1676, 1637, 1540, 1457, 1365, 1235, 995, 919 cm⁻¹; HRMS m/z calc'd for $C_{16}H_{22}O_2$ [M+H]: 247.1693, found 247.1699; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} -60.4$ (c 0.33, CH₂Cl₂).

Enone 53. To a round bottom flask was added LiCl (64 mg, 1.50 mmol, 6.0 equiv). This flask was flame dried, backfilled with argon, and then was transferred to the glovebox. Once inside the glovebox, Pd(PPh₃)₄ (29 mg, 25.0 μmol, 0.1 equiv) and CuCl (124 mg, 1.25 mmol, 5.0 equiv) were added to the flask. The flask was removed from the glovebox and was transferred to a manifold line. The solids were briefly purged under vacuum, then were backfilled with argon. Afterwards, the solids were dissolved in DMSO (2.0 mL), resulting in an immediate black solution. The flask was wrapped with aluminium foil to shield from light, and then triflate 44 (88 mg, 0.250 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and enol stannane **50** (120 mg, 0.300 mmol, 1.2 equiv) were added. The solution was freeze-pump-thawed thrice, and then was heated to 60 °C. After 24 h, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and diluted with brine (4 mL). To this mixture was added ethyl acetate (5 mL), and the phases were separated. The ethyl acetate layer was separated, then was washed with brine (5 mL) and saturated NH₄Cl_(aq) (2 x 20 mL). Combined aqueous layers were extracted with ethyl acetate (3 x 30 mL), and the resulting combined organic layers were washed with brine (40 mL). After washing, the organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material obtained was purified via chromatography over silica gel, using $1\% \rightarrow 2\% \rightarrow 5\%$ diethyl ether in pentane. This afforded crude enol ether 51 as a clear oil. This material was carried directly into the next reaction without further purification or characterization, due to tautomeric instability.

To a flame dried flask under argon was added crude 51 from the previous reaction sequence. This oil was dissolved in acetonitrile and azeotroped three times, and then was dried briefly under vacuum to remove residual solvent. The residue obtained was dissolved in diethyl ether, and then was treated with the Grubbs-Hoveyda second-generation catalyst (52, 18 mg, 21.0 µmol, 0.05 equiv). The reaction was heated to 30 °C for 30 min, and then was cooled to room temperature and quenched with saturated NaHCO_{3(aa)}. The phases were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (30 mL), then were dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. In order to prevent purification difficulties resulting from partial decomposition of the enol ether moiety on silica, the enol ether was pre-emptively cleaved to reveal the ketone. The crude product oil was redissolved in diethyl ether (25 mL) and was stirred with 2 N HCl_(aa) (25 mL) for 30 min. The phases were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (30 mL), then were dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. After concentrating in vacuo, the crude residue obtained was purified via chromatography over silica gel using 50% benzene in hexanes as eluent. This afforded bicyclic enone 53 as a clear oil, and as a mixture of diastereomers (19.7 mg, 30% yield). **Diastereomer A**: $R_f = 0.30$ (5:95 ethyl acetate/hexane); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.31 (s, 1H), 6.06 (dd, J = 2.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.66 (dddd, J = 17.0, 10.2, 8.2, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 5.08–5.00 (comp. m, 2H), 2.57 (dd, J = 13.7, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 2.49 (dddd, J = 7.1, 3.3, 1.3, 0.8Hz, 1H), 2.45 (dddd, J = 7.0, 3.2, 1.2, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 2.39 (app ddt, J = 13.6, 8.2, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 2.25 (d, 13.7 Hz, 1H), 2.24 (app ddt, J = 13.5, 6.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 1.97 (app t, J = 0.5 Hz, 3H), 1.89 (ddd, J = 14.4, 9.5, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 1.69 (ddd, J = 14.4, 7.1, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 1.21 (s, 3H), 1.12 (app d, J = 0.6 Hz. 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.9, 193.1, 157.9, 146.5, 140.3, 133.2, 129.9, 119.0, 52.9, 49.1, 44.9, 39.2, 38.6, 32.8, 27.2, 26.4, 23.4; IR (Neat film, NaCl) 2964, 2929, 1716, 1648, 1593, 1456, 1436, 1418, 1375, 1266 cm⁻¹. HRMS m/z calc'd for $C_{17}H_{22}O_2$ [M+H]: 259.1693, found 259.1694; $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 112.6$ (c 0.28, CH₂Cl₂). **Diastereomer B**: $R_f = 0.25$ (5:95 ethyl acetate/hexane); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.35 (s, 3H), 6.06 (dd, J = 2.4, 1.2, Hz, 1H), 5.66 (dddd, J = 17.2, 10.1, 7.8, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.08–5.02 (comp. m, 2H), 2.67 (dd, J = 13.5, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 2.54 (app ddt, 13.6, 7.9, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 2.47–2.34 (comp. m, 2H), 2.26 (app ddt, J = 13.6, 7.1, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 2.20 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 1.96 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 2H), 1.91 (ddd, J = 14.3, 9.5, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 1.68 (ddd, J = 14.4, 6.5, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 1.21 (s, 3H), 1.11 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.6, 192.8, 157.2, 146.2, 140.3, 133.5, 129.9, 119.0, 51.9, 49.2, 41.9, 39.4, 38.5, 33.1, 27.1, 25.9, 25.5; IR (Neat film, NaCl) 2927, 1715, 1647, 1591, 1451, 1378, 1270, 1034, 919 cm⁻¹; HRMS m/z calc'd for $C_{17}H_{22}O_2$ [M+H]: 259.1693, found 259.1700; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ –35.6 (c 0.28, CH_2Cl_2).

Tetraene 33. To a flame dried Schlenk flask backfilled with argon was added powdered Zn metal (3.20) g, 48.9 mmol, 7.5 equiv). After a brief vacuum purge and argon backfill, the metal was suspended in THF (45 mL). To this suspension was cannula transferred a prepared solution of 1,2-dibromoethane (0.675 mL, 7.83 mmol, 1.2 equiv) and trimethylsilyl chloride (0.271 mL, 2.13 mmol, 0.33 equiv) in THF (22.5 mL). The reaction vessel was sealed, then heated to 65 °C for 15 min. After this time had elapsed, the reaction was cooled to room temperature, and a solution of 4-iodo-2-methyl-1-butene (54, 1.92 g, 9.79 mmol, 1.5 equiv) in THF (22.5 mL) was cannula transferred into the suspension of activated Zn metal. The reaction vessel was sealed once again, and then was heated to 65 °C for 2 h. After this time had elapsed, the reaction was cooled to room temperature, and a prepared solution of triflate 44 (2.3 g, 6.53 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.377g, 0.33 mmol, 0.05 equiv) in THF (45 mL) was added to the alkyl zinc solution via cannula. The reaction was sealed and heated to 65 °C for 3 h. After reaction had completed by TLC, it was cooled to room temperature and filtered over a Celite pad with copious washing with Et₂O. The filtrate then was diluted with brine and extracted with Et₂O (4 x 100 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (40 mL), followed by saturated $Na_2S_2O_{3(aq)}$ (40 mL) to removed colored impurities. The washed organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and then solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude material obtained was then purified over silica gel using 0.5% $\rightarrow 1.0\% \rightarrow 1.5\% \rightarrow 3.0\%$ Et₂O in petroleum ether as eluent. This afforded tetraene **33** as a colorless oil (1.40 g, 78%): $R_f = 0.50$ (5:95 ethyl acetate/hexane); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.77–5.61 (comp. m, 2H), 5.20 (s, 1H), 5.10–4.97 (comp. m, 4H), 4.74 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 2.56 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 2.40–2.13 (comp. m, 8H), 2.05–1.98 (m, 1H), 1.77 (s, 3H), 1.09 (s, 3H), 1.04 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) d 214.4, 145.5, 142.5, 134.1, 134.0, 128.6, 118.6, 117.9, 110.1, 49.5, 48.7, 44.4, 44.3, 43.2, 36.5, 28.6, 26.5, 24.7, 22.7; IR (Neat film, NaCl) 3076, 2996, 2928, 2360, 1715, 1639, 1455, 1376, 1320, 1298, 1261, 1229, 1138, 1093, 996, 916, 887 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for $C_{19}H_{28}O$ [M⁺]: 272.2140, found 272.2138; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ -72.4 (c 0.22, CH₂Cl₂).

Bicyclic triolefin 31. To a flame dried flask was added tetraolefin 33 (160 mg, 588 mmol, 1.00 equiv). This oil was dissolved in benzene (5 mL), and then azeotroped from this solvent. This process was repeated three times, and then the resulting residue was dissolved in benzene (28 mL) and sparged with argon for 30 min. After the sparge time had elapsed, a single portion of Grubbs-Hoveyda catalyst 55 (34.0 mg, 59.0 μmol, 0.10 equiv) was added to the solution. The reaction was then heated to 40 °C. (Note: tetraolefin 33 and bicyclic triolefin 31 are difficult to separate by TLC in a wide variety of solvent systems, and frequently are seen to co-spot. In order to afford more efficient separation via TLC, the use of silver nitrate treated silica gel TLC plates is very effective.) After 20 min at 40 °C, the reaction had completed by TLC, and so was quenched via the addition of ethyl vinyl ether (20 mL). The solvents were removed in vacuo, and the resulting crude mixture was purified via chromatography over silica gel using $0.5\% \rightarrow 1.0\% \rightarrow 1.5\% \rightarrow 3.0\%$ Et₂O in petroleum ether as eluent. This afforded bicyclic triene 31 as a colorless oil (128 mg, 89% yield): $R_f = 0.50$ (5:95 ethyl acetate/hexane); ¹H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta 5.64 \text{ (dddd}, J = 16.8, 10.2, 8.4, 6.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 5.33 \text{ (dddd}, J = 6.9, 5.4, 2.9, 1.5 \text{ Hz},$ 1H), 5.19 (s, 1H), 5.01–4.93 (comp. m, 2H), 2.73 (dd, J = 13.4, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 2.53 (dddd, J = 13.2, 11.7, 5.3, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 2.45–2.39 (m, 2H), 2.22–2.17 (m, 1H), 2.22 (app ddt, J = 13.5, 8.4, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 2.11– 2.03 (m, 2H), 2.11 (app ddt, J = 13.5, 6.5, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 2.03 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 1.65 (s, 3H), 1.10 (s, 3H), 0.95 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 216.6, 145.3, 138.5, 134.0, 129.2, 120.2, 117.8, 51.7, 49.0, 46.3, 44.9, 37.4, 29.5, 28.1, 25.8, 23.7; IR (Neat film, NaCl) 3076, 2961, 2927, 1711, 1639, 1452, 1372, 1225, 1163, 997, 916 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for $C_{17}H_{24}O$ [M⁺]: 244.1827, found 244.1821; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ -96.7 (c 1.33, CH₂Cl₂).

Enoate 58. To a flame dried flask under argon was added tetraolefin 33 (49.0 mg, 0.180 mmol, 1.00 equiv). This material was dissolved in benzene and azeotroped thrice, then briefly dried under high vacuum to remove residual solvent. The flask was then charged with Grubbs-Hoveyda catalyst 55 (7.0 mg, 9.0 μmol, 0.05 equiv) and CH₂Cl₂ (12 mL) and was heated to 30 °C. The reaction was allowed to stir at this temperature for 20 min, after which time TLC analysis showed complete conversion of the starting material to the ring-closed product. After 20 min had elapsed the reaction was treated with methyl acrylate (243 µL, 2.70 mmol, 15 equiv) in a single portion, and the heat was increased to 40 °C. After 4 h, the reaction was quenched via the addition of water (20 mL). The phases were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (40 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material obtained was purified via chromatography over silica gel using $3\% \rightarrow 5\%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes as eluent. This afforded enoate **58** as a colorless oil (25.0 mg, 47% yield): $R_f = 0.10$ (5:95 ethyl acetate/hexane); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.77 (ddd, J = 15.7, 8.7, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 5.75 (app dt, J = 15.7, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (dddd, J = 7.1, 5.6, 3.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 2.65 (dd, J = 13.6, 0.7 Hz, 1H), (2.58–2.51 (m, 1H), 2.47–2.41 (comp. m, 2H), 2.39 (dd, J = 8.7, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 2.37 (dd, J = 8.7, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 2.22 (ddd, J = 13.6, 7.1, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 2.12-2.02 (m, 2H), 1.68-1.62 (m, 2H), 1.66 (s, 3H), 1.15 (s, 3H), 0.96 (s, 3H)3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 214.9, 166.5, 146.6, 144.3, 138.6, 128.2, 123.9, 119.9, 51.4, 49.6, 48.8, 44.8, 44.3, 37.5, 37.4, 29.5, 28.0, 25.8, 24.2; IR (Neat film, NaCl) 2954, 2879, 1725, 1657, 1436, 1374, 1332, 1271, 1200, 1151, 1039, 987, 851, 825 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for $C_{10}H_{26}O_3$ [M⁺]: 302.1882, found 302.1881; $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ –106.4 (*c* 1.09, CH₂Cl₂).

Bicyclic aldehyde 57. The following reaction was preformed in a glovebox under an atmosphere of nitrogen. To a flame dried flask was added tetraene 33 (100 mg, 0.37 mmol, 1.00 equiv) and PhH (10 mL). The solution was treated with Grubbs–Hoveyda catalyst 55 (23.0 mg, 37.0 μmol, 0.10 equiv) and was heated to 40 °C for 30 min. After this time had elapsed vinyl boronate ester 56⁵ (283 mg, 1.84 mmol, 5.0 equiv) was added via syringe and the temperature was maintained at 40 °C for 20 h. The reaction was then cooled to -20 °C briefly and treated with ethyl vinyl ether (ca. 200 μL) to quench the remaining catalyst. At this stage, the reaction was removed from the glovebox. Solvent was removed in vacuo, and the crude mixture was passed over a short plug of silica gel using 20% ethyl acetate in hexanes as eluent to remove all remaining catalyst and various ruthenium byproducts. The oil obtained was then redissolved in THF (10 mL) and treated with water (10 mL). A single portion of NaBO₃•H₂O (220 mg, 2.20 mmol, 6.00 equiv) was added, and the reaction was allowed to stir for 1 h. After complete consumption of the boronate was observed via TLC, the phases were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (4 x 20 mL). The combined organics were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified over silica gel using $5.0\% \rightarrow 7.5\%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes as eluent to afford bicyclic aldehyde 57 as a colorless oil (48.0 mg, 51% yield): $R_f = 0.20$ (10:90 ethyl acetate/hexane); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.71 (app t, J = 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.38–5.31 (m, 1H), 5.15 (s, 1H), 2.70 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.59–2.32 (comp. m, 5H), 2.12 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 2.24-2.04 (comp. m, 2 H), 1.89-1.64 (comp. m, 3 H), 1.67 (s, 3H), 1.12 (s, 3H), 0.97 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 215.5, 201.6, 146.4, 138.7, 129.0, 120.1, 51.6, 47.7, 39.9, 37.6, 37.2, 33.1, 29.6, 27.8, 25.9, 23.9; IR (Neat film, NaCl) 2960, 2927, 2360, 2341, 1711–1710 (overlapping peaks), 1452, 1374, 1296, 1163 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for $C_{17}H_{24}O_2$ [M⁺]: 260.1776, found 260.1784; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ -83.5 (c 1.09, CH₂Cl₂).

Supporting Information

Tricyclic diketone 63. To a flame dried Schlenk flask was added bicyclic aldehyde **57** (600 mg, 2.32 mmol, 1.0 equiv). Dry PhH (5 mL) was added, then evaporated under vacuum. This azeotropic drying procedure was repeated two additional times, and the resulting material was then dried under high vacuum and backfilled with argon. To this was added t-butyl thiol (0.78 mL, 6.91 mmol, 3.0 equiv), AIBN (568 mg, 3.46 mmol, 1.5 equiv), and PhH (20 mL). The reaction was freeze-pump-thawed thrice, and afterward was backfilled with argon. The reaction vessel was sealed and the reaction was heated to 80 °C and allowed to react over 22 h. After this time, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude material was purified over silica gel using a gradient of 5.0% \rightarrow 7.5% \rightarrow 10.0% ethyl acetate in hexanes as eluent to afford tricyclic diketone **57** as an amorphous solid (342 mg, 57% yield). An analytically pure sample was prepared via reverse-phase HPLC purification using 30% acetonitrile in water. X-ray diffraction samples were grown via diffusion crystallization of the amorphous solid from acetonitrile and water. $R_f = 0.40$ (10:90 ethyl) acetate/hexane); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.33 (ddq, J = 5.13, 5.13, 1.71 Hz, 1 H), 2.65 (d, J =14.5 Hz, 1H), 2.55-2.49 (m, 1H), 2.41-2.28 (m, 2H), 2.27-2.21 (m, 1H), 2.20-2.12 (m, 1H), 2.02 (d, J = 1.00)14.5 Hz, 1H), 2.01–1.93 (m, 2H), 1.89 (dd, J = 12.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 1.83–1.72 (m, 3H), 1.74 (s, 3H), 1.09 (s, 3H), 0.70 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 218.0, 212.8, 142.6, 121.0, 63.2, 52.6, 51.0, 47.8, 42.3, 40.1, 34.4, 32.4, 31.4, 25.4, 24.1, 21.7, 17.3; IR (Neat film, NaCl) 2961, 2926, 2868, 1735, 1705, 1576, 1453, 1380, 1149 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for $C_{17}H_{24}O_2$ [M⁺]: 260.1777, found 260.1776; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ -158.6 (c 0.925, CH₂Cl₂); mp 94–96 °C.

Tricyclic triflate 66. To a flame dried flask under argon was added tricyclic diketone **63** (250 mg, 0.960 mmol, 1.0 equiv). Dry PhH (5 mL) was added, then evaporated under vacuum. This azeotropic drying procedure was repeated two additional times, and the resulting material was then dried under


high vacuum briefly, then dissolved in THF (10 mL). A separate flame dried flask under argon was charged with potassium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide (211 mg, 1.06 mmol, 1.1 equiv) and THF (10 mL). The flask containing diketone 63 was cooled to -78 °C, and the basic solution was cannula transferred into the cooled solution containing the substrate diketone via a positive pressure of argon. Deprotonation was allowed over 30 min. After this time had elapsed, a solution of N-phenyl bis(trifluoromethane)sulfonimide (395 mg, 1.10 mmol, 1.15 equiv) in THF (10 mL) was cannula transferred to the anionic solution under a positive pressure of argon. After 3 h, the reaction was quenched via addition of a solution of saturated NaHCO3 (aq). The phases were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic layers were washed, sequentially, with 2 N NaOH_(aq) (30 mL), 2 N HCl_(aq) (30 mL), and brine (2 x 30 mL). The organic layers were then dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude material was purified over silica gel using $0.5\% \rightarrow 1.0\%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes as eluent to afford triflate 66 as a white solid (226 mg, 60% yield): $R_f = 0.45$ (10:90 ethyl acetate/hexane); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 5.16 (ddq, J = 5.1, 1.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 5.08 (dd, J = 3.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 2.07 (dd, J = 10.7, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 2.02 (br. t, J = 13.3 Hz, 1 H), 1.94–1.86 (m, 3H), 1.90 (s, 1H), 1.85 – 1.79 (m, 1H), 1.74 (app ddt, J =14.8, 6.8, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 1.59 (s, 3H), 1.57 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 1H), 1.54 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 1H), 1.38–1.31 (m, 1H), 1.35 (dd, J = 14.4, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 1.23 (s, 3H), 0.44 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 209.8, 153.2, 141.9, 121.4, 116.0, 57.6, 54.1, 54.0, 51.2, 41.6, 38.1, 36.5, 32.5, 26.2, 25.0, 23.6, 16.8; IR (Neat film, NaCl) 2932, 1709, 1656, 1423, 1382, 1245, 1211, 1141, 1097, 927 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for $C_{17}H_{23}F_3O_4S$ [M⁺]: 392.1269, found 392.1273; [α]²⁵_D -101.9 (c 0.63, CH_2Cl_2).

Cyanthiwigin F (6). To a flame dried 1 dram vial under argon was added CuCN (3.8 mg, 40.0 μmol, 1.5 equiv), followed by 0.5 mL of THF. This suspension was cooled to -78 °C, and to this was dropwise added *i*-PrMgCl (40 μL, 1.91 M solution in THF, 80.0 μmol, 3.00 equiv). After complete addition, the reaction was warmed to 0 °C and allowed to remain at this temperature until a homogeneous pale pink solution was obtained (~10 min). A separate solution was then prepared,

consisting of Pd(dppf)Cl₂ (3.0 mg, 5.0 µmol, 0.15 equiv) and triflate species **66** (10.0 mg, 25.0 µmol, 1.00 equiv) dissolved in 0.5 mL of THF. The solution containing 66 was treated with the organocuprate solution via dropwise cannula addition at 0 °C. This was allowed to react at 0 °C for 3 h, after which time the reaction was quenched with a 1:1 mixture of saturated NH₄Cl_(aq) and NH₄OH_(aq) (1 mL). The phases were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 5 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified over silica gel using 1% Et₂O in petroleum ether as eluent to afford colorless crystals (4.4 mg, 63% yield, 1.8 : 1 mixture of 6 : 67). An analytically pure sample of 6 was prepared via reverse-phase HPLC purification using a gradient of $15\% \rightarrow 30\%$ acetonitrile in water. $R_f = 0.30$ (5:95 ethyl acetate/hexane); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.38 (app q, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.34 (ddq, J =5.1, 1.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 2.60 (d, J = 16.1 Hz, 1H), 2.50 (d, J = 13.7 Hz, 1H), 2.47 (app t, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.24 (app t, J = 13.3 Hz, 1H), 2.19–2.17 (m, 1H), 2.15 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 1.99 (app ddt, J = 14.6, 6.8, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 1.98 (d, J = 14.1 Hz, 1H), 1.89 (dd, J = 16.1, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 1.84 (app ddt, J = 14.2, 6.8, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 1.74 (s, 3H), 1.73 (app dd, J = 14.6, 8.3 Hz, 1H), 1.61 (dt, J = 11.0, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 1.25 (m, 1H), 1.15 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 3H), 1.09 (s, 3H), 0.99 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.70 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 215.5, 156.5, 141.8, 121.4, 119.9, 59.8, 55.2, 54.7, 54.3, 42.7, 42.2, 37.8, 33.2, 30.3, 26.4, 25.1, 22.8, 22.4, 21.6, 17.3; IR (Neat film, NaCl) 2961, 2924, 1703, 1444, 1380, 1294, 1144, 912, 858, 810 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for $C_{20}H_{30}O_2$ [M⁺]: 286.2297, found 286.2292; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -125.4 (c 0.025, MeOH).

Reduction byproduct 67. This material was obtained as a side product from the cross-coupling attempts to synthesize cyanthiwigin F (**6**), presumably as a result of reduction of the triflate moiety of **66**. Compound **67** was later synthesized directly by the following method: To a flame dried vial containing 22 mg of **66** (22 mg, 56.0 μmol, 1.00 equiv) was added dppp (7.0 mg, 17.0 μmol, 0.30 equiv) and PdCl₂(dppf) (5.0 mg, 6.83 μmol, 0.12 equiv). The solids were dissolved in DMF (0.5 mL), and the resulting solution was treated with 114 of Bu₃N (114 μL, 0.479 μmol, 0.009 equiv) and 11 of formic acid (11 μL, 0.292 μmol, 0.005 equiv) (Note: Upon addition of the formic acid, the reaction evolves

white smoke). The reaction mixture was heated to 95 °C for 4 h, after which time all of the starting triflate was observed to be consumed by TLC. The reaction was guenched by the addition of brine (1 mL), followed by dilution with Et,O (2 mL). The aqueous and organic phases were separated, and the aqueous phase was thereafter extracted with Et₂O (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with 2 N HCl_(aa) (5 mL) to remove any residual amine, then washed with brine (3 mL). The collected organic phases were dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and then concentrated in vacuo. The resulting crude material was purified over silica gel using 2% Et₂O in petroleum ether to afford 67 as a white solid (4.0 mg, 29% yield): $R_f = 0.30 (5.95 \text{ ethyl acetate/hexane})$; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.00–5.94 (m, 1H), 5.72-5.68 (m, 1H), 5.39-5.30 (m, 1H), 2.55 (ddd, J = 16.6, 4.4, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 2.39 (d, J = 14.9 Hz, 1H), 2.23 (t, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 2.18–2.12 (comp. m, 1H), 2.08 (dd, J = 2.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 2.03 (dd, J = 2.7) 2.7, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 2.02 (d, J = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 2.01 (dddd, J = 14.6, 6.9, 1.5, 1.5 Hz 1H), 1.99 (d, J = 14.6Hz, 1H), 1.97-1.94 (m, 1H), 1.75 (s, 3H), 1.61 (s, 1H), 1.43-1.35 (m, 1H), 1.12 (s, 3H), 0.7 (s, 3H); 13 C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 215.7, 142.0, 134.9, 128.0, 121.5, 59.4, 55.8, 54.9, 51.9, 43.8, 41.9, 37.5, 33.1, 26.6, 25.3, 23.8, 16.8; IR (Neat film, NaCl) 2961, 2927, 1702, 1559, 1441, 1380, 1293, 1257, 1140, 856, 726 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for $C_{17}H_{24}O$ [M⁺]: 244.1827, found 244.1821; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} - 238.4$ (c 0.02, MeOH).



Tricyclic Enone 69. A flame dried vial charged with tricyclic diketone **63** (22 mg, 77.0 μmol, 1.00 equiv), and this material was thereafter dissolved in THF (200 μL). A separate flame dried vial was backfilled with argon and cycled into a glovebox. Once inside, this vessel was charged with KHMDS (19 mg, 95.0 μmol, 1.30 equiv), and then was removed from the glovebox. Once placed on a manifold line, the KHMDS was dissolved in THF (200 μL). Both solutions were cooled to –78 °C, and then the KHMDS solution was added dropwise to the solution of diketone **63**. The mixed solutions were allowed to deprotonate at –78 °C for 30 min, after which time allyl chloroformate (10 μL, 92.0 μmol, 1.20 equiv) was added. This was allowed to react for a further 2.5 h at –78 °C. Once this time had elapsed, the reaction was warmed slowly to room temperature, and then was quenched with an excess of saturated

NH₄Cl_(aq). The phases were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (4 x 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (5 mL), then dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. The crude material obtained was briefly passed over a plug of silica gel using 3% ethyl acetate in hexanes as eluent. The material obtained from this rapid purification was used directly in the next reaction without further characterization.

The material obtained from the silica plug above (ca. 16.6 mg) was transferred to a flame dried vial under argon, and then was azeotroped thrice from acetonitrile. The material was briefly dried under high vacuum, and then $Pd_2(pmdba)_3$ (2.8 mg, 2.0 µmol, 0.05 equiv) and acetonitrile (250 µL) were added. The reaction vial was sealed, and then the reaction was heated to 80 °C. After 2 h, the vial was cooled to room temperature, and solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude material obtained was purified via chromatography over silica using 5% ethyl acetate in hexanes as eluent. This afforded enone **69** as a colorless oil (11 mg, 57% yield): $R_f = 0.60$ (10:90 ethyl acetate/hexane); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.31 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 6.09 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (dddd, J = 8.7, 5.2, 3.6, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 2.37 (app ddt, J = 14.3, 6.8, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 2.27–2.14 (m, 2H) 2.21 (dd, J = 15.7, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 2.15 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 2.04 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 2.03 (app ddt, J = 15.0, 6.7, 1.5 Hz, 1H) 1.75 (s, 3H), 1.77–1.67 (m, 1H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.27 (app dtd, J = 13.9, 12.1, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 1.21 (d, J = 15.1 Hz, 1H), 0.82 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.7, 210.6, 165.9, 142.7, 131.4, 120.7, 59.1, 56.8, 55.5, 51.7, 41.7, 38.4, 32.9, 26.9, 26.0, 25.3, 17.9; IR (Neat film, NaCl) 2963, 2925, 2867, 1703, 1590, 1444, 1381, 1331, 1255, 1227, 1184, 1070, 996 cm⁻¹; HRMS (MM: ESI-APCI) m/z calc'd for $C_{17}H_{22}O_2$ [M⁺]: 258.1620, found 258.1622; $[\alpha]_{125}^{25} - 210.9$ (c 0.97, CH₂Cl₂).

Allylic alcohol 70. To a flame dried vial was added enone **69** (29 mg, 0.112 mmol, 1.00 equiv). This material was briefly vacuum purged, and then was backfilled with argon. The vial was cycled into the glovebox, where it was charged with CeCl₃ (31 mg, 0.118 mmol, 1.05 equiv). The vial was then removed from the glovebox, placed under a manifold line, charged with THF (1.1 mL), and cooled to – 78 °C. After reaching the desired temperature, isopropyl lithium (200 μL, 0.7 M in hexanes, 0.135 mmol, 1.20 equiv) was added to the suspension dropwise at –78 °C. The reaction was allowed to reach


room temperature slowly by warming in the bath overnight. The reaction looked incomplete the next morning, and so was allowed to continue for an additional 14 h (total 22 h). The reaction was thereafter quenched with saturated NH₄Cl_(aa) (2 mL). The phases were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (4 x 5 mL). The combined organics were washed with brine (5 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material obtained was purified via chromatography over silica gel using $7\% \rightarrow 8\% \rightarrow 9\%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes as eluent. This afforded allylic alcohol 70 as a clear oil (26 mg, isolated as two diastereomers, 76% combined yield). **Diastereomer A**: $R_f = 0.40 (20.80 \text{ ethyl acetate/hexane})$; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.70 (d, J = 5.6Hz, 1H), 5.54 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (dddd, J = 8.6, 5.1, 3.4, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 2.27 (dd, J = 15.4, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 2.25 (m, 1H) 2.06 (d, J = 15.4 Hz, 1H), 2.00 (app ddt, J = 14.9, 6.6, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 1.99 (d, J = 10.6Hz, 2H), 1.91 (app ddt, J = 13.6, 6.6, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 1.85 (app dt, J = 10.6, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 1.81–1.73 (comp. m, 3H), 1.75 (s, 3H), 1.30 (s, 3H), 1.11 (dddd, J = 13.6, 12.1, 10.6, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 1.04 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.93 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.83 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 214.6, 142.3, 137.3, 36.2, 121.2, 90.3, 59.9, 57.2, 55.1, 49.5, 42.9, 39.0, 36.6, 33.6, 28.5, 26.9, 25.5, 18.7, 18.2, 18.0; IR (Neat film, NaCl) 3494, 2968, 2923, 1694, 1456, 1382, 1286, 1249, 1137, 1110, 979 cm⁻¹; HRMS (MM: ESI-APCI) m/z calc'd for $C_{20}H_{30}O_2$ [M⁺]: 302.2246, found 302.2248; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -89.3 (c 0.72, CH_2Cl_2). **Diastereomer B**: $R_f = 0.20 (20:80 \text{ ethyl acetate/hexane})$; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.83 (d, J = 5.8Hz, 1H), 5.62 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.38–5.33 (m, 1H), 2.30 (d, J = 18.1 Hz, 1H), 2.27–2.20 (m, 2H), 2.10 (dd, J = 18.1, 0.5 Hz, 2H), 2.01 (d, J = 10.7, 2H), 1.86 (dd, J = 14.5, 8.9 Hz, 2H), 1.81-1.75 (m, 2H),1.77 (s, 3H), 1.47 (s, 3H) 1.41 - 1.34 (m, 1H), 1.06 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.05 (s, 3H), 0.71 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, J = 6.6 Hz3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 141.8, 138.5, 121.8, 92.5, 62.8, 59.3, 54.3, 48.9, 44.4, 36.2, 33.6, 31.5, 30.3, 29.3, 25.9, 21.0, 19.9, 18.5; IR (Neat film, NaCl) 3472, 2963, 2925, 1698, 1449, 1382, 1287, 1246, 1012, 980, 795 cm⁻¹; HRMS (MM: ESI-APCI) m/z calc'd for C₂₀H₃₀O₂ [M⁺]: 302.2246, found 302.2249; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ -67.3 (c 0.16, CH₂Cl₂).

Cyanthiwigin B (2). To a flame dried vial was added allylic alcohol **70** (8.2 mg, 27.0 μmol, 1.00 equiv). This was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (275 μL), and then was treated with PCC (23 mg, 108.0 μmol, 4.00 equiv). This reaction was allowed to stir at room temperature for 14 h, and then was diluted with diethyl ether (1 mL) and passed over a plug of celite. The celite pad was washed with additional diethyl ether (5 mL), and the collected organic solvents were concentrated to dryness. The residue obtained was purified over silica gel using 6.5% ethyl acetate in hexanes as eluent. This afforded cyanthiwigin B (2) as a white solid (7.0 mg, 86% yield): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.81 (s, 1H), 5.30–5.25 (m, 1H), 2.73 (app dt, J = 13.5, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 2.54 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 2.20–2.12 (m, 1H), 2.10 (s, 1H), 2.09 (dd, J = 13.6, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 2.02 (app ddt, J = 15.1, 6.5, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 2.00 (d, J = 13.7 Hz, 1H), 1.81 (app ddt, J = 14.2, 6.6, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 1.71 (dd, J = 14.8, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 1.69 (s, 3H), 1.56 (ddd, J = 11.4, 9.3, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 1.47–1.39 (m, 1H), 1.24 (s, 3H), 1.23 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.10 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 0.68 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 207.2, 141.4, 123.2, 121.7, 63.7, 58.9, 56.8, 56.2, 42.6, 39.1, 33.7, 31.4, 31.2, 28.5, 25.8, 25.2, 21.9, 21.4, 18.3; IR (Neat film, NaCl) 2920, 1723, 1693, 1602, 1452, 1261, 1161, 866 cm⁻¹; HRMS (MM: ESI-APCI) m/z calc'd for C₂₀H₂₈O₂ [M⁺]: 300.2089, found 300.2093. [α]²⁴_D – 215.2 (c 0.84, CH,Cl₂), [α]²⁵_D –195.7 (c 0.84, MeOH).

8-epi-Cyanthiwigin E (**71**). To a flame dried vial was added cyanthiwigin B (**2**, 7.2 mg, 24.0 μmol, 1.00 equiv). The solids were dissolved in a 1 : 1 mixture of CH₂Cl₂ and methanol (1.0 mL). In a separate flame dried vial, NaBH₄ (23 mg) was dissolved in 1 : 1 mixture of CH₂Cl₂ and methanol (2.0 mL). Both solutions were cooled to –78 °C, and then a portion of the NaBH₄ solution (100 μL, 1.1 mg, 30.0 μmol, 1.20 equiv) was added to the solution of cyanthiwigin B (**2**). After 1 h, no conversion was observed, and so an additional portion of the NaBH₄ solution was added (100 μL, 1.1 mg, 30.0 μmol,

1.2 equiv). After no conversion was observed over an additional 2.5 h period, an additional portion of the NaBH₄ solution was added (200 μ L, 2.2 mg, 60.0 μ mol, 2.40 equiv) and the temperature of reaction was warmed to -45 °C. The reaction was thereafter allowed to progress overnight. After an additional 8 h of reaction, conversion was still incomplete, and so reaction was pushed to completion by the addition of solid NaBH₄ (4.0 mg, 106.0 μ mol, 4.40 equiv). The reaction was allowed to stir for 3 h, and then was quenched with acetone (1 mL) and 2 N NaOH_(aq) (5 mL). The phases were separated, and the organic layer was washed immediately with brine (5 mL), and then dried over Na₂SO₄. The reaction was filtered and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude material obtained was purified over silica using 20% \rightarrow 30% ethyl acetate in hexanes as eluent. Repurification was then executed using 7% \rightarrow 10% \rightarrow 20% \rightarrow 50% ethyl acetate in hexanes as eluent. The material obtained as a white solid was difficult to characterize, and so was taken directly into the next reaction.


The white solid obtained above (ca. 2.2 mg) and MnO₂ (9.0 mg, 108.0 µmol, 15.0 equiv) were added to a flame dried vial. These solids were suspended in CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL), and were allowed to stir at room temperature for 15 h. The reaction was then passed over a plug of celite, and the plug was washed with ethyl acetate (15 mL). The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the crude material was purified via chromatography over silica using 6% ethyl acetate in hexanes as eluent. The material obtained was then repurified over silica using 4% ethyl acetate in hexanes as eluent. This afforded 8-*epi*-cyanthiwigin E (71) as a white solid (1.1 mg, 15% over two steps): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.93 (s, 1H), 5.40–5.35 (m, 1H), 4.15 (ddd, J = 11.3, 5.0, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 2.63 (app dt, J = 13.6, 6.9, 1H), 2.53 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 2.45 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 2.29–2.20 (m, 1H), 2.12–2.04 (m, 1H), 1.98 (app ddt, J = 15.0, 6.3, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 1.89 (dd, J = 14.8, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 1.71 (s, 3H), 1.63 (dd, J = 13.8, 11.3 Hz, 1H), 1.57 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 1.43–1.28 (comp. m, 3H), 1.24 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.11 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.10 (s, 3H), 0.95 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 215.9, 193.9, 139.4, 125.4, 123.1, 77.4, 67.4, 56.6, 55.3, 55.0, 44.8, 44.4, 35.2, 34.2, 33.5, 25.7, 25.3, 23.3, 22.1, 20.4, 19.6; IR (Neat film, NaCl) 3429, 2963, 2918, 1684, 1602, 1444, 1367, 1270, 1002 cm⁻¹; HRMS (MM: ESI-APCI) m/z calc'd for C₂₀H₃₀O₂ [M⁺]: 302.2246, found 302.2248; $[\alpha]^{123}_{p}$ –7.19 (c 0.16, MeOH).



8-epi-Cyanthiwigin E (71)

Cyanthiwigin G (7)

Cyanthiwigin G (7). To a flame dried vial was added *epi*-8-cyanthiwigin E (**71**, 1.1 mg, 4.0 μmol, 1.00 equiv). This vial was then cycled into the glovebox directly, and then was treated with Martin's sulfurane (6.2 mg, 9.0 μmol, 2.50 equiv). The vial was removed from the glovebox and transferred to a manifold line, where it was then charged with CDCl₃ (250 μL) and allowed to react at room temperature for 5 h. After this time had elapsed, the reaction was directly loaded onto a silica gel column and purified via chromatography using 3% ethyl acetate in hexanes as eluent. This afforded cyanthiwigin G (**7**) as a white solid (0.5 mg, 48% yield): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.87 (d, J = 0.9 Hz, 1H), 5.69 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 5.54 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 5.39–5.35 (m, 1H), 2.75–2.67 (m, 1H), 2.48 (d, J = 10.4, 1H), 2.22–2.12 (comp. m, 2H), 1.96 (app ddt, J = 14.7, 6.7, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 1.89 (dd, J = 14.5, 8.6 Hz, 1H), 1.74 (s, 3H), 1.77–1.67 (m, 1H), 1.36–1.29 (comp. m, 2H), 1.25 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 1.15 (s, 3H), 1.13 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.88 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.5, 192.1, 141.4, 140.9, 127.3, 124.4, 122.2, 58.2, 55.3, 53.8, 41.5, 37.6, 33.7, 33.4, 30.5, 28.4, 26.1, 25.2, 23.2, 20.3, 19.8; IR (Neat film, NaCl) 2962, 2921, 1706, 1601, 1444, 1366, 1261, 1156, 1091, 863, 761 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for C₂₀H₂₉O [M+H]: 285.2218, found 285.2221; [α]²⁵_D –12.35 (c 0.10, MeOH).






Figure S.2 Infrared spectrum (thin film/NaCl) of diallyl succinate (37).





Figure S.3 ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) of diallyl succinate (37).






Figure S.5 Infrared spectrum (thin film/NaCl) of diallyl succinyl succinate (39).





Figure S.6 ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) of diallyl succinyl succinate (**39**).






Figure S.8 Infrared spectrum (thin film/NaCl) of bis(β-ketoester) **36**.





Figure S.9 13 C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) of bis(β -ketoester) **36**.






Figure S.11 Infrared spectrum (thin film/NaCl) of diketone 35.





Figure S.12 ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) of diketone **35**.






Figure S.14 Infrared spectrum (thin film/NaCl) of trilfate 44.





Figure S.15 ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) of triflate **44**.






Figure S.17 Infrared spectrum (thin film/NaCl) of enoate 45.





Figure S.18 ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) of enoate **45**.






Figure S.20 Infrared spectrum (thin film/NaCl) of cyclopentadienone 46.





Figure S.21 ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) of cyclopentadienone **46**.






Figure S.23 Infrared spectrum (thin film/NaCl) of alkyne 49.





Figure S.24 ¹³C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) of alkyne **49**.






Figure S.26 Infrared spectrum (thin film/NaCl) of ketone 49.





Figure S.27 ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) of ketone **49**.



S44




Figure S.29 Infrared spectrum (thin film/NaCl) of bicyclic enone **53(A)**.




Figure S.30 ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) of bicyclic enone **53(A)**.




Figure S.31 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) of bicyclic enone 204(B).




Figure S.32 Infrared spectrum (thin film/NaCl) of bicyclic enone **53(B)**.





Figure S.33 ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) of bicyclic enone **53(B)**.






Figure S.35 Infrared spectrum (thin film/NaCl) of tetraolefin 33.





Figure S.36 ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) of tetraolefin **33**.






Figure S.38 Infrared spectrum (thin film/NaCl) of bicyclic ketone 31.





Figure S.39 ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) of bicyclic ketone **31**.






Figure S.41 Infrared spectrum (thin film/NaCl) of bicyclic aldehyde 57.





Figure S.42 ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) of bicyclic aldehyde **57**.






Figure S.44 Infrared spectrum (thin film/NaCl) of bicyclic enoate 58.





Figure S.45 ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) of bicyclic enoate **58**.






Figure S.47 Infrared spectrum (thin film/NaCl) of tricyclic diketone 63.




Figure S.48 ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) of tricyclic diketone **63**.




Figure S.49 ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆) of tricyclic triflate **66**.




Figure S.50 Infrared spectrum (thin film/NaCl) of tricyclic triflate 66.





Figure S.51 ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆) of tricyclic triflate **66**.



S60




Figure S.53 Infrared spectrum (thin film/NaCl) of cyanthiwigin F (6).





Figure S.54 ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) of cyanthiwigin F (6).



S62




Figure S.56 Infrared spectrum (thin film/NaCl) of tricyclic ketone 67.





Figure S.57 ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) of tricyclic ketone **67**.






Figure S.59 Infrared spectrum (thin film/NaCl) of tricyclic enone 69.




Figure S.60 ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) of tricyclic enone **69**.




Figure S.61 ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) of allylic alcohol **70(A)**.




Figure S.62 Infrared spectrum (thin film/NaCl) of allylic alcohol **70(A)**.





Figure S.63 ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) of allylic alcohol **70(A)**.






Figure S.65 Infrared spectrum (thin film/NaCl) of allylic alcohol **70(B)**.





Figure S.66 ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) of allylic alcohol **70(B)**.






Figure S.68 Infrared spectrum (thin film/NaCl) of cyanthiwigin B (2).





Figure S.69 ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) of cyanthiwigin B (2).






Figure S.71 Infrared spectrum (thin film/NaCl) of 8-epi-cyanthiwigin E (71).





Figure S.72 13 C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) of 8-epi-cyanthiwigin E (**71**).



S74




Figure S.74 Infrared spectrum (thin film/NaCl) of cyanthiwigin G (7).




Figure S.75 ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) of cyanthiwigin G (7).

References

- (a) Helmchen, G.; Pfaltz, A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2000, 33, 336–345.
 (b) Tani, K.; Behenna, D. C.;
 McFadden, R. M.; Stoltz, B. M. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2529–2531.
- (2) Peer, M.; de Jong, J. C.; Kiefer, M.; Langer, T.; Rieck, H.; Schell, H.; Sennhenn, P.; Sprinz, J.; Steinhagen, H.; Wiese, B.; Helmchen, G. *Tetrahedron* **1996**, *52*, 7547–7583.
- (3) Tivola, P. B.; Deagostino, A.; Prandi, C.; Venturello, P. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2001, 437–441.
- (4) (a) Yong, K.; Lotoski, J. A.; Chong, J. M. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 8248–8251. (b) Helmboldt,
 H.; Köhler, D.; Hiersemann, M. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 1573–1576.
- (5) For development and synthetic application of the vinyl boronate cross-metathesis, See: (a) Morrill, C.; Funk, T. W.; Grubbs, R. H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2004, 45, 7733–7736. (b) Morrill, C.; Grubbs, R. H. *J. Org. Chem.* 2003, 68, 6031–6034. (c) Njardarson, J. T.; Biswas, K.; Danishefsky, S. J. *Chem. Commun.* 2002, 2759–2761.
- (6) Ebert, H. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1885, 229, 45–88.
- (7) Conditions for this optimized procedure were adapted from a Dieckmann reaction previously published by our group in *Organic Syntheses*. See: Mohr, J. T.; Krout, M. R.; Stoltz, B. M. *Org. Synth.* **2009**, *86*, 194–211.

Table S.1 Crystal data and structure refinement for tricyclic diketone 214 (CCDC 664430).

Empirical formula $C_{17}H_{24}O_2$ Formula weight 260.36

Crystallization Solvent Water/acetonitrile

Crystal Habit Fragment

Crystal size $0.39 \times 0.28 \times 0.09 \text{ mm}^3$

Crystal color Colorless

Data Collection

Type of diffractometer Bruker SMART 1000 Wavelength $1.54178 \text{ Å CuK}\alpha$

Data Collection Temperature 100(2) K

 θ range for 5024 reflections used

in lattice determination 4.12 to 65.79° Unit cell dimensions a = 7.4937(2) Å b = 9.0345(2) Å

b = 9.0345(2) Åc = 21.4487(5) Å

Volume 1452.12(6) Å³

Z 4

Crystal system Orthorhombic

Space group $P2_12_12_1$

Density (calculated) 1.191 Mg/m³

F(000) 568

Data collection program Bruker SMART v5.630

 θ range for data collection 4.12 to 65.79°

Completeness to $\theta = 65.79^{\circ}$ 96.1 %

Index ranges $-7 \le h \le 8, -10 \le k \le 8, -21 \le l \le 24$

Reflections collected 7914

Independent reflections 2344 [R_{int} = 0.0753]

Absorption coefficient 0.593 mm⁻¹

Absorption correction None

Max. and min. transmission 0.9486 and 0.8017

Table S.1 (cont.)

Structure solution and Refinement

Structure solution program SHELXS-97 (Sheldrick, 1990)

Primary solution method Direct methods

Secondary solution method Difference Fourier map

Hydrogen placement Difference Fourier map

Structure refinement program SHELXL-97 (Sheldrick, 1997)
Refinement method Full matrix least-squares on F²

Data / restraints / parameters 2344 / 0 / 268
Treatment of hydrogen atoms Unrestrained

Goodness-of-fit on F^2 1.394

Final R indices [I>2 σ (I), 2083 reflections] R1 = 0.0335, wR2 = 0.0669 R indices (all data) R1 = 0.0391, wR2 = 0.0686

Type of weighting scheme used Sigma

Weighting scheme used $w=1/\sigma^2(\text{Fo}^2)$

Max shift/error 0.000
Average shift/error 0.000

Absolute structure determination Anomalous differences

Absolute structure parameter 0.2(2)


Largest diff. peak and hole 0.142 and -0.155 e.Å⁻³

Special Refinement Details

Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor (wR) and goodness of fit (S) are based on F^2 , conventional R-factors (R) are based on F, with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Figure S.76 ORTEP drawing of tricyclic diketone **63** (shown with 50% probability ellipsoids) (CCDC 664430)



Crystallographic data have been deposited at the CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK and copies can be obtained on request, free of charge, by quoting the publication citation and the deposition number 664430.

Table S.2 Atomic coordinates $(x \ 10^4)$ and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(\mathring{A}^2x \ 10^3)$ for diketone **63** (CCDC 664430). U(eq) is defined as the trace of the orthogonalized U^{ij} tensor.

	X	y	Z	$\mathrm{U}_{\mathbf{eq}}$
O(1)	8369(2)	2053(2)	7466(1)	32(1)
O(2)	13478(2)	3487(2)	5446(1)	29(1)
C(1)	9211(2)	2001(2)	6981(1)	21(1)
C(2)	10059(2)	3336(2)	6653(1)	15(1)
C(3)	8595(2)	4237(2)	6306(1)	15(1)
C(4)	7465(3)	5062(2)	6791(1)	18(1)
C(5)	5740(3)	5787(2)	6542(1)	25(1)
C(6)	6041(2)	7246(2)	6214(1)	23(1)
C(7)	7053(3)	7331(2)	5712(1)	24(1)
C(8)	7949(3)	6025(2)	5407(1)	22(1)
C(9)	9420(3)	5246(2)	5792(1)	17(1)
C(10)	10444(3)	4224(2)	5340(1)	20(1)
C(11)	11962(2)	3449(2)	5658(1)	20(1)
C(12)	11518(2)	2593(2)	6244(1)	17(1)
C(13)	10651(3)	1092(2)	6049(1)	22(1)
C(14)	9615(3)	599(2)	6620(1)	24(1)
C(15)	5143(3)	8573(3)	6497(1)	30(1)
C(16)	10728(3)	6397(2)	6053(1)	19(1)
C(17)	13190(3)	2308(2)	6637(1)	23(1)

Table \$.3 Bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] for diketone **63** (CCDC 664430)

O(1)-C(1)	1.219(2)	C(1)-C(2)-C(3)	109.78(14)
O(2)- $C(11)$	1.224(2)	C(12)-C(2)-C(3)	116.84(14)
C(1)- $C(14)$	1.514(3)	C(1)-C(2)-H(2)	108.8(9)
C(1)-C(2)	1.533(2)	C(12)-C(2)-H(2)	111.2(9)
C(2)- $C(12)$	1.554(2)	C(3)-C(2)-H(2)	107.8(9)
C(2)-C(3)	1.556(2)	C(4)-C(3)-C(2)	108.61(14)
C(2)-H(2)	0.972(16)	C(4)-C(3)-C(9)	114.51(15)
C(3)-C(4)	1.534(3)	C(2)-C(3)-C(9)	111.44(14)
C(3)-C(9)	1.559(2)	C(4)-C(3)-H(3)	107.6(9)
C(3)-H(3)	1.050(16)	C(2)-C(3)-H(3)	106.6(9)
C(4)-C(5)	1.544(3)	C(9)-C(3)-H(3)	107.7(8)
C(4)-H(4A)	1.008(19)	C(3)-C(4)-C(5)	115.75(16)
C(4)-H(4B)	0.995(18)	C(3)-C(4)-H(4A)	111.3(11)
C(5)-C(6)	1.511(3)	C(5)-C(4)-H(4A)	107.4(11)
C(5)-H(5A)	0.994(19)	C(3)-C(4)-H(4B)	108.6(10)
C(5)-H(5B)	1.06(2)	C(5)-C(4)-H(4B)	107.8(10)
C(6)-C(7)	1.320(3)	H(4A)-C(4)-H(4B)	105.5(14)
C(6)-C(15)	1.503(3)	C(6)-C(5)-C(4)	113.95(17)
C(7)-C(8)	1.507(3)	C(6)-C(5)-H(5A)	109.1(11)
C(7)-H(7)	0.99(2)	C(4)-C(5)-H(5A)	106.3(11)
C(8)-C(9)	1.547(3)	C(6)-C(5)-H(5B)	108.4(12)
C(8)-H(8A)	1.046(19)	C(4)-C(5)-H(5B)	107.8(11)
C(8)-H(8B)	1.013(19)	H(5A)-C(5)-H(5B)	111.3(15)
C(9)-C(16)	1.535(2)	C(7)-C(6)-C(15)	122.73(18)
C(9)-C(10)	1.543(2)	C(7)-C(6)-C(5)	121.05(18)
C(10)- $C(11)$	1.500(3)	C(15)-C(6)-C(5)	116.21(18)
C(10)-H(10A)	1.008(19)	C(6)-C(7)-C(8)	124.41(19)
C(10)-H(10B)	0.94(2)	C(6)-C(7)-H(7)	121.8(11)
$C(10)$ - $\Gamma(10D)$ C(11)- $C(12)$	1.514(2)	C(8)-C(7)-H(7)	113.8(11)
C(12)- $C(12)$	1.532(3)	C(7)-C(8)-C(9)	116.25(16)
C(12)- $C(17)C(12)$ - $C(13)$	1.561(3)	C(7)- $C(8)$ - $H(8A)$	109.8(11)
C(12)-C(13) C(13)-C(14)	1.518(3)	C(9)-C(8)-H(8A)	109.5(11)
C(13)-C(14) C(13)-H(13A)	0.966(18)	C(7)-C(8)-H(8B)	110.5(10)
C(13)-H(13R)	0.973(19)	C(9)-C(8)-H(8B)	105.5(10)
C(14)-H(14A)	0.973(19)	H(8A)-C(8)-H(8B)	105.5(15)
C(14)- $H(14B)$	1.00(2)	C(16)-C(9)-C(10)	103.5(13)
C(14)- $H(14B)C(15)$ - $H(15A)$	1.00(2)	C(16)-C(9)-C(8)	109.99(15)
C(15)-H(15H)	1.01(2)	C(10)-C(9)-C(8)	106.98(15)
C(15)-H(15C)	0.96(2)	C(16)-C(9)-C(3)	112.96(14)
C(16)-H(16A)	1.03(2)	C(10)-C(9)-C(3)	106.99(14)
C(16)-H(16B)	1.000(19)	C(8)-C(9)-C(3)	111.19(15)
C(16)-H(16C)	1.036(19)	C(11)-C(10)-C(9)	111.79(15)
C(17)-H(17A)	1.030(19)	C(11)-C(10)-C(9) C(11)-C(10)-H(10A)	107.4(10)
C(17)- $H(17A)C(17)$ - $H(17B)$	1.01(2)	C(11)-C(10)-H(10A) C(9)-C(10)-H(10A)	110.6(10)
C(17)-H(17B) C(17)-H(17C)	0.95(2)	C(11)-C(10)-H(10B)	110.3(10)
C(17)-11(17C)	0.93(4)	C(11)-C(10)-H(10B) C(9)-C(10)-H(10B)	109.6(12)
O(1)-C(1)-C(14)	124.84(18)	H(10A)-C(10)-H(10B)	109.0(12)
O(1)- $C(1)$ - $C(14)O(1)$ - $C(1)$ - $C(2)$	125.15(17)	O(2)-C(11)-C(10)	107.0(13)
C(1)- $C(1)$ - $C(2)C(14)$ - $C(1)$ - $C(2)$	109.99(15)	O(2)-C(11)-C(10) O(2)-C(11)-C(12)	121.43(17)
C(14)- $C(1)$ - $C(2)C(1)$ - $C(2)$ - $C(12)$	109.99(13)	C(10)-C(11)-C(12)	116.70(15)
C(1)-C(2)-C(12)	102.14(14)	C(10)-C(11)-C(12)	110.70(13)

C(11)-C(12)-C(17)	111.27(16)	C(6)-C(15)-H(15B)	110.4(12)
C(11)-C(12)-C(2)	113.80(14)	H(15A)-C(15)-H(15B)	106.7(18)
C(17)-C(12)-C(2)	109.74(15)	C(6)-C(15)-H(15C)	114.4(14)
C(11)-C(12)-C(13)	108.17(14)	H(15A)-C(15)-H(15C)	112.7(19)
C(17)-C(12)-C(13)	110.00(16)	H(15B)-C(15)-H(15C)	102.7(17)
C(2)-C(12)-C(13)	103.55(15)	C(9)-C(16)-H(16A)	109.4(11)
C(14)-C(13)-C(12)	104.54(15)	C(9)-C(16)-H(16B)	111.8(11)
C(14)-C(13)-H(13A)	110.1(10)	H(16A)-C(16)-H(16B)	111.5(15)
C(12)-C(13)-H(13A)	110.7(11)	C(9)-C(16)-H(16C)	107.7(10)
C(14)-C(13)-H(13B)	111.8(11)	H(16A)-C(16)-H(16C)	107.4(15)
C(12)-C(13)-H(13B)	112.1(12)	H(16B)-C(16)-H(16C)	108.8(14)
H(13A)-C(13)-H(13B)	107.6(15)	C(12)-C(17)-H(17A)	110.3(11)
C(1)-C(14)-C(13)	105.56(16)	C(12)-C(17)-H(17B)	112.1(12)
C(1)-C(14)-H(14A)	113.1(12)	H(17A)-C(17)-H(17B)	108.9(16)
C(13)-C(14)-H(14A)	111.2(12)	C(12)-C(17)-H(17C)	111.9(11)
C(1)-C(14)-H(14B)	109.3(12)	H(17A)-C(17)-H(17C)	109.2(16)
C(13)-C(14)-H(14B)	112.8(12)	H(17B)-C(17)-H(17C)	104.2(15)
H(14A)-C(14)-H(14B)	105.1(17)		
C(6)-C(15)-H(15A)	109.6(13)		

Table S.4 Anisotropic displacement parameters (Å²x 10⁴) for diketone **63** (CCDC 664430). The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2\pi^2$ [h^2 $a^{*2}U^{11} + ... + 2 h k <math>a^*$ b^* U^{12}]

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{23}	U^{13}	U^{12}
O(1)	372(9)	382(9)	203(8)	136(6)	113(6)	108(7)
O(2)	332(8)	252(8)	275(8)	-7(6)	149(6)	2(7)
C(1)	202(11)	268(11)	149(10)	90(8)	-25(8)	30(8)
C(2)	203(10)	152(10)	94(10)	-6(7)	-6(7)	-11(7)
C(3)	175(10)	170(10)	115(10)	12(7)	-25(7)	-14(8)
C(4)	183(11)	195(11)	170(11)	27(9)	1(8)	1(8)
C(5)	173(11)	242(12)	320(13)	9(9)	-27(10)	14(9)
C(6)	199(12)	187(10)	317(12)	2(9)	-117(9)	9(8)
C(7)	306(12)	175(11)	231(12)	53(8)	-85(9)	15(9)
C(8)	312(11)	188(11)	165(11)	37(8)	-69(9)	3(9)
C(9)	252(11)	141(10)	124(10)	15(7)	-2(8)	-2(8)
C(10)	332(12)	160(11)	98(10)	17(8)	33(8)	-30(9)
C(11)	296(12)	142(11)	163(11)	-59(7)	66(8)	-34(8)
C(12)	211(11)	130(10)	157(10)	1(7)	5(7)	26(7)
C(13)	340(12)	174(11)	160(11)	-5(8)	4(9)	-7(9)
C(14)	308(13)	197(11)	213(12)	38(8)	-22(9)	-82(9)
C(15)	233(12)	241(12)	421(15)	3(11)	-34(10)	51(9)
C(16)	244(11)	150(10)	178(11)	-20(8)	16(8)	-29(9)
C(17)	212(12)	212(12)	262(12)	30(9)	28(9)	30(9)

Table S.5 Hydrogen coordinates (\times 10⁴) and isotropic displacement parameters ($\mathring{A}^2\times$ 10⁻³) for diketone **214** (CCDC 664430)

	X	y	Z	$\mathbf{U_{iso}}$
H(2)	10590(20)	3978(18)	6967(7)	5(4)
H(3)	7760(20)	3463(18)	6085(7)	7(4)
H(7)	7290(20)	8280(20)	5503(9)	29(5)
H(4A)	8180(20)	5860(20)	7005(8)	21(5)
H(5A)	5210(20)	5070(20)	6242(9)	24(5)
H(8A)	8490(30)	6340(20)	4977(9)	33(5)
H(10A)	9630(20)	3440(20)	5166(8)	22(5)
H(13A)	9850(20)	1230(20)	5701(9)	19(5)
H(14A)	8530(30)	40(20)	6501(9)	33(6)
H(15A)	5460(30)	9480(30)	6252(10)	46(7)
H(16A)	11750(30)	5860(20)	6279(9)	31(6)
H(17A)	14160(30)	1860(20)	6372(9)	30(5)
H(4B)	7120(20)	4350(20)	7125(8)	16(5)
H(5B)	4880(30)	5970(20)	6926(10)	41(6)
H(8B)	7040(20)	5230(20)	5307(8)	17(5)
H(10B)	10870(20)	4780(20)	5001(9)	26(5)
H(13B)	11540(30)	360(20)	5925(9)	24(6)
H(14B)	10310(30)	-90(20)	6890(10)	36(6)
H(15B)	5590(30)	8740(20)	6937(9)	34(6)
H(16B)	10120(20)	7120(20)	6333(8)	26(5)
H(17B)	12940(30)	1620(20)	7000(9)	37(6)
H(15C)	3890(30)	8470(30)	6556(10)	43(6)
H(16C)	11280(20)	6960(20)	5679(9)	22(5)
H(17C)	13620(20)	3190(20)	6827(8)	17(5)